Funding Auckland's Storm Recovery and Resilience Consultation

WRITTEN FEEDBACK

Volume 2

Date published: Wednesday, 27 September 2023

Local Board	Pages
Henderson-Massey	1 - 352

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #11

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

This process should already be complete. All steams and water ways should be inspected for damage and clears ASAP. After any storm event there should be a process to check all steams and waterways for damage that pose a greater risk of recurring flooding. Alert systems should be installed in steams and waterways with sirens to alert residents and the public of a flood risk.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   All properties should be offered fair market value, with a minimum of CV. Moving cost should also be covered as some residents are too old to be moving a house load of stuff at no fault of their own. If a registered valuation is available and less than 2 years old this should be used. Insurance money should be disregarded and this isn't what insurance is for. Residents should also be compensated for time off work that was incurred as a direct result of the flooding.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #13

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

There needs to be consideration as to why someone doesn't have insurance. Is it because they have been flooded before and were unable to get insurance or was it personal choice to not get insurance. I believe the buy out price should either be RV or what was paid for the property if it is over RV as it is not the owner's choice to sell in a low market. I had planned to sell my house in March but we had 4 floods in 4 weeks in February, then another in May and another in July so I was unable to sell due to the property damage and risk to life.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #26

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

We fully support the Buy Out option for the Cat 3 homes as it is necessary for the safety of the individuals living there.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

The 2021 Council CV should be a good starting point with an option for a valuation paid for by the owner if they are not satisfied with the proposed value which also needs to consider any inflationary increase.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

Yes


#26

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #32

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

What happens with the houses in the blue/green network areas? are they "category 3 buy outs" you've explained what happens with voluntary buy outs, but not what happens to the houses in the blue/green areas?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

What happens when a house has not had a payout from insurance, because the house was not damaged significantly but the house is in the blue/green network? How does that "buy out" look like? Does the govt make the insurance pay out for the house in order to force the "top up" from insurance even though the house does not need to be replaced on the property currently?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #37

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Clear timely communication of how the projects are going would be helpful.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Money cannot buy lives. If it is not safe, Council has the obligation to buy back property that was zoned as residential by mistake or no longer safe.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #42

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #47

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Do not waste the funding on paying consultant on top of consultant. Use local people who know their community better than people from other areas.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Do not use bureaucracy and legal grey areas to opt out from doing the right thing by those affected.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #58

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

QV as a guide but capped at $1.5m.

Council can resell the land once remediated if any developable land is possible

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #60

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Consideration needs to be made not just about the state of the home but also the state of the land. Insurance companies probably over the cost of the house but not the land - so the council buyout needs to take that into consideration.

Anyone who has been unable to live in their home since the January floods should be a Level 3 and they should receive enough funds to relocate.

This is time for us to advocate for urgent action regarding climate change and not leaving the responsibility on individuals to carry the burden of these extreme weather events.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #61

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Most, if not all of the "making space for water" nonsense would have been obviated had the council simply done what it was supposed to in terms of waterway management and stream clearing over the last 20 years anyway! So now Council needs to get on with it, this is the stuff we actually pay our rates for anyway, not the nice to have stuff.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   CV in the period before the events less insurance preceeds received by the owner. The issue with un- or under insured properties is mute when you are forcing people off their property.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #71

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Perhaps in future you need to think more carefully before allowing people to build in silly places.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   I don't know

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #77

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Suggest the old mayor Brown visit the houses that are in Category 3 so he is fully aware of the situation and can confidently communicate his concerns to councilors.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

see above answer for old Mayor Brown

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #103

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Please give these people fair and fast compensation so they can move forwards.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #121

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

I feel that all owners should be handled the same way and should be a top up to the amount covered by Insurance/EQC payouts. For those uninsured they chose to take this risk and should not be compensated above the amount they should have been covered for.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #133

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

That we are given the choice to even submit our opinion on the proposal is wonderful thing and shows how co-operative and aware Auckland council is over the devastating situation in the first place. Feedback encouragement must be easily accessible to all rate payers and encouraged, supervised where necessary in "online" directions for the technically computer challenged. Customer service within Auckland Council is not prioritized enough.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Community accessible meetings to the people affected must be more frequent and regular by the local board, Mayor and Auckland council hierarchy acknowledging their intentions, co-operations with private insurance companies.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #138

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Why is Auckland council still approving building of homes in flood prone areas? Considering that 1 in 100 year event has already happened twice this year, why is council agreeing to building new houses in areas like Helensville where the houses will eventually be labelled as high risk in case of a similar weather event.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   For the properties approved by Auckland council, it should cover as much as possible and take ownership of their own fault. This means the ratepayers paying for the bills. However, rate payers are paying for all council mistakes anyways. What is missing is the accountability from the council.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Do not support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #146

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Transport repair, OKAY, but homeowner insurance should cover their OWN home, not FREE $$$ from Council. What's the matter with you people?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

KEEP OUT OF THE housing market!

