Auckland Council
Te Kaunihera o Tāmaki Makaurau

Date: Monday, 30 May 2022

Government's new housing rules: what it means for Auckland

Henderson-Massey Local Board

WRITTEN FEEDBACK (7 - 7759)

Sub #	Submitter Name	Organisation	Local Board	Volume
7	Harrison Fernandes-Burnard		Henderson-Massey	1
53	Terry Yan		Henderson-Massey	1
63	venkat manga		Henderson-Massey	1
64	Ben Gracewood		Henderson-Massey	1
80	Ryan CrawCour		Henderson-Massey	1
121	Toni Talijancich		Henderson-Massey	1
129	Alvin Tan		Henderson-Massey	1
130	Christopher Gee		Henderson-Massey	1
132	Marie Wright		Henderson-Massey	1
141	Ron Law		Henderson-Massey	1
143	Hollie Colegate		Henderson-Massey	1
151	Jonathan Louie		Henderson-Massey	1
155	Monash Asrani		Henderson-Massey	1
158	Ralph Coppins		Henderson-Massey	1
167	Leah Morgan		Henderson-Massey	1
196	Jeanne-Marie van Dolleweerd		Henderson-Massey	1
214	Alen Buchevich		Henderson-Massey	1
223	Sun Lee		Henderson-Massey	1
248	Steven Gottipalli		Henderson-Massey	1
265	Bob Downs		Henderson-Massey	1
286	Debashish Biswas		Henderson-Massey	1
294	Kirk Smith		Henderson-Massey	1
295	Anton Dyachenko		Henderson-Massey	1
309	Henry Hao		Henderson-Massey	1
317	Vaibhav Bhandari		Henderson-Massey	1
318	Glen Allison		Henderson-Massey	1
323	James Appleby		Henderson-Massey	1
328	Michael Gabriel		Henderson-Massey	1
355	Kylie Azad		Henderson-Massey	1
375	Carin Hammett		Henderson-Massey	1
377	Terri Morris		Henderson-Massey	1
382	Lovell Phillips		Henderson-Massey	1
439	Pshem Kowalczyk		Henderson-Massey	1
456	geoorge oliver		Henderson-Massey	1
461	georgia farmer		Henderson-Massey	1
462	Chris Osborne		Henderson-Massey	1
481	Hermione Keepa		Henderson-Massey	1
493	Todd McGlashan		Henderson-Massey	1
494	Shane Martin		Henderson-Massey	1
499	Gary Stephenson		Henderson-Massey	1
501	Jan Salvado		Henderson-Massey	1
512	Scarlett Li		Henderson-Massey	1
515	Matt Halliday		Henderson-Massey	1
518	John Sullivan		Henderson-Massey	1
554	Karyn Abrahamse		Henderson-Massey	1
571	Luke Williams		Henderson-Massey	1
606	Pauline James		Henderson-Massey	1
609	Daniel Wong		Henderson-Massey	1
612	wayne parlevliet		Henderson-Massey	1
628	Arindam Saha		Henderson-Massey	1
651	Jenny Jeffries		Henderson-Massey	1
655	Prisila Magdalena		Henderson-Massey	1
700	Chris McArthur		Henderson-Massey	1
728	Haydon Pickens		Henderson-Massey	1
749	shayne rasmussen		Henderson-Massey	1
758	Dominika Salagierska		Henderson-Massey	1
759	Amy Burrell		Henderson-Massey	1

Sub #	Submitter Name	Organisation	Local Board	Volume
787	john crone		Henderson-Massey	1
794	Jody Lane		Henderson-Massey	1
798	margaret Little		Henderson-Massey	1
809	Robert Horner		Henderson-Massey	1
845	Srini Reddy		Henderson-Massey	1
860	Greg Hall		Henderson-Massey	1
875	Peter Mundy		Henderson-Massey	1
885	Mark Bloomfield		Henderson-Massey	1
896	Malcolm Fletcher		Henderson-Massey	1
899	Tom Dodd		Henderson-Massey	1
931	Kok Wai Yong		Henderson-Massey	1
946	Charlotte Stone		Henderson-Massey	1
991	Danielle Connell		Henderson-Massey	1
1004	Kirsten Coles		Henderson-Massey	1
1014	Andrea Soulje		Henderson-Massey	1
1112	Anja van Polanen		Henderson-Massey	1
1113	DD G		Henderson-Massey	1
1136	Margaret GAIQUI		Henderson-Massey	1
1157	Neil Wood		Henderson-Massey	1
1169	Scately shappell Bautista		Henderson-Massey	1
1177	Kelly Gabriel		Henderson-Massey	1
1184	Mike O'Shea		Henderson-Massey	1
1187	karlene meredith		Henderson-Massey	1
1195	Donald Chataira		Henderson-Massey	1
1197	Nicola Sian Frater		Henderson-Massey	1
1199	Alison Kane		Henderson-Massey	1
1207	David Airehrour		Henderson-Massey	1
1227	Raymond Ocdol		Henderson-Massey	1
1235	Taeyeul Cho		Henderson-Massey	1
1246	Holly Wilkinson		Henderson-Massey	1
1247	Louis Majaya		Henderson-Massey	1
1249	Melanie Hawkins		Henderson-Massey	1
1256	Mike Campbell		Henderson-Massey	1
1282	Beatrice FESIEN		Henderson-Massey	1
1327	Brian Sullivan		Henderson-Massey	1
1350	Caty Ferguson		Henderson-Massey	1
1356	Lan Yang		Henderson-Massey	1
1368	Karen Christiansen		Henderson-Massey	1
1386	Teresa Roetman		Henderson-Massey	1
1387	Rosie Schroeder		Henderson-Massey	1
1389	Wayne Jones		Henderson-Massey	1
1420	Juliet Nanai		Henderson-Massey	1
1421	Juliette Bright		Henderson-Massey	1
1431	David Hartland		Henderson-Massey	1
1432	Keren Alleyne		Henderson-Massey	1
1443	Tamsin Reeves		Henderson-Massey	1
1449	Lorenzo Zemp		Henderson-Massey	1
1453	Lisa Darragh		Henderson-Massey	1
1454	Matthew Lyon		Henderson-Massey	1
1456	Adrianne Wilson		Henderson-Massey	1
1472	Rajinder Singh		Henderson-Massey	1
1504	Sam Jimmieson		Henderson-Massey	1
1554	Taylor Bowers		Henderson-Massey	1
1585	Sarah Withers		Henderson-Massey	1
1586	Lesley Mayer		Henderson-Massey	1
1605	Rajat Sharma		Henderson-Massey	1
1627	Chris Alaia		Henderson-Massey	1

Sub #	Submitter Name	Organisation	Local Board	Volume
1720	Donald Martin		Henderson-Massey	1
1740	Ross Sullivan		Henderson-Massey	1
1743	Martyn Hall		Henderson-Massey	1
1772	Hayley Campbell		Henderson-Massey	1
1794	Brandon Vista		Henderson-Massey	1
1815	Alex van der Sande		Henderson-Massey	1
1882	Danielle Dakanay		Henderson-Massey	1
1902	Christina Hill		Henderson-Massey	1
1942	Chelsea Morris		Henderson-Massey	1
1964	Catherine MacDonald		Henderson-Massey	1
1967	Sian Jones		Henderson-Massey	1
1994	Phillippa Krempaszky		Henderson-Massey	1
2010	Priyankaben Patel		Henderson-Massey	1
2015	Sara Beckhouse		Henderson-Massey	1
2028	Carol Gilson		Henderson-Massey	1
2041	Taylor Philpott		Henderson-Massey	1
2133	Feng Liang		Henderson-Massey	1
2160	Karen Zheng		Henderson-Massey	1
2167	katy Qin		Henderson-Massey	1
2176	CHARLENE CHEN		Henderson-Massey	1
2236	Jess Romhany		Henderson-Massey	1
2330	veena valhothil		Henderson-Massey	1
2348	Dylan Pope		Henderson-Massey	1
2497	Geraldine Heta		Henderson-Massey	1
2521	Ryan Cox		Henderson-Massey	1
2582	Adam Driver		Henderson-Massey	1
2583	Victoria Bells		Henderson-Massey	1
2584	val tooties		Henderson-Massey	1
2621	Tracey Maule		Henderson-Massey	1
2640	Malcolm Marshall		Henderson-Massey	1
2649	Roaemary Platt		Henderson-Massey	1
2662	Katy Perry		Henderson-Massey	1
2673	Lesley Rennie		Henderson-Massey	1
2692	Jacqui Clark		Henderson-Massey	1
2727	Rosanna Leman		Henderson-Massey	1
2730	Emma Watts		Henderson-Massey	1
2803	Tim Dempsey		Henderson-Massey	1
2805	Trish Bettridge		Henderson-Massey	1
2808	Ricky Greaves		Henderson-Massey	1
2813	Tracey Pilgrim		Henderson-Massey	1
2818	Aimee Walker		Henderson-Massey	1
2843	Diane Dickinson		Henderson-Massey	1
2868	Shelley O'Connor		Henderson-Massey	1
2871	Katie Barden		Henderson-Massey	1
2913	Matthew Allen		Henderson-Massey	1
3008	Amir Ashirov		Henderson-Massey	1
3023	Kaung Khant		Henderson-Massey	1
3024		Oakfield Trust	Henderson-Massey	1
3130	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
3139	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
3186	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
3203	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
3316	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
3362	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
3381	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
3407	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
3511	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1

Sub #	Submitter Name	Organisation	Local Board	Volume
3514	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
3524	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
3587	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
3598	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
3662	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
3671	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
3679	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
3698	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
3703	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
3780	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
3789	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
3866	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
3915	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4172	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4216	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4251	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4257	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4799	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4800	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4801	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4802	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4803	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4804	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4828	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4829	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4830	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4831	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4832	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4833	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4867	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4868	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4869	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4870	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4871	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4872	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4873	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4874	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4875	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4889	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4890	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4891	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4892	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4893	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4915	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4916	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4917	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4918	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4919	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4920	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4959	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4960	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4961	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4962	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4963	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4964	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4965	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4966	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1

Sub #	Submitter Name	Organisation	Local Board	Volume
4967	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4968	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4969	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4970	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4971	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4972	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4973	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4974	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4975	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4976	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4977	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4978	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
4979	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
5159	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
5160	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
5161	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
5162	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
5163	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
5164	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
5166	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
5167	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
5168	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
5169	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
5170	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
5171	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
5172	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
5173	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
5174	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
5177	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
5178	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
5179	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
5180	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
5187	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
5188	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
5189	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
5255	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
5258	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
5261	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
5529	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
5590	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
5614	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
5625	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
5638	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
5682		Henderson Squash Club	Henderson-Massey	1
5801	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
5802	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
5880	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
5969	#REF!		Henderson-Massey	1
5980			Henderson-Massey	1
6049			Henderson-Massey	1
6087			Henderson-Massey	1
6139			Henderson-Massey	1
6229			Henderson-Massey	1
6394			Henderson-Massey	1
6446			Henderson-Massey	1
6483		Cassiny Limited	Henderson-Massey	1
6485			Henderson-Massey	1

Sub #	Submitter Name	Organisation	Local Board	Volume
6520			Henderson-Massey	1
6542			Henderson-Massey	1
6624			Henderson-Massey	1
6730		Ali Sher	Henderson-Massey	1
6770		Coalition for More Homes	Henderson-Massey	1
6777		LZY Limited	Henderson-Massey	1
6855			Henderson-Massey	1
6906			Henderson-Massey	1
6941			Henderson-Massey	1
7002			Henderson-Massey	1
7007		Greater Auckland	Henderson-Massey	1
7072			Henderson-Massey	1
7201			Henderson-Massey	1
7202			Henderson-Massey	1
7204			Henderson-Massey	1
7253			Henderson-Massey	1
7254			Henderson-Massey	1
7297			Henderson-Massey	1
7568			Henderson-Massey	1
7573			Henderson-Massey	1
7656			Henderson-Massey	1
7662		New Zealand General Real Estate	Henderson-Massey	1
7733			Henderson-Massey	1
7746		Babich Wines Limited	Henderson-Massey	1
7759		NZ Retail Property Group	Henderson-Massey	1

#7

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Harrison Fernandes-Burnard

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

The City Centre has a wide range of facilities, including employment opportunities, entertainment, retail etc. The City Centre is also excellently served by public transport and is the only area in Auckland well served by active transport facilities. As such, a cycling catchment is also relevant here. Therefore a walking catchment of 20 minutes (which equates to a cycling catchment of 8 - 10 minutes) is more appropriate.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

In my experience, most people are willing to walk further than just 800m if they are served by rapid transit and this is supported by international best practice and a growing pool of evidence. The walking catchment around rapid transit stops should be 1200m, as this is about a 10 - 15 minute walk. There is more than enough incentive to walk this distance when served by high quality transit, as is our trains and busways (most of the time), given the speed and convenience of these networks and the benefit of not having to drive.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Large and accessible town centres typically have most amenities that residents would need for their day to day living (retail, supermarkets, commercial services etc). Therefore, there should be incentive to build more housing within a realistic walking catchment, which would be at a minimum 800m (less than a ten minute walk).

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

See comments above regarding town centres - this catchment should be a minimum of 400m, which is only a five minute walk at most. Large and accessible town centres typically have most amenities that residents would need for their day to day living (retail, supermarkets, commercial services etc). Therefore, there should be incentive to build more housing within a realistic walking catchment, which would be at a minimum 800m (less than a ten minute walk).

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more)

within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Special character areas are some of the best located sites in the city and should realistically be enabled to be developed. Examples of this include the suburbs adjacent to arterials such as Dominion Road, Mt Eden Road and Manukau Road, which contain some of the most convenient and frequent bus routes in Auckland and have the best accessibility to employment opportunities and day to day amenities.

I support adding more houses to the historic heritage overlay and creating additional historic heritage areas (such as Ponsonby Town Centre and Devonport) to ensure that the highest quality heritage areas are maintained. However including large special character areas as is proposed will significantly diminish the potential for more housing in the best located areas.

If these areas are retained however I would like to see them included in the two-storey medium density zoning, which I assume would reflect the MHS zone at present. I believe that at the very least it is possible to modestly intensify these areas while still retaining the character of the surrounding area. For example, there are existing special character areas along Heather Street in Parnell that contain historic apartment buildings and duplexes. There is no reason why attached, medium density housing cannot be in character with these areas, especially if there were relevant assessment criteria relating to intensification that required design features to reflect the streetscapee (such as choice of materials).

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Special character areas are some of the best located sites in the city and should realistically be enabled to be developed. Examples of this include the suburbs adjacent to arterials such as Dominion Road, Mt Eden Road and Manukau Road, which contain some of the most convenient and frequent bus routes in Auckland and have the best accessibility to employment opportunities and day to day amenities.

I support adding more houses to the historic heritage overlay and creating additional historic heritage areas (such as Ponsonby Town Centre and Devonport) to ensure that the highest quality heritage areas are maintained. However including large special character areas as is proposed will significantly diminish the potential for more housing in the best located areas.

If these areas are retained however I would like to see them included in the two-storey medium density zoning, which I assume would reflect the MHS zone at present. I believe that at the very least it is possible to modestly intensify these areas while still retaining the character of the surrounding area. For example, there are existing special character areas along Heather Street in Parnell that contain historic apartment buildings and duplexes. There is no reason why attached, medium density housing cannot be in character with these areas, especially if there were relevant assessment criteria relating to intensification that required design features to reflect the streetscapee (such as choice of materials).

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Special character areas are some of the best located sites in the city and should realistically be enabled to be developed. Examples of this include the suburbs adjacent to arterials such as Dominion Road, Mt Eden Road and Manukau Road, which contain some of the most convenient and frequent bus routes in Auckland and have the best accessibility to employment opportunities and day to day amenities.

I support adding more houses to the historic heritage overlay and creating additional historic heritage areas (such as Ponsonby Town Centre and Devonport) to ensure that the highest quality heritage areas are maintained. However including large special character areas as is proposed will significantly diminish the potential for more housing in the best located areas.

If these areas are retained however I would like to see them included in the two-storey medium density zoning, which I assume would reflect the MHS zone at present. I believe that at the very least it is possible to modestly intensify these areas while still retaining the character of the surrounding area. For example, there are existing special character areas along Heather Street in Parnell that contain historic apartment buildings and duplexes. There is no reason why attached, medium density housing cannot be in character with these areas, especially if there were relevant assessment criteria relating to intensification that required design features to reflect the streetscapee (such as choice of materials).

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

It is not wise to allow intensification within areas prone to flooding or coastal erosion, as this will just cause significant costs and safety issues over time.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

I would remove the Local Public Views Overlay as a qualifying matter, as they all appear to be poorly justified at present. 'Views' on their own are not a matter worth protecting at the expense of more housing.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#53

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Terry Yan

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Hi

what council will do for Volcanic Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas Overlay

where is volcanit views is not allow to build house up to 9m??

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#63

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: venkat manga

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#64

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Ben Gracewood

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

I 100% support intensification inside walkable catchments. I do not support opting-out of those catchments by the use of "special character" carve-outs.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

I support intensification of town centres wholeheartedly. All areas should be included. It's ridiculous to have 6 story apartments in Te Atatu Peninsula while whole swathes of Ponsonby are single houses. Auckland needs to become a modern city with central density.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

I support intensification of town centres wholeheartedly. All areas should be included. It's ridiculous to have 6 story apartments in Te Atatu Peninsula while whole swathes of Ponsonby are single houses. Auckland needs to become a modern city with central density.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Most, if not all, of the areas that have been designated "special character" are the areas that would best support intensification. It makes no sense to exclude them from intensification.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are areas that should not be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

I can understand retaining special character in some exceptional instances: Cheltenham beach, Devonport waterfront. But it is unconscionable to carve out huge swathes of central city suburbs (Ponsonby, Mt Eden); suburbs with excellent network connections (Mt Albert); and large swathes of nondescript north shore suburbs (Birkdale, Birkenhead, Northcote point).

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think less areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

I can understand retaining special character in some exceptional instances: Cheltenham beach, Devonport waterfront. But it is unconscionable to carve out huge swathes of central city suburbs (Ponsonby, Mt Eden); suburbs with excellent network connections (Mt Albert); and large swathes of nondescript north shore suburbs (Birkdale, Birkenhead, Northcote point).

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Absolutely support this, especially where access to congestion-free public transport is not currently available nor will be in the near future. A good example of this is Te Atatu Peninsula - already significantly congested, and in my mind incorrectly upzoned during the Unitary Plan. Once SH16 has a full busway or rail line, perhaps it would be a good time to upzone Te Atatu.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

No opinion.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#80

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Ryan CrawCour

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

intensification has to happen in and near the city center. continuing this constant urban sprawl by pushing intensification out to the very edges of the city causes people to have to spend more and more time commuting. these areas, such as West Auckland, are often neglected when it comes to public transport investment vs city center and fringe suburbs that are "protected" from intensification but somehow get all the public transport improvements (see CRL and the billions of dollars being spent here while cancelling other projects).

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

intensification has to happen in and near the city center. continuing this constant urban sprawl by pushing intensification out to the very edges of the city causes people to have to spend more and more time commuting. these areas, such as West Auckland, are often neglected when it comes to public transport investment vs city center and fringe suburbs that are "protected" from intensification but somehow get all the public transport improvements (see CRL and the billions of dollars being spent here while cancelling other projects).

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

intensification has to happen in and near the city center. continuing this constant urban sprawl by pushing intensification out to the very edges of the city causes people to have to spend more and more time commuting. these areas, such as West Auckland, are often neglected when it comes to public transport investment vs city center and fringe suburbs that are "protected" from intensification but somehow get all the public transport improvements (see CRL and the billions of dollars being spent here while cancelling other projects).

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

define LARGE town centers. Te Atatu Peninsula is not a LARGE town center, yet has a massive Terrace Housing and Apartment Building Zone that extends further than 400m from the center of the SMALL town.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

blanket exclusions on much of the city b/c of so called "special character' means that the city's plan is ultimately an unfair plan. protecting the wealthy suburbs (that already have the public transport infra to support intensification) while pushing the growth of Auckland out further and further to areas that are neglected.

where is the public transport that was promised when Hobosonville Point was developed?

where is the light rail that was promised for the NW motorway?

where is the dedicated separated 24/7 bus lanes that have been promised for decades?

you cannot exclude entire blocks of the city for "special character" reasons. not every house has character that should be preserved. in fact, it's time this city stopped clinging to the past and started building a new modern city that has a new vibrant character that is worth preserving.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

100%. if you cannot provide infrastructure and transport (and schools and hospitals and police and fire stations etc.) to support the intensification of an area, then that area should absolutely be excluded. for every area where this exclusion is not applied council should be required to provide plans showing how the missing infrastructure is going to be provided.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

None of these are valid exclusions.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#121

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Toni Talijancich

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

We would actively support this if you included our property to be RE zoned in the unitary plan. Prime position for development but we are cut off. Our part of forest hill, holdens road and pine Ave which forms a triangle should have been included in the unitary plan for development.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

We would actively support this if you included our property to be RE zoned in the unitary plan. Prime position for development but we are cut off. Our part of forest hill, holdens road and Pine Ave which forms a triangle should have been included in the unitary plan for development.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

With more developments and homes we need more access to public transport

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

We would like our property to be reviewed to be included in the unitary plan for development. We would support the need for more housing but our property falls along the corner of forest hill, holdens road and Pune Ave which is out of zone for development. The triangle as it is often referred to by locals (forest hill, Pine Ave and holdens road) should be included for development under the unitary plan as there are main service lines through these properties. These are still centrally located on main transport routes which would be beneficial for those seeking housing

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#121
3D
PINE AVE
STARFORTH PL
HOLDENS RD
33

#129

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Alvin Tan

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft

- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#130

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Christopher Gee

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#132

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Marie Wright

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Because infrastructure can't keep up now let alone when it's intensified and it creates mire traffic chaos.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

If you want to intensify do it closer to the city where it's most useful. If it's good for one it's good for all, no special treatment

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#141

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Ron Law

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Other

Tell us why

It's pointless making any comment as decision-makers will do what they want regardless.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

It's pointless making any comment as decision-makers will do what they want regardless.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Other

Tell us why

It's pointless making any comment as decision-makers will do what they want regardless.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

It's pointless making any comment as decision-makers will do what they want regardless.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

It's pointless making any comment as decision-makers will do what they want regardless.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

It's pointless making any comment as decision-makers will do what they want regardless.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Other

Tell us why

It's pointless making any comment as decision-makers will do what they want regardless.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Other

Tell us why

It's pointless making any comment as decision-makers will do what they want regardless.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

It's pointless making any comment as decision-makers will do what they want regardless.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

It's pointless making any comment as decision-makers will do what they want regardless.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#143

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Hollie Colegate

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

I think the special character areas are areas where wealthy people have used power and connections so that it does not happen in their neighbourhood. I think intensification should take account of historic value, availability of transport and infrastructure.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are areas that should not be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Other

Tell us why

I don't agree with the areas that have been identified and think there are many others which are just as special

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay

- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

stormwater, parking and public transport availability

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#151

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Jonathan Louie

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft

- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#155

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Monash Asrani

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

It makes sense to intensify near the city centre with many amenities and transport options available

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Many of the town centres and surrounding areas are already congested. Plus lack of adequate amenities and transport options, single carriageway roads will only lead to these places loosing their character.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Many of these areas are located close to the city centre. By creating these special areas you will only create more disparities. To create a balanced socio-economic society you should not create these special character areas.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

By creating these special areas you will only create more disparities. To create a balanced socio-economic society you should not create these special character areas.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints is a major issue. The government is not looking at local issues where densification will lead to a lowered quality of life for many of the residents of Auckland.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across

areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#158

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Ralph Coppins

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** I don't know

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are areas that should not be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Te atatu peninsula is one way in one way out it is already bursting at the seems it should be left as is for now

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#167

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Leah Morgan

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

I disagree but understand that you want apartment blocks to be walkable distance to eg major train/bus stations township in cities.

Because it will clutter up areas close to main suburbs town centers.

Lots more people, cars on roads that in Auckland haven't been built to handle the increase of population.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

I do get it you want more people walking and taking public transport.

Did I read or hear these proposed laws these

new apartments do not need to provide car parks?

*Not logical most households will have 1 or more cars with multiple generation of parents and adult kids with cars.

Where are they going to park on the street, which blocks up roads that are already struggling to cope with the increased traffic on Auckland roads.

Eg New Lynn and Henderson proposed, Ash street, Great North road, West Coast road, to Glen Eden, Lincoln Rd have very limited options to expand widen the roads for more lanes.

Won't be able to cope with the increased traffic.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre

(such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Only on suburbs on outskirts of Aucklands that are completely new subdivisions to have small amount of local shops that meet needs

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

‘Special character areas’ are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland’s older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Please protect some of the historical buildings, parks and older suburbs in Auckland eg Mt Eden that have impressive vintage villa buildings etc.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Future building expansion needs to plan for the future that water supplies, drainage, lights, eg west Auckland floods over last year 2021 Henderson valley,2018 New Lynn.

But main roads around west be Auckland can't be expanded to more lanes on roads to handle more people cars etc.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#196

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Jeanne-Marie van Dolleweerd

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft

- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#214

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Alen Buchevich

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

More of the special character areas should be built up, especially around the CBD such as Ponsonby.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#223

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Sun Lee

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

The walkable catchment for RTS needs caters for "most" people as per AK's planning committee presentation(https://infocouncil.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/Open/2021/07/PLA_20210701_AGN_10174_AT.htm) but yet the proposed catchment could only address "the median/50th percentile" - refer to

https://www.greaterauckland.org.nz/2021/06/29/auckland-council-is-planning-for-unaffordability/

To reduce carbon footprint for climate change and to increase usage of public transportation, Auckland Unitary plan change must look more towards a base figure of 1000m for walkable catchment for RTS - which was initially considered by some of AK planning committee members.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how

they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#248

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Steven Gottipalli

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** I don't know

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#265

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Bob Downs

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

I support a walkable catchment around the city centre. I would expect 6 storey building in a large city centre like Auckland. So long as the character of old heritage buildings in areas like Ponsonby isn't tarnished.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

You need a DO NOT SUPPORT AT ALL option! I do not support huge 6 storey dwellings in these areas, they are an eye sore and quite frankly I don't want one built next door to me. The traffic in the areas in question (I'm in Ranui) is already horrendous at all times of the day these buildings would bring major problems for the current roading network around the areas. The suburbs have lost their "suburban" factor with townhouse blocks going up everywhere. These dwelling I expect in the city centres not in residential areas.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** I don't know

Tell us why

Housing in rapid transit stops.... does NZ have any form of RAPID public transport? I think not. Our public transport system in Auckland is a nightmare.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** I don't know

Tell us why

These type of buildings will only suit / work in the larger commercial areas, not places like Glen Eden which has a small single street group of shops which need alot of attention themselves before they will be even close to decent.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** I don't know

Tell us why

YOU NEED AN I DONT SUPPORT OPTION. I do not support this type of building in small town centres. The traffic congestion would be a nightmare in most small towns.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Keep all of the current special character areas, they are our history, they need to stay that way.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Not totally familiar with all these map areas to make an informed decision.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Not totally familiar with all these map areas to make an informed decision.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

So many surburbs are currently not up to handling this type of intensification. Just try getting onto the motorway at Lincoln Rd on any pre covid workday. Or sit on Universal Drive and not move for 20 mins because of the Lincoln Rd congestion.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#286

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Debashish Biswas

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

CBD and adjacent suburbs are always high density housing in global cities - it seems logical to pursue this approach

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

This will vary from suburb to suburb. Those that are further out from the CBD will necessarily not need high intensification vs those that are nearer the city

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

How has this been qualified? It seems that a small proportion of neighbourhoods are identified under this mostly in Central Auckland and Davenport

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

How has this been qualified? It seems that a small proportion of neighbourhoods are identified under this mostly in Central Auckland and Davenport

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

I stay in Te Atatu Peninsula (North) and there are significant infrastructure constraints especially with roading as well as stormwater and wastewater. Without taking these into account, it is detrimental to existing populace for high intensity housing to be allowed

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Local Public Views Overlay

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#294

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Kirk Smith

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

I believe this is a good step for intensification of housing around these centres.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

800m is not a long distance, I believe it should be standardized across both types of catchments

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

800m is not a long distance, I believe it should be standardized across both types of catchments

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Urban intensification is necessary in places listed above, especially within 1.5k of a major train station, the Glen Eden shops and apartments is a great example of this.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Urban intensification is necessary in places listed above, especially within 1.5k of a major train station, the Glen Eden shops and apartments is a great example of this.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Holding on to unnecessary "places of character" sites push the NIMBY mindset of homeowners at the expense of our future generations, as well as accessibility to the CBD and public transport. Think of the possibilities available for medium to high density living in places like Ponsonby, Kingsland, Pt Chev.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Holding on to unnecessary "places of character" sites push the NIMBY mindset of homeowners at the expense of our future generations, as well as accessibility to the CBD and public transport. Think of the possibilities available for medium to high density living in places like Ponsonby, Kingsland, Pt Chev.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Holding on to unnecessary "places of character" sites push the NIMBY mindset of homeowners at the expense of our future generations, as well as accessibility to the CBD and public transport. Think of the possibilities available for medium to high density living in places like Ponsonby, Kingsland, Pt Chev.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#295

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Anton Dyachenko

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

I have 30 years of experience living in a high-density area (back in my home country) so limiting to 1200 meters especially today (when there are lots of electric pedestrian transports such as scooters and bikes) is VERY little distance. It was pretty much normal for me to walk 2000 meters on foot at least 2 times a day all year around(even during snow in winter). I actually miss these walks today as they are very good for health.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Don't see any reason to differentiate these centers, you didn't provide any reasoning WHY do you believe they should be different.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

I don't see any reason and don't understand the council's motivation to apply any additional limits (other then specified in the new legislation) on these distances. They should be as long as it is allowed by the legislation. If there is no limits in the law then the council should not limit these areas at all.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

I don't understand what is "special character areas" and why they should be protected.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

can't see anything on the map even after I switched on/off layers that should show these areas

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

this is a valid reason to limit density

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across

areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#309

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Henry Hao

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Just making centre zone intensified will cause more traffic jam around central commercial area.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Does residences in proposal area needn't vehicles? Where do they park their car?

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

No Matter how close to the transport facilities they live they also always need vehicles to drive their kids school, to grocery and for something, idea ofvintensifying central area was too naive and will make Aucklander well-being perished.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

No Matter how close to the transport facilities they live they also always need vehicles to drive their kids school, to grocery and for something, idea ofvintensifying central area was too naive and will make Aucklander well-being perished.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

Brainless idea, can only cause everything jamed without any problem resolved.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Increase corruption risk

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

You should intensify infrastructure, that is only correct thing you should do in this plan

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Construct more school hospital shopping mall to extend the residence area of Auckland which should be the correct thing you guys should consider.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#317

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Vaibhav Bhandari

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Its a great plan to make Auckland more happening attractive and affordable place to live!

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#318

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Glen Allison

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#323

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: James Appleby

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft

- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#328

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Michael Gabriel

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Other

Tell us why

What happens to your mobility scooter when you get to the bus or train?

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

You keep forgetting our ageing population.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

I live in Te Atatu Peninsula what don’t you understand one road in and out. Congestion in terrible. Mobility scooters & walkers cannot be used because of vehicles parked on foot paths. Also damaged & unusable foot paths from building damage.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Because you said accessible.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay

- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Sunlight into existing homes with new developments.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#355

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Kylie Azad

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#375

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Carin Hammett

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

More amenities to support this kind of development

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Because infrastructure can support this

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Other

Tell us why

No - because these structures are not necessarily in place yet

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

No - this sort of development should be limited to the city centre and immediate surroundings - where there is the infrstructure to cope - not in areas where there is no infrastructure built yet.

Many areas such as Te Atatu Peninsula - their infrastructure CANNOT cope with this sort of nonsense

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

No - lets develop in the immediate city centre and their surrounds where the infrastucture can cope and people can walk to work/shops etc

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

The rich areas such as St Mary's Bay and Remuera do not want these large developments - but this is where they should be as they are close to the CBD, have existing public transport and can cope better - not in the suburbs!

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Other

Tell us why

The blue areas seem to be around the water - is that so the rich people who can afford these properties can keep the status quo? The intense developments should be happening around the inner city suburbs - where there is current public trnasport options - not where you are hoping public transport will improve - it needs to be there already!!!! Please don't excuse the rich inner city suburbs from this awful plan because they are rich!!!!!

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Yes - it is logical if these places cannot cope. Eg Te Atatu Peninsula has one road in and one out, the pipes have not coped when it rains for years and years already and now they have all these

new developments already - WE DON'T NEED MORE! Roads cannot cope, emergency services cannot cope - you are allowing a future slum to happen right here!

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

The developments should be in and around the CBD and immediate surrounds - where public transport can cope. Not in the suburbs!