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Do not support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #148

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Buy out of homes should be based on CV.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Base buy-out price on CV. Owner should contribute at least 50%.

Uninsured - it was a risk they decided to take, so it was their choice, ratepayers should not bail out uninsured people.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #167

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I think it is positive that central government is coming to the party with this funding.

In regard the council's contribution, i highly suggest that the council review all its current project and its priorities; shave unproductive projects, review council staffing levels prior to coming out to Aucklanders for funding/extra taxes. I am confident that Auckland rate payers can come up with a list of tax wasting projects by council at this time in point. thank you.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Please make this buyout as 100% transparent as possible please.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #173

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I am not in a financial position to bear any more rates increases. The increaes already are extreme. I will not be able to afford to live soon. Please stop. I also cannot fathom a further reduction in services - it feels there's barely any left and I have to wonder where my rates even go. Please stop.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #210

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #223

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

People knew they bought property in flood prone areas. It should be the property owners cost.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Should not buy out these properties

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   I don't know

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #224

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

I don't know

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Why should those property owners WHO DO NOT HAVE HOUSE/PROPERTY INSURANCE receive the same amount as those who have YEAR IN YEAR OUT paid to protect their properties. If we must help them out, offer them a cheaper option for the rest of us taxpayers who have to cover this cost (I assume they are also taxpayers - who should have had insurance). Offer to relocate them OUT OF AUCKLAND, it will be a cheaper option. If they do NOT agree that's their choice. They should have protected their most valuable asset their home and property.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   The under insured should receive no less that what the uninsured might get. Relocate them out of Auckland again if they want a complete package, otherwise pay out to their insured valuation. Mind you AUCKLAND CITY COUNCIL SHOULD OF BEEN KEEPING UP WITH THE INFURSTRUCTURE. To much INFILL HOUSING and what have they done. ABSOLUTELY NOTHING.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   I don't know

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #289

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Only around the buyout of category 3 properties. Uninsured homes should not be eligible for any type of buyout that is more than 50% of the home value prior to the flooding. Paying out anything more sends the wrong message around the necessity of having insurance and could encourage people to forgo insurance in the future. I will also not vote for any councillor in the next election if they support payouts to uninsured home owners that are worth more than 50% of the pre flooding value of their home.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Uninsured homes should not be eligible for any type of buyout that is more than 50% of the home value prior to the flooding. Paying out anything more sends the wrong message around the necessity of having insurance and could encourage people to forgo insurance in the future. I will also not vote for any councillor in the next election if they support payouts to uninsured home owners that are worth more than 50% of the pre flooding value of their home. People with insurance would have no doubt received some money from insurance already so a top up to 100% of pre flooding value should have minimal costs and be fair to home owners.

   Professional valuations would be important given the wild inaccuracies in council valuations for rates purposes. Possibly a payout of 70% of council valuations in the short term would work, with a top up after valuations have been completed and a guarantee that they won't receive less than 70% of council valuation.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #293

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

As one of the people affected by the flooding, I support and thank to Auckland City Council and the New Zealand government for their efforts to solve the problem. I am saying so, does not only a matter of our own family. It benefit thousands of households and natural resources by developing the River field.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Housing these families will cost a fortune. I think specific issues need to be analyzed concretely, and multiple forms can coexist.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #299

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Increase more funding for the stormwater infrastructure and flood prevention.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Increase the allocation for the category 3 buyout.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #335

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

I don't know

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

I think there should be a maximum contribution, regardless of house value

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #369

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #413

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #423

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Seems a reasonable and fair reponse

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Be as humane as possible. The people concerned have suffered enough.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #429

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

A joint approach, central and local government, makes sense. I

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

I agree that starting with the rateable value of properties makes sense in order to get these buyouts underway. More considered individual solutions could be worked out later.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #451

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

I do agree those properties needs to be maintained in a health and safety manner by getting the concent from the council

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Do not support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #455

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

It is not the place of ratepayers to buy out people that have made poor purchasing decisions. Leave the insurance of properties to insurance companies and just stay out of it.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Do not support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #457

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Great one

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Totally agree

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #466

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

1. It seems a very all-or-nothing proposal. What will happen if we all advise Auckland Council to say "no"?

2. How much of the government contribution was likely to have come to Auckland anyway - ie what extra contribution is being made? As taxpayers, any "government" money is also being contributed to by Aucklanders, so we're paying twice.