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#377

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Terri Morris

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#382

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Lovell Phillips

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Supports commuters

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Supports commuters

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Too crowded

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

History is important

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#439

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Pshem Kowalczyk

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

The distance can be easily extended using micromobility, ebikes, escooters etc.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

The distance can be easily extended using micromobility, ebikes, escooters etc.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

The distance can be easily extended using micromobility, ebikes, escooters etc.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

The distance can be easily extended using micromobility, ebikes, escooters etc.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

The distance can be easily extended using micromobility, ebikes, escooters etc.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

The implementation of the proposal would result in the most desirable areas, closest to the city being prevented from any redevelopment. Auckland is not a museum. Some individual buildings should be retained, but this should be by exception and on actual merits. Preserving rows of almost identical houses in places like Ponsoby or Grey Lynn is not something we should be doing,

as this pushes the intensification further out, forcing people to commute further and thus increasing our carbon footprint.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

This would provide an excuse to not intensify in many areas. The infrastructure must be upgraded to cope not only with the current but also future growth. All these changes will remain for generations.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

view shafts, particularly from motorways should removed.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#456

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: geoorge oliver

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft

- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#461

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: georgia farmer

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** I don't know

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** I don't know

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

heritage reasons

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#462

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Chris Osborne

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

I think that 30 and 20 minute walk is more appropriate. I used to live in ponsonby and it was a 30 minute walk to my office each day and it was great.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

I think that allowing apartment buildings is important and will lead to futrure transport development.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

200m is nothing. It should be further.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

This is just NIMBYism. I have lived in some opf these homes. They are cold, mouldy and unsafe. It means that development occurs further out, and is misaligned with our carbon reduction goals. if people like the homes that they live in then they don't have to change it, but cities overseas show us that townhousaes and apartments can be beautiful.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

As above, it is stupid to protect old mouldy houses when we have people living on the streets.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

I think that this potentially makes sense, but I am also concerned that it coulld be misused. I potentially could support the idea, but would have concerns without further evidence.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how

they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#481

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Hermione Keepa

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Because it is logical and just makes sense. Access to public transport, goods and services.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Because it is logical and just makes sense. Access to public transport, goods and services.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Because it is logical and just makes sense. Access to public transport, goods and services.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Because it is logical and just makes sense. Access to public transport, goods and services.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Because it is logical and just makes sense. Access to public transport, goods and services.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Because it is logical and just makes sense. Access to public transport, goods and services.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Because it is logical and just makes sense. Access to public transport, goods and services.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Because it is logical and just makes sense. Access to public transport, goods and services.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Because it is logical and just makes sense. Access to public transport, goods and services.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#493

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Todd McGlashan

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Other

Tell us why

Do not support at all, the supplied answers above are misleading, if i was to indicate "Do not support - I think it should be closer" this would be read as I support the Idea. and the area should be changed. in short I do not support the idea.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

Do not support at all, the supplied answers above are misleading, if i was to indicate "Do not support - I think it should be closer" this would be read as I support the Idea. and the area should be changed. in short I do not support the idea.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Other

Tell us why

Do not support at all, the supplied answers above are misleading, if i was to indicate "Do not support - I think it should be closer" this would be read as I support the Idea. and the area should be changed. in short I do not support the idea.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Do not support at all, the supplied answers above are misleading, if i was to indicate "Do not support - I think it should be closer" this would be read as I support the Idea. and the area should be changed. in short I do not support the idea.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Do not support at all, the supplied answers above are misleading, if i was to indicate "Do not support - I think it should be closer" this would be read as I support the Idea. and the area should be changed. in short I do not support the idea.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

I do not support building high density housing in any area.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Other

Tell us why

I do not support building high density housing in any area.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Other

Tell us why

I do not support building high density housing in any area.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#494

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Shane Martin

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

1200 metres seems reasonable enough.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

This distance is okay, but it should be further.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

The walkable catchment that has been defined by Council is particularly small. Council's own research indicates that many people are willing to walk much further than 800 metres to access rapid transit. Of course, development closer to transit stops is preferred to development further away. But 800 metres is just too short a distance, especially once all the reasons people will come up with for why it should be even smaller are considered and all the rules around why developers cannot build to the maximum heights "allowed' by the zoning.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

There's no reason to limit it to 400 metres, especially given all the fuzziness in that zone that allows Council to mandate buildings much shorter than are theoretically allowed anyway.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

200 metres feels like a distance that technically satisfies the rules without really having to do anything.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Special character areas are blanket protections for mostly the richest and closest areas to the city centre and are frequently used to ensure that nothing changes. While we can all appreciate houses that look old if they are well-kept (not to mention drafty, uninsulated, with single-glazed windows, asbestos, and lead paint), the reality is that to meet our climate goals and ensure our city is fit for the future, we can't have standalone single-storey dwellings within walking distance to the city centre. And certainly not to the scale that is being proposed.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are areas that should not be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

This proposal almost seems like a parody. I don't see eye to eye with council decision makers on special character, and this seems like a strawman proposal that I would have made up to poke fun of what I thought council's response would be. It is particularly egregious along the proposed light rail corridor.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

I don't knowenough to really comment, but I suspect that, if the residential proposals are anything to go by, it's lacking.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

It sounds okay in theory, but in practice it will probably end up being used the same way special character is used. Nothing more than a way to prevent change or satisfy the aesthetic preferences of the haves while ignoring the needs of the have-nots.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#499

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Gary Stephenson

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Walking times need to be tested, 1.2km in 15 minutes for someone with children, shopping or a walking stick would be pushing it.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Walking times need to be tested, 800m in 10 minutes for someone with children, shopping or a walking stick would be pushing it.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Other

Tell us why

Need to access walking and who will use. I couldn't use.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

Having a group of three-story houses, or an apartment block around single-story homes needs to be controlled to allow light and airflow and not cast windows or gardens into shadow..

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

Having a group of three-story houses, or an apartment block around single-story homes needs to be controlled to allow light and airflow and not cast windows or gardens into shadow..

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

We just cannot destroy areas of special significance.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

I agree i think as well that dumping three-story houses or apartments amongst a group of single-story homes would be in keeping with the area.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#501

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Jan Salvado

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Other

Tell us why

Do not support- should not extend further. Buildings should only be allowed in city centers. This step is not solving the housing issue. This will further create problems as roads are not designed/planned for denser areas. Exits are terrible, roads are narrow, parking of cars along roads will worsen. This will only make the rich more rich.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

Same as above. Solution to housing crisis is to tighten ownership of property investors. The more property, the more taxes. Also remove the benefits of property investors. Land should not be allowed to be a business specially developed areas unless NZ wants chinese to take over majority of the land

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

Do not support- why are we extending it if the present situation, the town centers do not have apartments / buildings. Seems like people in govt have properties in these areas.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

Same as above -Do not support- why are we extending it if the present situation, the town centers do not have apartments / buildings. Seems like people in govt have properties in these areas.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#512

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Scarlett Li

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Other

Tell us why

Don’t support

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Other

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft

- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#515

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Matt Halliday

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Traffic and parking concerns, as NZers do like to drive

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Again, congestion and parking

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

I do have concerns about additional housing being built without any additional infrastructure, such as Riverhead and Huapai, where the houses were built without additional roads or public transport options.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#518

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: John Sullivan

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

I do not think the current provisions of public transport options are realistic for the distance of 1200 metres, 1000 metres would be more appropriate. This would also be quite enough to encourage people to consider alternatives in a broader sense over time.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

In suburban areas around metropolitan centres, there are significant populations of people for whom 800 metres is simply not an option to walk and public transport options are not good enough at this stage. I would opt for 500 - 600 metres.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

My comments would be the same as for catchments around metropolitan centres. -In suburban areas around metropolitan centres, there are significant populations of people for whom 800 metres is simply not an option to walk and public transport options are not good enough at this stage. I would opt for 500 - 600 metres.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

Building this type of housing close to metropolitan centres is going to encourage behaviour of a less social and more lackadaisical nature than if the same type of housing was built a bit further out - say between 600 metres and 1200 metres out.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

Same comments as for large town centres. -Building this type of housing close to metropolitan centres is going to encourage behaviour of a less social and more lackadaisical nature than if the same type of housing was built a bit further out - say between 600 metres and 1200 metres out.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

This is all development of our times, not an exercise in hanging on to a past that has led us to this state of affairs. I do not like the necessity of higher density living but the alternatives I would prefer are not realistic within the time frames we have made necessary, so, if it is necessary, the costs need to be paid and historical sentiment is just not affordable.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are areas that should not be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

As above. -This is all development of our times, not an exercise in hanging on to a past that has led us to this state of affairs. I do not like the necessity of higher density living but the alternatives I would prefer are not realistic within the time frames we have made necessary, so, if it is necessary, the costs need to be paid and historical sentiment is just not affordable.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think less areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

As above. -This is all development of our times, not an exercise in hanging on to a past that has led us to this state of affairs. I do not like the necessity of higher density living but the alternatives I would prefer are not realistic within the time frames we have made necessary, so, if it is necessary, the costs need to be paid and historical sentiment is just not affordable.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

The failure to upgrade infrastructure to cope with higher density housing is already a significant problem/issue and it does not need to be exacerbated by real-world restraints such as landforms and geology.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

In general terms these fall into the same frame as that for qualifying areas. The bridges linking us to the matters above are old and falling down, or we are burning them anyway because we have created a situation where we can no longer afford to keep them.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to

any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#554

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Karyn Abrahamse

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Makes total sense

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Seems a reasonable distance

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#571

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Luke Williams

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are areas that should not be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#606

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Pauline James

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

There are usually more public transport options available around metropolitan centres.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

I think people will continue to use cars if they have to walk 10 minutes before catching a bus or train. A shorter walk would would be stronger incentive.

I do not think the 800 metres should be a blanket rule.

For instance in some areas, such as Te Atatu Peninsula, there is only one way in and one way out. Allowing too many high storey blocks will raise the population to an untenable level, creating bottlenecks for public and other transport, especially around the peninsula entrance and exit which are the public transport transit stops.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

I also think it should be dependent on the situation and should take into account special considerations. In some areas, allowing too many high storey blocks close to the few amenities available (such as on the Te Atatu Peninsula), would create bottlenecks for pedestrians and public and other transport, especially when all the shops and other amenities are situated close together on one main road.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

I think you should extend the special character from your current criteria.

It should include consideration of settings. As described above,

in some areas, allowing too many high storey blocks close to the few amenities available (such as on the Te Atatu Peninsula), would create bottlenecks for pedestrians and public and other transport, especially when all the shops and other amenities are situated close together on one main road.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Auckland has limited areas of historical interest. Lets at least fight hard retain what we have.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

The Te Atatu Peninsula is already suffering from its dated/inadequate infrastructure. Heavy rain here invariably leads to sewerage overflowing into the Whau River area.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Agree with them all.

Special consideration is also needed for areas that have only one entrance and exit, such as peninsulas. Over developing these areas will lead to huge difficulties in times of civil defence or other emergencies.

There appears to be no mention of the need to ensure growth does not "deforest" Auckland. The significant increase in housing on the Te Atatu peninsula has already impacted negatively on the tree population and thus on the bird and wildlife. If building continues as it currently is, the only greenery here will be in Auckland City recreational areas...

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#609

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Daniel Wong

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

The separation of residential and business areas is a defining feature of good town planning to allow for green space between residential and business zones. 1200 metres is too far. It is about 15 minutes walk on average, a tad too far.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

The separation of residential and business areas is a defining feature of good town planning to allow for green space between residential and business zones. 1200 metres is too far. It is about 15 minutes walk on average, a tad too far.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Other

Tell us why

In reality, the train service is not designed for the amount of resident around the train station. It is essential for the train network works better before adding more people to the vicinity of train stations.

Besides, it works for only certain areas with good infrastructure around. I look at Sturges/Ranui stations, there is hardly any amenities around. The intensification will put enormous amount of pressure on the existing structures, like shops (few in any case), parks, green space and roading network.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

It is all in the details. Not all town centres are built the same. Some with good access on foot, but most are not.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

It is all in the details. Not all town centres are built the same. Some with good access on foot, but most are not. Further, not all town centres have the necessary amenities to serve the enlarged number of residents.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more)

within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

The government policy is clear - same rule for all. The proposed inclusive is patently to protect the leafy suburbs. Why would ages of a dwelling matter? The inclusion is a huge injustice to the Auckland-wide residents. Who is to say a newer suburb has no special character? The proposed inclusion creates two rules favouring the high decile suburbs.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

The government policy is clear - same rule for all. The proposed inclusive is patently to protect the leafy suburbs. Why would ages of a dwelling matter? The inclusion is a huge injustice to the Auckland-wide residents. Who is to say a newer suburb has no special character? The proposed inclusion creates two rules favouring the high decile suburbs.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#612

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: wayne parlevliet

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft

- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

I don't support ridgeline or view shaft overlays

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#628

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Arindam Saha

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#651

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Jenny Jeffries

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

I cycle in from Te Atatu Peninsula to the central city, and appreciate the need for pedestrian and cycle access to the central city.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Same as above.-I cycle in from Te Atatu Peninsula to the central city, and appreciate the need for pedestrian and cycle access to the central city.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Ease of access to transport hubs more important than car parking.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

I think the central city can do with as much high density residential as it can handle - so people don't have to travel to get in to work.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

I am really against the lack of foresight that has allowed high density housing in a community like Te Atatu peninsula, which has ONE road in and out, and small side streets that do not allow parking easily. Also, where is the single level cheaper pensioner housing that the growing older population of renters can afford?

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

At least SOMEWHERE there should be historic, protected, buildings that add character to the city.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

I don't live in these inner suburbs or places, but I appreciate the special nature of the housing and buildings and would like it to remain.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

I don't live in these inner suburbs or places, but I appreciate the special nature of the housing and buildings and would like it to remain.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

No particular thought appears to have gone into the changed nature of my local suburb with ONE road in and out of it, and high density housing now causing major street clogging.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#655

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Prisila Magdalena

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Chris McArthur

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

We need a more walkable city.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

We need a more walkable city.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

We need a more walkable city.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

We need more housing.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

We need more housing.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

These areas are the logical places to put density. Pushing the density to outer suburbs is illogical and will increase carbon emissions. Are we in a climate crisis or not?

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Climate emergency.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Climate emergency.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

This would just be used by NIMBYs to oppose more housing.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how

they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#728

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Haydon Pickens

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

It's a stupid policy. Hindering the urgent need for more modern and efficient infrastructure around the city center. I see no justification for it. They are old outdated buildings that are no longer fit for purpose. Therefore should be removed and replaced where possible, to allow for Auckland to become more efficient. All your doing is making the city worse by insisting we maintain the inefficient layout of these old areas because, "building old". This isn't London or some other historical European city. It is a modern city that needs better city planning. Not more suburbs built at the edge of the city and connected by inefficient congested motorways.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Just do what the city needs and stop using "special character" as a reason to avoid changing anything. Some people may complain because they've lived there for 50 years and they like it to stay the same. But some people would of preferred Video rental stores over Netflix, or cheques to internet banking. The point is just cause it's always been one way doesn't mean it should always stay that way. The world is constantly evolving and we need to adapt with it, especially when it makes sense. These buildings won't be there forever and the longer we put off removing them and modernizing the more problems your going to cause.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Your hampering business and Auckland's economic development with these special character areas not helping.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Let the people building the buildings decide that not the government. If it's costly have them contribute to the cost so they can factor it into their overheads. This merely sounds like another excuse to say we want to just keep everything the same. Which is a very conservative mentality.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Again stop limiting city development with arbitrary rules and regulations. Encourage effective architecture like Singapore does to improve the look and feel of their city. High density doesn't have to mean New York, it can be both beautiful and efficient.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#749

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: shayne rasmussen

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

the increase in use of micro-mobility devices such as e-scooters extends distance people might reasonably travel to high frequency public transport and amenities

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

the change should also include mixed-use provisions so that more small businesses able to participate and benefit from increased population density - build it and they will walk further!

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

the change should also include mixed-use provisions so that more small businesses able to participate and benefit from increased population density - build it and they will walk further! The investment in rapid transport has to be supported by deeper population density - it makes the business cases more achievable

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

more people equals more amenities and more walkability - see places like hobsonville point where density has lead to more supermarkets, cafes and small businesses... we should be doing everything possible to reduce carbon footprint and stop urban sprawl

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

more people should be able to share in the benefits of such small town centres - and support those local businesses

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

the special character areas are simply a front for NIMBYs to exclude others from enjoying the privilege of living on the isthmus... that is where the city should be building apartments and terrace housing so that more people can not have to use cars

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

this is such a Pākehā view of special character and heritage - these houses are not that old, are often cold and poorly oriented to the sun - or else have retained only facade to disguise modernisation. the council was prepared to stand by while Ihūmatao was to be turned into housing - that is a special character area - not copy-cat versions of houses in other countries dotted on the isthmus or other low-deprivation areas. More people should have access to the benefits of what makes those areas desirable

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

no businesses are special that deserve special protection simply by virtue of being first there

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

more people in smaller area equals more ability to raise funds to improve the infrastructure; this is an excuse to not improve

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

public views and viewshafts should not have priority of the housing needs of the citizens

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#758

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Dominika Salagierska

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

I agree in principle. We need to intensify to improve our quality of life here in Tamaki Makaurau. Please focus on Auckland city area in particular. Intensifying the suburbs caries a heavy burden. Apartment blocks NEED to have capacity to include greenery and playgrounds. DO IT PROPERLY PLEASE.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

I agree in principle. We need to intensify to improve our quality of life here in Tamaki Makaurau. Please focus on Auckland city area in particular. Intensifying the suburbs caries a heavy burden. Apartment blocks NEED to have capacity to include greenery and playgrounds. DO IT PROPERLY PLEASE.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

I agree in principle. We need to intensify to improve our quality of life here in Tamaki Makaurau. Please focus on Auckland city area in particular. Intensifying the suburbs caries a heavy burden. Apartment blocks NEED to have capacity to include greenery and playgrounds. DO IT PROPERLY PLEASE.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

I think there's a place to reduce height to 3 stories while the facades match the special character of the area....

There may be 4 streets this applies to. The rest need to be excluded.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

I think there's a place to reduce height to 3 stories while the facades match the special character of the area....

There may be 4 streets this applies to. The rest need to be excluded.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure needs to support growth. How is this even a survey question.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#759

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Amy Burrell

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

I support, but also think it could be 20-30 walk door-to-door is reasonable in the city centre.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

I think we need the density to support the growth in Auckland, however am concerned about the funding of associated infrastructure upgrades.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

I strongly oppose this - it rules out majority of the city centre walkable catchment and the wider isthmus for high density development. This is the one area of Auckland that has good access to public transport and walkable services - it should be the primary focus for high intensity development. By keeping this as a QM we will push up land prices and force the younger generation of home owners out of Auckland.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

I strongly oppose this - it rules out majority of the city centre walkable catchment and the wider isthmus for high density development. This is the one area of Auckland that has good access to public transport, local amenity and walkable services - it should be the primary focus for high intensity development. By keeping this as a QM we will push up land prices and force the younger generation of home owners out of Auckland.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

I support this as a significant concern, however I think that making it a qualifying matter may just kick the can down the road. We should be looking at how we can utilise development contributions and other alternative funding and finance sources to unlock infrastructure constraints that restrict areas of land that are in a good location for intensification.

I also couldn't see this layer on the map viewer, and I expect it will be very hard to isolate the constrained houses/beneficiaries some infrastructure types, specifically transport.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

I do not support the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#787

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: john crone

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#794

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Jody Lane

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Please invest in the infrastructure to support the changes. E.g. public transport, waste water, storm run off.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Please invest in the infrastructure to support the changes. E.g. public transport, waste water, storm run off.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft

- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#798

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: margaret Little

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

I think places should be accessable for people who choose not to have a car. It is also good for global warming having amenities close using less energy to get to places

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?**

Tell us why

It will make the centre more vibrant , busy and safer and roads not lonely to walk along.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Easier closer acessable cemtres for more people

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

The city is more populated and it would be nice to let more people be close to centres and the rates revenue to create better and more council activities.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

More people would have quicker and easier access to centers.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

As long as the houses are historically graded and some in the area are not taken down and rebuilt two story houses built which would make the area decade wise uneven. and defect the purpose.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

It is good to keep the history of auckland alive.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Businesses keep the economy going.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

The council must think all the increased rates will not quanify building more infratructure in the city. The council likes the increased rates but not the cost of putting in water supply and sewage. That would need a lot of investment from the water company or they could gain immensely from the governments new 3 waters reform from the government to support there new housing.Agreed before building for the water supply to support.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

No

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#809

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Robert Horner

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

A hub should not be considered a hub if you have to change buses or take more than 1 transport type to get from home to CBD

Max distance 300 meters which would allow for potentially 6 high rises.

This policy will drive up house prices making it impossible to afford a house with tin the proposed distance since home owners will be competing with developers (again)

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

A hub should not be considered a hub if you have to change buses or take more than 1 transport type to get from home to CBD

Max distance 300 meters which would allow for potentially 6 high rises.

This policy will drive up house prices making it impossible to afford a house with tin the proposed distance since home owners will be competing with developers (again)

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

A hub should not be considered a hub if you have to change buses or take more than 1 transport type to get from home to CBD.

Max distance 300 meters which would allow for potentially 6 high rises.

This policy will drive up house prices making it impossible to afford a house with tin the proposed distance since home owners will be competing with developers (again)

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Your definition of "large town centres with high accessibility"?

To do so would make them less accessible with parking. The cars etc of residences flooding the area.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

Not happy but concede some housing could be built

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government’s direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

‘Special character areas’ are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Your definition of special character areas is clearly a pseudonym for

Rich toffs' houses. Why in Te Atatu do I get 8 houses on a section or a multistory whilst in Ponsonby only 1 house is allowed in the same areas? Who decided I have to have the eyesore slum tenant block.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Housing should be mixed with the opportunity to have any type in each area according to common rules. I.e distance from CBD, distance from transport hub regardless of 'special character' .

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Housing should be mixed with the opportunity to have any type in each area according to common rules. I.e distance from CBD, distance from transport hub regardless of 'special character' .

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Most of the above seem reasonable.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to

any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#845

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Srini Reddy

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?**

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Instead of restricting it to time taken to walk, it makes sense to link to the distance. In 10 min one can walk only 600 m, and other can walk more than 800 m. So I suggest to consider to link to the distance. Increase it to 1 km. Walkable catchment of 1000 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#860

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Greg Hall

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Car driving is impossible in the central city. Public transport service density and timings are far greater than anywhere else meaning that catching a bus is so easy that there is no need to check timetables. Therefore people living in the area are far more likely to expect to walk and far more likely to have access to public transport, so density should be far greater.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

There is a lack of infrastructure and services in West Auckland which is never going to be addressed by the Queen Street mob which will always run Auckland Council, and other similar organisations such as the North Shore based DHB (e.g. Takapuna hospital full service, Waitakere Hospital spent years just to get 24/7 emergency services). Auckland Council has abandoned West Auckland by systematically destaffing and closing the Administation building in Henderson. Auckland Council is selling off land that could be used fo the public good in Henderson for private development. There is only one Council pool in West Auckland. Westgate cannot get a bus station. Busway along the western motorway taking years. Light rail along the western motorway has been conveniently forgotten. Both Central government and Auckland Council do not invest in West Auckland, but want to dump more people in the area to save the central eastern suburbs. The lack of investment in social infrastructure combined with densification will result in ghetto outcomes for West Auckland. Therefore the walkable catchment extent should take account of social infrastructure and other factors to ensure areas don't end up with population densities that cannot be supported. In West Auckland this means the walkable catchment should be significantly shrunk as Council and Central Government are not investing in social infrastructure in the area, and instead are divesting social infrastructure. The benefits of densification cannot be realised without co-investment in social infrastructure. Council spends this money in central auckland so that is where the densification should be located.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

As above there are far more factors that come into the choice of where to densify. The walkable distance should be set at each transport stop depending on surrounding factors. On the north shore and central eastern suburbs, there is virtually no densification indicated, as the stops are located either where a natural barrier prevents walkability (Meadowbank) or in business locations (North Shore Busway). On the contrary, West Auckland lands itself with excessive amounts of densification due stops being located next to residential areas. This is not fair and lands West Auckland with far too much densification burden simply due to where stops are located. Sturges

Road is not the greatest location for densification, as it lacks any other supporting infrastructure, but it gets the same walkability treatment as Henderson. Most of these stops lack the supporting retail and social infrastructure to allow for densification, and this distance in particular should be significantly reduced.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

This zoning is too intensive for small centres like Glen Eden.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

This zone is far far far too intensive for such small centres.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

Auckland is never going to be the greatest city in the world. We are in an isolated area at the bottom of the world. The densification evidence of attracting talent from around the world on a large scale is never going to happen if our city is just a carbon copy of overly dense cities overseas that are real world scale cities. The only way to attract outside talent is to offer something different. The governments rules do not allow for that and therefore every effort should be made to ensure a quality built environment is retained and enhanced through this process, difficult though that be.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

The whole of the Devonport Peninsular should be included.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Lack of social infrastructure in West Auckland is a qualifying matter.

Lack of investment by both Central and Local government is a qualifying matter.

Lack of a large scale hospital in West Auckland is a qualifying matter.

Only a single public swimming pool in West Auckland is a qualifying matter.

Lack of investment in a busway or light rail in West Auckland is a qualifying matter.

Lack of a transport terminal at Westgate is a qualifying matter.

Peninsular suburbs with one road in and out should be a significant limiting factor. This affects Whangaparoa, Devonport and Te Atatu Peninsular.

Devonport area should be left as a special character area all the way through to Hauraki Corner due to historic nature combined with transport issue.

Te Atatu peninsular has issues with one road in and out, plus the area marked for THAB near the village centre has geotechnical issues which make it too expensive to develop multi-story buildings (just ask Helen Moore from The Point).

Lack of single level dwellings to serve the elderly and disabled should be a matter for consideration, or are we to expel the disabled and elderly from Auckland.

Densification should be programme to occur from the central city outwards to allow infrastructure to be provided in a logical manner.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

If you're not allowing for sunlight in the suburbs I don't see why Aotea Square should get any special treatment. Queen Street is dead, in large part due to the lack of sunlight and outlook making it a hideous place to visit. The governments rules are going to make all of Auckland similarly hideous as they lack the necessary rules to counter to negative effects of densification. We will pay for this long into the future in the same way that Queen Street pays for the 1980's destruction of heritage and character in favour of glass tower development. Whatever rules and qualifying matters that can possibly be applied to all areas, not just central auckland, should be applied to prevent the degradation of the whole city.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#875

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Peter Mundy

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

For current users this is too much a threat to old established family homes.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

It seems Ok.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

It seems Ok.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

It seems Ok.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

It seems Ok.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

It seems better that way.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

My view.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

My view.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

My view.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how

they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

No.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#885

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Mark Bloomfield

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

Our metropolitan areas are already getting to busy, this growth will likely increase crime, reduce safety and the extra strain on infrastructure will cost rate payers with yearly increases.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Other

Tell us why

People don’t bus or train because a, they don’t take you to where you need to go, b, to slow and c, to expensive. So it’s pointless to base this on where the buses and trains are. We all know it’s just going to increase traffic and reduce safety.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** I don't know

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** I don't know

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Extra costs on rate payers needs to be avoided at all costs.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#896

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Malcolm Fletcher

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

We need to build denser housing

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

200m is nothing, needs to be further, at least 800m

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think less areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Although plans should be put in place to upgrade amenities when they need maintenance

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft

- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#899

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Tom Dodd

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

I live in Te Atatu Peninsula and support intensification. However, many units are being crammed onto small sites with no car parks and lack of public transport means the small streets are parked out and clogged. There is also only one road in and surely there is a capacity limit to hom much intensification can be sensibly accommodated.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

I live in Te Atatu Peninsula and support intensification. However, many units are being crammed onto small sites with no car parks and lack of public transport means the small streets are parked out and clogged. There is also only one road in and surely there is a capacity limit to hom much intensification can be sensibly accommodated.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

See comments above. I think there needs to be some limits placed. The developers don't care if things are gridlocked.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

The mid to lower socio economic areas are doing all the heavy lifting with intensification and more affluent suburbs (i.e special character) are not. This will further exacerbate the gap in property values but as important it is not socially equitable. Ponsonby, Epsom, Remuera etc should do their bit and not be NIMBYs.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Other

Tell us why

Traffic flow and significant congestion should be a factor - eg the traffic congestion in Te Atatu Peninsula continues to increase and it will only get worse without some capacity mgt.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

See my comments above. I reiterate that I support intensification in Te Atatu Peninsula. It's the degree of it that I am against (ie excessive) as we are a one road in one road out peninsula.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

These seems sensible.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#931

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Kok Wai Yong

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

1200 metres is too far to encourage the use of public transport.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

800 metres is too far to encourage the use of public transport.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

800 metres is too far to encourage the use of public transport.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#946

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Charlotte Stone

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Other

Tell us why

While this is good in theory, I am nervous about this happening alongside no minimum car parking. While in theory it would be great if everyone used public transport solely this often isn't possible.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Other

Tell us why

While this is good in theory, I am nervous about this happening alongside no minimum car parking. While in theory it would be great if everyone used public transport solely this often isn't possible.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

While this is good in theory, I am nervous about this happening alongside no minimum car parking. While in theory it would be great if everyone used public transport solely this often isn't possible.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

While this is good in theory, I am nervous about this happening alongside no minimum car parking. While in theory it would be great if everyone used public transport solely this often isn't possible.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how

they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#991

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Danielle Connell

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Other

Tell us why

I do not support. I do support within CBD. The lack of requirement for off street parking is causing destruction to communities where these are being built in narrow roads between two former state homes. While 6 stories is imposing on neighbour's light and privacy - SAFETY for children and kids being seen is becoming a problem with parking and traffic congestion.

Intensication needs to happen but appropriately.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

As in answer one - Intensication is happening where there are limited transport options. This is causing many issues. While limited it seems it's still considered to be on a 'transport route'

Transport services Northwest and from the West to North Shore need to be established first.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Other

Tell us why

Currently if there is a Bus stop in your community it's deemed development allowed. What defines a rapid transport route? This is destroying suburbs 15-20min drive in non peak traffic.

Council is relying on Private developers who push boundaries and don't care or consider any broader affects.

Intensification needs to happen but it needs proper planning and management. That is not the way it is currently.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city

blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

This is already happening. Again it is not a policy managed well taking into consideration traffic, street width neighborhoods.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

As above - This is already happening. Again it is not a policy managed well taking into consideration traffic, street width neighborhoods.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Special character areas were defined as 'Special character' we lose both the Special character and history by allowing change to these regulations implemented to protect this.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Other

Tell us why

Maps too difficult to read, predominantly I see purple yellow and orange.

I believe I'm familiar with most areas which would be special character areas such Ponsonby, Parnell, Mt Eden.

These should stay and there may well be more that should be a qualifying matter

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Too hard to read the map from my device to answer

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

Some of these areas may well be perfect for intensification - Sounds like Council not wanting to spend money.

Council have already permitted and continuing to permit development in areas where infrastructure is not coping and wastewater overflows yet there seems absense of any upgrade plans! Takapuna, Te Atatu Peninsula great examples of waste water over flows while development steams ahead.

Northwest Kumeu/Huapai/Riverhead all where MASSIVE growth and development has occurred but have no transport options and HUGE congestion issues with infrastructure never being addressed.

Is this a sign 3 waters doesn't actually want to invest where it's tricky?

Auckland needs proper investment not false economies permitting developments/intensification where its not a good fit but it can go over properly developing potentially more suitable areas due to wanting to invest in the infrastructure and transport to make it viable.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Outside of the CBD the need for an off street park needs to be reinstated.

It is causing havoc and safety issues and destruction of communities.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1004

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Kirsten Coles

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft

- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1014

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Andrea Soulje

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** I don't know

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** I don't know

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Other

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1112

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Anja van Polanen

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Other

Tell us why

It all depends on how many buildings of six storeys will be build. I agree they need to be close e.g. 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre. My fear is that many buildings of this height may cause more crime /aggression as there will be lots more people living closely together in a small area. I am in favour of only a few buildings of six storeys. I also believe that for every new community build, there should be ample parks with playgrounds. These parks function as a place where residents can get together and also be in nature.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

There are already many new developments in Auckland. e.g. the housing development in Massey at the end of Don Buck Road, neat Westgate. Where is the nearest train station?? Where do people park their cars? As they will have cars as this is the only way for people to go out on weekend and holiday excursions. People will still do their shopping with their cars. There is a huge piece of land behind this new development. Has a park been part of the planning? Same for the development on Sunny Hill Road.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

The closer to public transport the better but again, there is a limit as to how many new multi-storey housing should be build. For every new housing development there should be a large area where people can park their cars and from there on take public transport. But there should also be communal parking near people's houses. Women would rather not take public transport at night for obvious reasons and may prefer to take their car when going out at night.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city

blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

I support Terrace Housing but no Apartment Blocks close to the above described areas. Again, for every new housing development, there must be parks. Many of these new houses have small or no back gardens.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

As above, I think there is not that much difference between 200 or 400 meters.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Leave some areas alone.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

I could not see anything on the map.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

could not see the outline on the map but I would prefer to have some of the older suburbs to be left alone

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this

as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1113

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: DD G

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

it can even be 500mts

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

400mts is a good balance

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

same here - 400mts is a good balance

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

this will be a loop hole for some suburbs to not intensify

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft

- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1136

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Margaret GAIQUI

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Other

Tell us why

No consideration given to those with mobility issues, except possibly those in wheelchairs

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

No consideration given to those with mobility issues, except possibly those in wheelchairs

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Other

Tell us why

No consideration given to those with mobility issues, except possibly those in wheelchairs

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Proximity to services is great.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Too much too soon

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

We need to preserve our history

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Once our historic architecture is demolished it has gone for ever.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Once these developments go they are gone forever.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

The infrastructure needs to be able to support denser population, or people will go without because of dwindling supply. The area will ultimately die.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Roading constrictions. Some areas are already affected by too many people accessing limited roading. To increase the population in areas already struggling for physical access and egress does not make sense. For example Te Atatu Peninsula has only one road in and out of the peninsula, where traffic (including public transport) is already queued up for two km during morning and after school periods, for yet the current plan allows increased population for up to 3x in much of this suburb.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1157

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Neil Wood

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Other

Tell us why

I don't support the building of 3 or 6 story apartments anywhere in the suburbs. Apart from destroying the look of the community have you any thought for those people that live next door in a single storey house who now have no view and no sunlight.

You are destroying peoples lives, people that have lived in these communities all there lives.

People move to NZ to get away from high density housing, concrete jungles. We don't want to live in Hong Kong or Singapore etc.

You ballsed it up in the late 80's early 90's creating ghettos. Why do you think it will be any different now. In the lower socio-economic areas you will create slums and who wants to live next door to that, looking out onto a towering wall and being in the shade all day.. if you want to create towerblocks and follow the mistakes others countries made 30 plus years ago, then at least keep them out of the existing suburbs. Put in new transport hubs and build all the 3 and 6 story blocks together in one place.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

I don't support the building of 3 or 6 story apartments anywhere in the suburbs. Apart from destroying the look of the community have you any thought for those people that live next door in a single storey house who now have no view and no sunlight.

You are destroying peoples lives, people that have lived in these communities all there lives.

People move to NZ to get away from high density housing, concrete jungles. We don't want to live in Hong Kong or Singapore etc.

You ballsed it up in the late 80's early 90's creating ghettos. Why do you think it will be any different now. In the lower socio-economic areas you will create slums and who wants to live next door to that, looking out onto a towering wall and being in the shade all day.. if you want to create towerblocks and follow the mistakes others countries made 30 plus years ago, then at least keep them out of the existing suburbs. Put in new transport hubs and build all the 3 and 6 story blocks together in one place.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Other

Tell us why

I don't support the building of 3 or 6 story apartments anywhere in the suburbs. Apart from destroying the look of the community have you any thought for those people that live next door in a single storey house who now have no view and no sunlight.

You are destroying peoples lives, people that have lived in these communities all there lives.

People move to NZ to get away from high density housing, concrete jungles. We don't want to live in Hong Kong or Singapore etc.