3. This scheme must be underpinned by other changes to eg restrictions of future new building locations and further development of existing at-risk locations, in-fill housing

which places additional burden on water egress pathways during extreme weather events etc which must also be supported by changes to policy from historically-based weather events to predicted future weather events; much better to be too safe than not safe enough!

And if the current laws don't allow these increased restrictions,, make acceptance of the government package conditional on law changes to address that.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   If those properties are no longer safe to live in, why is the purchase optional ? Will it be like Matata where the land will be rezoned and remaining residents have their council services withdrawn?

   There should be no favours to those who have either not insured or under-insured as this sets a poor precedent and also penalises those who have been responsible.

   Buy out should be as set out in Public Works Act.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #479

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

While the outlat, and inevitable cost, is daunting, doing nothing is not an option. Dithering and quibbling is also not an option. Action is the only way forward

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

So complex, and you will never please everyone !

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #482

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

While the actual amounts are eye watering it seems equitable to me that there is significant contribution from govt towards the reading network. The council pays a larger share towards making room for water iand that's fair as it should be a council responsibility anyway. The shared cost of the buyout is more complex, and as raised in the document, the issue os insurance has to be considered. I am providing feedback on this specific issue in the next question.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Basically, this can not happen again. Realistically we could have another severe weather event before the making space for water initiatives are in place. I believe Council needs to share the cost and has, in some cases, contributed to the issue by allowing developments in fragile areas.

   Part of being a homeowner is having insurance. It just is. If people choose not to have it, or can not afford it. Perhaps there needs to be better education around the responsibility and potential risks. I'd suggest that ratepayers have to signify that their property is or is not insured EVERY year. If not they should sign a waiver noting they understand they are responsible. This may sound harsh but if people expects buyouts then the whole point of insurance dissipates. I fully accept this current buyout - but draw a line in the sand. This is where it ends.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   I don't know

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #492

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Can we not always go cap in hand to government for more money, it just creates an environment of lack of accountability and respect for public money. As the Council does not have to earn this money they can just keep spending more and more and if you run out guess whats the first option is, go to the Govt. Why can't you look at internal spending to see if savings can be made. Cause I am 100% sure there is a lot of wasteful spending within Auckland Council and its entities e.g. Auckland Transport & WaterCare.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   I dont think councils should be buying out houses. It is the responsibility of Councils to ensure the property is not likely to be at risk of flooding under normal circumstances. However I don't believe it should be responsible to buy them in events of natural disasters that could not have been foreseen. If it does it is just another example of been a nanny state. People need to take accountability for their own actions and have insurances etc. And Govt's & Councils should ensure Insurances protect holders of the policy because in most circumstances people dont read the fine pring and may not be eligible for insurance and thats where the Govt & Councils should come in to ensure Insurance companies are doing what they suppose to. And if someone decides not to be insured well that is a risk that they took knowingly and so should not be eligible to be bailed out.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Do not support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #513

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

The funding seems like a lot to do all this. The funding breakdown would be helpful.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Sounds fair, but apply the methodology generously.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #522

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I agree with the proposed plans. As far as the councils part in the funding goes, I only hope it doesn't hit the wallets of the general public too much, as we are already struggling with low paychecks and high grocery prices.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

I personally think that holiday homes should have different provisions set to them, if they're not used to live in all the time. As far as the setting of the price, the mentioned hybrid approach of getting the best value in the quickest time sounds good to me.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #534

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

When someone's property has been flooded to a dangerous level in consecutive years, or multiple times over a decade, Council has to accept these homes are unlivable. Imagine if you were the family going through the nightmare of evacuating every time a major rain event occurs?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #548

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

I don't know

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

It appears whatever proposal is adopted rate payers in the Auckland Supercity will be asked to stump up more money via rates. It would be helpful to know what the rates hike would be for each proposal. Having said that there is some urgency to finalize buyouts so people can begin looking forward with some certainty and transport networks can be rebuilt. These networks need to be planned carefully and robustly so that future disasters will not have the impact that has been seen following Cyclone Gabrielle. The costs for this will be substantial if that goal is to be met. Is the council sure that the funding agreed will be sufficient? What happens if costs overrun?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Those propertues in category 3 should be assessed for market value and the buyout agreed to a percentage of that sum. Those uninsured or under insured should be paid out but at a proportionally lower price than those fully insured. Rental properties or holiday homes should be treated in the same way as serious investment has been made by the property owners and they should not be disadvantaged. Obviously insurance companies have a duty to honor their policies and thus council/ govt costs will be reduced. Individual property assessment will by necessity take a longer time but this is a fairer way to assess value than a one size fits all scenario.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #551

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I believe the Council must accept Govt help. We can't afford to carry the can alone.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