You ballsed it up in the late 80's early 90's creating ghettos. Why do you think it will be any different now. In the lower socio-economic areas you will create slums and who wants to live next door to that, looking out onto a towering wall and being in the shade all day.. if you want to create towerblocks and follow the mistakes others countries made 30 plus years ago, then at least keep them out of the existing suburbs. Put in new transport hubs and build all the 3 and 6 story blocks together in one place.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

I don't support the building of 3 or 6 story apartments anywhere in the suburbs. Apart from destroying the look of the community have you any thought for those people that live next door in a single storey house who now have no view and no sunlight.

You are destroying peoples lives, people that have lived in these communities all there lives.

People move to NZ to get away from high density housing, concrete jungles. We don't want to live in Hong Kong or Singapore etc.

You ballsed it up in the late 80's early 90's creating ghettos. Why do you think it will be any different now. In the lower socio-economic areas you will create slums and who wants to live next door to that, looking out onto a towering wall and being in the shade all day.. if you want to create towerblocks and follow the mistakes others countries made 30 plus years ago, then at least keep them out of the existing suburbs. Put in new transport hubs and build all the 3 and 6 story blocks together in one place.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

I don't support the building of 3 or 6 story apartments anywhere in the suburbs. Apart from destroying the look of the community have you any thought for those people that live next door in a single storey house who now have no view and no sunlight.

You are destroying peoples lives, people that have lived in these communities all there lives.

People move to NZ to get away from high density housing, concrete jungles. We don't want to live in Hong Kong or Singapore etc.

You ballsed it up in the late 80's early 90's creating ghettos. Why do you think it will be any different now. In the lower socio-economic areas you will create slums and who wants to live next door to that, looking out onto a towering wall and being in the shade all day.. if you want to create towerblocks and follow the mistakes others countries made 30 plus years ago, then at least keep them out of the existing suburbs. Put in new transport hubs and build all the 3 and 6 story blocks together in one place.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government’s direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

‘Special character areas’ are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland’s older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

So you think you should preserve the areas where the rich folk live. Probably MP's and Councillors. Because I can guarantee they won't want a 6 story building cutting out all there sunlight, putting the swimming pool in the shade all day n creating a ghetto next door to them.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Other

Tell us why

So you think you should preserve the areas where the rich folk live. Probably MP's and Councillors. Because I can guarantee they won't want a 6 story building cutting out all there sunlight, putting the swimming pool in the shade all day n creating a ghetto next door to them.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Other

Tell us why

You are copying the mistakes that have been proven to be mistakes in other countries time and time again.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater

infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

Auckland doesn't have the infrastructure to support all this development. Aucklands infrastructure hasn't been able to cope for a number of years now already.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

You have mentioned sunlight for the first time..... this is possibly the biggest factor in all this development. People don't want to have to travel to Aotea Square to get some sunlight. THEY WANT IT IN THERE OWN BACKYARD.

Has anyone bothered to look at how the high-rise buildings on the Gold Coast has destroyed the use of the beach at Surfers paradise.. from 12p.m the entire beach is in shadow.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1169

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Scately shappell Bautista

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

It is realistic

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

It's realistic

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

It's realistic

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

Terraces are one way to abuse the housing factor. It would be used as a dry laundry area, storage, garden which makes the facade ugly and cheap

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Amazing ideas

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Historical value should always be considered

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay

- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1177

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Kelly Gabriel

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1184

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Mike O'Shea

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

More walkable neighbourhoods have a variety of great benefits

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

More density near centres is good. But the most density should be closer to the city centre. 6 storey buildings in Parnell, Pobsonby, Mt Eden

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

More density available is a good thing

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Prefer it was near to the city centre, but more density means more houses which is a good thing

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

More density near the city centre is better but building local density for local centres is also a good thing

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

There are no restrictions to the architecture of these special character areas, just limits on how many houses. This protection isn't about heritage it is about maintaining privilege. If the areas/buildings need protection let them be designated as such by NZHPT and be controlled from all development including modernisation

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

This incentivises not building infrastructure where demand is high and should not be a qualifying matter. Council should be planning on infrastructure upgrades to serve the future not limiting it based on now.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1187

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: karlene meredith

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1195

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Donald Chataira

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1197

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Nicola Sian Frater

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

I support it, but ask that you carefully consider accessibility for people with disabilities

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

I think this is realistic

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

As above, I think this is a realistic distance

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Again I think this is an essential change

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

I support but care needs to taken to preserve green spaces for people to enjoy and good landscaping and gardens around high density housing. People need nature, plants, trees, bird life, animal life to live well in high density

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

In Wellington I watched the rapid destruction of historic buildings around Lampton Quay especially and with it a loss of much of its history. I would like to see Auckland take care,

throughout the city, to preserve its story, including its Maori story, in special buildings, parks and so on.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Other

Tell us why

As a Pakeha born outside Auckland I trust Council to consult and make judgements re Pakeha history here. My concern is that we barely pay lip service to honouring Te Tiriti o Waitangi and Tino Rangatiritanga in this city. The FIRST step is to consult Ngati Whatua and other Maori who have an interest in what sites need to honoured here. Everything else is secondary in my view.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Again, I am not born an Aucklander. I am Pakeha, born in Christchurch and, later, a Wellingtonian. I trust Council to consult well on this PROVIDED you give priority to consultation with Tangata Whenua.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

This was a HUGE weakness of the last major planning round. There was such political pressure on the "super city" planning department to get the plan out that poor infrastructure planning was

glaringly obvious to anyone who knew what to look for. You have to get the infrastructure right or intensification will be an unmitigated disaster

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

I think I have said it all. Consult with Tanga Whenua FIRST. Get infrastructure right. Keep the story in the buildings (and parks and tell the story graphically). Keep plenty of "wilderness" (trees, plants, birds, animals, native insects) everwhere. Make sure the pre-European story is told, and the sorry story of Colonization told truly, honestly, courageously. Intensification is fine if you get this stuff right

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1199

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Alison Kane

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

In my suburb one road in and out too much congestion not everyone works in the city

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Create new areas become more sprawling not centralised

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Correct infrastructure before building

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Who decides is it a case of money talks?

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Again who decides

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

See previous comment - again who decides

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Fix the infrastructure water power internet roading parking only then review

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1207

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: David Airehrour

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are areas that should not be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think less areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1227

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Raymond Ocdol

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Still too many small houses in the catchment area

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Would pref a larger catchment for future proofing

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

For future proofing

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Again for more future proofing and to get more housing in Auckland

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Again we need more housing and a larger area for housing will help with prices and should help these town centres be more viable.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

I don't see any value in keeping any special character residential housing. There are some non residential buildings that i think can have protections but for the most part old special characters houses can go.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

I dont think there is value having residential special character areas

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Other

Tell us why

Special character business areas should be a case by case.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

If there are constraints due to infrastructure then the infrastructure should be built or upgraded

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how

they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft is probably too big

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1235

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Taeyeul Cho

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

'Cost less money:

- Easements

- Less overall constructions and infrastructure

- Greater health outcomes due to less cars, and walking

- Less alienation from neighbours and closer to clubs, this also leads to greater mental health outcomes

- Easier access for people of different needs

- Easier for working people to find work.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Greater interconnection with community

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

People should be able to access public transport within 50-100 m from wherever they live - will cost less for consumers, and business who do not have to worry about having to be limited to people near vicintity. Will not rely on cars and also build less overall on stroads.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Yes, yes, yes. Intensification of people in one area is economically, mentally, socially the best thing you can do in a city.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

They bop in every way because of how they are economically able to connect people. We have somehow split up people in a 18km radius

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more)

within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Pretty builings are nice - but people's mental health is better.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

If businesses die, then another takes their place. I thought supply and demand was a thing.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Bruh, suburbs, roads and lawns are the biggest goddamn drains in infrastructure than any intensification of population in an area.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1246

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Holly Wilkinson

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Make sense for more intensified areas to be close to the city with appropriate services and infrastructure

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Make sense for more intensified areas to be close to the city with appropriate services and infrastructure

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Provided the local areas have the infrastructure to support intensification around rapid transit stops I support it

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** I don't know

Tell us why

A broad brush approach should not be applied, each area has different circumstances that may or may not enable the ability to support intensification. In outer suburbs, there should be more careful concern to monitor intensification to limit over-development. My suburb has become over developed already (Te Atatu) and our road, infrastructure and schools are not coping already with the vastly increased numbers of residents. However, many ideal inner city suburbs for intensification remain largely unchanged due to strong lobbying of council (councillors own interests?).

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** I don't know

Tell us why

A broad brush approach should not be applied, each area has different circumstances that may or may not enable the ability to support intensification. I'm outer suburbs, there should be more careful concern to monitor intensification to limit over development. My suburb has become over developed already (Te Atatu) and our road, infrastructure and schools are not coping already with the vastly increased numbers of residents. However, many ideal inner city suburbs for intensification remain largely unchanged due to strong lobbying of council.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more)

within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

Your language here is not clear. Are “special character” older buildings exempt from the intensification or not? I believe there are ways of protecting the facade of these buildings without opting out of intensifying those areas (such as in Sydney). These suburbs are critical for a sensible plan for intensification and this council will be doing a big disservice to future Aucklanders by bowing to the nimbies. Many of these houses/land which would presumably be developed are in a great state of disrepair and not healthy for those low income Aucklanders who currently reside there. Do not exempt special character from the proposals.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are areas that should not be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

I believe there are ways of protecting these buildings without opting out of intensifying those areas (such as in Sydney). These suburbs are critical for a sensible plan for intensification and this council will be doing a big disservice to future Aucklanders by bowing to the nimbies. Many of these houses/land which would presumably be developed are in a great state of disrepair and not healthy for those low income Aucklanders who currently reside there. Do not exempt special character from the proposals.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think less areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

The council should not be hamstrung by powerful lobbying powers and should be thinking of the greater good. There are ways to protect heritage without entirely preventing any change to those important inner city areas which are ripe for intensification. It would breathe new life into our city.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Our water insfrstructure is crumbling. In my area it's a race to intensify while the few existing storm water connections can cope. When we went to renovate our single home on a single site we (a family) were advised that we would need to pay a $60k "development fee" to council, as well as thousands on dollars in engineering consultation. Why?? We have been Auckland ratepayers our entire life. All the storm water connections on our street had been maxed out by professional development of terraced homes.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1247

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Louis Majaya

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft

- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Non

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1249

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Melanie Hawkins

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

1000m should be the limit trading into account the difficulties for aged, disabled or parents with young children

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

I support as long as infrastructure is upgraded at the same rate. Storm water, sewage and you can't remove the need for parking until public transport can get you anywhere in Auckland in

around an hour (Albany to manukau at least) at the moment if you don't work in the cbd you're screwed

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

With upgraded infrastructure

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

The ability to upgrade infrastructure is essential for high density living

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1256

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Mike Campbell

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1282

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Beatrice FESIEN

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

We are not in Paris here and We currently have no view on how our demography will turn out in the next 10 years. It changes pretty fast in New Zealand. So yes for the project but with a longer catchment.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Auckland is already getting uglier by the day. This is affecting many people psychologically. Mental well-being is important and the building consents delivered over the last 3 years shows a complete disregard for this. It is very clear that money is the main driver in the new buildings consents. There is sadly no vision for this city. As it is, it would be best to retain what is still

beautiful until someone comes with a real vision that encompasses beauty for the sake of people's wellbeing.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are areas that should not be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

I believe many people will be confused. There should be a possibility to have high definition maps for each areas so we understand what is really proposed. There are very little areas that are safe from development. This is pretty scary. When is it the government will understand that the future should not be always in Auckland but in the development of other regions of the country. Many people would be thrilled to leave Auckland and afford a house somewhere else if there were incentives for jobs development in the regions. This has been done 40 years ago in most European countries and we are still there, trying to fit more in the same city. Appalling...

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think less areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1327

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Brian Sullivan

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Anything we can do to reduce reliance on cars as transport

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Anything we can do to reduce reliance on cars as transport

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Again make it convenient to use public transport

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Town and city centers will need people living nearby to survive and thrive.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

The ability of these centers to prosper will depend more on people living close enough to not have to drive to access them.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Some special character areas or buildings will add to the uniqueness of a center but these should be minimalised to allow the higher density we need.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

More than sufficient.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Again would like these buildings or areas to be minimal but still have enough to retain some character.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Will be a logical move.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how

they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1350

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Caty Ferguson

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

I makes sense since the city is characterised with high rise, having multistoried apartments adds life to the city centre.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Looking at the map, I think this is a reasonable distance from metropolitan areas.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Other

Tell us why

It gets tricky along the rail corridors, as really that starts to take up whole stretches of the city. So I am unsure about this issue.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Provided there is adequate transport available, this makes sense.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

Each centre should be assessed individually as to whether it is an appropriate area for terracing. At the moment it seems to me that any developer can buy a section and build onto it without too much consideration for the whole picture.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

I support ensuring character buildings are not lost, and our history is retained. I am not sure that just having marked areas is exactly the right way to do it. What makes an area special character? The wealth of the residents? What about areas that may be considered special character in the future? I would like to see some consideration put into the balance of retaining whilst ensuring a broad spread of infill housing.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

As I said above, I would like to see some serious consideration being put into what makes a special character area, and also how to ensure lovely old homes which are not situated in a special character area are retained.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

This is absolutely essential. I work in Te Atatu Peninsula and it is crazy to allow so many houses even as many as are already being put in. There is one road - and it is already at capacity. Only

have to try and get off the peninsula when the high school gets out to know how bad it is. Whilst you might be thinking families would move there without the need to own a car, I can assure you it is difficult to get around without a car. From my home it is a 10 minute drive out of peak hour to my work. If I had no car it would take a minimum of 1.5 hours - assuming the buses connected adequately.

In addition, to roads, it would be madness to build lots of terrace housing when the water and wastewater is not up to the job.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

I am happy to see some thought has gone to protecting ecological areas. I was very concerned when I heard neighbours had sold to developers intending to build 12 houses on their 2 properties. These 2 properties back onto native bush and a local stream. I do not think there is any way to ensure the ecological safety of the stream with that number of houses on those properties. Once again, it is good to look at areas of concern, however, it is also important to consider a closer lens where there may be individual spaces that are important.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1356

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Lan Yang

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** I don't know

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Auckland is losing its characters

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Auckland is losing its characters

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1368

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Karen Christiansen

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?**

Tell us why

Lack of good lighting and even surfaces for walking in the dark, hills, rain, practicality

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Pedestrian safety- street lighting and footpath quality, hills, rain, practicalities

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Where are the urban parks for apartment dwellers? Recreation facilities? Proper public transport so that people can travel to work and social activities

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Areas too small to cope with increased density

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Auckland needs to keep some of its heritage and green spaces and view shafts

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

The city needs variety of housing and to maintain its character

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

We can’t afford more environmental degradation due to poor infrastructure…,build where this can be done included

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how

they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1386

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Teresa Roetman

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

When in the city centre its to be expected, when you are tower blocking suburbs like Henderson, you are ruining neighbourhoods and existing owners lives. These blocks of flates are going in with no place on site for little children to be outdoors, some have one carpark and surronding roads are filled with their overflow parking

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Exactly the same reason above. Yes people travel to work on trains but that does not cover shift workers, those on call (2 of my family in healthcare) or weekends out. 6 story blocks with no onsite facilities are our future slums, lived and seen it overseas!

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Again, city centre, NOT tearing apart the suburbs ruining the lives of those surrounded by these future slums. Cheap nasty blocks are littering Swanson Rd at present with poor planting and nothing to bring in the birdlife, dry grasses is NOT going to enhance our city or wildlife.....we are tearing down trees and birds are disappearing overnight.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Examples are building shops with 5 story living on top, thats great and works overseas, its a system that revitilises a town centre and brings shops/cafes/restaurants into the vacinity of the people in a central space, not filling neighbourhoods with blocks and expecting a train station to be the answer....

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Again, you have the hub of a town centre. Example is New Lynn towers, shopping centre, carpark, buses and trains at the door. not 1.5km down the road. People want to live in towers where everythins is on the doorstep. Building blocks of housing out of a town centre where people would be employed/dine and shop in one place is lateral spreading. Henderson has been failed by this systen, a poor waste of money poured into appalling planed blue paint and temporarily promised junk plastic and concrete by Punuku.....if they built new shops and apartments THAT will revitilse the centre, not the rubbish that happened and still continues. Urban centres should be an inclusive area built to purpose, not spead out for kms up and down areas of history and natural beauty replaced by cheap 30yr buildings....total lack of proper planning

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

We are removing our history, our ecolgy and our wildlife. Have we learnt nothing from our past? Stop using the government as an excuse to plow ahead with cheap overpriced housing at the cost of our city.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are areas that should not be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

All of my reasons above! -We are removing our history, our ecolgy and our wildlife. Have we learnt nothing from our past? Stop using the government as an excuse to plow ahead with cheap overpriced housing at the cost of our city.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Other

Tell us why

An example is Totara Ave in NewLynn....that could have been the mini ponsonby of the west. All facades pulled down and now stands empty....3 little shops remain of the history, the "Horse Parking" to the side of those shops were included in the development that hasnt happened. Those facades could and should have been part of any development. Our history is being torn down with no regards to our future....

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Auckland infrastructure is well overdue for help in places. Again Swanson Rd has 100's of new blocks going in, Great North Rd New Lynn has multiple blocks going in and all pouring into an outdated system with no green spaces provided. Kelston school grounds is NOT a green space, its a school. Glen Eden Towers use the cemetary as a green space, no, its a cemetary! All these towers water, sewage etc is pouring into an already overloaded system.....

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Because a temporary government instructs a council to do something, the council has an obligation to its ratepayers and citizens to provide a cohesive environment between people and

the existing wildlife.....flightpaths of birdlife are beining torn down dramatically, children are losing the abilty to have greenspaces on site, be ethical and consider what we are going to be like in 40+ years

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1387

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Rosie Schroeder

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Please think of our elderly and sick people who can't walk that far, especially with shopping etc

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Please think of our elderly and sick people who can't walk that far, especially with shopping etc

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Please think of our elderly and sick people who can't walk that far, especially with shopping etc

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Please think of our elderly and sick people who can't walk that far, especially with shopping etc

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Please think of our elderly and sick people who can't walk that far, especially with shopping etc

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft

- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1389

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Wayne Jones

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1420

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Juliet Nanai

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

It's good to have greenery to walk around city areas for aesthetic and environment-friendly spaces. Too far can be isolated and sometimes it is a health and safety issue for some people with disability and children.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

We do not want people polluting it. Near residential areas, people have a tendency to litter, and children throw things inside it. Potential proximity to sources of waste water that might pollute and toxic material.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

same reason as above -We do not want people polluting it. Near residential areas, people have a tendency to litter, and children throw things inside it. Potential proximity to sources of waste water that might pollute and toxic material.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Although it would be good to be closer but applying air rights to view clear skies, and allow breeze of fresh air to flow, otherwise it will be like Japan, in summer it will be so densely hot because of a lot of concrete buildings like an urban jungle and the heat reflected from the concrete can create a hot and uncomfortable atmosphere.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Terraced housing may be convenient but can block nice views. If people are hanging their clothes out on the balconies it would look very disgusting like the Chinese apartments.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

The special character criteria is imperative to necessitate the aesthetic nature of a city.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

The character building in city centre zone and the Queen Street Valley Precint as well as the controls seem to add value to the city centre. I support.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1421

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Juliette Bright

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?**

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?**

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

This should be obvious! Storm water, waste water, road, public transport, schools should all be able to keep up with population growth for safety and quality of life! If it can't then the development should not go ahead.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay

- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

I think Te Atatu Peninsula should be looked at as a qualifying matter. I have spoken to my local MP about the infrastructure keeping up with development and he bascally said there are elements of infrastrcture that won't keep up particularly regarding storm and waste water and road usage / public transport. I believe this area should qualify as having significant infrastructure constraints. Some days it can take around 30 minutes just to get off the peninsula even though the motorways are flowing freely. The Unitary plan talks about preserving quality of life and I believe this is being lost in Te Aatu due to the intesification - the traffic, loss of privacy and lack of gardens is having a big impact. The area also has a unique character which should be preserved, and with only one road on and off there are safety risks in the event of a natural disaster.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1431

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: David Hartland

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

I do not support. Intensification is ruining suburbs of Auckland and significantly impacting peoples quality of life. People no longer have privacy in their own home with multiple high-level buildings being built next to existing single story buildings that overlook them. Infrastructure is not being upgraded to reflect the significant influx of new people into suburbs making traffic even

worse and cars parked all over streets. Stop ruining our city and environment through over-intensification.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

I do not support. Intensification should only be close to Auckland city centre. If it needs to happen anywhere, then it should be in areas like Ponsonby, Grey Lynn, mission bay, kohi, st heliers.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

We don’t live in a museum. Many of these so called character houses are damp, cold and architecturally insignificant, and are in prime locations close to the city. Stop protecting the wealthy.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1432

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Keren Alleyne

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1443

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Tamsin Reeves

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft

- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

I find it disgraceful that the Council wishes to leave many inner city suburbs as low density while intensifying outer areas. The intensification should start at the inner city and work its way out, regardless of whether the area is more affluent (and therefore seeming to be more likely to be a special character area). IT makes no sense to intensify areas which are far from the CBD while keeping Ponsonby / Devonport as low density for example. This just smacks of Council bias. These are the very areas which should be developed first as people wish to live close to work and it is better environmentally for them to do so.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1449

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Lorenzo Zemp

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft

- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1453

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Lisa Darragh

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification close to city centre makes sense to me

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Other

Tell us why

I think it will be too easy to propose a rapid transit stop anywhere and thus there will end up being too much intensification

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

From the maps given, it appears the definition of "large town centre" has been too loosely defined. I agree in principle, but only for LARGE towns

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

I think no further intensification should be planned for small towns. There is already a huge amount of building from the last rezoning and we do not yet know the effects of it considering the new houses have not yet been occupied

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

I notice all the special character areas happen to be the wealthy areas. It seems to me this is inequitable. Intensification should happen in desirable locations to prevent slums

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Please see previous comments about inequity of only wealthy areas identified as "special character"

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Also geographical constraints should be considered. There is a limit to how many people can live on a peninsula without transport becoming a significant constraint to quality of life

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

As stated above, transport infrastructure should be considered. E.g. transport in and out of a peninsula is goegraphically limited, thus should be a qualifying matter

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1454

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Matthew Lyon

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

There should be equity across all suburbs regardless. If one suburb is subject to intensification then all should be or else we will end up with greater inequity in our city and society

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

All areas need to be treated equally. How can the likes od Te Atatu Peninsula be intensived. The amount that it has been when the east Auckland has not been. We lack the infrastructure here and have had massive intensification so why cannot all areas

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay

- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1456

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Adrianne Wilson

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Because there are streets within the closer distance that are not suitable for overcrowded housing.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Because there are streets within the closer distance that are not suitable for overcrowded housing.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

The proposal will result in crowded streets and over parking.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Many town centre's will become crime centre's at night.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

We do not need hideous housing towers close to town centre's. Look what has happened in the CBD.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Some areas of Auckland city are beautiful as they are. We do not need intensive housing in every suburb in the city. Leave some parts alone.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

There are other areas that also should be included in the special character areas or, like the buildings in the CBD which have been torn down, the city will lose a lot of its character

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

There are other areas that also should be included in the special character areas or, like the buildings in the CBD which have been torn down, the city will lose a lot of its character

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Because at the moment intensive building is going on in streets where the water infrastructure is totally inadequate.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1472

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Rajinder Singh

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?**

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?**

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Would prefer a park or more retails specially near Ranui town centre. Proposed area for development will effect kids going to school and people walking/driving to the train station. Traffic will also get worst on the Swanson road..

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Would prefer a park or more retails specially near Ranui town centre. Proposed area for development will effect kids going to school and people walking/driving to the train station. Traffic will also get worst on the Swanson road

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft

- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1504

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Sam Jimmieson

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1554

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Taylor Bowers

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** I don't know

Tell us why

I can support this because it would make public transport more accessible and it would be easier for people who don't drive to get to/from the metropolitan centers across Auckland.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

It will make life easier for people who do not drive to get to the shops, etc...

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

It can encourage public transport which can decrease our carbon footprint.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** I don't know

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** I don't know

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1585

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Sarah Withers

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Other

Tell us why

I'm supportive of higher density zones where land type and walkable access to transport hubs makes sense - however six story-plus buildings create low socioeconomic pockets that have been seen all over the world in large cities where you get low quality, high rise housing creating uneven distributions of wealth and quality of living. Why not make it a further distance from the transport hub, but a lower level of housing (e.g. four stories?). That would solve the housing issue while still maintaining positive aesthetics and living conditions...

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

I think generally, CBD nd metropolitan centres are already established as low-green-space, high development areas so are the first that should be considered for higher density housing

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

As per above - I think it should be a wider radius but lower density housing

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Areas that are already built up, have little green space already, and have higher transport and thoroughfare capacity are the logical places for higher development

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

I don't support such high density housing around small town centres - there should be a maximum story height of 4 stories. Small town centres very quickly transition to normal one-story dwellings at the edges and the ability to create 6+ story buildings right next to the residents completely destroys the aesthetics and living conditions of these places. Small town centres need a midway option that only allows for a medium level of density. Also I note that Te Atatu is one of the only regions that has an apartment zone designated WITHOUT the walkable catchment area - how is this meant to work for residents with no carparks and no public transport hub nearby??

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government’s direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

‘Special character areas’ are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland’s older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more)

within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

While I support the need to protect heritage housing and I definitely support protecting natural resources and sites of cultural/historic/environmental importance, I don't support the designation of entire neighbourhoods who happen to be built a mere couple of decades prior to all the other houses being designated as single dwelling sites when they also happen to be areas close to the CBD with excellent infrastructure and transportation. These are the areas that should be prioritised for development. e.g. Ponsonby has 'character' houses that were built only a couple of decades prior to my own house out in Te Atatu. Ponsonby/Grey Lynn has the infrastructure, proximity to CBD and transport options to be an obvious choice for higher density. In Te Atatu, the houses are newer but we have limited transport options, are further from the CBD, have one road in and out, have an aging and dsyfunctional stormwater and sewer system (that already overflows into our precious local stream), no plumbed gaslines, the list goes on. There is no logic to creating high density housing in Te Atatu when suburbs like Ponsonby/Grey Lynn are protected merely for having slightly older villas.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Other

Tell us why

While I support the need to protect heritage housing and I definitely support protecting natural resources and sites of cultural/historic/environmental importance, I don't support the designation of entire neighbourhoods who happen to be built a mere couple of decades prior to all the other houses being designated as single dwelling sites when they also happen to be areas close to the CBD with excellent infrastructure and transportation. These are the areas that should be prioritised for development. e.g. Ponsonby has 'character' houses that were built only a couple of decades prior to my own house out in Te Atatu. Ponsonby/Grey Lynn has the infrastructure, proximity to CBD and transport options to be an obvious choice for higher density. In Te Atatu, the houses are newer but we have limited transport options, are further from the CBD, have one road in and out, have an aging and dsyfunctional stormwater and sewer system (that already overflows into our precious local stream), no plumbed gaslines, the list goes on. There is no logic to creating high density housing in Te Atatu when suburbs like Ponsonby/Grey Lynn are protected merely for having slightly older villas.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Other

Tell us why

Please see above comments, pasted below: While I support the need to protect heritage housing and I definitely support protecting natural resources and sites of cultural/historic/environmental importance, I don't support the designation of entire neighbourhoods who happen to be built a mere couple of decades prior to all the other houses being designated as single dwelling sites when they also happen to be areas close to the CBD with excellent infrastructure and transportation. These are the areas that should be prioritised for development. e.g. Ponsonby has 'character' houses that were built only a couple of decades prior to my own house out in Te Atatu. Ponsonby/Grey Lynn has the infrastructure, proximity to CBD and transport options to be an obvious choice for higher density. In Te Atatu, the houses are newer but we have limited transport options, are further from the CBD, have one road in and out, have an aging and dsyfunctional stormwater and sewer system (that already overflows into our precious local stream), no plumbed gaslines, the list goes on. There is no logic to creating high density housing in Te Atatu when suburbs like Ponsonby/Grey Lynn are protected merely for having slightly older villas.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

This is so obvious, I can't believe it's being asked - clearly to put higher density housing into an area, you'll need to ensure the infrastructure can cope with it. If you don't do this, it's complete negligence - creating overflowing sewer and stormwater systems that pollute environments and cultural/environmental sites of importance, creating health hazards. Any areas that don't have the infrastructure should not even be considered for high density development and infrastructure should be addressed first.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

There seems to be a strong bias in these protections toward sites of european colonial historic importance. I'm in support of some of these heritage/historic sites but mainly this should be prioritised for sites of environmental and cultural importance - natural landscape features, fertile soils and growing conditions, waterways, green spaces, sites of cultural significance. These should be added for qualifying matters, not pretty views (though I also support pretty views being protected!)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1586

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Lesley Mayer

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Mot people will not walk over 1 km with groceries etc from the supermarket - If as proposed there will be no garages for cars then walking zones need to be much closer in.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Unless area is dead flat then my comment above stands - If as proposed there will be no garages for cars then walking zones need to be much closer in and carrying groceries etc for families will prohibit walking

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

This is OK if just commuting for work however (as above) carrying groceries etc for families will prohibit walking distances at either end of transit stops.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

this is an acceptable compromise between density and walking distance to access amenities/transit if no private parking available for personnel cars

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

As above - this is an acceptable compromise between density and walking distance to access amenities/transit if no private parking available for personnel cars

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Need to keep out identity and larger/special character homes normally allow for trees and green visual landscapes.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Need more character to maintain our city identity/ heritage and green/garden spaces for wildlife and mental health.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Need more character to maintain our city identity/ heritage

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1605

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Rajat Sharma

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** I don't know

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** I don't know

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** I don't know

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1627

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Chris Alaia

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

I believe it'll help for students and those that need to use public transport for work, meaning less cars on the roads

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Have it closer, similar to New Lynn

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

I want the public to use our public transport system, to ease the number of vehicles on Aucklands roads

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

I'm all for the closer the better, perfect example is the apartment building in Glen Eden that's a min or 2 walk from the train station

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Don't want a tall building taking away from the special area, we need to preserve as much of our city's uniqueness

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Not sure what I'm looking at

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Map has far too much info, made it unclear... couldn't of made separate maps which identified different aspects of the plan, information overload

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

I believe with the technology we have, we can find a way. Our city is growing rapidly so we need to look at these areas in order for our city to cope with this growth.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how

they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1720

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Donald Martin

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

A longer distance could be a problem for the handicapped or elderly user of public transport facilities.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

As above. I am an elderly person (85) and I am handicapped. I use a mobility scooter most days to get about, and I am not the only one in this particular boat.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Other

Tell us why

As I have said above - I am an elderly person (85) and I am handicapped. I use a mobility scooter most days to get about, and I am not the only one in this particular boat.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Much is said about high rise accommodation but for elderly or handicapped people who cannot climb stairs, low level village or motel-style accommodation should be also considered with an easily climbed ramp-type access to second or third storey buildings.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

With the proviso mentioned above. Much is said about high rise accommodation but for elderly or handicapped people who cannot climb stairs, low level village or motel-style accommodation should be also considered with an easily climbed ramp-type access to second or third storey buildings.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

We have lost too many areas of architectural character in the past due to unconsidered development. Let us keep what we have left.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

I did not get about much now, also i found the map hard to read.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Some commercial areas have a Wild West look to them, they are long overdue for upgrading.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

I'm not familiar with this matter.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

No further comments.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1740

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Ross Sullivan

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** I don't know

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** I don't know

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Other

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Get real Auckland Council. If you think building intensified housing and forcing people to use public transport is the answer, you are kidding yourself. Already we have seen roads full of cars where parking onsite has not been made available. You need to make it compulsory that all new constructions have adequate parking available for at least one car per house/apartment. Anything else is just fulling developers pocket more and causing a headache afterwards for everybody else i.s traffic movement, emergency services, council workers, road workers etc

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1743

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Martyn Hall

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

The western rail line is long slow and winding. It will never have the capacity to transport enough people. Building high density housing in places like Swanson, just because it has a rail line is absurd. People won't use the train, you're forcing cars to drive further, which is bad for the environment, bad for traffic, bad for people work/life balance.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Many of the town centre's you claim to have "high accessibilit", do not.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Building high density housing on the outskirts of the city, while keeping low density housing near the city centre, is ridiculous. Areas such as Kingsland, Mt Eden, Grey Lynn, Herne Bay, Parnell etc should be all zoned for high density.

We live in a city, not a museum.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think less areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

There are certain areas such as Te Atatu Peninsula and Devonport, that are limited by geography. And yet Te Atatu Peninsula is zoned for high density housing. Your plan makes no sense.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1772

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Hayley Campbell

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

1200m is a reasonable distance to walk.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

800m is not far. I think you need to have greater expectation on the public to walk. Look at what other international cities have as 'reasonable' walking distance.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

See above. -800m is not far. I think you need to have greater expectation on the public to walk. Look at what other international cities have as 'reasonable' walking distance.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

I don't have any issues with this.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

200m limit will create a very densely populated area. Why only 200m?

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

You want to hold onto some aspects of Auckland. It has changed so rapidly it is losing its history and identity in some areas.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

I get change but once we lose space and buildings we won't get them back.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

I do not have the time. Town planners should plan and not get tied up in technical building minutia.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Sounds good.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1794

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Brandon Vista

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

I think 500 meters would be good enough. The CBD is already expanding and by expanding it further to 1.2 kilometre from its edge would be far to much.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

I would not support these. The metropolitan centre zone are by and large have not been maximised in terms of lands that can be used for high rise development. By expanding the edge of the metropolitan centre (which is generally 1 kilometre from its centre) and allowing for 6-storey apartment to be built beyond the current metropolitan centre zone would create "mushrooms" of apartments within the suburbs. People who live in apartments will not be keen to walk longer than 1 kilometre (15 minute walk to a major transport hub such as a train station). Beyond that, it is not convenient anymore, and therefore these resident will most likely have cars to park nowhere in town. Streets become over crowded with on-street parking. By extending the walkable catchment to a further 800 metres from its edge, this will go beyond 1 kilometre radius from a train station or transport hub; hence, it not a convenient location for apartment residents to live. The quality of urban space, traffic and road safety, capacity of utility infrastructure and overall quality of the environmental will suffer. It is better for the government to focus on building high-rise apartments (0ver 15 stories) within the metropolitan centres to accommodate more residents rather than spread over the urban intensification to its adjacent areas and suburbs. In this way. these metropolitan centres will become more vibrant, and will have a more "metropolitan" appeal.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

I support this. Most of these rapid transit stops are not necessarily in the metropolitan centre (except Albany). The rapid transport stops should be considered as centres on their own and we should maximise the land surrounding these assets, instead of just sitting in the middle of nowhere with nothing but massive park and ride adjacent to it. It is better to build close to public transport. Make these rapid transit stops vibrant and lively by bring people to live close to these areas.

Greater urban intensification can be achieved around these transit stops. This will also boost public transport demand and make these areas lively instead of a "ghost town".

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Agree on this if and if these major town centres are along train routes on transport hubs. Example would be Glen Eden, Kelston (near Fruitvale), Mt. Albert, etc.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Most of these small town centres and local centres are not accessible to trains except for a bus stop. Extending these to another 200 metres would create pockets of high rise apartments in the middle of the suburb, which is not a desirable outcome.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Hillpark is a unique suburb with the forestation and bird life/song, People bought in this area because of its decent sized sections, quiet streets, trees and bird song. Residents have bee hives in their back gardens. In-fill housing is NOT wanted.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1815

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Alex van der Sande

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

I don't think it should be measured from an "edge", rather a center.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

I don't think it should be measured from an "edge", rather a center.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Other

Tell us why

This is a tough one, only the really committed can be without a car in Auckland, and their freedom is greatly reduced if they choose this lifestyle. Public Transport in Auckland is too "Britomart-centric" and doesn't actually cater to people's diverse needs across the city. It is because of this that people who buy an apartment in a high rise near public transport will likely still have a car, which they will have to park somewhere, which will displace existing people's cars in nearby neighborhoods. Infrastructure should be the focus first, then housing.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

It really depends on each suburb

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

It really depends on each suburb though

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Absolutely, this is how you keep charm and character to a city. Too many tourists have told me how ugly Auckland already is.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

That has got to be one of the most confusing maps of Auckland I have ever seen, there are so many colours and legends I and convinced I will be confused for weeks. Areas on the Northshore like Northcote and Cheltenham should be included.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Some are in historic areas that have been limited by development for ridiculous excuses by the council

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Absolutely. As someone who provides consultation to Watercare on Water and Wastewater I know how bad certain networks are and how they would be overloaded without proper infrastructure added first.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Yes, these should be included, please preserve the views from notable parks and ranges in Auckland

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1882

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Danielle Dakanay

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Designing our cities as if we are assuming anyone able can use an active mode of transport will make sure that it actually happens. Build it and they will come.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Give people more incentive to take public transport by making sure they live close enough to it!

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

I doubt the majority of Aucklanders think of these "historic homes" as special character areas that are important to Auckland's history. I think more people are inclined to think of them as barriers to accessible cities and affordable housing. They should go if they must.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Why are most of the inner city areas that are in desperate need of intensification all shaded in blue? Get rid of them if you must.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how

they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1902

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Christina Hill

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Special character areas can make up 90% of central suburbs it would go directly against the nature of intensification of the central city. Increasing the number of homes is more important than preserving leaky old villas

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are areas that should not be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft

- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1942

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Chelsea Morris

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are areas that should not be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think less areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1964

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Catherine MacDonald

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

1200 metres is a very long way to walk if you have children or if you are carrying a load. It is a very long walk for older people and those with mobility issues. 500 metres is more reasonable catchment to make this walkable. In most cases these places do not need parking areas therefore some will not have cars. How are you supposed to carry groceries 1200mtrs. Also we note that the 800mtrs seems to be measured from the edge of a train station. This has to be taken from the middle of the furtherest train platform. In some cases this adds at least another 200mtrs to the distance.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

800 metres is a very long way to walk if you have children or if you are carrying a load. It is a very long walk for older people and those with mobility issues. 500 metres is more reasonable. These apartments can be built with no car parking and therefore people are trying to walk with groceries etc. This is not possible in 10 minutes. Only a fit and able person can walk 800mtrs in 10 minutes. Also we note that the measurement seems to be measured from the edge of a train station. This has to be taken from the middle of the furtherest train platform. In some cases this adds at least another 200mtrs to the distance.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

800 metres is a very long way to walk if you have children or if you are carrying a load. It is a very long walk for older people and those with mobility issues. 500 metres is more reasonable. These apartments can be built with no car parking and therefore people are trying to walk with groceries etc. This is not possible in 10 minutes. Only a fit and able person can walk 800mtrs in 10 minutes. Also we note that the measurement seems to be measured from the edge of a train station. This has to be taken from the middle of the furtherest train platform. In some cases this adds at least another 200mtrs to the distance.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre

(such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

The building up should only be around the town centres, and not around the train stations. Agree with intensification around town centres.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

Do not support intensification in smaller town centres. Intensification should only be in larger town centres and in a small area around those areas.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

‘Special character areas’ are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland’s older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

This seems that this will be something that makes wealthy areas even wealthier, but we need to keep areas of history. Most areas are losing their culture and special character with this plan

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are areas that should not be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Most areas have a character that they want to protect. People have purchased areas because they like the feel of the area. This plan is going to destroy Auckland.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Most of Auckland has significant infrastructure issues so I hope this is considered in all areas. West Auckland does not have the infrastructure to have terraced houses where there is currently 1 house.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1967

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Sian Jones

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

I want to see a variety of forms of housing for interest and history.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

I want Auckland to remain green with mature trees protected from the developers. Make their plans to include the trees already on the property they want to develop. Another matter is the protection of the few streams around Auckland with a 20m green zone either side of the stream.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1994

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Phillippa Krempaszky

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

I do not support this. This is killing our small suburb

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft

- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Priyankaben Patel

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Sara Beckhouse

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Carol Gilson

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

I think that the terrorist housing and apartment building zones can remain at a lower height but that to 0 to 400 m from large town centres should BMR for low height housing specifically modified/built for those with significant mobility issues

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

As above I think that the terrorist housing and apartment building zones can remain at a lower height but that to 0 to 400 m from large town centres should BMR for low height housing specifically modified/built for those with significant mobility issues

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

As a wheelchair user I am a conflict with special character areas. I appreciate their significance, but feel saddened that my exclusion can be compromised.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

As above As a wheelchair user I am a conflict with special character areas. I appreciate their significance, but feel saddened that my exclusion can be compromised.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

There is no reason why business should exclude me (power wheelchair user)

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how

they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Taylor Philpott

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Other

Tell us why

Don't support any walkable areas

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

Don't support this at all

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Other

Tell us why

Don't support at all

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

Don't support intensification of housing

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

Don't support intensification of housing

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

Keep special character areas, no intensification

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay

- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Increase urban sprawl and decrease intensification of housing

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#2133

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Feng Liang

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Around 2km

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Around 1.5 km

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft

- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#2160

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Karen Zheng

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft

- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#2167

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: katy Qin

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Because the city center is small. So there's no need

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Because the city center is small. So there's no need

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

If it is a rural area there is no need to expand

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

If it is a rural area there is no need to expand

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Will be the record of history

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

The government must stipulate that the characteristic area must be blessed and characteristic to be able to live in

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Business can drive the economy

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Government approval is required

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

No

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#2176

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: CHARLENE CHEN

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#2236

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Jess Romhany

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

A 30 minute walk time is a more reasonable choice, particularly from the city centre - this warrants a larger walkable catchment. The city centre is recognised as the most intensely developed node in the city, including a greater range of amenities and services.

Expect that people are more willing to walk further from/within the city to their destination, compared to walkable catchments around public transit stations.

A 30 minute walk from the city centre to a destination will encounter great amenities along the way, add and experience vibrancy and street activation and will be a pleasant journey. Because of that, the walk will not feel that long, compared to walking through a residential suburb or light industrial area.

An expansion of the city centre catchment has potential to extend to, and complement surrounding walkable catchments such as Morningside and Ponsonby. This will compound services and amenities to surrounding residential neighbourhoods.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Double the time of the walkable catchment, as the idea of the Metropolitan Centre zone is second to the city centre, where a similar intensity and mix of amenities and services are anticipated. Metropolitan Centres are destinations, rather than places where people change modes.

Extending the walkable catchment around centre zones will support businesses, by enabling a bigger, local audience (surrounding residents) to engage in these amenities and services.

This will also reduce reliance on private motor vehicles, contributing to mitigating climate change and modal shift - pillars of the Auckland Plan which plans for the long term future for the ever growing city. The current AUP has not achieved these objectives.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Reasonable amount of time to be walking from stations, given possibly there are less services and amenities.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

Expand the town centre zones instead of only applying THAB 400m outwards, to enable activities which are not only residential, including mixed use development as this will enable diversity of services, add vibrancy to the streetscape to support residential intensification around centres - including up-zoning of sites to include standards introduced by the MDRS.

This will only apply to the larger town centres, which will already be in appropriate locations for intensification.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Extend to 400m. 200m is only a 2.5 minute walk and will be indiscernible to people in terms of walking experience.

The scale and size of town centres and the corresponding catchments is really an arbitrary number. Centre zones also have capacity to support surrounding residential areas with amenities and services.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites

of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

The retention of special character overlays restricts development around key centre zones, arterial roads (inconsistent with the proposed up-zoned sites on arterial roads) and rapid transit networks, these are big clusters with high potential for development and the percentage of proposed removal of this overlay, is nominal compared to potential for enabling development which the removal of this overlay would create.

The criteria for determining high quality/qualifying special matter areas are not sufficient to warrant being a qualifying matter. There are several special character areas which do not warrant being protected, including inconsistent streetscape character and contemporary, extensively modified, bulky buildings. The threshold for high quality, cohesive streetscapes needs to be higher for special character - and if they're such high quality, they might as well be Historic Heritage Extent of Place Overlay, which the overlay would protect (as a matter of national importance)

Additionally, special character buildings introduce unnecessary cost for owners for upkeep (healthy homes standards, installation of solar panels, timber window panels instead of aluminium), which could be better used on services and amenities in their local centre. Special character zones reinforces the idea that housing is an investment, rather than enabling higher

density development to occur and amplifies socio-economic inequities that continue to persist to this day.

The retention of special character and their aesthetic value represents a colonial background and retains property values of those owners and does not warrant classification as an additional qualifying matter.

Retaining special character is a barrier for establishing mixed uses and commercial activity where they might be well sought after areas, such as on arterial roads and the cohesive value of special character sites will be lost/consumed with the surrounding up-zoned areas. The retention of special character is inconsistent with the roll out of walkable catchments around centre zones and rapid transit stops, by inhibiting the intensity of residential development.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

The retention of special character overlays restricts development around key centre zones, arterial roads (inconsistent with the proposed up-zoned sites on arterial roads) and rapid transit networks, these are big clusters with high potential for development and the percentage of proposed removal of this overlay, is nominal compared to potential for enabling development which the removal of this overlay would create.

The criteria for determining high quality/qualifying special matter areas are not sufficient to warrant being a qualifying matter. There are several special character areas which do not warrant being protected, including inconsistent streetscape character and contemporary, extensively modified, bulky buildings. The threshold for high quality, cohesive streetscapes needs to be higher for special character - and if they're such high quality, they might as well be Historic Heritage Extent of Place Overlay, which the overlay would protect (as a matter of national importance)

Additionally, special character buildings introduce unnecessary cost for owners for upkeep (healthy homes standards, installation of solar panels, timber window panels instead of aluminium), which could be better used on services and amenities in their local centre. Special character zones reinforces the idea that housing is an investment, rather than enabling higher density development to occur and amplifies socio-economic inequities that continue to persist to this day.

The retention of special character and their aesthetic value represents a colonial background and retains property values of those owners and does not warrant classification as an additional qualifying matter.

Retaining special character is a barrier for establishing mixed uses and commercial activity where they might be well sought after areas, such as on arterial roads and the cohesive value of special character sites will be lost/consumed with the surrounding up-zoned areas. The retention of special character is inconsistent with the roll out of walkable catchments around centre zones and rapid transit stops, by inhibiting the intensity of residential development.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

The retention of special character overlays restricts development around key centre zones, arterial roads (inconsistent with the proposed up-zoned sites on arterial roads) and rapid transit networks, these are big clusters with high potential for development and the percentage of proposed removal of this overlay, is nominal compared to potential for enabling development which the removal of this overlay would create.

The criteria for determining high quality/qualifying special matter areas are not sufficient to warrant being a qualifying matter. There are several special character areas which do not warrant being protected, including inconsistent streetscape character and contemporary, extensively modified, bulky buildings. The threshold for high quality, cohesive streetscapes needs to be higher for special character - and if they're such high quality, they might as well be Historic Heritage Extent of Place Overlay, which the overlay would protect (as a matter of national importance)

Additionally, special character buildings introduce unnecessary cost for owners for upkeep (healthy homes standards, installation of solar panels, timber window panels instead of aluminium), which could be better used on services and amenities in their local centre. Special character zones reinforces the idea that housing is an investment, rather than enabling higher density development to occur and amplifies socio-economic inequities that continue to persist to this day.

The retention of special character and their aesthetic value represents a colonial background and retains property values of those owners and does not warrant classification as an additional qualifying matter.

Retaining special character is a barrier for establishing mixed uses and commercial activity where they might be well sought after areas, such as on arterial roads and the cohesive value of special character sites will be lost/consumed with the surrounding up-zoned areas. The retention of special character is inconsistent with the roll out of walkable catchments around centre zones and rapid transit stops, by inhibiting the intensity of residential development.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#2330

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: veena valhothil

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are areas that should not be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft

- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

building consents for 6-storey buildings especially in all the existing suburbs where existing single-storey buildings are present would prevent the sunlight, and view of any natural existence from entering the neighboring property.

The housing crisis would be solved if the new incoming migrant population is given incentives to go and settle and create new business in the other parts of New Zealand rather than concentrating on building further houses in already crowded Auckland.

Thank you for the opportunity to present my views.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#2348

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Dylan Pope

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

This is supported as it relates to 40 Munroe Road, Ranui. The site is well located to Ranui Train Station and is within easy walking distance to this train station. The site is entirely appropriate to be zoned Terrace Housing and Apartment zone and can accommodate 6 storey building height.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#2497

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Geraldine Heta

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Some people may find it difficult to walk 1200metres in less than 15mins.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Walking is doable and the housing type is more appropriate close to the key shopping areas

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Our history needs to be preserved and values.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Narrow streets need to be a special character, old style homes, streams, historical features, scenic views etc

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay

- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#2521

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Ryan Cox

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

I like the idea of being able to get around easily within the city without having to use a car.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

I'm happy with either 800 or 1200m.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

I think it will make it easier for people to get around using public transportation.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

I think plenty of people would want to live within walking distance to their shops.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

I think plenty of people would want to live within walking distance to their shops.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

If we start letting people do this than everyone will try and say their neighbourhood has special character.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

There were a lot of areas that were classified as special character areas. If they get to be left out other people will claim there area deserves to be as well and nothing will change

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

There were a lot of areas that were classified as special character areas. If they get to be left out other people will claim there area deserves to be as well and nothing will change

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

No point building high density housing if the infrastructure can't handle it.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#2582

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Adam Driver

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Walking should be encouraged

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Same reason Walking should be encouraged

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Same reason Walking should be encouraged

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Ew.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Ew.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Because common sense ig

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

I care about New Zealand and it's architecture.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Protect the cities

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

No

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#2583

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Victoria Bells

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Tourism is popular in the Auckland city and for easy accessibility it's easy for people to travel

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

There are disabled people that need a more accessible way to walk around the area

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

It's more accessible to have it closer

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

It's easy for disabled people or the retired to travel around their area with ease

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

I don’t know

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government’s direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

‘Special character areas’ are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland’s older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

I don’t understand

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft

- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#2584

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: val tooties

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

tourists are a big thing in auckland city and maintaining that transport would be important

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

you have to consider the all different types of people there are. for example the not so fit ones or people with disabilities would struggle with this task.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

it's more accessible to our people

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

it’s easy for the elderly and other people who may have trouble with this to travel and have access going places

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government’s direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

‘Special character areas’ are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland’s older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay

- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#2621

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Tracey Maule

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

I do not support having where I live being surrounded by 3 story high buildings. What about our quality of life style that we have paid for and want. And I know exactly what I am talking about due to having right next door to us a 2 and 3 story apartments. The road is now dangerous to turn into with all the cars parked on both sides of the road. I'm scared of having anymore neighbours selling as I will not get any sunlight into my property and all privacy that we as NZ'rs value is being taken away from us.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft

- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#2640

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Malcolm Marshall

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

all these apartments going is clogging up suburban road and should not be allowed

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

all apartments should have off street parking

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

developers should provide parking

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

just clogs up streets should not be allowed

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

not at all

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are areas that should not be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think less areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft

- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#2649

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Roaemary Platt

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

I would

Not use it waste of money

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Would not use waste of money

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Bloody rediculous, how is the city meant to function when

No one can park or drive anywhere

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

Do not support at all if housing

Is needed create tiny

Homes do not create environments that will create more social

IsSues

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

As above [Do not support at all if housing

Is needed create tiny

Homes do not create environments that will create more social

IsSues]

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

I do not think any area should

Be changed onto this awful multi level atrocity

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Other

Tell us why

People should be aloud a stand-alone house if they want them stop telling us what we can have

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Other

Tell us why

People should be aloud a stand-alone house if they want them stop telling us what we can have

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

These need to be implemented quickly, with these stupid boxes going up no existing infrastructure will cope for very long at all.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#2662

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Katy Perry

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#2673

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Lesley Rennie

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft

- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#2692

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Jacqui Clark

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

The traffic is already horrendous in west Auckland no one wants to walk alone now with criminals out west

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

My opinion

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Sort the traffic out for gods sake Henderson is now a ghetto and bringing in undesirable ppl our crime is huge now and nowhere to park is outrageous noonecwsbtsxto go to Henderson now it's a dead rubbish complex

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Get them away from my neighbourhood ghetto hood now

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are areas that should not be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

We have littles water as it is now

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how

they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#2727

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Rosanna Leman

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

dont like the idea of intesification at the expense of green spaces and any intensification should be along rail routes not into cul de sacs and mixed with single famoily dwellings.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

same reason as above -dont like the idea of intesification at the expense of green spaces and any intensification should be along rail routes not into cul de sacs and mixed with single famoily dwellings.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

as above , needs to go along the rail line routes first to be of any benefit getting people out of cars.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

200 metres and also not in a circumference as no street planning is in a circle except for rounabouts roads .. most housing is in straight lineage to main streets.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

thats more feasible in my mind.wont disrupt small neighbourhoods that are in the vicinity.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

of course it makes sence to support our heritage . Thats part of the charm f Aucjkland is those original town cenrtes like epsom Mt Eden Remuera .. loads of Character . Be a very sad day toose it all

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Because at this moment in tome It seems a huge folly to intensify if there are infrastructure deficits that limit it . A no brainer

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Definately sunlight and green spaces must be included in any intesification works . Why cant Auckland tell Jacinda and her fascist buddies to go to hell and send the new migrants to other parts of NZ less populated and stop pressuring Aucklanders 24/ 7 Holaand does it so why can we.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#2730

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Emma Watts

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

I don't care about the high etc as long as they are one level housing as generational homes are going to increase and with an aging population people walking up stairs is gonna be a problem. Also being a wife of a disabled person there will also need to be enough parking for these people otherwise these people will be pushed out of citycentres

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Same as above -I don't care about the high etc as long as they are one level housing as generational homes are going to increase and with an aging population people walking up stairs is gonna be a problem. Also being a wife of a disabled person there will also need to be enough parking for these people otherwise these people will be pushed out of citycentres

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

I'd rather have a villa or a house that looks different than every other cookie cutter house on the street

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#2803

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Tim Dempsey

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

We need the highest density closest to the CBD where the majority of people work.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

We need high density where people can easily walk/ride to access public transport without having to use a car to get there.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

It means people don't have to rely on their cars to get around

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

This is fine in areas that actually do have "high accessibility" to rapid public transport

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Because the special character areas are close to the CBD and this is exactly where we need the highest density, instead your choking area's like Te Atatu Peninsula where we only have one road

in and one road out and a Transit lane from Te Atatu South feeding into our one way out, we have no trains and no ferries and soon it looks like no direct bus link to the city. This is madness!!

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are areas that should not be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Because we need the highest density closes to where the majority of people work, the CBD

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Te Atatu Peninsula should be considered due to it's one road in and one road out and the lack of public transport infrastructure, and the poorly designed motorway interchange that compounds the one road in one road out situation by feeding a Transit lane from Te Atatu South into the Te Atatu Peninsula motorway on ramp. Te Atatu South should have it's own transit lane on their on ramp which is less than 50 meters away from the Te Atatu Peninsula on ramp.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#2805

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Trish Bettridge

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** I don't know

Tell us why

Becau

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

It is destroying small suburbs. No green spaces are being provided for more people and no infrastructure. All the existing greenery is being destroyed

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

Sounds like you are supporting the rich people living in these areas while allowing poorer people to be driven from their homes in suburbs that are being sold off to developers

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay

- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#2808

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Ricky Greaves

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft

- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#2813

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Tracey Pilgrim

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#2818

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Aimee Walker

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters must include all current legal tree protection elements in the Unitary Plan so that these trees continue to require consent for removal / pruning under the new rules.

This includes Schedule 10 (Notable Trees), Significant Ecological Areas rules, Riparian Margin rules and rules that protect coastal Pohutukawa.

The scope of the Plan Change to enable these changes to the Unitary Plan must also include correction to any identified errors in Schedule 10.

The scope of the Plan Change to enable these changes to the Unitary Plan must also include the addition to Schedule 10 of any new nominations for scheduling that have been received by Auckland Council.

The new MDRS housing rules will enable permitted development of all Single House Zone properties with up to 3 new dwellings. This intensification will inevitably encourage the removal of any trees that remain legally unprotected. This will be a disaster for the urban forest and for climate change amelioration, resulting in the permitted removal of many thousands of trees. It is

therefore essential that the current (few) legal protections in the Unitary Plan for urban trees on private land are retained and that the opportunity is taken to identify and schedule new qualifying trees that have been nominated. New nominations should be encouraged as part of the plan change process.

Qualifying matters must include the Historic Heritage Schedule.

The scope of the Plan Change to enable these changes to the Unitary Plan must also include correction to any identified errors in the Historic Heritage Schedule.

The scope of the Plan Change to enable these changes to the Unitary Plan must also include the addition to the Historic Heritage Schedule of any new nominations for scheduling that have been received by Auckland Council.

Qualifying matters must include all current Historic Character areas in the Unitary Plan.

The scope of the Plan Change to enable these changes to the Unitary Plan must also include correction to any identified errors in the Historic Character areas.

The scope of the Plan Change to enable these changes to the Unitary Plan must also include the addition to the Historic Character areas of any new nominations for Historic Character areas that have been received by Auckland Council.

Qualifying matters must include all current reserves, parks and open space. It is essential that as much public green space as possible is retained in an intensified city to make it liveable, especially as the new MDRS rules will reduce the amount of outdoor space on private sections significantly.

View shafts for the Maunga and other landmarks must be protected as qualifying matters so that current rules regarding building height are retained and not increased in these areas.

Housing density and height should be retained as current rules allow within Special Character areas, on Historic Character scheduled properties and around public open space and not increased, to enable those special parts of our city to retain their character and open space provisions.

Overlays such as the Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area must be included as qualifying matters so that intensification and increases in height are prevented in this special area as this would be a breach of the Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area Act.

I support the proposed walkable distances of 15 mins (1200m) to the city centre and 10 mins (800m) to metropolitan centres and train / busway stations within which development of up to 6 stories may be enabled, providing that the qualifying matters protections listed above are enabled.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Qualifying matters must include all current legal tree protection elements in the Unitary Plan so that these trees continue to require consent for removal / pruning under the new rules.

This includes Schedule 10 (Notable Trees), Significant Ecological Areas rules, Riparian Margin rules and rules that protect coastal Pohutukawa.

The scope of the Plan Change to enable these changes to the Unitary Plan must also include correction to any identified errors in Schedule 10.

The scope of the Plan Change to enable these changes to the Unitary Plan must also include the addition to Schedule 10 of any new nominations for scheduling that have been received by Auckland Council.

The new MDRS housing rules will enable permitted development of all Single House Zone properties with up to 3 new dwellings. This intensification will inevitably encourage the removal of any trees that remain legally unprotected. This will be a disaster for the urban forest and for climate change amelioration, resulting in the permitted removal of many thousands of trees. It is therefore essential that the current (few) legal protections in the Unitary Plan for urban trees on private land are retained and that the opportunity is taken to identify and schedule new qualifying trees that have been nominated. New nominations should be encouraged as part of the plan change process.

Qualifying matters must include the Historic Heritage Schedule.

The scope of the Plan Change to enable these changes to the Unitary Plan must also include correction to any identified errors in the Historic Heritage Schedule.

The scope of the Plan Change to enable these changes to the Unitary Plan must also include the addition to the Historic Heritage Schedule of any new nominations for scheduling that have been received by Auckland Council.

Qualifying matters must include all current Historic Character areas in the Unitary Plan.

The scope of the Plan Change to enable these changes to the Unitary Plan must also include correction to any identified errors in the Historic Character areas.

The scope of the Plan Change to enable these changes to the Unitary Plan must also include the addition to the Historic Character areas of any new nominations for Historic Character areas that have been received by Auckland Council.

Qualifying matters must include all current reserves, parks and open space. It is essential that as much public green space as possible is retained in an intensified city to make it liveable, especially as the new MDRS rules will reduce the amount of outdoor space on private sections significantly.

View shafts for the Maunga and other landmarks must be protected as qualifying matters so that current rules regarding building height are retained and not increased in these areas.

Housing density and height should be retained as current rules allow within Special Character areas, on Historic Character scheduled properties and around public open space and not increased, to enable those special parts of our city to retain their character and open space provisions.

Overlays such as the Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area must be included as qualifying matters so that intensification and increases in height are prevented in this special area as this would be a breach of the Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area Act.

I support the proposed walkable distances of 15 mins (1200m) to the city centre and 10 mins (800m) to metropolitan centres and train / busway stations within which development of up to 6 stories may be enabled, providing that the qualifying matters protections listed above are enabled.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#2843

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Diane Dickinson

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

Do not support wherever you put it

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

Do not support wherever you put it

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

In a small way it acknowledges that there is more to living that just a roof over your head and suggests quality of life matters

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

The map is a challenge. Just a suggestion but you could include at link with this question which shows only the areas marked as special character. In this day and age this should be so much easier and I question the commitment to collecting good feedback.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

The map is a challenge. Just a suggestion but you could include at link with this question which shows only the areas marked as special character. In this day and age this should be so much easier and I question the commitment to collecting good feedback.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Seems logical the current water / waste water infrastructure barely copes so perhaps focus on getting the existing systems up to date before putting new systems in.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across

areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Anything please anything to ease the impact of these rule changes.

God help us all.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#2868

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Shelley O'Connor

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

Places like Te Atatu Peninsula have one road in and one road out - it's not a one size fits all. It should be lower density, as the bus service is pathetic, and the main road is liability should there be a pile up - people can't leave or come on to the peninsula. Places like Glen Eden, Market Rd, Glen Innes where there is a train station - there should be a minimum of 3 stories for any development, while allowing for light into those town centres.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

I think the special character areas have value, but if the are within walking distance from downtown , or one bus stop from downtown, then they shouldn't be allowed to be developed. I think that sunlight needs to be considered. With apartment buildings, only the first few floors

should be boundary to boundary, thereafter the width of the buildings should be reduced, to allow sunlight, reduce fire risk, a lot like the apartment buildings in NYC.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Other

Tell us why

I think some areas which are wholesale 1960s should have certain streets or areas like up on Great North Rd in Glendene where the houses are amazing - but not the whole neighbourhood. Same goes for Ponsonby, Freemans Bay, Herne Bay, Parnell, Mt Eden. Further out like Remuera - that north facing slope should be covered in apartment buildings, with perhaps the character of the Remuera town centre kept.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

There needs to be a balance between proximity to busways, trains and walkability to downtown and large town centres as a priority.

Places like North West are an appalling use of space. It's a town centre - no-one lives there. There are tons of stores, a library/community centre, bulk amounts of big bins - but the fact there are no "inhabitants", I find it a very sad missed opportunity. There is no-one walking around. People drive everywhere. Why bother to build footpaths if no-one uses them, because no-one can live there! Please STOP building big bins and strip malls unless you are able to house people above. It's really tragic. Council has put infrastructure there - but it is very car centric, and no human centric at all. It's a really massive human dead zone.

Also, I think climate change should be considered - spot allowing people to build close to the shoreline. It will create a mess further down the line.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Please protect all view shafts.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#2871

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Katie Barden

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#2913

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Matthew Allen

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

larger buildings closer to the centre of the town centres - they are called town centres for a reason, so spreading out that too far means it's not making as much sense - intensify next to the accessible locations and transport hubs.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

I don't support this as it's been done on a Ponsonby model and does not look at homes like ours that have a lot more character than a lot of homes in Ponsonby. Our home was designed by renowned late New Zealand architect Maurice K Smith - it's been taught about in MIT and is a noted architectural icon in his history of work in New Zealand. There's many such treasures around Auckland - and unless they are in Ponsonby they can be demolished and cheap housing

slapped up in place. The waste going on in demolition is shocking. The lack of infrastructure for previous low density housing was already evident and now that is going to be multiplied 10 fold with intensification. The character should be on a case by case basis not a suburb basis. There's a good review of these propose changes here:
https://www.greaterauckland.org.nz/2022/04/20/unitary-plan-2-0-pre-consultation/

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

There are many houses of character that do not sit in the typical areas, so what happens to them?

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Yes please, on Te Atatu Peninsula, before intensification infrastructure problems meant raw sewage regularly overflows in to the rivers when it rains. This must stop, it's sickening that raw sewage spews out in the whau. In regards to the servicing we don't get gas direct at the end of the peninsula, and yet at the end terrace housing is being pushed in, 17 units in place of two houses -

no direct gas, 8 times the demand and people on infrastructure, insufficient car parking and a long walk to the bus at the the very end of a peninsula with one road in and out. The proposed changes put lots of demand on the very tip of the peninsula where there's limited services and access to transport. New developments have caused problems for existing residents too. It's a pretty ugly process. Then there's the trees, we are a green neighbourhood and now that's rapidly disappearing, it's sad.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#2913

#3008

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Amir Ashirov

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

The walkable catchment should be 2400 metres (30 minutes) for the City Centre. A Business - Mixed Use Zone should be used instead of a Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone. Many people are willing to walk further than 15 minutes to access jobs, services, opportunities and rapid transit. Special Character Area overlays should not compromise the development potential of the Walkable Catchments of the City Centre - this is crucial to enabling More Homes in the Right Places and climate-responsive development. We should actively encourage people from getting out of their cars and into walking/biking/scooting/PTing

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Walkable catchments should be 1600 metres (20 minutes) for Metropolitan Centres in the isthmus (Newmarket, Sylvia Park), and 800 metres (10 minutes) for other Metropolitan Centres. Special Character Area overlays should not compromise the development potential of the Walkable Catchments of the Metropolitan centres - this is crucial to enabling More Homes in the Right Places and climate-responsive development. We should actively encourage people from getting out of their cars and into walking/biking/scooting/PTing

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Walkable catchments should be 1600 metres (20 minutes) for Rapid Transit Stops in the isthmus, and 800 metres (10 minutes) elsewhere. The definition of Rapid Transit in implementing the NPS-UD should include high bus priority areas. Special Character Area overlays should not compromise the development potential of the Walkable Catchments of the rapid transit stops - this is crucial to enabling More Homes in the Right Places and climate-responsive development. We should actively encourage people from getting out of their cars and into walking/biking/scooting/PTing

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre

(such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

I support upzoning adjacent to town centres. Upzoning to a distance of 800m - further than currently proposed by Council - should take place around all Town Centres defined by Council as 'large + high accessibility', as well as all other town centres on the isthmus.

This will support the development of 15-minute neighbourhoods centred around town and local centres, enabling residents to access everyday services and amenities, by walking, cycling and micro-mobility, living lower carbon lifestyles. We should actively encourage people from getting out of their cars and into walking/biking/scooting/PTing

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

I support upzoning adjacent to town and local centres. Upzoning to a distance of 400m - further than currently proposed by Council - should take place around all other Town Centres (not on the isthmus) which are small but have high accessibility. Upzoning to a distance of 400m should take place around all Local Centres defined by Council as 'large + high accessibility', as well as all other local centres on the isthmus.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites

of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Special Character Area overlay should not compromise or limit development in Walkable Catchments I support protection of listed Heritage, and high quality, continuous SCA outside of Walkable catchments

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

The Special Character Area overlay should not compromise or limit development in Walkable Catchments I support protection of listed Heritage, and high quality, continuous special character outside of Walkable catchments With the climate emergency, Auckland Council needs to enable More Homes in the Right Places. Special Character overlays in central suburbs - the areas with the greatest access to transport and existing amenities - are significantly limiting sustainable housing development and low emission neighbourhoods.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

The Special Character Area overlay should not compromise or limit development in Walkable Catchments I support protection of listed Heritage, and high, quality continuous special character outside of Walkable catchments

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

It is the responsibility of the NZ Government, Auckland Council and its CCOs to deliver infrastructure in anticipation of future housing growth. It may be reasonable to have extremely limited areas qualified where it is impossible or extremely difficult to address long-term infrastructure constraints. Wherever there is strong demand to live, and high accessibility, central and local Government agencies should endeavour to address infrastructure constraints to support development.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

The NPS-UD sets out that Qualifying Matters need to have identified Specific Characteristics that makes the level of intensification required by the NPS-UD and MDRS inappropriate in light of the national significance of urban development. Heights and density more restrictive than the NPS-UD catchment or MDRS can only be imposed to the extent that it affects the characteristic in question. Site by site evidence is required for qualifying matters, which includes justifying the extent of the area that it's applied to, as well as the sites that it applies to.