It should take into account whether Council played a part in their plight by allowing homes to be built in an unsafe area or did not do sufficient anti-flood remediation work. The methodology should not be designed to expect home-owners to have to top up for replacement costs where the council's omissions/inactions etc contributed to the homeowner's' predicaments.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #598

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

STORMS ARE GOING TO BE WITH US FOR SOME TIME AND A ONCE PACKAGE DEAL IS NOT ENOUGH. THERE NEEDS TO BE AN ONGOING SUPPLY OF FUNDS TO REMEDY THE PROBLEMS AS THEY OCCUR.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

IN CASES OF BUY OUTS THE SOLUTION IS THE VALUE OF THE PROPERTY PRE STORM VALUE SHOULD BE APPLIED MINUS THE INSURANCE PAYOUT.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #628

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Saying no will not guarantee a better deal later but saying yes does not mean you can not ask for more should it be necessary.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Owners should have the right to opt out of the scheme and try to make them safe themselves. There was a time when councils would not publish maps of at risk areas because owners feared they would not be able to sell or would get less money. Hopefully this has stopped. Also councils share the blame for approving building in unsuitable areas.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #657

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Don't duplicate payments from insurance.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   I don't know

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #673

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #676

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

No - it seems sensible

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Many damaged properties experienced flooding or slips before the 2023 extreme weather events and, in some cases, they were high risk when houses were first built on the land. For example: homes at Piha, Muriwai, etc and on clifftops around the east coast beaches. The property owners knew they were taking a risk buying these properties but they wanted to get away from the busy City, get close to nature, gain a great view, etc so they decided to accept the risk. It is unfair for the rest of us to compensate these owners for their loss when nature takes its course.

For properties that were fully insured and owner-occupied the buyout offer should be related to market value but I agree it is reasonable for the owners to take a cut (say 5-10%). Obviously, the buyout price should also be reduced by any compensation the owner has received from their insurance company or EQC, unless the money has already been spent on repairs.

For fully insured rental properties and holiday homes the owners should take a much bigger cut (say 20-33%).

If a property was uninsured we should not be rewarding fools. In these cases the buyout offer should be land value only less any amount EQC would have contributed to land remediation had insurance been in place.

If a property was under-insured the buyout offer should be market value for land + buildings less the amount of under-insurance less the % owners' contribution you decide on.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #691

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

I don't know

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

As residents affected why are we being disadvantaged. ...WE did not approve all the infill housing developments that add to the storm water going onto our waterway. WE have not failed to maintain the waterways and We have not been responsible for all the extra % of ground permeability being covered by housing.

Why has nothing been done to mitigate any future flooding by clearing the waterways or making a stopbank along the creek edge to stem the flow of water. It has now been 8 months - hope you are enjoying your warm, dry home at night.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Just get in with it.....8 months

   Buy out should be at rateable value - council has set that price.

   Sadly we probs wont be cat 3 even though floodplains clearly show we are in serious danger next time.....we cannot sell our home, our 25 year investment is now worthless thanks to councils greedy, silly decisions.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.


   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   I don't know

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know


5:49 PM Thu 31 Aug
73%
aucklandcouncil.maps.arcgis.com
Auckland's Hazard Viewer | Te Mapi Tirotiro...
Auckland's Hazard Viewer | Te Mapi Tirotiro Pūmate o...
Auckland Emergency Management
Auckland Council
Overview
Terms of Use
Flooding
Coastal Inundation
Severe Wind
Tsunami
Volcanic
Earthquake
Past Events
follow the instructions from emergency services. Be prepared to evacuate quickly if it becomes necessary, follow your emergency plan, take your emergency bag and pets with you, if it is safe to do so.
Do not try to walk or drive through floodwater.
Move valuable or dangerous items as high as you can off the floor or out of the flood zone.
After the event: Help others if you can, continue to listen to the radio and follow instructions from emergency services. It may not be safe to return home immediately.
Floodwater may be contaminated, throw away any food and drink that may have been in contact with floodwater.
Click here to learn more about flooding.
LEGEND
Flood Plains
Flood Prone Areas
MAYER PLACE
OVERVIEW MAP
public | WSP Opus / WSP Auckland Council: Healthy Waters, Geospatial
esri

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #695

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Dont make rate payers pay for peoples houses damaged in storms

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Make sure all people whose house was damaged had insurance so that NZ govt only pays the difference after insurance pay out. If someone did not insure their property they should not be paid by NZ govt

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #704

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #707

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

I think some category 2 should have help too. those that have rentals this may be their only income & not paying out for those if I read it right should be looked at. not sure on holiday houses but have they been lived in in the current economic environment. if just holiday not sure. yes insurance should come into it so that aucklanders rates don't go up too much. its the answer for everything. i'm not sure about underinsured top ups as if it wasn't this & say a fire or other event they wouldn't get that either. but should still have some sort of top up or full. the reaosn would be why they were underinsured. just get on with it & those murawai ones especially. & cctv for the area so no more $$ get lost

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #753

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

It is very important that this voluntary buy-out go ahead ASAP to let all of the affected homeowners get on with their lives.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Buy-out values should be based on valuations / CVs from the date of the flooding.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #760

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and

underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Question the council and their governance of allowing people to build on unsafe land. There will be existing properties that didn't have warning signs not to build, however, those that have been built past the time of unsafeness - I'm not sure I want to pay them out at the same level as others.