I support the protection of viewshafts of cultural and spiritual significance to mana whenua in Tāmaki Makaurau.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Kaung Khant

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Auckland badly needs affordable housing more than some private colonial buildings that only bring benefit to the owner. And building around the CBD where the jobs are make the most sense.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Phil Laird

Name of organisation/business: Oakfield Trust

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#3130

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Bruce Amies

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#3139

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Peter Boyack

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

While I support this proposal, I also believe it should actively encourage the development of the town centre to include apartment type buildings above the shop/businesses. That is, the council should make it easy for owners of buildings in the town centres to replace single or low rise shops with high rise buildings that accommodate retail/business at ground level with living above. This is common in most overseas cities. It makes town centres safer, increases foot traffic and supports

businesses in these areas. For example, Henderson town centre, with the bus and train connections would benefit greatly from such a development.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

See comment above. The town centres themselves need to be developed first. Increasing this catchment zone around centres can be done as required at later dates under the unitary plan. To allow development to occur randomly up to 200m from the centre will only repeat the mistakes that we currently have with apartment and town houses popping up randomly in small and narrow suburban settings, blocking light to existing homes and causing traffic chaos.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

This is extremely subjective as to what is a special character and open to all sorts of abuse via lobbying and the like. I would like to think that the person deciding what qualifies or not is above such things but doubt that will be the case. We can't even protect our unique productive soils from development.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

This has only identified central Auckland's older areas as having any character. What about significant housing from the 40's and 50's state housing builds. What about the 60's and 70's, streets with unique character where NZ architecture was experimenting with a NZ style. I think the whole character thing is very narrow in this proposal.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Other

Tell us why

Same comments apply as above. Who gets to decide what is special?

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

In most cases you are too late. Infrastructure is already playing catch-up. This goes back to some of my previous comments about developing high rise in town centres first and releasing concentric rings of development outside of these areas in graduated timelines. The town centres often already have the infrastructure (transport, waste water etc) and would require much less investment than stretching infrastructure over large urban areas.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#3186

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Imogen Trupinic

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

People can walk a little farther, or cycle.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

As above, people can walk a little farther, or cycle.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

People really need to be encouraged to walk farther in this city.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Higher density is too limited in Auckland - need to throw a wider net of intensification.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Make this one 400m.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

The presence of special character areas already limits development to an untenable degree in Auckland. While I appreciate the need to preserve some of the historic character available in the city fringe streets, Council's approach towards keeping these villas and bungalows has always been too risk adverse and not pragmatic enough to extent where event an indiscernible sliver of

something new facing the streetscape gets underlined in red by heritage advisors, or even replacement of a completely modern dwelling still somehow has to fit within the 'character'. Council need to start being more forward thinking in their approach and application of the SCA overlay.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are areas that should not be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Good to see this has been thought through and some progress made in removing the restrictions though. There should be more red in Ponsonby and down Dominion Road at least.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think less areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Good to see this has been thought through and some progress made in removing the restrictions though. There should be more red in Ponsonby and down Dominion Road at least.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Just add the MDRS and apply a case by case approach per resource consent or building consent applied for.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Council has decided to apply the qualifying matters to too many overlays. Development in the High Use Stream Management overlay should be supportable with the right reports (ecological and otherwise) provided that confirm there will be no effects on the stream. Likewise for sites that are subject to instability - you just need a geotechnical report with recommendations on the specific construction method.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#3203

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Melissa Murray

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Should be further to allow more intensification in inner city suburbs

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are areas that should not be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

The inner city suburbs identified as qualifying matter are suited to higher density development. They are walkable from the city centre and have sufficient public transport in place. They seem a common sense place to allow intensification. All of Auckland should be sharing the load of this.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

You seem to be on the back foot here- intensification is already happening in suburbs with this issue. We need to be more proactive in planning infrastructure to support development.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#3316

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: D S

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Other

Tell us why

Waste of funding at this point in time.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

Waste of funding.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Other

Tell us why

Waste of funding.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are areas that should not be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay

- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#3362

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Raj Maharjan

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

Support the intent of the walkable catchment.

The walkable catchments should have a contiguous boundary. The extent of the catchments should follow along the road, instead of cutting across property boundaries.

As far as practicable, locating the walkable catchment boundary between properties must be avoided. It will be inappropriate to allow three levels and six level developments right next to each other on two sides of a fence between two property boundaries. If the catchment boundary is a road, the adverse effects such as shading and visual dominance that may occur will be more manageable.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Other

Tell us why

Support the intent of the walkable catchment.

The walkable catchments should have a contiguous boundary. The extent of the catchments should follow along the road, instead of cutting across property boundaries.

As far as practicable, locating the walkable catchment boundary between properties must be avoided. It will be inappropriate to allow three levels and six level developments right next to each other on two sides of a fence between two property boundaries. If the catchment boundary is a road, the adverse effects such as shading and visual dominance that may occur will be more manageable.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more)

within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

An approach similar to the blanket tree protection rule and the scheduled trees should be adopted in relation to the special character and scheduled heritage items. I think the special (heritage) character is being misused to forward a NIMBY argument.

I think the council is investing a disproportionate amount of staff and financial resources to justify special (heritage) character. It is obvious that during this plan change process more rate dollars are spent in the matters of interest of suburbs such as Remuera, Herne Bay, Parnell and Ponsonby where special character areas are being investigated compared to the likes Papakura, Manukau, Henderson and Swanson.

Compared to the resources invested by council to consider special character, how much has council invested to investigate the lack of infrastructure in Papakura, Manukau, Henderson and Swanson? Afterall, Papakura, Manukau, Henderson and Swanson are the areas where higher density development is proposed compared to Remuera, Herne Bay, Parnell and Ponsonby. Such an inequitable approach must stop.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

An approach similar to the blanket tree protection rule and the scheduled trees should be adopted in relation to the special character and scheduled heritage items. I think the special (heritage) character is being misused to forward a NIMBY argument.

I think the council is investing a disproportionate amount of staff and financial resources to justify special (heritage) character. It is obvious that during this plan change process more rate dollars are spent in the matters of interest of suburbs such as Remuera, Herne Bay, Parnell and Ponsonby where special character areas are being investigated compared to the likes Papakura, Manukau, Henderson and Swanson.

Compared to the resources invested by council to consider special character, how much has council invested to investigate the lack of infrastructure in Papakura, Manukau, Henderson and Swanson? Afterall, Papakura, Manukau, Henderson and Swanson are the areas where higher density development is proposed compared to Remuera, Herne Bay, Parnell and Ponsonby. Such an inequitable approach must stop.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

An approach similar to the blanket tree protection rule and the scheduled trees should be adopted in relation to the special character and scheduled heritage items. I think the special (heritage) character is being misused to forward a NIMBY argument.

I think the council is investing a disproportionate amount of staff and financial resources to justify special (heritage) character. It is obvious that during this plan change process more rate dollars are spent in the matters of interest of suburbs such as Remuera, Herne Bay, Parnell and Ponsonby where special character areas are being investigated compared to the likes Papakura, Manukau, Henderson and Swanson.

Compared to the resources invested by council to consider special character, how much has council invested to investigate the lack of infrastructure in Papakura, Manukau, Henderson and Swanson? Afterall, Papakura, Manukau, Henderson and Swanson are the areas where higher density development is proposed compared to Remuera, Herne Bay, Parnell and Ponsonby. Such an inequitable approach must stop.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraint holds a more sensible planning argument to be identified as a qualifying matter than special character. A better outcome for Aucklanders might be achieved if additional resources were invested in ensuring infrastructure.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Less is more. Questions 6-8 in the feedback form are about the special character.

6.What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?

7.What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?

8.What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?

Question 9 in the feedback form is about the "significant infrastructure constraints"

9.What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?

Unlike for the special character questions, there are no follow-up questions about infrastructure. The way the questions are asked, it looks like special character areas were investigated but not infrastructure. There is no evidence from the materials made available on the council website that the infrastructure constraints have been investigated. Why?

Although both are qualifying matters, why are special characters (investigated) and infrastructure (apparently not investigated) treated differently? Should there have been a consistent approach taken?

This change is in residential areas. Why business special character?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#3381

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Denver Leung

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Other

Tell us why

Do not support - given the landscape of Auckland, it should have a range, e.g. between 800m and 1500m

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

Do not support - given the landscape of Auckland, it should have a range, e.g. between 800m and 1000m

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

The solution should not just be higher but a total package on the design and quality of the new development.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay

- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

No

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#3407

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Alan Lee

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

No other city allows more intensification further from the CBD (and less near the CBD). It's stupid. Allow development in rich, inner areas (not just poor, outer ones). You represent all of Auckland, not just people living in SCA's.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

800 is quite far. The main issue with public transport is it's hard to serve sprawl (not that I live far from a stop). Allow people to live compactly near the CBD, and public transport naturally becomes effective.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Old rich people live in a city, not in a museum. No one has the right to stop development cause they don't want poor people living in apartments in their street!

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

More people are coming, and need infrastructure no matter where they go. It costs more to provide infrastructure in sprawl areas (which is what this clause would be used for), than it does in inner areas. Stop protecting inner areas!

You have no issues cramming people in Te Atatu Peninsula (one road in and out) -- you even gave this higher zoning than Remuera! No infrastructure concerns there!

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#3511

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Ronesh Prasad

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** I don't know

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** I don't know

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** I don't know

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#3514

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Priyashna Prasad

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** I don't know

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** I don't know

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** I don't know

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#3524

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Alanah Mullin

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

The walkable catchment should be 2400 metres (30 minutes) . A Business - Mixed Use Zone should be used instead of a Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone. Walking is enjoyable and healthy at a 30-minute catchment of the city centre. I object to the special housing overlays that are restricting this essential diverse mixed use type housing in these key areas close to the city centre. I live in Ranui and we are doing more for intensification and increasing housing (even though we have more limited services nearby) than Ponsonby, Grey Lynn, Mt. Eden, Epsom, Parnell type areas. We need increase in types of housing types close to the City Centre.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

People are willing to walk further and longer especially in key metro centres. I object to the special housing overlays that is restricting diverse mixed use type housing in these key areas close to metro centres.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

I think people are willing to walk further. Special Character Area overlays should not compromise the development potential of the Walkable Catchments of the rapid transit stops - this is crucial to enabling More Homes in the Right Places and climate-responsive development. The Business - Mixed Use Zone should be used instead of a Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone, in the first 200 metres of the Walkable Catchment of Rapid Transit stops. This will support: The creation of new centres, at stops which aren't already in Town Centres, and in highly accessible locations on our public transport network; The development of 15-minute neighbourhoods centred around the rapid transit stop, enabling residents to access daily needs by walking, cycling and micro-mobility and to live lower carbon lifestyles.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre

(such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

I support upzoning adjacent to town centres with an upzoning to 800m - should take place around all Town Centres. This will support the development of 15-minute neighbourhoods centred around town and local centres, enabling residents to access everyday services and amenities, by walking, cycling and micro-mobility, living lower carbon lifestyles.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

It should be 400m around small town centres to increase the walkability friendly to reach services.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Special Character Area overlay should not compromise or limit development in Walkable Catchments around town / city centres or transport hubs.

I support protection of listed Heritage, and high quality, continuous SCA outside of Walkable catchments.

Auckland needs to enable More Homes in the Right Places. Special Character overlays in central suburbs - the areas with the greatest access to transport and existing amenities - are significantly limiting sustainable housing development and low emission neighbourhoods.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

The Special Character Area overlay should not compromise or limit development in Walkable Catchments

I support protection of listed Heritage, and high quality, continuous special character outside of Walkable catchments

With the climate emergency, Auckland Council needs to enable More Homes in the Right Places. Special Character overlays in central suburbs - the areas with the greatest access to transport and existing amenities - are significantly limiting sustainable housing development and low emission neighbourhoods.

Old homes were not built to modern standards of warmth and dryness, nor weather tightness. Replacing older homes, bungalows and villas with modern apartments will radically improve quality of life for residents, offering warmer, drier and healthier living environments.

I own an apartment on Dominion Road and support more mixed business and apartment type housing along this key transport route (future suface light rail hopefully) also Sandringham & Mt. Eden Roads.

It has been dreadful that people have used SCA as reasons to block progression of our city along these key linkages in the past.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

The Special Character Area overlay should not compromise or limit development in Walkable Catchments

I support protection of listed Heritage, and high, quality continuous special character outside of Walkable catchments

With the climate emergency, Auckland Council needs to enable More Homes in the Right Places. Special Character overlays in central suburbs - the areas with the greatest access to transport and existing amenities - are significantly limiting sustainable housing development and low emission neighbourhoods.

Business zones need flexibility to adapt in order to provide for the needs of a growing local population. This includes providing for local shops and services, as well as apartment-style housing above.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater

infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

It is the responsibility of the NZ Government, Auckland Council and its CCOs to deliver infrastructure in anticipation of future housing growth. It may be reasonable to have extremely limited areas qualified where it is impossible or extremely difficult to address long-term infrastructure constraints.

Wherever there is strong demand to live, and high accessibility, central and local Government agencies should endeavour to address infrastructure constraints to support development.

Intensification in existing urban areas is a more efficient use of infrastructure, compared to greenfield development. Prioritisation of infrastructure funding between greenfield growth and brownfield intensification needs to be considered.

With the climate emergency, investing in infrastructure upgrades to support development in existing urban areas - close to existing amenities and transport - is crucial to reduce transport and housing-related emissions. Auckland Council should minimise and avoid extensive greenfield development requiring new infrastructure in peripheral urban areas, locking-in higher emissions for households.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay

- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Further analysis of these other qualifying matters is required by Auckland Council to provide evidence that these Matters meet the legislative threshold to be applied.

The NPS-UD sets out that Qualifying Matters need to have identified Specific Characteristics that makes the level of intensification required by the NPS-UD and MDRS inappropriate in light of the national significance of urban development. Heights and density more restrictive than the NPS-UD catchment or MDRS can only be imposed to the extent that it affects the characteristic in question. Site by site evidence is required for qualifying matters, which includes justifying the extent of the area that it's applied to, as well as the sites that it applies to.

I support the protection of viewshafts of cultural and spiritual significance to mana whenua in Tāmaki Makaurau.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#3587

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Ann Melrose

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

None of St Marys Bay is within a walkable distance of 1200 metres to City Centre amenities which residents use. These include retail, entertainment facilities, rapid transit/public transport hubs. The walkable route to the City Centre beside the motorway, is only suitable in daylight hours. It has no public lighting and is an unsafe environment. Other return routes from the City Centre to St Marys Bay involve steep grades, unsuitable at night for the population cohorts of St Marys Bay.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?**

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

The closest rapid transit stop to St Marys Bay is Britomart or the future Victoria Street station. Both are not within 800 metres of St Marys Bay.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

None of the St Marys Bay suburb is within 400 metres of the City Centre. This means St Mary's Bay is beyond the walkable catchment threshold.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Within St Marys Bay there are over 200 properties of historic heritage value rated 6 and 5. I support creating Special Character Sub-Areas around clusters of heritage houses in St Marys Bay in addition to those identified on the draft maps.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

This technique should be expanded over the entire suburb of St Marys Bay collecting all houses of Rating 6, 5 & 4 as sub-areas of special character.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

St Marys Bay has significant infrastructure constraints with stormwater, wastewater and the overall road network. The upgrading of the stormwater/wastewater system and the planned

separation is based on the existing development capacity. Any proposed increase in residential density will not be accommodated in the proposed infrastructure upgrading.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

St Marys Bay is bounded to the north by an unstable former coastal edge which presents a coastal hazard that has not been considered as a qualifying matter as it should have been. This means that a suitable depth of protection is needed from the former coastal cliffs inland for at least 100 metres where intensification must be avoided to manage the hazard risk.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#3598

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Nitish Sharma

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#3662

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Monica Kershaw

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?**

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?**

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Close to CRL

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Reduce congestion on motorway network by providing more housing close to CBD

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Businesses provide employment and growth to cities

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

We can't keep sitting on our hands

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft

- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

The idea that housing cannot be built just to protect someone's view of maunga is quite frankly ridiculous

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#3671

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Vani Bury

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft

- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#3679

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Sharon Lim

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Opens up the city more with longer distance. Too congested and traffic will be congested also for shorter distances

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Too congested in a small area

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Gives the town its identity and history

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Too much red. Hardly any blue

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure is key. Can’t just build more homes and not have the infrastructure. That’s a path to failure . Need more roads and better public transport. Not cycleways which only 1.2% of population use. That’s not moving people en masse. No one wants a home without the ability to have a car . Open your ears and ears to reality.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#3698

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Laureen Leituala

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Makes sense to be close to anmemties such transport and shops.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

To encourage more people to take public transport more people need access not just people within the catchment

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** I don't know

Tell us why

At the moment the aesthetic of west Auckland especially around Henderson looks terrible and tall tower like buildings with no parking spaces means other work places lose parking spots because these types of buildings have no parking attached. Little thought has been taken.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** I don't know

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

As long as these homes character is retained and not altered too much.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

The problem is many terrace houses are already built and are putting huge pressure on water, waste water systems and traffic. Te Atatu South is an example of this strain.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across

areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#3703

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Bruce Hawkins

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Future intensification at this scale within reasonable expectations of residents on the city margins, injects additional population into the city centre increases vitality / viability as a living space not just working space, but have to manage human scale issues to achieve the balance between density and amenity

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

see above Future intensification at this scale within reasonable expectations of residents on the city margins, injects additional population into the city centre increases vitality / viability as a living space not just working space, but have to manage human scale issues to achieve the balance between density and amenity

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

see above Future intensification at this scale within reasonable expectations of residents on the city margins, injects additional population into the city centre increases vitality / viability as a living space not just working space, but have to manage human scale issues to achieve the balance between density and amenity

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Part of our cultural heritage but need to nuance this close to walkable catchments as many of these areas include special character areas that represent prime intensification opportunities

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are areas that should not be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Need to nuance this line to ensure prime intensification opportunities within walkable catchments are available for development

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Our cultural heritage

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Need to be practical about where the intensification opportunities lie; also acts as a lever for central government infrastructure investment if they are serious about up-zoning not just passing the buck to Local Government to cover their policy shifts

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Coastal Erosion Inundation / Erosion line. I support the precautionary approach adopted here but stress the need to include the latest Sea Level + Vertical Land Movement projections recently published by Searise NZ. See examples

I note that the current 2 level Residential Zoning developed at a high level have given rise to "pepper potting" of these zones. In cases where individual sites only just fall outside the line, e.g isolated properties adjoining or amidst the zone; these should be incorporated into the two level zones. See examples

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Preliminary response viewer for NPS-UD and MDRS
66 Spinnaker Drive, Te Atatu Per
Management layers
Walkable Catchment
Policy-3d Upzoning around Town and Local Centre Zones
Auckland Light Rail corridor - Zoning Under Investigation
Height Variation Control
Qualifying Matter layers (Group J Council - Identified)
Ridgeline Protection Overlay - Group J
Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft Overlay - Group J
Coastal Erosion - ASCIE 2130 (RCP8.5+)
Historic Heritage Extent of Place Overlay
Locally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts Overlay
National Grid Corridor Overlay
Zoning / Information layers
RTN Stop
Local Board boundaries
NPSUD Zone
Unitary Plan Zones - Operative in Part (16 Nov 2016)
Mayburn Rd
Wharf Rd
Hamurana Pl
Walpani Rd
Beach Rd
Spinnaker Dr
Fathom Pl
Compass Pl
Halyard Pl
Wakarea Ave
esri


SEA-LEVEL RISE
STORIES
CONTEXT
1201
Sea Level Rise Predictions by Decade
Projection to 2150
(medium confidence)
SSP Scenario
Add VLM
SSP1-1.9
SSP1-2.6
SSP2-4.5
SSP3-7.0
SSP5-8.5
+ VLM
Projection to 2300
(low confidence)
Metres (m)
2.1
1.8
1.5
1.2
0.9
0.6
0.3
0
2005
2030
2050
2070
2090
2110
2130
2150
This graph shows sea level rise with vertical land movement under potential climate change scenarios (lines) and likely confidence intervals (faded colour blocks) for each shared socio-economic pathway (SSP) in this area. NZ SeaRise projections use a baseline of 1995-2014 with a mid-point (zero) at ~2005.
NZ SEA RISE
Powered by Takiwā
Leaflet | © OpenStreetMap contributors, Tiles © Esri — Source: Esri

#3780

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: P N

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#3789

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Pui Yau

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Always raining in winter - unable to walk this far. Unless some shade or shelter can be made to facilitate walking

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Always raining in winter - unable to walk this far. Unless some shade or shelter can be made to facilitate walking

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Always raining in winter - unable to walk this far. Unless some shade or shelter can be made to facilitate walking

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Support but need to ensure one carparking can be provided to each unit (govt should make it mandatory for the developer)

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Support but need to ensure one carparking can be provided to each unit (govt should make it mandatory for the developer)

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Support but need to ensure one carparking can be provided to each unit (govt should make it mandatory for the developer)

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#3866

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: George Everts

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft

- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#3915

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Declan Cooke

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft

- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4172

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Edwin Schaufelberger

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

People chronically depend on cars - any walkable change to the city is a welcome one.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

A bit closer would mean a much larger improvement in convenience and thus lead to a much higher rate of people choosing to walk.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

The history of Auckland needs to be protected but development must also be encouraged to keep the city thriving.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

More maori related areas should be under qualifying matter and more of the city's smaller and historical alleyways may also be protected without much impact to any new transportation considerations.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4216

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Catherine MacDonald

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

1200 is a long distance when you are walking, have children, or are carrying more than just a small bag. This should be a smaller distance.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Around a metropolitan area that has amenities that people can walk to. Must be close to schools, public transport, grocery stores, doctors. chemists etc. Otherwise no do not agree with this.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

800 metres takes at least 10 minutes to walk. Auckland has horizontal rain. 10 minutes in the rain means turning up to work wet and getting sick. Agree with housing close to metropolitan areas, but just because there is a train station does not mean immediately the houses close by can be six levels. There has to be consideration of the amenities in the areas. Placing six level housing in say Sturges Road Train station or Sunnyvale Train Station is going to cause social issues and nothing else. There is no grocery stores in walkable distances, there is no infrastructure and the people will end up being in a slum. Also any distance has to be measured from the furthest train platform. I know where I live it takes more than 10 minutes to walk to the train platform that I would take to travel to Auckland Central, however my area has been considered to be within the 800mt zone. It is actually 900 metres from the train platform that the majority of people will use. The high, high density housing has to be placed near areas that are well supported with shopping centres, doctors, chemists, roading infrastructure, etc.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city

blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

This is where terraced housing etc should be. Close to amenities that can support more people.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

A small town centre can not support such intensification and would destroy the culture of that area.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government

is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

Does seem that the richer suburbs are getting this exemption so do not agree.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Qualifying matters needs to consider all infrastructure in the area. Most areas in Auckland can not support this intensification.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

Most areas in Auckland can not support such intensification.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4251

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Kirstin Botha

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

There are adequate pedestrian facilities and frequent enough public transport options within this catchment area for the 15 minute perimeter to be suitable.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

These proposed areas are not set up for the scale of intensity of housing like the city is. The infrastructure (roads, sewer works and drainage systems) will not be able to support the increased number of residents in these areas. Additionally, where there are limited public transport options from a 'metro' area (i.e. only limited buses such as at Westgate), residents in intensified housing will still need to rely on their private vehicles for transportation; which there will be inadequate parking for with the type of housing proposed.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

As long as there are sufficient and frequent enough public transport options to support the intensitfication of housing and residents within the area.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** I don't know

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

These ares should be left as is - 3 storey residential dwellings in a suburban area are adequate; not every suburb needs an apartment block.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

These older areas are the character of Auckland's history. They should be left as is and only houses 'in character' with the aesthetic of the original neighbourhoods should be allowed to remain.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Auckland's intrastructure is well behind adequately being able to support it's current population, let alone supporting more people. Focus and funding hould be put on upgrading and improving the current infrastructure rather than adding more and overloading it.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4257

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Rebecca Johnson

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4799

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Alenata Filipi

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

It should be closer. Dont want any tall building beside my house.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

800 is fine, no further than that

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4800

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Kafa Kalolo

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

It should be closer. Dont want any tall building beside my house.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

800 is fine, no further than that

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4801

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Wehi Kalolo

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

It should be closer. Dont want any tall building beside my house.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

800 is fine, no further than that

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4802

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Laine Kalolo

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

It should be closer. Dont want any tall building beside my house.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

800 is fine, no further than that

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4803

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Vefa Kalolo

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

It should be closer. Dont want any tall building beside my house.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

800 is fine, no further than that

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4804

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Taupule Kalolo

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

It should be closer. Dont want any tall building beside my house.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

800 is fine, no further than that

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4828

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Usitai Kaani

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4829

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Faatagi Kaani

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4830

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Famomoi Kaani

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4831

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Pae Kaani

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4832

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Taupati Lauaki

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4833

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Lasamoa Lauaki

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4867

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Munaalofa Faleasiu

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

The current housing crisis means more houses need to be build especially in Aucland

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

800 metres or 10 minutes walking distance should be reasonable, good for the health of our people as well

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4868

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Moloti Faleasiu

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

The current housing crisis means more houses need to be build especially in Aucland

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

800 metres or 10 minutes walking distance should be reasonable, good for the health of our people as well

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4869

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Iusitini Iusitini

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

The current housing crisis means more houses need to be build especially in Aucland

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

800 metres or 10 minutes walking distance should be reasonable, good for the health of our people as well

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4870

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Alesi Iusitini

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

The current housing crisis means more houses need to be build especially in Aucland

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

800 metres or 10 minutes walking distance should be reasonable, good for the health of our people as well

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4871

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Lemania Iusitini

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

The current housing crisis means more houses need to be build especially in Aucland

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

800 metres or 10 minutes walking distance should be reasonable, good for the health of our people as well

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4872

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Lovine Iusitini

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

The current housing crisis means more houses need to be build especially in Aucland

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

800 metres or 10 minutes walking distance should be reasonable, good for the health of our people as well

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4873

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Naomi Iusitino

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

The current housing crisis means more houses need to be build especially in Aucland

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

800 metres or 10 minutes walking distance should be reasonable, good for the health of our people as well

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4874

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Esther Iusitini

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

The current housing crisis means more houses need to be build especially in Aucland

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

800 metres or 10 minutes walking distance should be reasonable, good for the health of our people as well

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4875

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Calton Iusitini

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

The current housing crisis means more houses need to be build especially in Aucland

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

800 metres or 10 minutes walking distance should be reasonable, good for the health of our people as well

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4889

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Folose George

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4890

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Milton Nelson

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4891

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Perina Nelsom

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4892

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Nelson Jr

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4893

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Peneueta Jr

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4915

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Matele Funafuti

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4916

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Posala Pelosi

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4917

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Tesa Posala

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4918

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Vaisamoa Posala

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4919

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Fonotapu Posala

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4920

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Tongiola Posala

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4959

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Peeni Apelu

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4960

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Melelini Peeni

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4961

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Doughlas Peeni

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4962

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Talaga Doughlas

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4963

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Sutelu Peeni

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4964

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Sevelina Peeni

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4965

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Masemili Doughlas

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4966

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Musika Doughlas

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4967

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Fransis Doughlas

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4968

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Eletesi Doughlas

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4969

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Mola Doughlas

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4970

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Siaunofo Doughlas

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4971

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Joseph Doughlas

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4972

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Tapeva Doughlas

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4973

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Foekatea Sutelu

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4974

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Irene Sutelu

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4975

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Athanasia Ato

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4976

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: James Fakaua

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4977

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Lina James

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4978

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Logomu James

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4979

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Faifaiga Tekino

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5159

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Selu Vi

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

People need to be able to live nearby

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

it can be challenging with the rise in the population

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5160

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Fapiano Finau

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Care planning allows good growh of the populatoin

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Smart way of living in terms of walking distance to main centre

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5161

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Toetu'u Vaka'uta

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Development is progress

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

Can have affect on the current residence within the catchment area

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5162

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Sosefina Vaka'uta

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Development is good in the area but it does take a while

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

Like my husband I have observed the increase in traffice near multi-storied structures

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5163

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Poe Finau

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Preference for single level structure in this areas

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

It may increase rates as there will be more demands for local services

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5164

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Ngaloafe Nau

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

There are pros and cons for the proposal where it is beneficial for the groowing population and one hand we may end up like Sydney

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?**

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5166

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Kisi Ve'ehala

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

If the structure is designed well

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

With good designed buildings that allow change is good

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5167

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: 'Ulupano Finau

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

This has the possibility to raise the value of my property

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Maybe affordable for people to live in

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5168

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Filimone Matoto

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Solve the housing crises

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

That would only add to the congestion of the town

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5169

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Meletoto Matoto

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

People can still live in Auckland

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

Potential for hazard risk

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5170

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Paea Fainu

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Allows people who want to live and work in Auckland

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

Can be a 'high risk' on the safety aspect

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5171

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Malita Fainu

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Solve the housing crises

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

Overcrowded street parking

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5172

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Tuaileva Tatafu

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Good for the outer towns to benefit from the development

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

Thorough planning will help ensure safety for the community -

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5173

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Silia Tatafu

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Beneficial for the towns in the outer metro area

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Good planning will allow growth for the community

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5174

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Malalita Tautuiaki

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Gives growth in the area

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Beneficial for the growing community

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5177

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Kesipa Tuakapo

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Can allow people to still remain in Auckland, and being able to walk in the city is for those on a budget.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

Has to be affodable

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5178

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Cecelia Taukapo

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

The population is rising and would need to be near services that a close by

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Hopefully it attracts local businesses to invest locally

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5179

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Tomasi Fatai

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

It's the trend in growing cities

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

This will economic growth

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5180

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Pouono Fatai

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Accommodate the current increase of people wanting to remain and move into Auckland to be closer to their work and families

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

there is a potentional for more retirement villages or residences in the area.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5187

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: 'Ilaisaane Pome'e

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Meets the housing crisies

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

My have an effect and increase rates/rent

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5188

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Aloisio Fainu

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Good to have safe spaces

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

Well planned structures will attracgt people to the area

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5189

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Siale Fainu

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Need for more affordable accommodation near the city rim

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

With consideration of the type of residents that will be drwan to move into the area

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5255

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Susana Tongamohenoa

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

I guess it would be good, my family relies on transport to get us around

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

This will develop much needed services in the area that is accessible

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5258

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Tania Starr

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Solve the housing crises

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

This will develop much needed services in the area that is accessible

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5261

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Kosema Siokivaha

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Brings more work into the catchment area

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

This will develop much needed services in the area that is accessible

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5529

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: sensible plans in light of population growth John willcocks

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5590

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Inilon Dulfo

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

High traffic roads should be included in this., like great north road, around flowers on hilltop shop.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5614

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Lloyd Rankin

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft

- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5625

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Victoria Rankin

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5638

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Anna Rankin

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5682

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Craig Pauling

Name of organisation/business: Henderson Squash Club

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Please refer to attached letter.

We are in support of the intensification inside walkable catchments, however request the site at 63-67 Buscomb Ave be included within the intensification changes.

The site is within the walkable catchment but seems to have been missed in the re-zoning process.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Please refer to attached letter.

We are in support of the intensification inside walkable catchments, however request the site at 63-67 Buscomb Ave be included within the intensification changes.

The site is within the walkable catchment but seems to have been missed in the re-zoning process.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Please refer to attached letter.

We are in support of the intensification inside walkable catchments, however request the site at 63-67 Buscomb Ave be included within the intensification changes.

The site is within the walkable catchment but seems to have been missed in the re-zoning process.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Please refer to attached letter.

We are in support of the intensification inside walkable catchments, however request the site at 63-67 Buscomb Ave be included within the intensification changes.

The site is within the walkable catchment but seems to have been missed in the re-zoning process.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

Please refer to attached letter.

We are in support of the intensification inside walkable catchments, however request the site at 63-67 Buscomb Ave be included within the intensification changes.

The site is within the walkable catchment but seems to have been missed in the re-zoning process.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Please refer to attached letter.

We are in support of the intensification inside walkable catchments, however request the site at 63-67 Buscomb Ave be included within the intensification changes.

The site is within the walkable catchment but seems to have been missed in the re-zoning process.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Please refer to attached letter.

We are in support of the intensification inside walkable catchments, however request the site at 63-67 Buscomb Ave be included within the intensification changes.

The site is within the walkable catchment but seems to have been missed in the re-zoning process.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Please refer to attached letter.

We are in support of the intensification inside walkable catchments, however request the site at 63-67 Buscomb Ave be included within the intensification changes.

The site is within the walkable catchment but seems to have been missed in the re-zoning process.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Please refer to attached letter.

We are in support of the intensification inside walkable catchments, however request the site at 63-67 Buscomb Ave be included within the intensification changes.

The site is within the walkable catchment but seems to have been missed in the re-zoning process.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Please refer to attached letter.

We are in support of the intensification inside walkable catchments, however request the site at 63-67 Buscomb Ave be included within the intensification changes.

The site is within the walkable catchment but seems to have been missed in the re-zoning process.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Waitakere Architects & Project Managers Ltd

5C Pinot Lane, Massey, Auckland 0614
Tel: 64-9-832 0435
design@waitakerearchitects.co.nz

9th May 2022

Auckland Council
Private Bag 92300
Victoria Street West
AUCKLAND 1142

Re: **Preliminary Response to NPS-UD and MDRS Planning Changes**
Re: Henderson Squash Club
63-67 Buscomb Ave, Henderson, Auckland 0610

We are the Architects and Project Managers for the Henderson Squash Club.
We are writing on their behalf in response to the proposed changes to the Unitary Plan as required by the National Policy Statement on Urban Development (NPS-UD) and the governments Medium Density Residential Standards (MDRS).

The site is currently owned and occupierd by the Henderson Squash Club and is zoned Residential Mixed Housing Urban under the current operative Unitary Plan. The currently released NPS-UD and MDRS proposal is to leave the site zoning unchanged from the existing.

We are formally writing to request that this site be reviewed by Auckland Council with regards to including it within the surrounding area changes to the Terraced Housing & Apartment Building Zone (THAB).

We are currently reviewing the master planning of the club and development potential of the site with the intent to assist with the longer-term financial stability of the club and potential income streams to ensure the club can be maintained and continue to support the local community for future generations.

The zone change to a THAB zone will greatly increase the viability of the site development and will support the underlying principles of the MDRS and NPS-UD changes. We are in general agreement with these coming changes and the future development / densification of the city.

With review of the current release of proposed changes to the plan and we would like to make the following comments with regards to the above site:

1. The existing site currently borders the THAB zone,
2. The proposal modifies all surrounding sites to be included within the THAB zone.
3. It appears the club's site has been mistakenly missed as part of the changes.
4. The site falls within the proposed "Walkable Catchment" area of public transport and Henderson metropolitan centre area.