The ongoing use of the land will be interesting. Can this be passive land which serves climate control issues and not create maintenance costs. Can we use it for solar farms or something of the like so it generates support for the environment?

How might the broken houses be used in an environmentally friendly?

What's happening to planning legislation - the flood plains are so wide now that so many of Auckland's houses are in flood plains. If we pay out people now, we're setting a president for the future - can we keep up with this spend? So much to consider. Let's get to the root cause being the environment and our planning strategy.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #832

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I don't think ratepayers who are mostly also tax payers should be hot twice for this funding

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Making sure houses are not built in high risk environments in the future should be a top prority

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #836

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

As we intensify we also need to buy out future risk housing, sometimes before it becomes a problem. Making space for water

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Properties should be purchased for cv. Insurance payouts should cover difference between market value and cv

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #858

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I think its a fair deal, Also council have a great part to play on this. This project should be the priority for council and should start as soon as possible.!

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Great decision, and it's a fair proposal. These properties have great risk involve.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #862

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Yes. I believe the buy out mechanism should be based on some calculation to do with capital value and NOT to do with individual property type or hardship status. These would be a) too hard to accurately assess and b) be discriminatory in nature. The only fair way would be to take a consistent approach using the capital value of each property

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Yes. This is our chance to get it right. I believe the buy out mechanism should be based on some calculation to do with capital value and NOT to do with individual property type or hardship status. These would be a) too hard to accurately assess and b) be discriminatory in nature. The only fair way would be to take a consistent approach using the capital value of each property

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #864

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Define category 3 residential properties purely based on their flooding or landslip risk NOT on whether it is a holiday home / rental. That doesn't take consideration of owners potential future plans to live in a property and would signal that Council say it's acceptable to put tenants in an unsafe location.

Work out price by a fair equation for each property based on CV (that council determine and charge rates accordingly) and market value. Deduct the insurance amount from the price.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #872

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

I don't know

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I think that the support package should clearly be aimed at relieving issues for property owners that are facing financial difficulties as a result of their properties being categorized in risk cat 3. coastal properties naturally attract a large premium and are also at risk - funding should be there to allow these families access to housing should they have no alternative, not to compensate them for capital loss caused by natural events. This means that, in my opinion, multiple home owners should be excluded, as long as not all their properties are affected, that any support package ought to be means-tested. This also means that no bank ought to be compensated by the government for the capital loss.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   I think that the buying value should be based on the value of natural areas at flood risk, land that cannot be built on. Any compensation should not aim at covering capital loss but at covering people's housing needs, and only for those in actual need.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #875

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

will Like a fair price buyout for my property in clover drive

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

It has been a long time to decide on this - My property wasnt marked as a flood plan prior to the event- but now is.

for me to move on - a buyout is an only option as no one will purchase my home in future

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #899

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #928

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

A methodology that prioritises vulnerability (e.g., prioritising those communities that are less resilient to the impacts of future flooding / natural hazards)

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #952

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

It should just be a land-only deal and insurance companies should cover the buildings. Those that were uninsured took that risk on knowingly so should not get building payouts. Also, the land valuation should be at current market value not based on CVs that were done before the market crashed.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #978

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #987

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Not my problem and that is already what I pay rates and WaterCare and extra water disposal fees for. If you chose to live there, then you choose and should be responsible and know the risks when moving there

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

They should not spend any money on

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Do not support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #994

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Uninsured and underinsured have choosen to take this risk and do not deserve additional compensation. Otherwise why am I paying for my insurance?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #996

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

More attention to be paid to the suitability of sites for future housing projects. areas likely to suffer landslides and flooding to have bans put on them when planning housing. Unsuitable sites be turned over to forestry.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Insurance companies who make huge profits must be made to pay their share, it cannot be left to the council or the government to carry the entire can for this disaster and those almost certain to follow in future years.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1018

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1019

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

This needs to be done. Just do it!

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Just do it! People's lives are affected by the delays!