5. It appears to be the only site within the walkable catchment area that has not had a proposed zone change to THAB as a minimum density.
6. The site is approximately 2 hectares in size and is in a key location, prime for development in line with the proposed density increase targeted with the MDRS & NSP-UD.
7. The site borders the Waikumete Stream and Opanuku Stream.
8. It is connected either side by public open space zones. Perfect to develop for community use as part of the master planning process.
9. The site (over the stream) provides connection to the council owned community spaces of:
    - Tui Glen Reserve & Playground
    - Cranwell park & stream walkway
    - Chilcott Brae Reserve and stream walkway
    - West Wave Pool centre
10. The site connects (via the open space zoned walkway) the Central Park – Trusts Arena to the main Henderson centre area.
    - Additionally, it provides a key connection to the public space areas from the Waitakere Hospital grounds.

As noted from the above opinion and comments you will see the site is fully in line with the proposed NPS-UD and MDRS changes. It is our opinion that the site has simply been missed as part of the re-zoning process.

We thank and appreciate your time in reviewing this feedback submission and reassessing the site with regards to a THAB zone change as part of the proposal.

Should you have any questions please do not hesitate to contact myself anytime.

Yours faithfully
WAITAKERE ARCHITECTS & PROJECT MANAGERS LTD

Craig Pauling *(Master of Architecture Professional)*
Director
021 345 446

#5801

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Billie Zagni

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

City centres are appropriate for a mix of commercial buildings and 6 storey buildings as it is unlikely to impact people's ability to enjoy their residential homes

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

It is likely that an 800 metre catchment would necessitate the removal of peoples homes including character homes that are a part of Auckland's identity. A closer catchment is likely to involve the removal of more commercial-type buildings to make way for 6 storey apartments. In more residential areas, 6 storey buildings will have a significant impact on adjacent houses - they cast huge shade so that homes become sunless. This has implications for healthy homes, garden productivity, birdlife, sense of community, and psychological wellbeing

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Other

Tell us why

I do not support the erection of 6 storey buildings in residential areas. It is completely unacceptable and totally insensitive to the needs of current residents and their entitlement to enjoy their established homes and their neighbourhood. 6 storey buildings affect the liveability of their existing properties eg sunless, gloomy and unhealthy homes, expensive to heat in winter, habitat destruction and erosion of current community relationships. It is divisive and creates community conflict among those who want to sell and those who want to retain their homes. 6 storey apartments next door changes everything about living in our neighbourhoods. People won't be able to live in their homes with any kind of certainty. People will constantly be on edge that a neighbouring property will be developed and will have negative effects on their own home and lives.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

I do not support the destruction of residential areas to make way for 6 storey buildings. A closer distance is less likely to involve individual residences. So many areas, like Te Atatu Peninsula, do not have the infrastructure to support high density housing.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

I do not support the destruction of residential areas to make way for 6 storey buildings. A closer distance is less likely to involve individual residences

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

‘Special character areas’ are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland’s older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Areas will not not cope with high density housing if the infrastructure is not in place. The infrastructure is not in place in Te Atatu Peninsula to support high density housing. The public transport is poor and there is only one road in and one road out. Living in the area will be a nightmare. People will be forced to move out of areas they love, and are well established in.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5802

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: philip botting

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

this seem to be a good ballance

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

1200 as well

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

1200 as well

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

this can be further and closer - the edge needs to be soft. Additionally urban development in and around town centres needs to cater to diverse housing types and a mixture of uses rather the a

segregated approach. Town centres need to be able to develop in such a way that a sensible mixture of commercial/retail/residential/community can develop. Zones need to be fluid and have fuzzy edges to promote organic development. Somethings just cannot be designed by instead must be facilitation to evolve naturally.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

this can be further and closer - the edge needs to be soft. Additionally urban development in and around town centres needs to cater to diverse housing types and a mixture of uses rather the a segregated approach. Town centres need to be able to develop in such a way that a sensible mixture of commercial/retail/residential/community can develop. Zones need to be fluid and have fuzzy edges to promote organic development. Somethings just cannot be designed by instead must be facilitation to evolve naturally.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

There are multiple "areas of character" in Auckland that have never received the protection of council and my suspicion is that the emphasis on certain areas that are perceived to be of greater value is at least heavy influenced by the high proportion of people of privilege and power living in these areas. This is preventing a large part of the population from enjoying the benefits of proximity to amenity and prevents genuine diversity. We need to be very critical of the value of these areas and the benefit they hold for the greater population of the city. Maybe some of these areas provide less benefit as special character areas that we are will to accept and perhaps of areas of the city deserve consideration as well.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Other

Tell us why

If this is to be the case then the bias that the central downtown receives will need to be diluted and more need to be done to decentralise and bolster suburban centres that are going to be asked to take the brunt of the development of the city. Stop any increase in floor area in the centre as this will be entirely counter productive with the inability to add meaningful density to the city fringe. This seem to be a contradiction to the "walkable catchment"

The west, east and south of the city need to be supported with great investment and incentivising for businesses.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Other

Tell us why

If this is to be the case then the bias that the central downtown receives will need to be diluted and more need to be done to decentralise and bolster suburban centres that are going to be asked to take the brunt of the development of the city. Stop any increase in floor area in the centre as this will be entirely counter productive with the inability to add meaningful density to the city fringe. This seem to be a contradiction to the "walkable catchment"

The west, east and south of the city need to be supported with great investment and incentivising for businesses.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

this may be a indirect mechanism for maintaining diversity of building type.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5880

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Bradford Dibble

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Too many desirable comfortable houses will be demolished.

Are New Zealanders now limited to a dream of a shoe box to live in.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

If you have been to cities like Buenos Aires and seen the 6-8 story buildings block after block with soviet style elegance you will want a urgent visa out of the country. Auckland low rise suburbs make the city. The unity plan was already upsizing near transport links..this is an overkill.

More high rises more crime..less grass more crime..people need room to live.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Dont overburden the current infrastructure.

Target suburbs where it is realistic to improve infrastructure.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how

they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

All of the above must be considered in building heights

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5969

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Khaz Grey

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Other

Tell us why

I think Council should be telling Central Govt (Corporation) to get stuffed thank you. The people who own this country (that is righ-ALL OF US) have not agreed to this utter monstrosity of a plan / idea

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

As above [I think Council should be telling Central Govt (Corporation) to get stuffed thank you. The people who own this country (that is righ-ALL OF US) have not agreed to this utter monstrosity of a plan / idea]

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

This is a non negotiable ....GOVT should not in any way be overriding previous protections of historic nature ...and this includes Auckland Council too

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay

- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

We the people did NOT sign up to any agenda 30 Smart City bullshit ....how dare this corrupt corporation we have destroy our country ..... everyone involved in this either needs to wake up quick smart and realise what a scam this all is or be removed from their roles....

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5980

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Richard Haakma

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Need to intensify the isthmus

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Need to intensify the isthmus

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Character areas need to be much smaller in size than they are now. There needs to be more density in the isthmus.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are areas that should not be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

It is OK to have some smaller areas as character areas, not whole suburbs and not large parts of the isthmus. The isthmus needs to be allowed to have more density.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think less areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

More density needs to be allowed in the isthmus.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how

they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

I support the Auckland War Memorial Museum viewshaft. I support allowing sunlight to public spaces such as Aotea Square and Albert Park.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#6049

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Alexander Jane

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

This should be closer to the city centre not further. There should be limits/caps on some suburbs that have only one road in and out. these suburbs cannot hold much more people. It already has become a problem and this will increase in some of these suburbs to the point of no return. I get that we want to build more houses but we must STOP in areas like Te Atatu Peninsula where this is the case. There are only a number or schools/doctors, supermarket, petrol station, dentist etc.

This has to stop in this area. You are ruining this area and making us live like sardines. Plus the houses that you are proposing are only three bedrooms max. So what is a family with three children supposed to do? or 2 children? With more and more people working from home too. These houses are not sustainable for the current family. Where is the duty of care? Where should they move to? further out of Auckland?

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

There should be limits/caps on some suburbs that have only one road in and out. these suburbs cannot hold much more people. It already has become a problem and this will increase in some of these suburbs to the point of no return. I get that we want to build more houses but we must STOP in areas like Te Atatu Peninsula where this is the case. There are only a number or schools/doctors, supermarket, petrol station, dentist etc. This has to stop in this area. You are ruining this area and making us live like sardines. Plus the houses that you are proposing are only three bedrooms max. So what is a family with three children supposed to do? or 2 children? With more and more people working from home too. These houses are not sustainable for the current family. Where is the duty of care? Where should they move to? further out of Auckland?

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more)

within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

You are ruining the suburbs and these beautiful old homes. They have been around for decades and decades and decades. Why destroy history why destroy character houses. Why destory house that are bigger than 3 bedrooms to fit 8 houses on the same property that can only have 3 bedrooms max and half the floor area? This needs to stop.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Area's like Te Atatu Peninsula should be considered if not already. This suburb in particular is loosing its character due to the amount of 3storey properties being built. The infrastructure is failing

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#6087

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Niki Bailey

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Other

Tell us why

I don't like the way that the areas are so far from Auckland's CBD. We live in West Auckland and there is a huge amount of intensification going on but we don't have the infrastructure for it. Our roads can't cope let alone sewerage, water supplies, internet, power, etc.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

As above - I think the outer edges of Auckland are being intensified far too much

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** I don't know

Tell us why

We've got to see rapid transit happen first

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

I don't support - these 'large town centres' don't have the infrastructure to support this intensification.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

I don't support - these 'large town centres' don't have the infrastructure to support this intensification.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

If you are going to take 'special character areas' into consideration I'm assuming places like Mt Eden won't be allowed to intensify and yet they are in a much better location than further west where our roads are already creaking under the weight of additional vehicles.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

I couldn't find any on the map

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

I couldn't find any on the map

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Interesting that you haven't identified these - so at the moment anyone can build anywhere and you'll wait (???) until the infrastructure fails to decide it couldn't cope?

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#6139

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Megan Harvey

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

I think you're underestimating how far people are able and willing to walk. I have colleagues who walk 30 mins or more to and from work, and that's not seen as unusual.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

I used to walk 20 minutes for a bus, and that was a reasonable walk for a commute.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Definitely needs to be a larger catchment area.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

We desperately need more housing, so we should extend the area of upzoning.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

I work in heritage. I know that most of the houses in the SCAs would not qualify under our heritage laws.

We don't need to save 20,000 villas in the central city - we need more townhouses and apartments.

We should remove the SCAs, apart from one or two streets (like the one that only contains state houses) that we protect under existing heritage laws. The upporters of SCAs are just rich people scared of getting new neighbours who would 'lower the tone' of the neighbourhood.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

I don't want this to be used as an excuse not to develop the inner city suburbs. This is where we desperately need more housing.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#6229

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Karen Trevor

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

This is too far for a comfortable walk in all weathers and for the wide range of ages, elderly to young and should be from the city centre not from the edge.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

This should be from the city centre not from the edge of the centre, same reason as previous question.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Again this needs to be a comfortable distance for all ages. Still too far for the very young and the elderly. I would say 600 metres or a 5 minute walk or thereabouts.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

QM should also apply to other areas for example developments where parklike qualities were implemented when built such as no fencing permitted to retain those special character neighbourhoods, not necessarily due to heritage status. Probably other examples too. Auckland is in danger of becoming a souless city.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how

they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

In respect of MDRS there should be:

1. limited development of small sections, say 600 m2 or less which would have boundary effects on neighbours.

2. where no parking provided for on site, restrictions should apply to car congestion on suburban roads, say one side of road only. Parking on roadside can limit access for emergency vehicles.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#6394

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Lisa Leyland

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

800m should be the maximum anyone would walk. You need to consider young people and old people also, and they certainatly wouldn't walk 1.2km. A realistic distance is no more than 800m.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

This is a fair distance, but not further.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

I think it should be 500m. Any further is simply too far.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

It depends what you mean by high accessibility but yes 200m is a good distance.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

All suburbs in Auckland have special character. It is not fair that the Remuera and Ponsonby suburbs get to have this overlay to reduce density. These suburbs are the suburbs that should have the most density, not all the outer suburbs that do not have adequate infrastructure or adequate public transport. Eliminate special character, or apply it to all suburbs.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

All suburbs in Auckland have special character. It is not fair that the Remuera and Ponsonby suburbs get to have this overlay to reduce density. These suburbs are the suburbs that should have the most density, not all the outer suburbs that do not have adequate infrastructure or adequate public transport. Eliminate special character, or apply it to all suburbs.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Te Atatu Peninsula does not have anywhere near enough infrastructure to allow intensive development. Seweage regularly flows into the Henderson Creek every time in rains, so the entire west side of Te Atau Peninsula needs this overlay over the top of it.

Similary there is no adequate public trasnsport into the Peninsula with only one way in and out . It is a dead end, and any development should be severly restricted.

The 3 house buffer of single house zoning should be retained on the West Side of Te Atatu Peninsula. This is becuase there are reverse sensitivity issues arising from the Transfer station on the other side of Henderson Creek, and also because a lot of the area around Thomas Ave and Bridgens Ave and Springbank Lane is ex landfill and unstable land. It should not be developed, as rubbish is already seeping out. You need to restrict development where it it is currently, by keeping it a single house zoning

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

You need to add a reverse sensitivity qualifying matter. This is particulary in relation to the West Side of Te Atatu Peninsula fronting Henderson Creek. The refuse station is opposite this area and emits terrible smells and noises, and the dog pound is also in the same area, and compounds the issue. Increasing development here in what is a buffer of at least 3 layers of single house zone is only going to increase complaints and nothing will be able to be done about it.

To compound matters, the areas around Thomas Ave , Bridgens Ave and Springbank Lane are an ex landfill and that should be a qulaifying matter. There are already massive slips at the end of sections in Springbank Lane Te Atatu Peinsula and allowing more development is going to result in serious erosion and slips for which the council will be liable.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#6446

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Susie Trinh

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

The definition of walkable catchment doesn't take into account topography, gradient, condition of footpath and working lifts at train stations.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

The definition of walkable catchment doesn't take into account topography, gradient, condition of footpath and working lifts at train stations. Walkable is not the same as wheelable. I would struggle to get that far with my toddler in a pram and our shopping.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

The definition of walkable catchment doesn't take into account topography, gradient, condition of footpath and working lifts at train stations. Walkable is not the same as wheelable. I would struggle to get that far with my toddler in a pram and our shopping.

The train station lifts are not fit for purpose. They're too small for bikes and mobility scooters.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

The large town centres identified don't have high accessibility. They don't consider mobility issues.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Town centres identified don't have high accessibility for mobility. I'm concerned about access for fire and emergency in pedestrian access developments. Walkable catchments are not wheelable catchments. Risk of injury for those carrying prams up stairs with shopping when trying to access the other side of town and train stations when lifts are often out of order.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government’s direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

‘Special character areas’ are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland’s older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Please include mobility and accessibility as a qualifying matter.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#6483

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Hussain Ebrahim

Name of organisation/business: Cassiny Limited

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Please refer to attached submission

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Please refer to attached submission

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Please refer to attached submission

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Please refer to attached submission

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Please refer to attached submission

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

N/A to subject site

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Other

Tell us why

N/A to subject site

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Other

Tell us why

N/A to subject site

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

N/A to subject site

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Please refer to attached submission

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

FEEDBACK BY CASSINY LIMITED ON AUCKLAND COUNCIL'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE TO THE NPS-UD AND MDRS

9 May 2022

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 My name is Mark Robert Weingarth. I am a Director of Plan Co. NZ Limited, a planning consultancy firm. My relevant qualifications and experience are summarised in **Annexure A**.

New Avenues

1.2 This feedback is on behalf of Cassiny Ltd who has an interest in land at 16 Babich Road, Henderson Valley (LOT 2 DP 43647) and intends to develop the currently vacant land.

2.0 SCOPE OF FEEDBACK

2.1 This feedback is made following Auckland Council's commencement of informal consultation on their initial / preliminary response to the zoning changes required by the National Policy Statement on Urban Development 2020 (NPS-UD) and the Medium Density Residential Standards (MDRS) in the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act 2021 (Enabling Act).

2.2 The feedback addresses the following matters:

(a) The context of 16 Babich Road (subject site), the urban nature of the local area and how the NPS-UD and MDRS apply to this urban environment

(b) The Council's proposed changes for the subject site

(c) The relevance of the Babich Sub Precinct A

(d) The outcomes sought by this feedback

(e) Concluding comments

3.0 FEEDBACK

Babich Urban Environment

3.1 The subject site is located within the urban environment side the Rural Urban Boundary (RUB) and is currently zoned in part Residential - Single House Zone and in part Residential – Large Lot Zone . The site is also subject to the Babich Precinct, with part of the site located in sub-precinct A. There are no other Unitary Plan overlays or precincts of relevance applied to the subject site, however the site is located in the Stormwater Management Area Control - LINCOLN, Flow 1. It is also worth noting that the site has a current valid subdivision consent for in excess of 40 lots across the Single House and Large Lot zones.

3.2 Land to the north, south, east and west of the site has been developed over the last several years. There are large land holdings surrounding the site that are anticipated to be developed in time being large undeveloped sites with a residential zoning. A small pocket of Residential Large Lot zoned land is at the centra of the vacant sections which does not follow any obvious natural contour within the site and it is therefore assumed to be an anomaly of a zoning that may well have been rolled over from the legacy Waitakere District Plan.

3.3 Other significant developments in close proximity to the subject site include various multiple unit subdivision and developments under the Unitary Plan and the Ranui Train Station some 800m to the north. Land to the north of the site is zoned a combination of Residential – Mixed Housing Urban and Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Building Zone reflecting the proximity to the train station.



Figure 1 – Local Context Plan

3.4 Following on from the site specific and immediate environment comments under sections 3.1 – 3.3, on a wider scale the site forms part of the urban environment with commercial, social, economic and cultural services available to its growing population. Reticulated infrastructure is provided for the urban catchment and it forms part of an existing residential environment with a population that exceeds 5000 persons. As such, the area is considered to be an urban environment for the purposes of the NPS-UD and will also be subject to the changes in zoning explicitly required by the Enabling Act. This is reflected by the Council's preliminary response GIS viewer in which the majority of the Single House Zone land surrounding the subject site is proposed to change from Single House Zone to either Residential – Mixed Housing Urban (modified) or Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Building Zone.

The proposed changes for the subject site

3.5 The subject site is identified as part of a parcel of land, generally consisting of the Babich Precinct, that is 'Under Investigation' according to the Council's Preliminary Response Viewer. As such, there is no up-zoning of land proposed at this time. The consultation viewer and supporting documentation suggests that a precinct (and its applicable provisions) may be capable of meeting the threshold of a 'qualifying matter' under the Enabling Act. This is discussed further below.

The relevance of the Babich 1 Precinct

3.6 Whilst not explicitly stated as part of the Council's consultation, it appears that the Council considers that the inclusion of an area of urban land within a precinct under the Operative (in part) Unitary Plan may constitute a 'qualifying matter' that would limit intensification under the Enabling Act and therefore the use of the Medium Density Residential Standards (MDRS) in the future.

3.7 We do not consider the Babich Precinct (or any other matter) constitutes a qualifying matter that would appropriately limit the intensification of the subject site and surrounding area. Furthermore, it is also considered that the Council should take the opportunity as part of the future Plan Change to rezone the small parcel of Residential – Large Lot zoned land (whilst noting that is not a requirement of the Act) for more appropriate residential intensification reflecting its proximity to local services and the nature of the immediate environment. The reasons for this include:

3.8 Qualifying matters are defined as:

(a) a matter of national importance that decision makers are required to recognise and provide for under section 6:

(b) a matter required in order to give effect to a national policy statement (other than the NPS-UD) or the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010:

(c) a matter required to give effect to Te Ture Whaimana o Te Awa o Waikato—the Vision and Strategy for the Waikato River:

(d) a matter required to give effect to the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act 2000 or the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area Act 2008:

(e) a matter required for the purpose of ensuring the safe or efficient operation of nationally significant infrastructure:

(f) open space provided for public use, but only in relation to land that is open space:

(g) the need to give effect to a designation or heritage order, but only in relation to land that is subject to the designation or heritage order:

(h) a matter necessary to implement, or to ensure consistency with, iwi participation legislation:

(i) the requirement in the NPS-UD to provide sufficient business land suitable for low density uses to meet expected demand:

(j) any other matter that makes higher density, as provided for by the MDRS or policy 3, inappropriate in an area, but only if section 77L is satisfied.
N.B – Section 77L requires (among other things) an assessment of site specific matters and characteristics tied back to the national significance of urban development and the objectives and policies of the NPS-UD in order to meet the threshold of being considered a qualifying matter.

3.9 No qualifying matters are applicable to the subject site; nor are any qualifying matters generally applicable with respect to the wider Babich Precinct. As outlined above, the subject site is not subject to any current Unitary Plan overlay relating to matters of national importance, there are no matters of national importance associated with the subject site, the site is not zoned for open space or business purposes, there are no heritage orders or designations relevant to the site, the site is not subject to any hazards and iwi have are aware of the site's current subdivision consent which can be given effect to. Furthermore, the site is not unusual or out of the ordinary in comparison to any other urban site in the local and wider Babich area and there are no material infrastructure restrictions with the site.

3.10 The appropriateness of the subject site and the wider Precinct for intensification is supported by the evident and recent intensification of the area, including the recent developments in the Residential MHU & THAB zoned land to the north and from the approval of subdivision consent on the subject site itself. In particular, should any of the above "qualifying matter" limitations have been associated with the Babich Precinct then the consenting and intensification of the precinct in recent years would likely not have been viewed favourably by the Council and issued the consents to develop.

3.11 In regards to the assessment of objectives and policies of the NPS-UD as required under s77L to support the use of qualifying matters, it is noted that

the objectives and policies strongly favour the development of well functioning urban environments that promote housing affordability and that result in liveable environments that adapt to the changing needs of the population over time. The objectives and policies do not seek to hinder the development of urban land and do not promote the protection of existing character or previous planning decisions on an area's zoning over the directive to intensify and make better use of existing urban environments. Therefore, at a high level it is not considered that there are any other matters relevant or appropriate that would justify the Council citing the Babich precinct (or any of its associated provisions) as constituting a qualifying matter, thereby excluding the subject site (or the Precinct more generally) from future intensification consistent with the intent of the NPS-UD and the Enabling Act.

3.12 It is therefore concluded that there are no qualifying matters applicable to the subject site – or the wider Bbaich Precinct generally – and consequently the subject site should/must be included in the re-zoning of the wider urban environment to Residential – Mixed Housing Urban (modified).

The outcomes sought by this feedback

3.13 In summary, the outcome sought by Cassiny Ltd is that the Babich Precinct be included in the future plan change relating to the NPS-UD and Enabling Act as Residential – Mixed Housing Urban (modified) including the area of Residential – Large Lot zone, and in particular the subject site 16 Babich Road.

4.0 CONCLUSION

4.1 The subject site is located within an area already experiencing considerable intensification. As such, the local and immediate environment of the subject site is one of change, thereby demonstrating the suitability of the environment to accommodate intensification.

4.2 The intensification of the subject site and the wider Precinct is not inhibited by any qualifying matters as set out in the Enabling Act, and in particular there are no matters of national important associated with the subject site. Neither are there any out of the ordinary or unusual characteristics of the site or the Precinct more generally that would suggest the application of 'other matters' (consistent with s77L of the Enabling Act) would apply in this case.

4.4 As such, based on the above it is concluded that the subject site and the wider Babich Precinct should be included in the Council's future plan change to re-zone the currently Residential – Single House zone and Residential – Large Lot zone to Residential – Mixed Housing Urban (modified). The presence of an existing Unitary Plan precinct is not an obstruction to this.

Cassiny Ltd
C/o Mark Weingarth
mark@planco.co.nz

9 May 2022

ANNEXURE A: AUTHOR'S QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE

I hold the qualification of Bachelor of Arts in Planning Studies and Diploma in Town Planning plus a Certificate in Regeneration from Oxford Brookes University in the UK. I am an Associate member of the New Zealand Planning Institute.

I have 19 years of experience in planning and resource management. My experience has included work in both the private and public sectors, and has encompassed a full range of resource management matters including preparation and assessment of resource consent applications and development plan development and implementation.

I have appeared as a witness at Council hearings and before the Environment Court, relating to a range of resource consent matters.

I have previously held the position of Team Leader and Lead Consent & Performance Specialist with Auckland Council's Central Resource Consent Unit. In these roles I oversaw a section of the Council that had responsibility for processing a range of resource consent applications including district and regional land use consent applications, discharge consents, coastal permits and subdivision consents involving a diverse range of matters including small and large scale urban developments (both commercial and residential), heritage protection, coastal walkway and large scale infrastructure projects. A number of such application required my attendance at resource consent hearings where technical planning input was provided to both processing planners and the hearing panel.

#6485

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Joseph Erceg

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

I think it should be further. It should be a 30 minute walk. Alot of the cities jobs are in this area so should have the greatest density.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

10 minute walk is what most people are willing to do. Biking is also an option. There needs to be more local jobs in these town centres not just retail and restaurants.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

10 minute walk is what most people are willing to do. Walkable catchments near rapid transit also need to have more commercial zones eg Sunnyvale train station, Sturges road train station.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

These places need a walk up catchment for the businesses there.

I think too much density is being proposed away from town centres and rapid transport. Currently there is too much density occurring where the only option is to drive a car places especially out west and south Auckland. More density needs to be occurring in the central city and places where people want to live and work.

There also needs to be a better strategy for the creation of more parks based on per head of population and access in walkable distance. Subdivisions are still being created with little allowance for parks.

There needs to be a better strategy around increasing the amount of trees in the city. They cannot all just go in parks and along roads as there is no space to put them along roads.

There also needs to be more measures to protect commercial zones turning in to residential zones. More commercial zones need to be around rapid transport. People need more local jobs so their commutes aren't so long.

There also needs to be tighter design criteria for density. Already there has been too many poorly designed townhouses and apartments especially in South Auckland.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

These places need a walk up catchment for the businesses there.

I think too much density is being proposed away from town centres and rapid transport. Currently there is too much density occurring where the only option is to drive a car places especially out west and south Auckland. More density needs to be occurring in the central city and places where people want to live and work.

There also needs to be a better strategy for the creation of more parks based on per head of population and access in walkable distance. Subdivisions are still being created with little allowance for parks.

There needs to be a better strategy around increasing the amount of trees in the city. They cannot all just go in parks and along roads as there is no space to put them along roads.

There also needs to be more measures to protect commercial zones turning in to residential zones. More commercial zones need to be around rapid transport. People need more local jobs so their commutes aren't so long.

There also needs to be tighter design criteria for density. Already there has been too many poorly designed townhouses and apartments especially in South Auckland.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

I do not support the amount of special character homes in walkable areas being retained. The number of qualifying homes has to be reduced. It is important to persevere heritage but not just old homes. 20,000 old homes persevered is too many. There needs to be greater density in the city centre and areas where people want to live and where the jobs are. Density should not just be occurring in the west and south.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are areas that should not be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think less areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

Possibly. It would really depend on which areas get identified. Ones in the central city and within good walkable catchments should have the infrastructure built.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

I support the existing built form controls in City Centre.

I support the Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft and other viewshafts of cultural significance.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#6520

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Dale Spittle

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

I encourage Council to extend the walkable catchment to 2400 metres (30 minutes) for the City Centre. I would also encourage the use of Business - Mixed Use Zone instead of a Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to allow greater mixed use.

For many, walking is the default mode of transport with the 2018 census noting that at least 10% of people living in the central suburbs already walking to work. This is despite the existing safety and pollution issues that many pedestrians face, which suggests that it could rise much higher if it was encouraged, enabled and supported by fit for purpose infrastructure. We also need to consider the positive impact of micromobility which is further reducing distances and helping connect public transport and active modes. Limiting the catchment to 15 mins will significantly reduce the potential housing that could be developed near the city centre

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

I encourage Council to increase the walkable catchments for the Metropolitan Centres in the isthmus (Newmarket, Sylvia Park) to 1600 metres (20 minutes) and 800 metres (10 minutes) for other Metropolitan Centres. Both Newmarket and Sylvia Park are vital commercial and retail centres that have good transport links, which can support greater growth.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

I encourage Council to increase the walkable catchments for Rapid Transit Stops to 1600 metres (20 minutes) for Rapid Transit Stops. This is a relatively short distance to access frequent, reliable public transport and would enable much greater growth in areas that can support it. Retrofitting rapid transit into other parts of the city will always be complex and expensive, so we must better utilise what we already have and are planning to deliver. I strongly encourage Council to ensure that the definition of Rapid Transit in implementing the NPS-UD includes high bus priority areas.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre

(such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

I support upzoning adjacent to town centres - enabling people to live near town centres will reduce our transport needs and improve the wellbeing of residents. The pandemic has reminded us how important it is to have easy access to the essentials without needing to travel across town. I encourage Council to consider extending the upzoning to a distance of 800m around all Town Centres defined by Council as 'large + high accessibility', as well as all other town centres on the isthmus.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

I support upzoning adjacent to town and local centres. However, I encourage Council to extend the upzoning up to 400m around all Town Centres which have have high accessibility. While our city is growing, we still have an opportunity to build in 15-minute neighbourhoods through the use of mixed use zoning close to town centres.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

I fully support genuine moves to protect our history including listed Heritage buildings, but do not support the protection of entire suburbs simply because they are old.

While I appreciate that this is a complex issue with limited time and resources, the approach that Auckland Council has taken to identify these buildings is too broad, leading to perverse outcomes. While there are suburbs of Auckland that have a number of historic houses that deserve protection, claiming an entire suburb needs protection is disingenuous. While we must protect the important historical buildings, not everything that was built in these neighbourhoods needs automatic protection.

Special Character Areas relies heavily on the concept of collective value, failing to recognise the importance of place and building, and creating the impression that suburbs that are not protected are at risk. In reality we need a more nuanced approach, that recognises the need for our city to grow and develop, while respecting where we've come from. There are plenty of good examples in New Zealand and around the world, where historic buildings are redeveloped in a way that respects the past while enabling them to remain current.

Finally, the Special Character overlays are focused on the central suburbs - the areas with the greatest access to transport and existing amenities. We must make better use of our existing infrastructure, while we close the significant deficit seen across the city.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

As noted above, I fully support genuine moves to protect our history including listed Heritage buildings, but do not support the protection of entire suburbs simply because they are old.

Older homes were not built to modern standards of warmth and dryness, nor weather tightness. Replacing older homes and villas with modern apartments will radically improve quality of life for residents, offering warmer, drier and healthier living environments.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

I support the extremely limited use of infrastructure constraints where there is no other viable alternative. However, this cannot be used as an excuse and must be the option of last resort. I am deeply concerned that this is too open for abuse, given the poor state of the infrastructure in our city. There are few neighbourhoods that could claim they have no issues.

We know there are parts of Auckland where the infrastructure cannot cope with current demands, flooding streets and homes when there is heavy rain. Beaches become unswimmable as waste floods into our harbours. As our city continues to grow these issues continue to compound,

increasing the risk of serious, long-term environmental damage. Watercare in particular has failed to identify, contain and resolve these issues, creating real concern that intensification will only lead to more issues.

It should be noted that these aren't new concerns - in East Auckland, the Manukau City Council apparently had a moratorium on intensification due to the lack of infrastructure but decades later, little has been done to address the gaps. Council must take proactive action to address these infrastructure gaps while investing across the city to build capacity for growth. Retrofitting infrastructure is expensive, disruptive and unpopular - we must make better use of our existing infrastructure and ensure that all new developments include sufficient infrastructure to support the planned growth. Intensification in existing urban areas is a more efficient use of infrastructure, compared to greenfield development. Prioritisation of infrastructure funding between greenfield growth and brownfield intensification needs to be considered.

If this is included as a qualifying matter, Council must ensure that there is a strict and transparent process to ensure it is not abused. Areas that are identified as requiring limited development must have a robust plan developed to address the gaps, including timeframes and funding. Long-term and permanent use should be extremely rare and only where there is no other alternative.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

I support the Stockade Hill viewshaft protection which was secured after a long, expensive battle by local residents. It should be noted that this was established under the previous zoning rules and it should be reviewed to ensure that the existing overlay will continue to protect the views of the Waitematā.

I support the protection of viewshafts of cultural and spiritual significance to mana whenua in Tāmaki Makaurau.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#6542

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Iris Teoh

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** I don't know

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** I don't know

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#6624

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Keri Tilsley

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

People can walk to Ponsonby, Parnell and Mt Eden so this should be the boundaries. I have lived in both Mt Eden and Ponsonby so I know this is accurate.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

People do not need to live as close to work in these areas.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

This would encourage use of public transport.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

There are more jobs here.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

There are very few jobs in small centres.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

A lot of these old houses are not fit for purpose and are modified extensively to meet modern needs. They are facades and could be replaced by modern purpose built houses for 21st century. Unless someone important lived there or special to Tangata Whenua.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

We should not be living in a museum city of old houses. Let young and poorer working people live in the inner city not just the gentrified classes. Those houses were originally built for the workers who could walk to work.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

There are some special commercial buildings that should be retained but I am not qualified to pick and choose.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Any infrastructure issue (transport or sewage) can be fixed with increased budget if it is worth it. If a development is in the inner city, then of course spend the money on transport and waste water

etc to cope with the increased demand. It may not be worth spending council budget in the outer suburbs of the city for increased infrastructure and better to leave those areas as low density.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

I support most of these qualifying overlays to protect important public places that we can all enjoy ie war memorial museum. I do not support these qualifying matters if it is an attempt to remove all high density from 'character' inner suburbs of old mass produced houses.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#6730

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Neeta Deo

Name of organisation/business: Ali Sher

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?**

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?**

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Feedback on Auckland Council preliminary response to NPS-UD and MDRS

Responder/Submitter: Ali Sher, 162-176 Triangle Road, Massey

9 May 2022

1. The site address is 162-176 Triangle Road, Massey, Auckland 0614, LOT 5 DP 172988 (**the property**). The property is adjacent to the motorway and surrounded by mixed used housing zone.

2. The site is currently constrained by significant ecological area (**SEA**) "qualifying matter". Although the SEA is on parts of the property, it does not extend to all the property. Much of the property should be classified as medium density residential, given that the property is surrounded by similarly zoned properties.

3. There is no explanation as to why the SEA qualifying matter restricts the whole site.

4. The feedback is that the property should be zoned as medium density residential with an assessment as to which parts should continue to have the SEA overlay due to ecological value. The property is otherwise zoned urban and no vegetation is protected.