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1032

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1058

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Stop delaying - people have been out of their homes for 8 months

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Buy out price should be current CV

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1074

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I think it is important to ensure future safety but building resilient infrastructure as climate change is very real and we're and we will continue to observe consequences of this change. At the same time, it is also important for the council and the country to look after its people and ensure their well being. I think both council and central government has made the right call. I wholeheartedly support this approach.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   For both local and central government, it is important to ensure no one is left with a burden or mortgage when their property is not liveable. I think the starting point to price a category three property is the CV value. Majority of the properties will have insurance to cover the house, thus, council should start with the land value portion of the CV and adjust the price in accordance with the market to reflect the true value of the property. In the event, where the property value is more than say two million dollars, in that case council can look into the actual purchase value and introduce a price cap accordingly. That way no owner will be left with a burden of mortgage. However, consideration should be given for owners with no insurance based on circumstances i.e., with or without mortgage and actual purchase price.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

#1074


#1074


#1074

#1074


#1074

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1076

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Things need to be fixed ASAP to prevent future losses. Waterways should be continually maintained by Council and flood plane areas identified and never built on again.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Residents who are insured or under insured should get a top up to their existing rates valuations. Residents who are uninsured should get half their rates valuation because they have opted to be self insured. A possible option for shortfalls would be interest free or set 3% low interest loans from Council to residents which would be fully repayable back to Council over a twenty year period.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1142

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Do not support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1146

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Fixed walking tracks washed out and cement them

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Bry out

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1174

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I am glad that there is money for mitigation. I have been following climate change research for 40 years, and it is highly likely that things are going to get worse. We may have a few years of drought, but the floods will be back with a vengeance in the future. The "improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards" are a very good thing.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1180

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Council has some legal responsibility that does not appear to have been factored into the proposal. For example, the Don Buck, Clover Drive, Mayfair Place, Lincoln Garden Close area was inundated by flood waters diverted from the Momutu STream due to 21 truckloads of rock placed under the bridge by Council. The water dammed up because when Kāinga Ora built Lincoln Garden Close it was signed off by Auckland Council/Auckland Transport despite knowing the there was a wooden fence immeately upstream along with other fences blocking the overland flow path.

Council, and perhaps Kāinga Ora have a legal responsibility to compensate owners and renters of the 40 or so houses flooded due to apparenet misfeasance.

Also, the Don Buck Bridge needs to be replaced with a longer bridge so that flood waters are not restricted by the bridge. This should alleviate much of the upstream flooding back into Camphora Place and even to dwellings along the Swanson Stream/Urlich Road, etc.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Category 3 properties should not be defined by whether they are insured or not. Otherwise the agreement will disenfranchise the poor. Many of the 'obvious' flood plains were not designated as flood plains in OPUS and other engineering flood mapping. There may well be a liability relating to inaccurate engineering reports that needs to be considered by Council.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   I don't know

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

   No

#1180

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1186

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Make sure that every penny is well accounted for assiduously and report back to public. No snouts in gravy trains, including sticky fingers in public lolly jars. Public parks and blue-green networks? How safe will be these against further erosion? Would it be better just leave and return back to natural state.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Just ensure accountability for your actions. Refer to Edelman Trust Barometer and public disquiet with public servants misusing funds for personal pecuniary advantage. We are all sick of bludgers sponging off the ratepayer and tax payer for personal advantage.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   I don't know

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1196

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I cannot add anything to the council's suggestion

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

I am not qualified to add anything to the council's suggestion

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1227

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

If ratepayers are having to foot the bill for this we should have ownership of the land and of indoor later we get that money raised by way of decreased rates or a cash back

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1248

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

I believe the hybrid approach is most suitable, with Council paying out based on the land value on the CV. Improvements should be covered by insurance where applicable with owners being able to make submissions for a top-up if they do not believe that this provides an accurate market value for there property. Done properly this should result in a minimal contribution from owners with full insurance and a greater contribution from owners with limited or no insurance. Council should NOT be required to provide greater support to owners who have elected not to purchase insurance.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1255

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I think Council must accept responsibility for issuing the building consents and subdivision in affected areas. I would support a government agency with oversight and veto power for future subdivision.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

I would prefer payment to com partly from rates and partly from borrowing

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   I don't know

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1274

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1275

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

The buy out price to be in a three tier system, categorised as fully insured, under insured and uninsured. The rate payers contributions to these owners are reduced if you under-insured or uninsured. All insurance monies go to the council, which is then passed on to the owners with a top up, based on what category you fall within.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1298

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

The methodology of “different provisions” for holiday/rentals could backfire.

It should be to fix the problem, save lives, lessen future damage, not to cut-costs, or do things on the cheap.

It should be equal and fair for all home residences. As all pay the same rates, have same expectations, laws, and benefits to the community. Treating unequally is almost racist.

If not equal and fair: One house owner receives top dollar, the other beaten down. What next? That’s like digging half a drain for rental hut but a whole drain for another house. Should one have full modifications, the other only half?