Contact

5. Thank you for the opportunity to provide feedback.

6. If you have any questions or queries regarding this feedback, please contact Neeta Deo, representative for the submitter, Mr Sher, by email: neeta.deo@tcec.co.nz

#6730


Property Summary
Property Header Address
162-176 Triangle Road Massey
Auckland 0614
Zone/s
- Two-Storey Single Dwelling Residential Area
Management Layer/s on Site
Qualifying Matter/s on Site
- Significant Ecological Areas - Terrestrial
- Designation
Zoom to
Triangle Rd
Triangle Rd
Lincoln Park Ave
Lincoln Park Ave
Jarrah Pl
Cedar Heights Ave
Cedar Heights Ave
Northwestern Mtwy
Northwestern Mtwy

#6770

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Darren Young

Name of organisation/business: Coalition for More Homes

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

We submit that the walkable catchment should be 2400 metres (30 minutes) for the City Centre. The Business - Mixed Use Zone should be used instead of a Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone.

Please see our attachment for further supporting evidence.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Walkable catchments should be 1600 metres (20 minutes) for Metropolitan Centres in the isthmus (Newmarket, Sylvia Park), and 800 metres (10 minutes) for other Metropolitan Centres.

Please see our attachment for further supporting evidence.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Walkable catchments should be 1600 metres (20 minutes) for Rapid Transit Stops in the isthmus, and 800 metres (10 minutes) elsewhere.

Please see our attachment for further supporting evidence.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

We support upzoning adjacent to town centres.

Upzoning to a distance of 800m - further than currently proposed by Council - should take place around all Town Centres defined by Council as 'large + high accessibility', as well as all other town centres on the isthmus.

For town centres on the isthmus, the new zone should facilitate development up to six storeys.

Please see our attachment for further supporting evidence.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

We support upzoning adjacent to town and local centres.

Upzoning to a distance of 400m - further than currently proposed by Council - should take place around all other Town Centres (not on the isthmus) which are small but have high accessibility.

Upzoning to a distance of 400m should take place around all Local Centres defined by Council as 'large + high accessibility', as well as all other local centres on the isthmus.

For town centres and local centres on the isthmus, the new zone should facilitate development up to six storeys.

Please see our attachment for further supporting evidence.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

‘Special character areas’ are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland’s older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

'Special Character Area overlay should not compromise or limit development in Walkable Catchments. We would support protection of listed Heritage, and high quality, continuous SCA outside of Walkable catchments

With the climate emergency, Auckland Council needs to enable More Homes in the Right Places. Special Character overlays in central suburbs which are

- the areas with the greatest access to transport and existing amenities - significantly limiting sustainable housing development and low emission neighbourhoods.

Please see our attachment for further supporting evidence.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

'The Special Character Area overlay should not compromise or limit development in Walkable Catchments. We would support protection of listed Heritage, and high quality, continuous special character outside of Walkable catchments.

Given the climate emergency, Auckland Council needs to enable More Homes in the Right Places. Special Character overlays in central suburbs which are

- the areas with the greatest access to transport and existing amenities - significantly limiting sustainable housing development and low emission neighbourhoods.

Old homes were not built to modern standards of warmth and dryness, nor weather tightness. Replacing older homes and villas with modern apartments will radically improve quality of life for residents, offering warmer, drier and healthier living environments.

Please see our attachment for further supporting evidence.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

'The Special Character Area overlay should not compromise or limit development in Walkable Catchments. We would support protection of listed Heritage, and high quality, continuous special character outside of Walkable catchments.

Given the climate emergency, Auckland Council needs to enable More Homes in the Right Places. Special Character overlays in central suburbs which are

- the areas with the greatest access to transport and existing amenities

- significantly limiting sustainable housing development and low emission neighbourhoods.

Business zones need flexibility to adapt in order to provide for the needs of a growing local population. This includes providing for local shops and services, as well as apartment-style housing above.

Please see our attachment for further supporting evidence.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

It is the responsibility of the NZ Government, Auckland Council and its CCOs to deliver infrastructure in anticipation of future housing growth. It may be reasonable to have extremely limited areas qualified where it is impossible or extremely difficult to address long-term infrastructure constraints.

Wherever there is strong demand to live, and high accessibility, central and local Government agencies should endeavour to address infrastructure constraints to support development.

Intensification in existing urban areas is a more efficient use of infrastructure, compared to greenfield development. Prioritisation of infrastructure funding between greenfield growth and brownfield intensification needs to be considered.

With the climate emergency, investing in infrastructure upgrades to support development in existing urban areas - close to existing amenities and transport - is crucial to reduce transport and housing-related emissions. Auckland Council should minimise and avoid extensive greenfield development requiring new infrastructure in peripheral urban areas, locking-in higher emissions for households.

Please see our attachment for further supporting evidence.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Further analysis of these other qualifying matters is required by Auckland Council to provide evidence that these Matters meet the legislative threshold to be applied.

The NPS-UD sets out that Qualifying Matters need to have identified Specific Characteristics that makes the level of intensification required by the NPS-UD and MDRS inappropriate in light of the national significance of urban development. Heights and density more restrictive than the NPS-UD catchment or MDRS can only be imposed to the extent that it affects the characteristic in question. Site by site evidence is required for qualifying matters, which includes justifying the extent of the area that it's applied to, as well as the sites that it applies to.

We support the protection of viewshafts of cultural and spiritual significance to mana whenua in Tāmaki Makaurau.

Please see our attachment for further supporting evidence.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

More Homes in the Right Places, and Density Done Well

The Coalition for More Homes presents a submission to Auckland Council on the preliminary response to the MDRS and NPS-UD for Auckland.

About us

The Coalition for More Homes is a non-partisan group of volunteers and organisations including think tanks, urban design consultancies, Community Housing Providers, unions and developers.

Our vision

We want to see our city make the most of the opportunity to enable and create a more equitable, affordable, inclusive and vibrant city. This means:

- More Homes in the Right Places
- Density Done Well
- Vibrant Local Centres
- Access to Housing & Transport Choice
- Climate Responsive Urban Development.

Auckland is in a multi-generational housing crisis. Secure and affordable housing is out of reach for more Aucklanders than ever. Poor housing quality is also leading to poor health outcomes, especially for renters.

We also have a climate crisis. On the 11th of June 2019, Auckland Council declared a climate emergency. In Auckland 43.6% of carbon emissions are from transport. With constrained housing choices in high demand areas, many people are forced to live far from work and family, and accept long, costly commutes.

Allowing more people to live closer to where they work, study, shop and socialise and where they can access other transport options can significantly reduce our transport emissions. To expand housing capacity & choice and reduce our emissions, we need to enable greater intensification around our existing centres, the rapid transit network and frequent bus network. This is what Climate Responsive Urban Development looks like.

This plan change is a significant opportunity for Tāmaki Makaurau, now and for future generations, for:

- Enabling More Homes for Aucklanders
- Boosting Housing Choice & Quality
- Convenient, Liveable Neighbourhoods with access to transport & amenities
- Reduced Emissions from Housing and Transport,
- Lower Travel Cost & Demand

Our Submission

We believe that everyone deserves a healthy and affordable home and the opportunity to live in a convenient, liveable and resilient neighbourhood. We are advocating for an ambitious response to the NPS-UD and MDRS for Auckland. To achieve this our submission recommends:

1. Extend proposed Walkable Catchments: 20 mins for Rapid Transit and Metro centres in the isthmus, 30 mins for City Centre. Consider height limits greater

than six storeys where appropriate, especially in high-demand, highly accessible locations;
2. Upzoning in and adjacent to Highly Accessible Town Centres and Local Centres (to a distance of up to 10 mins/ 800 metres, and to a height of six storeys on the isthmus);
3. Define corridors with high bus priority and frequency as Rapid Transit, as per NPS-UD; E.g. Great North Rd, New North Rd, Remuera Rd, Great South Rd, Manukau Rd and Mount Eden Rd;
4. Use Mixed Use Zoning to enable growth of centres and more local living;
5. Remove Special Character Areas (SCAs) in Walkable Catchments; Protect continuous, high quality Special Character outside the Walkable Catchments;
6. Enable Perimeter Block Housing forms through new Medium Density Zone (MHU);
7. Establish Statutory Design Guidance for apartments and medium density in Auckland.
8. Other recommendations

---

1. Walkable catchments of Rapid Transit, Metropolitan and City Centres

We propose that Auckland Council use 20 minute walkable catchments for Rapid Transit Stops and Metropolitan Centres in the isthmus, and 30 minutes for the City Centre.

Larger walking catchments will enable more homes in areas highly accessible by public transport to jobs, centres and amenities. Auckland Council research shows that people are generally willing to walk further than 10 minutes for a rapid transit service[1]. A 20 minute walk reflects a <10 minute cycle in most locations and short time to walking and catching a local feeder bus route to the station.

It appears disingenuous by Auckland Council to suggest 800 metre walkable catchments around rapid transit, given that the Council has measured transit-proximate housing based on 1500 metres' walking distance for a number of years. Indeed, the Council's 2019 submission on the draft NPS-UD noted that "while only 2.6 per cent of Auckland's land area falls within 1500m walk of a Rapid Transit Network (RTN) station, 41 per cent of all multi-unit developments consented 2017/2018 was located in the RTN catchments".[2]

---

1 https://knowledgeauckland.org.nz/media/1541/tr2013-014-walkable-catchments-analysis-at-auckland-train-and-northern-busway-stations-2013.pdf

2 https://infocouncil.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/Open/2019/11/GB_20191112_AGN_8387_AT_EXTRA_files/GB_20191112_AGN_8387_AT_EXTRA_Attachment_72195_2.PDF

Since the area of a circle ('walkable catchment') increases with the square of the radius ('walking distance'), a walkable catchment based on an 800 metre walking distance is typically only 28% as large as a walkable catchment based on a 1500 metre walking distance. Auckland Council should therefore note that only 0.74% of Auckland's land area is likely to be within 800m walk of an RTN station.

Individuals are willing to walk further again for the density of shops and services that the city centre provides. This is reflected in high walking mode shares for the city fringe suburbs. In 2018 census 'travel to work' data, walking mode share is above 10% (and often much higher) for these suburbs. Notably, extended walkable catchments in the isthmus reflect the ability to access these destinations by multiple modes, e.g. cycling and micro-mobility, 'frequent' feeder buses etc.

Household Travel Survey data also shows that the median walking commute in Auckland takes around 22 minutes, compared with the median driving commute of 23 minutes. This data covers 2011-2014 and it is likely that driving commutes have lengthened since then, due to development in remote suburbs and greater congestion.

2. Upzoning Adjacent to Town Centres and Local Centres

The best way to meet NPS-UD objective 3 and policy 3(d) is to upzone around town centres and local centres, especially in the isthmus area which is highly accessible and a high demand area.

Amended policy 3(d) of the NPS-UD: the Unitary Plan must enable "within and adjacent to neighbourhood centre zones, local centre zones, and town centre zones (or equivalent), building heights and density of urban form commensurate with the level of commercial activity and community services."

The objectives of the NPS-UD are unchanged, and include objective 3:

> *"Regional policy statements and district plans enable more people to live in, and more businesses and community services to be located in, areas of an urban environment in*
> *which one or more of the following apply:*
> - *the area is in or near a centre zone or other area with many employment opportunities*
> - *the area is well-serviced by existing or planned public transport*
> - *there is high demand for housing or for business land in the area, relative to other areas within the urban environment".*

As shown clearly in Map 8 of the Auckland Plan 2018,[3] the isthmus has far superior access to jobs (and other destinations, services and amenities) by public transport, compared with other parts of Auckland. All town centres in the isthmus are classified as "high" accessibility in Auckland Council's rankings used for the NPS-UD, and even this understates their relative levels of access. They are typically served by one or several frequent bus routes, and in some cases by a train line as well.

The isthmus is also a "high demand" area as reflected by its high land values.

Town (and local) centres in the isthmus are typically more mature and employment-intensive than centres in more remote areas, as well as providing a broader range of community services reflecting that they have been community hubs for many decades. They also usually have Mixed Use-zoned land adjacent or nearby, which can add substantially to the employment of the wider area - for example, Parnell's commercial development along The Strand, St George's Bay Rd and Cheshire St, or the eastern end of Stoddard Road which includes two supermarkets, The Warehouse and a range of other retail activity.

For these reasons and more, it is important to upzone a greater area around town/ local centres in the isthmus than those in more remote parts of Auckland. This is the best way to meet NPS-UD objective 3 and policy 3(d).

We submit the following upzoning recommendations in the below table:

Centre Type / Location / Classification	Recommended Walking Distance to Upzone to
Town Centres	
All outside Isthmus that are 'small + high accessibility'	400m
All in Isthmus	800m
All that are 'large + high accessibility'	800m
Local centres	
All Isthmus	400m
All that are 'large + high accessibility'	400m
Neighbourhood centres	
All	Not required

3 https://www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/plans-projects-policies-reports-bylaws/our-plans-strategies/auckland-plan/transport-access/Documents/map-8-ta-access-to-jobs-public-transport.pdf

3. Define corridors with high bus priority and frequency as Rapid Transit, as per NPS-UD

We submit that the definition of Rapid Transit applied by Auckland Council should be broadened to reflect what is required by the NPS-UD.

The National Policy Statement on Urban Development states "rapid transit service means any existing or planned frequent, quick, reliable and high-capacity public transport service that operates on a permanent route (road or rail) that is largely separated from other traffic."

We note that under the current preliminary work, neither the station on the completed section of the Eastern Busway nor the planned stations along Te Rakau Drive, are included for upzoning. These should be included as planned and existing rapid transit stops. The Burswood Station location is the only likely major change on the corridor between now and completion, so may be excluded in the interim until the final location is approved. Allowing developers to apply for consent and start construction prior to completion of the busway in 2025, will allow more homes to be completed near the time of opening. We note that the corridor is already incredibly well served the #70 bus which runs every 6 minutes at the peak and every 10 minutes all day, connecting to the rail network at Panmure and through to the major employment areas around Ellerslie and Newmarket, before reaching the city..

Separately, we submit that the walkable catchments of key bus corridors, with frequent services and extensive bus priority, should be included in the definition of rapid transit and be upzoned to enable 6 storeys.

Many of Auckland's best bus routes offer significantly better service and connectivity than the rail lines. Locating more housing along frequent bus routes with longer spans of service than the rail network will allow future residents to depend on public transport for every day trips.

We submit that the following corridors are included as Rapid Transit and are upzoned.

- Sandringham Road
- Mt Eden Road
- New North Road
- Great North Road
- Manukau Road
- Great South Road

4. Use Mixed Use Zoning to enable growth of centres and more local living

The Coalition for More Homes supports greater Mixed Use zoning, to enable a mix of shops, services and residential to co-exist, improving residents' access to daily needs and allowing existing and new centres to grow organically as intensification occurs, supporting more local living and vibrant neighbourhoods.

In the following occasions, the Business - Mixed Use Zone should be applied in place of the Terraced Housing and Apartment Building Zone to enable these outcomes:

- In the entirety of the Walking Catchment of the City Centre
- In at least the first 200 metres of the Walking Catchments of Rapid Transit stops

The existing Business - Mixed Use Zone under the Auckland Unitary Plan is well suited to delivering these outcomes. In some locations, to ensure quality ground floor design outcomes the commercial frontage control may need to be applied also.

Enabling this will have significant benefits by enabling residents to live more locally, where they can access more daily needs by walking, cycling or even a shorter journey by car. We can reduce emissions over the current scenario of being forced to drive across the city.

Enabling local living by providing more shops and services close to where people live is a key climate action, reducing VKT and providing walkable access to many everyday amenities.

5. Remove Special Character Areas in Walkable Catchments; Protect continuous, high quality Special Character outside the Walkable Catchments

We submit that Special Character Areas (SCAs) be removed within the Walkable Catchments of the City Centres, Metropolitan Centres and Rapid Transit Stations.

Special Character Areas should not compromise the development potential of the walkable catchments of the city centre/ metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops. Enabling more homes in these locations - close to jobs, good public transport, existing amenities and where they can access their daily needs via sustainable transport - is an appropriate and necessary response to the national significance of urban development enacted by the NPS-UD, given the housing and climate crises. In this context, the protection of special built character carries less weight and is unlikely to meet the threshold of qualifying matter as defined by the NPS-UD.

Enabling intensification in Walkable Catchments should be the higher priority in Council's response to this legislation. We need to be enabling more homes in the right places and creating a more equitable and vibrant city where more people can live close to work, access public transport and everyday services amenities, have greater housing and transport choice including low-carbon lifestyles.

The Coalition supports protecting continuous, high quality Special Character Areas outside the Walkable Catchments, where this can be identified and strengthening controls to ensure the character remains of high quality.

Recent articles published on Greater Auckland (1 and 2) indicate that Auckland Council's proposed approach may be inconsistent with NPS-UD direction. We note that the Council's approach seems aimed at maximising the coverage of the SCAs - even to the extent of subdividing them to remove lower-character portions - and that the Council does not seem to have had any regard to how suitable these areas might be for higher-density development (e.g. whether they are in one or several walkable catchments, have excellent non-car transport connections, links to employment and amenities, or are in high-demand areas).

Furthermore, where special character protections are applied, they should be a control, with the underlying zoning reflecting the NPS-UD and RMA amendments (i.e., THAB zoning within walkable catchments), similar to the approach taken to volcanic view shafts.

6. Enabling Perimeter Block housing in all residential zones

We recommend that Perimeter Block housing forms are enabled in all residential zones, through amended Height in Relation to Boundary standards, to improve built form, land use efficiency and residential liveability.

The MDRS has made three storeys development a permitted activity across most residential zones. This will enable an increase in low-rise apartments and three-storey terraced homes. Enabling and encouraging a perimeter block form, can avoid the worst outcomes of existing residential zone controls (i.e. the 'sausage flat' form) by reducing outlook and shading issues and better enabling low rise apartment buildings

Beyond aesthetic qualities, we believe quality residential design for medium density housing requires careful attention to bulk and location. Bulk and location, defined by HIRTB controls, has implications on aspect, privacy, outlook and functional private outdoor space as well as contributing to the public realm through passive surveillance, front yard landscaping and setbacks that establish a building line on the street. A perimeter block built form for medium density supports enhanced occupant wellbeing, more efficient land use, and contributes positively to the wider neighbourhood and public realm.

To date, the predominant experience with medium density housing in suburban Auckland has been with 'sausage flat' and 'infill' - typologies that often create issues with privacy, private outdoor space, and sunlight access. Many neighbourhoods have quite long and narrow sites, which are challenging to intensify while delivering good design. Current Height in Relation to Boundary (HIRTB) controls and side yard requirements exacerbate this issue by forcing developers who are trying to build multi-unit housing to propose housing oriented along the depth of the site, with shared walls like width-wise cuts of a sausage.

As the walls are parallel to the street front, this necessitates windows primarily overlooking neighbouring properties (Figure A). By removing HIRTB restrictions within the first 20 metres of the site, it would encourage developers to position dwellings primarily at the front of the site, to the boundaries and to full height (Figure B). This enables units to orient toward the street or rear of the site, reducing privacy and outlook issues and enabling more efficient use of permeable area for private outdoor amenity area.

Figure A. Sausage flat typology



Figure B. Perimeter Block typology



When multiple neighbouring sites are developed in this manner, you get a perimeter block, the common typology in most European cities. As our cities slowly develop over

time, we will benefit from standards that work towards creating perimeter blocks. In this process, development will strengthen street activation, safety and privacy and more efficiently use the site area through development.

We note this approach has already been taken by Auckland Council's new THAB Zone, to better enable development to reach the height limit within the front of the site and better deliver apartment buildings.

We support the following changes to the THAB zone and submit further that these should be included in the new Mixed Housing Urban Zone to enable better quality intensification across all residential areas.

- To manage privacy, building dominance and shading effects on adjoining sites:
    - For front sites, encouraging buildings to face the street and have less building bulk at the rear of sites, to reduce dominance and shading over side boundaries
    - Encouraging outlook to be located over the street rather than over the side boundaries, to help protect privacy
- To ensure on-site amenity for residents
    - Retaining the existing outdoor living space requirements of the THAB zone
    - Requiring communal outdoor living space be provided for in larger scale developments
- To respond to climate change:
    - Standards requiring deep soil areas to support trees

7. Establish statutory design guidance for apartments and medium density

Auckland needs design leadership and statutory guidance to ensure that these new homes & neighbourhoods are quality, liveable and low-carbon, contributing to thriving communities and centres.

The NPS-UD and MDRS will enable a significant amount of new housing in Auckland over the coming decades, particularly medium density housing. Auckland Council has been directed by the government to implement the NPS-UD & MDRS and we submit that this response needs to be future-focused, valuing design quality and how we do density well into the future, not just valuing existing character.

Statutory design guidance for apartments and medium density could improve the quality of intensification delivered in Auckland. It would give developers certainty about the outcomes that are required in medium and high density living environments, beyond the bulk and location standards set out in the zone definition (i.e. yards, setbacks, height in relation to boundary etc.). This is about the liveability and functionality of living in apartments, terraces and walk-ups which require different considerations to conventional stand-alone homes to ensure they support resident

wellbeing. We suggest guidance should consider universal accessibility, acoustic comfort, thermal comfort and energy efficiency, provision of shared amenities, solar access, ventilation, storage, bike parking, low impact stormwater design and ecological restoration.
Cities we look up to across the ditch, Sydney and Melbourne, have statutory guidance for apartments that support quality intensification:

- State Environmental Planning Policy No 65 aka **SEPP65**- **Design Quality of Residential Apartment Development**, which applies to Sydney & NSW (includes Apartment Design Guide)
- **Better Apartment Design Standards** (Victoria), which is in addition to their Urban Design Guidelines

The Ministry for the Environment has drafted National Standards for Medium Density which is a comprehensive easy-read guide that will be a helpful reference tool for new or inexperienced developers building medium density. There is also the Auckland Design Manual. But both are non-statutory documents, which means they hold little weight compared to the provisions of the Unitary Plan and there are risks that certain design considerations not in the scope of the Plan are excluded and devalued, ultimately resulting in poorer outcomes.

Some have suggested strengthening the Auckland Design Manual. Others, establishing an Urban Design Panel for developments (e.g. Christchurch City Council) to support a culture that values good design and density done well among developers. Design panels, as applied in Hobsonville Point for example, required for all developments can create more certainty about outcomes and a benchmark that all developments must meet. Design panels champion and enable outcomes that value the more subjective and pragmatic aspects of good urban design - rather than just following a code of minimum dimensions, for example.

Another approach Council could take is to establish development incentives, like those proposed by Eugenie Sage through SOP 109 on the Housing Supply Bill. It proposed a developer incentive for more efficient development with better environmental impacts, if certain site & build factors are met, including mature trees, accessibility, water sensitive design and high energy efficiency).

We want to see quality intensification that supports resident wellbeing and contributes positively to the public realm. Our current planning system focuses on controlling activities on private property (zoned lots) with little attention to the public realm and street environment. The Coalition for More Homes is asking Auckland Council to champion quality design and plan for the city we want, and need.

Other recommendations

Further investigation is required to determine where and if infrastructure constraints are appropriate as a qualifying matter.

Broadly speaking, infrastructure capacity issues shouldn't block development in key areas, including Walking Catchments, the City centre, town and local centres.

Auckland Council has not yet provided any detail on how and where it is considering infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. We will determine our position on this once more information is available. However, we would likely oppose the idea that infrastructure constraints are appropriate qualifying matters in the isthmus as per the NPS-UD, since:

1) These areas have the best multi-modal transport connections of anywhere in Auckland
2) These areas have the longest-established centres, with a wide range of community and social infrastructure, 'economies of scale' for providing high-quality recreational and green space, etc.
3) These areas were typically developed early in Auckland's growth as a city, and water networks are likely to need upgrades and replacements earlier than in other parts of the city - as well as being more economical to retrofit additional capacity, since there are high land values and high demand to live there.

Quality three waters infrastructure supports urban development, and contributes to sustaining the health of te taiao, the natural environment and supports urban resilience. While many of our existing urban areas have old infrastructure that has capacity constraints and require upgrading, we believe that the benefits of climate responsive development and intensification in existing urban areas, like the isthmus, outweigh the costs of upgrading ageing three waters infrastructure in these areas. In addition to three waters, the isthmus is already serviced by frequent transport networks, and roading infrastructure so development in these areas is an effective use of this existing transport infrastructure. In some isolated cases, infrastructure constraints will be significant & long term, which may inhibit development that is economic or practicable. Otherwise, less significant infrastructure capacity issues shouldn't be considered a qualifying matter and used to limit intensification in the most appropriate locations.

Creating more inclusive and accessible neighbourhoods

To improve the accessibility of housing in Auckland there is a lot that needs to be addressed through changes to the building code. However, Auckland Council has the power to influence design outcomes that support different access needs through housing:

- Developing statutory design guidance for apartments and medium density could require universal design for accessibility through (e.g. require a % of units)
- Developing statutory design guidance for apartments and medium density could require provision for flexibility and adaptability so homes can better meet whole-of-life needs.
- Application of Mixed Use zoning in walking catchments could enable more community and social housing to be developed with the provision on-site

wrap-around services for higher needs tenants, for example. At a minimum, more Mixed Use development including residential will provide opportunities for more convenient access to health & community services close to where people live.

Overall, the implementation of the NPS-UD will enable more apartments 6-stories or more in walking Catchments which are required to include lifts (rather than walk-ups which can have stair-access only). around walking catchments (close to amenities, transport) and design guidance

#6777

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Nick Grala

Name of organisation/business: LZY Limited

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Gives effect to the NPSUD

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Gives effect to the NPSUD

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Gives effect to the NPSUD

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

THAB should be applied around a local centre irrespective of size or current accessibility. This is because zoning is an enabler and public transport will follow high density areas, and not vice versa. It is artificial to catergorise local centres, nor has Auckland Council provided any evidence to support how this catergorisation been applied. THAB zoning should be applied within 200m of the Local Centre at Redhills / Don Buck Road in particular.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Does not give effect to NPSUD and would provide a further reason to delay growth in growth areas.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

None

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#6855

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Dhirendra Singh

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Very sensible

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Very sensible

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Very sensible

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

400m is very reasonable

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Very reasonable

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

No

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Proposed Changes to Auckland Unitary Plan (Operative in Part)

Comments on the proposed Mixed Housing Urban zoning of properties within Glendene and surrounding areas in West Auckland

I strongly support the proposed zoning change of these areas from Residential - Single House Zone to Residential - Mixed Housing Urban. The current unduly restrictive Single House zoning has constrained redevelopment of numerous properties which have the potential to accommodate additional dwellings in terms of their large site areas and locational advantages.

Whilst a few of the properties in the area have more than one dwelling which were approved and established under the legacy Waitakere District Plan's Limited Discretionary Activity provision, the change of activity status to Non-complying Activity under the Auckland Unitary Plan completely removed the property owners' ability to successfully obtain resource consent for a second dwelling whether with or without subdivision. The total lack of support by planners at the Council's Henderson office for any non-complying activity resource consent application to establish additional dwelling/s has severely constrained redevelopment of certain properties which are appropriate for redevelopment in terms of having less than minor adverse effects on the surrounding neighborhood. A zoning change to Mixed Housing Urban under the Medium Density Residential Areas strategy will be a welcome relief for many of the property owners who are keen to realise the further development potential of their properties and in so doing add to the existing housing stock in the area.

Comments on the proposed Two-Storey Single Dwelling Residential Area zoning of properties on the southern side of Colwill Road, Massey, West Auckland

The properties on the southern side of Colwill Road, Massey (and east of Redwood Road) have Residential - Single House zoning under Auckland Unitary Plan (Operative in Part). A number of the original properties were subdivided in the past, with the average site area of the subdivided lots exceeding 800m^2. As an example, 123 Colwill was subdivided into three lots, resulting in the establishment of three dwellings (at one dwelling per lot).

The few original properties which have remained without subdivision have site areas ranging between 2400m^2 and 3000m^2. Despite their lower ends being subject to Significant Ecological Area overlay, there exists good potential to establish additional dwellings on these properties by way of securing Non-complying Activity resource consent. The proposed zoning change to Two-Storey Single Dwelling Residential Area will further constrain the establishment of additional dwellings on any of these properties. It is noted that the expansive residential area on the northern side of Colwill Road has Mixed Housing Suburban zoning.

In order to enable the further development potential of these properties to be realised by way of enabling the establishment of additional dwellings, it is submitted that the Single House zoning of all properties to the south of Colwill Road (and east of Redwood Road) be changed to Mixed Housing Suburban and that the public notification of the proposed zoning changes in August 2022 reflect this zoning. In addition to the advantage of having large site areas, these properties have direct access to public transport (with regular bus service on Colwill Road) and are located within a few minutes' drive to the nearest shopping centres and educational, medical and community facilities.

Dhirendra Singh
Resource Management Consultant
9 May 2022

#6906

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: James Edwards

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

We need new, walkable, and mixed use developments to meet Auckland's housing needs and climate goals already committed to. The approach proposed to special character is far too broad and substantially limits room for new development.

While heritage does matter, villas and bungalows on hills don't in themselves have special character in a sense that matters for heritage preservation.

If a concept of special character is to be applied to broad areas, it must be in a way that allows for new development in as many places as possible. For example, allowing mid rise apartments in a

town centre, while encouraging the use of materials and facade designs that complement existing buildings.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are areas that should not be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

I think the areas are too applied too broadly and should only be applied to features that are highly specific, intact, and have unique heritage value.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

The focus should be on building infrastructure to remove this as a constraint and working with central government to resources this if necessary.

Don’t let infrastructure gaps deprive Auckland of houses!

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#6941

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Aaron Hutching

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

People will walk further, I often did when I lived in London.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Should be same as city centre.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

As above, 1.5km is about right.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Should be at least double that, as per my comments on mosts people's ability to walk more than 1km.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Should be same as for large town centres.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

I am totally opposed to special character areas and incredibly disappointed in the way Council has gone about protecting the very areas we should be intensifying. If it isn't changed I hope central

govt takes action. I live in Te Atatu Peninsula and its a complete joke that city fringe suburbs are considered more worthy of "protection" from intensification than we are. What makes those houses better other than they're worth more and built a couple of decades earlier? It makes me so angry that this rort is being allowed to continue. Open up the city fringe to intensification.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

As above, if it isn't genuine heritage it should be available to be intensified. We need housing and we need it close to the city centre.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

As above, we should protect genuine heritage but not special character.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

If you open up the city fringe for intensification this becomes a moot point.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#7002

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Liam Ivanov

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

The purpose of a CBD is that that is where the tall buildings should be. That is what many European cities have done, they have layed out a particular space for the skyscrapers so as to not disrupt the overall character of the rest of the city. Putting skyscrapers outside the city centre is not a good idea and may result in great damage to Auckland's cultural heritage.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Not a good idea to have skyscrapers outside of the city centre. Auckland is beautiful because it is a city of many small modest houses which culminate in a centre point. It does make some sense to have some slightly taller buildings in major areas but there should be a height limit and they should be within a very defined zone in the centre of those areas. Furthermore, residents within those areas should get a say in how they want their metropolitan centres to look.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

The focus should not be on intensifying around the current train stops but rather building a better public transport system that is city wide so that everyone has access to these systems.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

People need to feel at home within their local town spaces, and they feel at home when a place is the same, with gradual and organic changes happening. This will simply result in further damage to local centres, ostracising people from their own neighbourhood.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

The small town centres are community spaces for local people to enjoy. One should not compromise the communities love of a space. It should be up to the small towns to determine whether new things go in, not the council nor the developers.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Certainly, all special character areas must be protected as part of Auckland's civic heritage. We have a beautiful city with many wonderful old buildings and neighbourhoods, and many of these are being undermined by new developments. It is obvious they need to be preserved. More buildings should be allocated as special character because there is a huge amount of character in Auckland's architecture, which is something that the new cheap prefab blocks do not have. People need to connect with their history and maintain a link to the past, so this must be at the forefront of any council plan.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Auckland has a rich architectural history. While younger many other parts of the world, we still have many buildings of note and even the common houses are pretty. There should be a greater extension to what a qualifying matter is to include more and larger parts of the city. Also, if there are new constructions they should at least fit with the overall style and aesthetics of the buildings around it, rather than imposing itself harshly. It is not just about keeping individual buildings but also the entire surrounding areas which add to its character.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

I think certainly taking into account views, access to natural light and all these things are very important. In particular, the Auckland Museum viewshaft is one of tremendous beauty which should be kept open for all to enjoy. Conserving the ridgelines is also quite important, as Auckland is originally a volcanic region, and these hills should be the focus and should be visible throughout the city for all to appreciate. Both our natural environment and cultural heritage should be priorities and central to everything, with profits being secondary to these.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to

any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#7007

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Matt Lowrie

Name of organisation/business: Greater Auckland

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

'- The walkable catchment should be 2400 metres (30 minutes) for the City Centre. A Business - Mixed Use Zone should be used instead of a Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone.

- In Auckland, many people are willing to walk further than 15 minutes to access jobs, services, opportunities and rapid transit.

- Walking is an attractive travel mode within a 30-minute catchment of the city centre, since 30 minutes is a typical commute time for Aucklanders and it avoids the need to pay for parking or pay/ wait for public transport.

- We can already see this in 2018 census 'travel to work' data which shows walking mode share above 10% (and often much higher) for these central suburbs.

- This larger walkable catchment also better reflects the accessibility of the city centre by cycling/micro-mobility and the bus network, giving a wide range of options for low-emissions travel.

- Special Character Area overlays should not compromise the development potential of the Walkable Catchments of the City Centre - this is crucial to enabling More Homes in the Right Places and climate-responsive development.

- There are a lot of opportunities for Auckland Council and Auckland Transport to improve walkability and additional focus needs to be placed on achieving that.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

'- Walkable catchments should be 1600 metres (20 minutes) for Metropolitan Centres in the isthmus (Newmarket, Sylvia Park), and 800 metres (10 minutes) for other Metropolitan Centres.

- In Auckland, many people are willing to walk further than 10 minutes to access jobs, services and opportunities that the metropolitan centres provide.

- Household Travel Survey data shows that the median walking commute in Auckland takes around 22 minutes, compared with the median driving commute of 23 minutes. This data covers 2011-2014 and it is likely that driving commutes have lengthened since then, due to development in remote suburbs and greater congestion.

- Greater walkable catchments for Newmarket and Sylvia Park better reflect the accessibility of these centres by cycling/micro-mobility and the bus network, giving a wide range of options for low-emissions travel.

- Special Character Area overlays should not compromise the development potential of the Walkable Catchments of the Metropolitan centres - this is crucial to enabling More Homes in the Right Places and climate-responsive development.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

'- Walkable catchments should be 1600 metres (20 minutes) for Rapid Transit Stops in the isthmus, and 800 metres (10 minutes) elsewhere.