Then one house owner moves on, the other should keep the house (in dangerous area) recover cost or repairs, rental loss. For them, better not to be in the buyback.

Council receives rates for houses, based on existing general principles but if now that’s changed? Then for rental/holiday houses in questionable areas, their rate system should have reflected this new methodology and done so for years.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   I don't know

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1336

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

rates increase should be kept to a minimum and govt should fund more into AC through using foreign buyer profit to buy category 3 homes out and re-state these areas as waterways or recreational areas. Public should have more education on buying in risk prone areas and discouraged

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1358

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Value of property should be based on council rates value

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1376

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

The funding package proposal is critical for Auckland to move forward, fixing flood damaged transport network and reducing risk of severely damaged residential properties. It's a fair share between Central Government and Auckland Council. I support the council accepting the proposed funding package.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   A better and fair approach is to use the Christchurch earthquake buy-out method.

   Category 3 homeowner could either sell their entire property to Auckland Council at current rating value or just sell the land and continue to deal with their own insurers for the value of their homes.

   Christchurch earthquake buy-out is to fund 100% of the value of the property.

   Maximum payout offers -yes, this is quite necessary, cap at $2 million on buy-out offer.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1391

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   I don't know

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1437

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1444

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

I support using the most simple valuation method: rateable value. I also support a cap on buyout amounts at 1million dollars. I support owners of uninsured properties being supported as I imagine most people in this situation having limited financial buffer.

I do not support holiday homes receiving any buyout at all;a holiday home is a luxury which I don't think we need to protect or subsidise. I believe rental properties should receive a lower level of payment, perhaps 50% of owner occupied homes.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1474

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

My concern is where the council gets the budget from. The rate is pretty high at the moment and I don't like to see the it gets raised again soon.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

I am interested in how to define the category 3 properties. The criteria may also include risks of private water-cross which was damaged in the last flood and storm.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1479

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

CV should be a straight forward reference point in terms of pricing. Is there a way for insurance companies to contribute as though properties are supposed to be written off or repaired as if buyout did not go ahead? This may ease the burden of taxpayers. Not sure how owner contributions is going to be materialised.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1498

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

It is in the best interests of impacted Aucklanders that this proposal is accepted by the council, implemented swiftly to repair the damaged transport network and severely damaged residential properties.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

A fair and equitable solution balances speed with accuracy, giving the property owner/s a choice of a fast buy-out using CV for land only or entire property.

Christchurch earthquake buy-out method will be a good solution. Category 3 homeowner could either sell their entire property to Auckland Council at current rating value or just sell the land and continue to deal with their own insurers for the value of their homes. Christchurch earthquake buy-out is to fund 100% of the value of the property. Maximum payout offers -yes, this is quite necessary, cap at $2 million on buy-out offer.

Private residences should be treated equally regardless of whether they are primary residence, holiday homes, rentals etc. Many people have their retirement savings tied up in these properties.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1514

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1516

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1532

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I would like to know more about the "Blue Green Zones" as I live next to one

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1581

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

The buy outs should priotize areas the areas that were effected the most as i know people that have been effected by flooding twice in a row. one after the renovation was done after going through insurance and repairing most of their house. The council did not do anything when voices were raised in concern of a second flooding and they have yet to reply or give feedback regards families having to spend hundreds of thousands money for repairing their household while exposed to another flooding if the weather allows so.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   How many times they were effected by the flood, the cost to repair and how it effects the families in those areas and how much funding is needed to help those areas in question (e.g how much would it cost to reduce further risks in those areas)

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1649

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1660

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

It is in the best interests of impacted Aucklanders that the Category 3 and 2 funding issues are resolved swiftly, before the upcoming election.

The funding package should be sufficient to ensure the fair and equitable resolution of this situation for all of those who have been severely impacted.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   The buyout policy needs to be fair and equitable. The set of buyout price can be learned from Christchurch red zone buyout and Napier Category 3 Voluntary Buy-out Policy .

   Where the property is insured, the owner should be able to choose one of the two options below for buyout.

   1. Payment at GV or market valuation for the Residential Property, less any Insurance Proceeds that have not been spent.

   2. To retain any Insurance Proceeds related to the Dwelling and Residential

   Improvements, in which case the Owner payment shall be made for the market value of the land, less any payment under the Earthquake Commission Act 1993 for damage to the land that have not been spent.

   To ensure public confidence in the system there needs to be a genuinely independent and affordable disputes process set up that is both timely and effective in its outcomes. There may be concerns that a final categorisation will be decided without means to challenge it, hence the need for an independent investigation and disputes resolution mechanism.

   To consider offering anything less than 100% value of properties to remove people from situations of intolerable risk could result in undue hardship.