- The definition of Rapid Transit in implementing the NPS-UD should include high bus priority areas as many of these have more frequent and rapid services than parts of the Rapid Transit Network

- In Auckland, people are often willing to walk further than 10 minutes for rapid transit. Auckland Council research (Wilson, 2013) shows that many rapid transit stops have a walkable catchment larger than 800 metres, and that walking is often the dominant mode up to around 2000 metres. There have been many network improvements since 2013 which will only incentivise walking more.

- Auckland Council's own "Monthly Housing Update" reports on the walkable catchment to rapid transit as being 1500m rather than 800m.

- Furthermore, increased walkable catchments better reflects the cycling and feeder bus catchments of isthmus stations.

- Special Character Area overlays should not compromise the development potential of the Walkable Catchments of the rapid transit stops - this is crucial to enabling More Homes in the Right Places and climate-responsive development.

- The Business - Mixed Use Zone should be used instead of a Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone, in the first 200 metres of the Walkable Catchment of Rapid Transit stops.

- This will support:

- The creation of new centres, at stops which aren’t already in Town Centres, and in highly accessible locations on our public transport network;

- The development of 15-minute neighbourhoods centred around the rapid transit stop, enabling residents to access daily needs by walking, cycling and micro-mobility and to live lower carbon lifestyles.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

'- I support upzoning adjacent to town centres.

- Upzoning to a distance of 800m - further than currently proposed by Council - should take place around all Town Centres defined by Council as ‘large + high accessibility’, as well as all other town centres on the isthmus.

- For town centres on the isthmus, the new zone should facilitate development up to six storeys.

- This will support the development of 15-minute neighbourhoods centred around town and local centres, enabling residents to access everyday services and amenities, by walking, cycling and micro-mobility, living lower carbon lifestyles.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

'- I support upzoning adjacent to town and local centres.

- Upzoning to a distance of 400m - further than currently proposed by Council - should take place around all other Town Centres (not on the isthmus) which are small but have high accessibility.

- Upzoning to a distance of 400m should take place around all Local Centres defined by Council as ‘large + high accessibility’, as well as all other local centres on the isthmus.

- For town centres and local centres on the isthmus, the new zone should facilitate development up to six storeys.

- This will support the development of 15-minute neighbourhoods centred around town and local centres, enabling residents to access everyday services and amenities, by walking, cycling and micro-mobility, living lower carbon lifestyles.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

'- Special Character Areas go against the clear intent of the NPS-UD and MDRS

- Special Character Area overlay should not compromise or limit development in Walkable Catchments

- I support protection of listed Heritage, and high quality, continuous SCA outside of Walkable catchments

- With the climate emergency, Auckland Council needs to enable More Homes in the Right Places. Special Character overlays in central suburbs - the areas with the greatest access to transport and existing amenities - are significantly limiting sustainable housing development and low emission neighbourhoods.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

As per above, I do not think the Special Character Areas are a qualifying matter, however, even if they are, it appears that the council's process is biased by not including rear lots and creating sub areas in order to retain the highest amount of special character as possible.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Business zones need flexibility to adapt in order to provide for the needs of a growing local population. This includes providing for local shops and services, as well as apartment-style housing above.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

'- It is the responsibility of the NZ Government, Auckland Council and its CCOs to deliver infrastructure in anticipation of future housing growth. It may be reasonable to have extremely limited areas qualified where it is impossible or extremely difficult to address long-term infrastructure constraints.

- Wherever there is strong demand to live, and high accessibility, central and local Government agencies should endeavour to address infrastructure constraints to support development.

- Intensification in existing urban areas is a more efficient use of infrastructure, compared to greenfield development. It also has the ability not only support new residents but benefit existing residents too. Prioritisation of infrastructure funding between greenfield growth and brownfield intensification needs to be considered.

- With the climate emergency, investing in infrastructure upgrades to support development in existing urban areas - close to existing amenities and transport - is crucial to reduce transport and housing-related emissions. Auckland Council should minimise and avoid extensive greenfield development requiring new infrastructure in peripheral urban areas, locking-in higher emissions for households.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#7072

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Felaugaaiga Mati Sufia

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

To encourage people to walk

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

To encourage people to walk

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

It should be closer

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

There should be enough housing for all NZers regardless of how far they are from town

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#7201

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Hyun Soon Park

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think less areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#7202

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Baek Choon Sung

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are areas that should not be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft

- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#7204

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Morgan Park

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Too messy and easily polluted

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are areas that should not be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay

- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#7253

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Tian Zhao

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

City centre should be larger to cope more residential development, attracts people to go to CBD. Due to removal of the parking provided, higher density near city edge will reduce the need of car.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Reasonable walking distance to suburban centre

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Closer to transportation will encourage more people to use it. If too far, it won't work.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

I think they should focus on town centre commercial development, or mixed residential and commercial development.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

I don't think heritage buildings should all be exempted. Most of these suburban areas are close to town centre and lack of maintenance, e.g. Parnell, Ponsonby. Only well maintained building should be exempted.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Can't locate the layer map.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

Superstructure development is vital for city development. Need to know more detail in plan.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Support the matters above [in the question].

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#7254

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Ian Yang

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are areas that should not be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#7297

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Ricky Wu

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are areas that should not be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#7568

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Zhaoxia Jin

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Will facilitate people's life.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Will facilitate people's life.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

This distance is suitable for the elders.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** I don't know

Tell us why

Unsure about the situation

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** I don't know

Tell us why

Unsure about the situation

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

Unsure about the situation

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Dont know about SCA

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Inconvenient for consumers.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay

- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Support the Local Public Views Overlay.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#7573

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Xiaolei Qi

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

From: Rafael Camargo
Sent: Monday, 2 May 2022 9:06 PM
To: Unitary Plan <unitaryplan@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz>
Subject: Government's new housing rules: what it means for Auckland

Dear Auckland Council,

After carefully reading the *Government's new housing rules: what it means for Auckland* (https://akhaveyoursay.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/housing), here are my thoughts.

Paris' population has been the same for decades: 2 million people. Auckland's population, on the other hand, almost doubled in the last 20 years, having now more than 1,7 million people. If we compare the public transport system of Paris with the one in Auckland we will see there is not just a significant difference, but a huge one (https://citytransit.uitp.org/paris/public-transport-ridership). Paris has many different modes of transport: subway, rail, tram and bus. They are dense and well-integrated, while the city has been pushing cars away, giving way to active transport like bicycles, electric scooters and even walking. Still, during hush hours most subway/train/tram stations in Paris are crowded in such a way that people feel uncomfortable and tired. There is no turning back, the city cannot push people away.

Auckland is very car-oriented and it is miles away from having a solid public transport system. Although some cycleways have been built and improved, it is clear where the government spends most money: building and resealing roads/motorways. As we know, the more roads we build, the more cars we will have. Auckland's train network is very limited and the bus network is deficient to say the least. Still, Auckland says it needs to grow. The issue in growing is not even limited to public transport. What about daycare, school and university availability? And hospitals and clinics? Is Auckland building this infrastructure before growing? What about the stress caused by the increasing population density? Not to mention security concerns.

I live in Te Atatu Peninsula and this out-of-control growth here can sum up what this is all about: just a few construction companies buying lands where there were 1 or 2 houses and then building 10 to 20 houses. They sell each house for basically the price they paid for the land, having insane profits thanks to this neverending housing

speculation. Ask any people in this neighbourhood if they approve all this. I know because I talked to them, and not a single one is happy with what is going on. It is actually worse than that: these changes are already happening and no one was asked anything. Recently, The Spinoff published an article explaining what has been happening here, which of course extends to the whole of Auckland: https://thespinoff.co.nz/business/12-03-2022/the-suburb-thats-being-razed-and-rebuilt

The housing crisis in New Zealand is critical but the actions that have been executed to contain it are so small that nothing is really changing. The prices have been increasing for over decades, mostly because of land speculation. It is so outrageous that during the pandemic, when the country's borders were 100% closed to immigration and the economy was struggling, the housing price increased even faster, proving once and for all that demanding it is not the real issue here. Building more houses or increasing building height will not fix any of that. What we need is regulation. We need to learn from other countries that overcame this problem, like Singapore: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3dBaEo4QplQ. And also understand what happened in countries that failed to fix this problem, like South Korea: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PGhU8YK7rbo

While a very tiny percentage of investors and constructor companies are benefiting from this, the majority of the population is struggling to pay the mortgage or is trapped in this ever-increasing rent price. We need to think about what kind of country we want for us. A country for the 1% of rich people? Or a country for the majority? If we praise democracy we should put some boundaries to this nonsense:

1- set a limit for selling and rent prices not based on speculation, just how Berlin did (https://www.theguardian.com/world/2019/oct/22/germany-berlin-cabinet-agree-five-year-rent-freeze)
2- no auctions allowed -- this is absolutely insane
3- no one can have more than 2 houses (including close relatives)
4- no real estate allowed for private houses
5- set an increasing tax for those who sell houses in a short period

Housing should never be a thing to make money because it is one of the most basic needs that everyone should have access to. So, please, instead of helping this inhuman market, let's try to make some changes that will benefit real people.

Thanks for your time
Rafael

CAUTION: This email message and any attachments contain information that may be confidential and may be LEGALLY PRIVILEGED. If you are not the intended recipient, any use, disclosure or copying of this message or attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email message in error please notify us immediately and erase all copies of the message and attachments. We do not accept respons bility for any viruses or similar carried with our email, or any effects our email may have on the recipient computer system or network. Any views expressed in this email may be those of the individual sender and may not necessarily reflect the views of Council.

#7662

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: David Zhang

Name of organisation/business: New Zealand General Real Estate Limited

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?**

Tell us why

See email

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?**

Tell us why

We request that the walkable catchment is extended northwards to incorporate this site. We also request that this site is subsequently upzoned to THAB.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

See email

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

See email

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

See email

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

See email

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

See email

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

See email

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

See email

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

From: Joanna Beresford <joanna@beresfordlaw.co.nz>
Sent: Monday, 9 May 2022 11:58 AM
To: Unitary Plan <unitaryplan@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz>
Subject: Intensification Proposal Consultation - Feedback of Lee, Lin and Chen

Good morning

We act for Li-O Lee, Su-Chin Lin and Shu-Cheng Chen the owners of 38 Trig Road Whenuapai.

Attached is our clients' feedback on Council's Intensification Proposals. We would appreciate it if you could acknowledge receipt of this feedback.

We note that our clients would be happy to meet with Council officers to discuss their feedback in more detail to assist Council with the development of its intensification plan changes.

Kind regards
Joanna

Joanna Beresford
Partner

BERESFORD
LAW

M: +64 21 114 1277
W: www.beresfordlaw.co.nz

Disclaimer: The information in this email is confidential and may be legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please respect this and notify the sender immediately by telephone. Please also destroy the email. Thank you.

FEEDBACK ON AUCKLAND COUNCIL'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE TO GOVERNMENT'S NEW HOUSING RULES

To: Auckland Council
By email: unitaryplan@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz

Introduction

1. Li-O Lee, Su-Chin Lin and Shu-Cheng Chen (**Lee, Lin and Chen**) provide this feedback on Auckland Council's Preliminary on Auckland Council's preliminary response to the Government's new housing rules. In particular, this feedback addresses the:

   (a) Walkable catchment around the Westgate Metropolitan Centre; and

   (b) Significant infrastructure constraints qualifying matter.

Background

2. Lee, Lin and Chen are the owners of 38 Trig Road, Whenuapai, which is currently zoned Future Urban Zone (**FUZ**) under the Auckland Unitary Plan operative in part (**AUP**).

3. The Property is part of the Whenuapai 3 Precinct Structure Plan area and is within the "Trig Road West Block" (i.e. the block bounded by the North-western Motorway, Hobsonville Road, Trig Road and the Upper Harbour Motorway) as shown below:



4. As the crow flies, the property is approximately 450 metres from the Centre. The Property is approximately 1.5km from the Centre via the existing road

network (ie Hobsonville Road and Trig Road). When the area is urbanised the property could be between 700 to 1,000 metres from the edge of the centre, depending on how pedestrian networks are developed.

5. Council is proposing to give the area live urban zoning under Plan Change 5 to the AUP.

6. Lee, Lin and Chen are submitters on PC 5 and sought (among other things) that the Property be zoned Terraced Housing and Apartment Building (**THAB**).

7. Council prepared draft Variation 1 to PC 5 to give effect to the National Policy Statement – Urban Development Capacity (**NPS-UD 2020**) prior to its modification by the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act 2021.

8. Under the Variation, Council proposed:

   (a) zoning the Property THAB (ie five story development); and

   (b) applying a height variation control of 19 metres on the two sites between the Property and Hobsonville Road to enable development of six storeys (as Council officers considered these sites to be within a walkable distance to and from the Westgate Metropolitan Centre).

9. Lee, Lin and Chen provided feedback on the draft Variation that supported rezoning the property to THAB but sought that the six-storey height variation control be applied to the entire Trig Road West Block. A copy of Lee, Lin and Chen's feedback on the draft Variation is attached in **Schedule One**.

10. PC 5 and the Variation are currently on hold pending resolution of noise issues from the New Zealand Defence Force Whenuapai Air Base and questions around the timing and funding of infrastructure delivery

Council's walkable catchments proposal

11. Walkable catchments are used to define the extent of the area to be intensified around a rapid transit station or centre.

12. Central Government has given Council a discretion to define what a "walkable catchment" is. The key aspects of Council's walkable catchment policy as it relates to the Property are:

   (a) Auckland Council has proposed that walkable catchments are determined by the distance that people actually walk, rather than the distance as the crow flies.

(b) The proposed walkable catchments have been subject to modifying factors such as topography (e.g. steep hills and physical barriers including motorways).

(c) Council is proposing a 15 minute walk (1,200m) from the edge of the city centre and an 10 minute walk (800m) from the edge of Metropolitan Centres.

(d) Council has produced the following map to define the Westgate Metropolitan Centre's walkable catchment:



13. A key issue in relation Council's walkable catchment proposal for the Westgate Metropolitan Centre is that it is not clear where Council has defined the edge of the centre. There appears to be a range of zones included within the Centre and the north-eastern quadrant is missing. Lee, Lin and Chen consider that the edge of the centre should be defined as the edge of the Business Centre Metropolitan Centre zoned land and a walkable catchment measured outwards from that edge.

14. In general Lee, Lin and Chen consider that a walkable catchment of 800m may be appropriate for Metropolitan Centres where the surrounding area has already been urbanised and there is little opportunity to design these catchments to have greater pedestrian connectivity or overcome existing physical barriers.

15. However, such an approach is too narrow in relation to the Westgate Metropolitan Centre. This is because there are particular characteristics of the Westgate Metropolitan Centre and the Trig Road West Block that mean that taking a more enabling approach to defining the walkable catchment will create opportunities for better planning outcomes:

    (a) The block is an exceptional opportunity for high density and development in a greenfield area located very close to a metropolitan centre:

        (i) No other metropolitan centre in the region has an undeveloped block of land so close to it.

        (ii) The topography of the Trig Road West Block drops off steeply towards the North-western Motorway, which means that the landform has a natural ability to accommodate greater height and taller buildings can be designed to form a buffer between the motorway and other residential developments.

        (iii) The Crown has purchased land for a new school at 15 Trig Road and the Minister for Education has designated that site for educational purposes and intends to operate a new school by 2023. A new school will increase the desirability of the area, which supports the arguments for higher intensity residential land in the Trig Road West Block as this will integrate new housing with educational infrastructure.

    (b) Defining the walkable catchment around this particular centre needs to recognise that because this is a greenfield development there are opportunities to design the Trig Road West Block as a highly walkable neighbourhood (without constraints from existing development).

    (c) Once local pedestrian networks are established then the entire Trig Road West Block could be within a walkable distance from the Metropolitan Centre.

    (d) The lack of existing development means that there is a greater prospect of this land actually being developed for six storey plus buildings (as there is no need to amalgamate and redevelop existing fragmented landholdings).

    (e) Lee Lin and Chen consider that taking a more enabling approach to density and the definition of walkable catchment here will positively contribute to Council's objectives of providing more housing (and a variety of housing typologies) to be built.

16. Lee, Lin and Chen urge Council to not consider only straight-line distances along existing roads when determining a walkable catchment around the Westgate Metropolitan Centre but instead to consider the potential for the

Trig Road West Block to be designed as a well-connected walkable catchment.

17. In this context, defining the walkable catchment around the Westgate Metropolitan as 800m risks underutilising the development potential of the Trig Road West Block.

Qualifying Matters – Infrastructure Capacity

18. Auckland Council is proposing a "significant infrastructure constraints" qualifying matter that seeks to limit development where there is not enough infrastructure to support it. This approach would enable development where there is enough infrastructure already, or where can be delivered in the medium term (ie 3-10 years).

19. Lee, Lin and Chen are concerned that allowing infrastructure to be classified as a qualifying matter provides Council with an easy out for not allowing development.

20. Lee, Lin and Chen consider that Council should, instead, be incentivised to strive for efficiency and effectiveness in its provision of infrastructure to support appropriate development in the right location.

21. In relation to Whenuapai:

    (a) Whenuapai has been scheduled for urbanisation in the first half of decade one (ie 2018-2022) under the Auckland Future Urban Land Supply Strategy 2017 (**FULSS**).

    (b) A key purpose of the FULSS was to ensure that development of large future urban areas could be appropriately sequenced to allow infrastructure to be delivered in an efficient and cost-effective manner.

    (c) Westgate already has in place significant investment in infrastructure to service planned growth for example NZTA's upgrades to the North-western Motorway and Watercare's upgrades to service Redhills, Hobsonville and Kumeu. The only external infrastructure upgrading required is the upgrade of Trig Road itself. The Trig Road upgrade is an "infrastructure gap" that needs to be addressed to unlock previous investment in infrastructure and realise the development potential of the land.

    (d) Council's resources for funding infrastructure are becoming increasingly under pressure as a result of private plan changes seeking to develop large areas of land out of sequence. Such out of sequence development may mean that infrastructure cannot be provided in the most efficient and cost-effective way. This places pressure on Council's ability to fund infrastructure required to service areas that were programmed for urbanisation in the short term.

22. Lee Lin and Chen consider that Council (and its infrastructure delivering CCOs) need to prioritise delivering infrastructure to those areas (such as Whenuapai) scheduled to be urbanised first. In particular, Council needs to focus on closing "infrastructure gaps" before commencing development in new areas.

23. Lee, Lin and Chen do not support including significant infrastructure constraint as a qualifying matter in areas (such as Whenuapai) scheduled to be urbanised in the short term under the FULSS.

24. Infrastructure capacity constraint qualifying matter could, however, be used as a tool to:

    (a) discourage development from occurring in other parts of Auckland where such urbanisation has not been programmed in the short to medium term (as this would incentivise Council to fund infrastructure in the right locations); and

    (b) ensure that any infrastructure gaps are closed before commencing development in a new area.

Bulk and Location Controls

25. Central Government has directed intensification, however, it is still Council's responsibility to find innovative ways to work within that new framework to ensure that the overarching sustainable management purpose of the RMA is achieved and that the intensified use of land delivers a high level of residential amenity.

26. One way that this can be achieved is by using bulk and location controls and development bonuses to incentivise development occurring in appropriate locations

27. Development of sites with larger area provide a greater range of options to avoid, remedy and mitigate the potential adverse effects of development. If bulk and location rules provide development upside (more development potential) for larger sites (and amalgamated sites), then this would then incentivise developers to factor in the added development benefit as an offset to the added cost of aggregating existing urbanised land.

28. This would mean tighter restrictions on smaller sites (ie under 1,200m^2) and the benefits would begin to accrue on sites greater than 2,000m^2.

Changes sought to Council's Proposal

29. For the reasons set out above, Lee Lin and Chen seek that when Council promulgates the intensification plan changes in August it recognises:

    (a) The unique attributes and development potential of the greenfield land near the Westgate Metropolitan Centre by defining the walkable catchment around the Centre to include the entire Trig Road West Block.

    (b) That infrastructure capacity constraints should not be a qualifying matter in areas (such as Whenuapai) that have been programmed for early development under the FULSS 2017.

    (c) That infrastructure capacity constraints can be used as a tool to ensure that "infrastructure gaps" are addressed before development of new areas. This approach would unlock prior investment in infrastructure and the development potential of large areas of land.

    (d) That Council can still use bulk and location controls within this new framework to incentivise the desired form of development (ie by restricting development on sites less than 1,200m^2 and providing development bonuses on sites larger than 2,000m^2.

Engagement with Council

Lee, Lin and Chen would be happy to discuss the matters raised in this feedback with Council officers and to work with Council as it prepares plan changes / variations for Whenuapai

Dated: 9 May 2022

Li-O Lee, Su-Chin Lin and Shu-Cheng Chen

SCHEDULE ONE: FEEDBACK ON DRAFT SUBMISSION ON VARIATION 1 TO PLAN CHANGE 5

#7733

Auckland
Level 5, 4 Graham Street
Auckland 1010

PO Box 90750
Victoria Street West
Auckland 1142
New Zealand, DX CP24063

T: +64 9 336 7500

Wellington
Level 23, AON Centre
1 Willis Street
Wellington 6011

PO Box 24546
Manners Street
Wellington 6140
New Zealand

T: +64 4 914 0530

mc.co.nz

13 May 2021

By Email whenuapai@aklc.govt.nz

Auckland Council

Feedback on Draft Variation 1 to Plan Change 5: Whenuapai

Introduction

1 We act for Li-O Lee, Su-Chin Lin and Shu-Cheng Chen.

2 Lee, Lin and Chen are the owners of 38 Trig Road, Whenuapai and submitters on Plan Change 5 (Whenuapai) (**PC 5**).

3 Lee, Lin and Chen welcome the opportunity to provide feedback on Auckland Council's draft variation 1 to Plan Change 5 (**Draft Variation**) and would be keen to work closely with Council planners as the Draft Variation is refined before it is formally notified later this year.

NPS-UD 2020 and Zoning

4 The Draft Variation has proposed zoning amendments to give effect to the National Policy Statement – Urban Development Capacity (**NPS-UD 2020**), in particular:

(a) a height variation control of 19 metres to enable development of six storeys for areas that are considered walkable distance to and from the Westgate Metropolitan Centre; and

(b) zoning sites adjacent to this walkable catchment as Terrace Housing and Apartment Building (**THAB**).

5 Lee, Lin and Chen's submission on PC 5 sought that 38 Trig Road be zoned THAB. Lee, Lin and Chen are pleased to see that Council is proposing to make this change, however, Lee Lin and Chen consider that further zoning changes are required to give effect to the NPSUD 2020 and to achieve the best possible planning outcomes for the Whenuapai 3 Precinct:

(a) Two sites immediately adjacent to 38 Trig Road have been zoned THAB with the 19 metre height variation control on the basis that they are within a walkable catchment to the Westgate Metropolitan Centre.

(b) Given that this is a greenfield development there are opportunities to design the Trig Road West Block (ie the land bounded by Trig Road, Hobsonville Road, Upper Harbour motorway and the north western motorway) as a highly walkable development. Once local pedestrian networks are established then the entire Trig Road West Block could be within a walkable catchment from the Metropolitan Centre. Lee, Lin and Chen consider that both THAB

zoning and the 19 metre height variation should apply to the entire Trig Road West Block, including 38 Trig Road:

(i) The block is an exceptional opportunity for high density and development in a greenfield area located very close to a metropolitan centre. No other metropolitan centre in the region has an undeveloped block of land so close to it. Lee, Lin and Chen urge Council to not consider only straight line distances in determining a walkable catchment but to recognise the ability to make development in the block more walkable because there are no constraints from existing development.

(ii) The topography of the Trig Road West Block drops off steeply towards the north western motorway, which means that the landform has a natural ability to accommodate greater height and taller buildings can be designed to form a buffer between the motorway and other residential developments.

(iii) Lee, Lin and Chen have received advice from the Ministry of Education that the Crown purchased land for a new school at 15 Trig Road and proposes to designate that site for educational purposes and intends to construct a new school by 2022. A new school will increase the desirability of the area, which supports the arguments for higher intensity residential land in the Trig Road West Block as this will integrate new housing with infrastructure.

Neighbourhood centre

6 The Draft Variation has retained the proposed neighbourhood centre on the north side of Hobsonville Road to the east of Trig Road.

7 With the proposed rezoning of much of the Trig Road West Block to THAB, there will be an increased need for local convenience retail to service that incoming population.

8 Lee, Lin and Chen consider that the neighbourhood centre is in the wrong place and that either it should be relocated, or an additional neighbourhood centre provided for that is more central to the Trig Road West Block.

9 Lee, Lin and Chen consider that there is strong planning sense in locating a new neighbourhood centre near the proposed school site at 15 Trig Road as this provides an opportunity to create a neighbourhood or community hub in this area.

Open space

10 Lee, Lin and Chen's submission on PC5 sought that the indicative open space notation on 38 Trig Road shown on Whenuapai 3 Precinct Plan 1 be deleted, however, the Draft Variation has retained this notation.

11 Council has now purchased approximately 16 hectares of the PC 5 area at 161 and 167 Brigham Creek Road and four hectares at 92 and 94A Trig Road for open space, which it is proposing to zone open space as part of the variation.

12 The draft s 32 report states that it is intended that the open space will contribute to meeting the recreational needs of the Upper Harbour and Henderson Massey local board areas and it is also anticipated to be of benefit to the recreational needs of the greater north-west area. However, Council has not considered how its overall open space strategy for the PC 5 area should respond to these changes or respond to the proposed school at 15 Trig Road.

13 Lee, Lin and Chen consider that the indicative open space on 38 Trig Road should be deleted or, in the alternative, be moved to the eastern side of Trig Road to enable co-location opportunities with the proposed new school.

Indicative road layout

14 The Flow Transportation Specialists "Whenuapai Proposed Plan Change 5 - Transportation Alterations report (**Traffic Report**) has considered the effects of the Trig Road realignment no longer proceeding on the layout of Area 1A (ie the Trig Road West Block and the land to the east of Trig Road).

15 The Traffic Report has, however, only considered alterations to the layout of the collector roads to the east of Trig Road. The desirability of a collector road in the Trig Road West block needs to be assessed in light of the wider changes such as the change in alignment of Trig Road, the proposed school and proposed rezoning to THAB.

16 Lee, Lin and Chen sought a deletion of the collector road and the Trig Road West Block in its submission on PC 5 on the basis that a collector road is not required in the Trig Road West Block as the local road network could provide sufficient capacity and would provide better quality planning outcomes.

17 Lee, Lin and Chen seek the deletion of the proposed collector road notation on Whenuapai 3 Precinct Plan 2 in the Variation.

Timing of development

18 Lee, Lin and Chen consider that given the proximity to the Westgate Metropolitan Centre there is a strong planning rationale for the Trig Road Block to be developed as soon as possible.

19 Lee, Lin and Chen are concerned that the issues relating to the no noise contours surrounding the New Zealand Defence Force Whenuapai Airbase, which affect other parts of the plan change area (but not the Trig Road West Block), have delayed bringing a live urban zoning to this land.

20 We anticipate that these matters are likely to be subject of continued debate, which has the potential to further delay PC 5 being made operative.

21 Lee, Lin and Chen ask that the Variation be structured so that if issues that do not affect the Trig Road West Block delay PC 5, then PC 5 as it applies to the Trig Road West Block could be made operative in advance of all submissions and appeals on other parts of the Whenuapai 3 Precinct being resolved.

Changes sought

22 In summary, Lee, Lin and Chen seek the following changes to the Draft Variation:

(a) rezone the entire Trig Road West Block to THAB with the 19 metre height control;

(b) remove the open space notation on Precinct Plan 1 from 38 Trig Road;

(c) remove of the indicative collector road notation on Precinct Plan 2from 38 Trig Road;

(d) either relocate or provide for an additional neighbourhood centre on the east side of Trig Road near the proposed new school; and

(e) be structured so that the Trig Road West Block be made operative in advance of all submissions and appeals on other parts of the Whenuapai 3 Precinct being resolved.

23 Lee, Lin and Chen would be happy to discuss their feedback on the Draft Variation with Council planners prior to formal notification of Variation 1. We look forward to your response.

Yours faithfully

Joanna Beresford
Associate
DDI: +649 336 7729
Fax: +649 336 7629
Joanna.Beresford@mc.co.nz

From: Michael Campbell <michael@campbellbrown.co.nz>
Sent: Monday, 9 May 2022 1:36 PM
To: Unitary Plan <unitaryplan@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz>
Cc: David Babich <David@babichwines.co.nz>
Subject: Feedback on the proposed changes to the Unitary Plan to address the National Policy Statement: Urban Development and the Housing Enabling Act 2021. - Babich Wines Limited

Good afternoon,

Please find **attached** feedback on the proposed changes to the Unitary Plan to address the National Policy Statement: Urban Development and the Housing Enabling Act 2021.

The submitter appreciates the opportunity to provide informal feedback on the proposed changes to Unitary Plan.

The submitter would welcome contact from staff in the Unitary Plan team if any clarification or further information is required.

Kind regards | Ngā mihi nui

Michael Campbell | Director | BREP (Hons) | MNZPI

Campbell Brown Planning Limited

Level 1, 56 Brown Street, Ponsonby | PO Box 147001, Ponsonby, Auckland 1144

Cell 021 278 9018 | DDI - Ph 09 394 1696| Ph 09 378 4936 | michael@campbellbrown.co.nz | www.campbellbrown.co.nz

Campbell Brown

DISCLAIMER: This electronic message together with any attachments is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, do not copy, disclose or use the contents in any way. Please also advise us by return e-mail that you have received the message and then please destroy. We are not responsible for any changes made to this message and/or any attachments after sending. We use virus scanning software but exclude all liability for viruses or anything similar in this email or any attachment. Views expressed in this email may not be those of **Campbell Brown Planning Limited**

CAUTION: This email message and any attachments contain information that may be confidential and may be LEGALLY PRIVILEGED. If you are not the intended recipient, any use, disclosure or copying of this message or attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email message in error please notify us immediately and erase all copies of the message and attachments. We do not accept respons bility for any viruses or similar carried with our email, or any effects our email may have on the recipient computer system or network. Any views expressed in this email may be those of the individual sender and may not necessarily reflect the views of Council.

FEEDBACK ON THE AUCKLAND COUNCIL'S CONSULTATION ON PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE AUCKLAND UNITARY PLAN TO ADDRESS THE NATIONAL POLICY STATEMENT ON URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND RESOURCE MANAGEMENT (ENABLING HOUSING SUPPLY AND OTHER MATTERS) AMENDMENT ACT 2021

To: Auckland Council

Name of submitter: Babich Wines Limited

This submission provides feedback on the proposed changes to the Auckland Unitary Plan to address the National Policy Statement on Urban Development (NPS-UD) and the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act 2021. In particular, this feedback relates to land located in Henderson. This property falls within the Henderson-Massey Local Board area.

The submission is concerned with the land that is located at 8-12, 15D, 19, and 21 Babich Road, Henderson Valley, Auckland. The land is located within the Babich Precinct I600.

The specific provisions addressed by this feedback are as follows:

a) Proposed zoning - the proposed zoning for the above site has not yet been determined. The preliminary response to the NPS-UD and MDRS indicates that this precinct is "Under Investigation" as indicated in **Figure 1.**



Figure 1 – Proposed Zoning Change

The submitter has the following feedback in relation to the proposed zoning:

a) The surrounding areas located outside the Babich precinct are generally proposed to be rezoned as Residential – Mixed Housing Urban Zone – Modified and Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone – Modified.

b) The Babich Precinct applies to 88.5ha of land which is located approximately 2.5km west of the Henderson Metropolitan Centre. The precinct provides for existing wine making activities. In addition, it is anticipated that the land owner could choose to redevelop the land in the future, and it is considered appropriate that when the landowner chooses to transition to residential activities this land should be developed in accordance with the expectations of the Housing Enabling Act 2021 given the current residential zoning over the majority of the site.

a) Part of the land is identified is Residential – Large Lot Zone. Given the proposed changes to the surrounding urban area, it is considered appropriate that this land is also up zoned to Residential – Mixed Housing Urban Zone.

b) It is considered that the site has reasonable access to public transport, local services, amenities and open space, and it is certainly no less suitable than the surrounding land this is proposed to be rezoned to Residential – Mixed Housing Urban Zone.

c) There are generally no identified qualifying matters that would appear to prevent the Council from giving effect to the Housing Enabling Act 2021 for the vast majority this parcel of land. It is noted that a small part of the site is covered by a Significant Ecological Area and that portion of the site could be excluded from up zoning, although the SEA control would have primacy over development in any event.

Figure 2 – Proposed Zoning Change

The submitter's concerns would be addressed if the Auckland Unitary Plan was amended in the following way:

a) That the area of land at 8-12, 15D, 19, and 21 Babich Road, Henderson Valley is rezoned to Residential – Mixed Housing Urban Zone – Modified as set out in **Figure 2** above.
b) Any other changes that would address the concerns raised in this feedback.

The submitter appreciates the opportunity to provide informal feedback on the proposed changes to the Auckland Unitary Plan and thanks the Council staff and elected members for their time in considering the matters that have been raised in this feedback. The submitter would welcome contact from staff in the Unitary Plan team if any clarification or further information is required.

Michael Campbell
Campbell Brown Planning Limited
For and on behalf of Babich Wines Limited as its duly authorised agent.

Date: 9 May 2022

Address for service of submitter:

Babich Wines Limited
C/- Campbell Brown Planning Limited
PO Box 147001
Ponsonby
AUCKLAND 1144

Attention: Michael Campbell

Telephone: (09) 378 4936
Mobile: (021) 2789018
Email: michael@campbellbrown.co.nz

#7759

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Campbell Barbour

Name of organisation/business: NZ Retail Property Group

Your local board: Henderson-Massey

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?**

Tell us why

Support the principle of walkable catchments but needs to take into account the characteristics of the location it is applied to.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?**

Tell us why

Support the principle of walkable catchments however needs to be refined to the location it is applied to. Westgate, Manukau and Albany should be extended to 1000-1500m to reflect their hierarchy.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Support in general but there are issues with "on line" motorway bus stations.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

The commercial zones should be upzoned to reflect their potential and to align to plan changes in place. Our Milford site has been excluded , it is 3ha so it should achieve a density of 200 hh/per ha as a minimum.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

The existing commercial zoning and height should be revised.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

The protection of SCAs needs to be offset by greater height in town centres and commercial land. Character protection needs to meet strict criteria.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

SCAs will require very detailed analysis to confirm appropriateness.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Difficult to differentiate between the residential and business character overlays on the Geomaps.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

All infrastructure constraints should be given the opportunity to be resolved using alternative funding methods, if the issue is finance then it can be resolved.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.