   Private residences should be treated equally regardless of whether they are holiday homes, rentals etc. Many people have their retirement savings tied up in these properties therefore there should not be any caps imposed on the buy-outs.

   An independent challenge process for categorisations and valuations to ensure fair and equitable outcomes is very important for all affected communities.The buyouts should be set at a fair and fiscally responsible rate that does not push affected property owners into hardship or have long-term intergenerational negative impacts.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1691

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Re-assess city wide other homes that are at risk, and do an assessment of how new neighborhoods that have been built recently have negatively impacted existing ones.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Why can't Insurance companies foot this bill? I pay them thousands of dollars every year... As do many of us. If you don't have insurance and your house is effected, unless you have been impacted by buildings around you, tough luck.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1724

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Yes, The Government proposal makes sense, agree to

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

For properties falling under category 3:

Guaranteeing that affected homeowners have a voice and the option to influence the assessment of their property's value.

For properties categorized as 2:

Ensuring financial support is accessible for those who need to address additional erosion or flooding risks. At this point, homeowners might face significant costs to safeguard their properties from potential harm caused by neighboring properties.

Simplifying the bureaucratic hurdles associated with obtaining building and resource permits. Category 2 homeowners will be required to seek these permits for their risk mitigation measures. We urge the Council to streamline this process to prevent unnecessary delays in the foreseeable future

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1725

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

some concern about proposed evaluation method to decide buyout amount to category3 homeowners -especially offering a flat rate. I'd prefer a fair value of the home even if it takes longer, with some additional provision for hardship.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1728

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards must include updating the storm water system, including rules around developments being able to pump water to roads

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

You should ensure that community work to make category 2 homes safer is prioritised, or buy them out

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1738

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1748

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1752

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1773

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Just do what it takes to help

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1776

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1785

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1787

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Purchase for RV at the time when flooding occurred . People not insured will get RV . People that have insured should have that money kept separate to buy out . Why should insured people be penalized .

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1800

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Hope Worldwide-Pakistan

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

It will help to rebuild infrastructure

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Only focus what is best fir our city infrastructure

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1808

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Insurance payouts should be irrelavent and not effect the price the owner gets.

Properties should be given an independent valuation ignoring the flood risk factor.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1813

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Market value on the day before the cyclone, or two independent valuations and include funding for the cost of 2C and 2P remediation work to make house safe.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1834

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

People have been displaced from their homes far too long - they should be able to have the option of a buy-out if their home is not livable, or if they have a bill to fix their property that they cannot afford.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1838

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Based on individual circumstances

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1852

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1859

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1867

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1871

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

I believe people bought their properties believing these had been approved as safe and risk free by Auckland Council. I do not believe Auckland Council can fail to take responsibility for the loss of homes when there is clear evidence of neglect and failure to maintain storm water systems, drainage, and giving approval for intense housing development that has now reduced the permeable land available for increased rainfall.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1894

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Because this just means our rates will go up AGAIN. Soon there won't be anyone living in Auckland because no one can afford to!

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Leave it up to the individuals that live in these houses and ask them what they would prefer to do

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Do not support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1908

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

The valuations need to be fair and current. Often the there is a big gap between the CV and the market valuation. Even if the CV could be paid out in the first instance so people have some funds but then adjusted to reflect the market valuation of the property prior to the cyclone to take into account improvements etc made.

People should not be left with a buyout less than mortgage that they have on the property.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Catagory 3 properties should be given an immediate 100% payout based on their CV that is then adjusted to the fair market valuation of the property prior to the storm.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1935

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

The EQC and the insurance companies should cover the cost of this & pay the home owners. Auckland Council should buy out the land for cheap from the insurance companies as you can't rebuild there.

For people who don't have insurance, we can do one-off buy-out but then make it mandatory in future for all residential dwellings to have appropriate insurances in place, so this doesn't happen again. Also, it should be up to the insurance company to make sure that properties are not under insured.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1949

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Needs to be done

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

People won't be able to get insurance for category 3 or live in them. We don't want them to be trapped. I don't believe we can/should contribute to 2nd 3rd homes. So many people in our area have NO home. So the main home only.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   I don't know

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1953

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I believe there should be more homes being bought back as it is the council that originally gave permits for these houses to be built and have not provided a safe plac for families to live.

saying this is a one time buy out should mean more properties are bought so people do not have to go through this traumatic experience again.

The property next door flooded above waist deep it is right beside Opanuku stream. My property is about 1m higher in elevation. Since the January flood the house has been

repaired but in the normal winter rain there has been pooling beside the house. It is a case of when not if it will flood again and somebodies life is turned upside down.

Please do the right thing

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   I feel using the current cv values is the most accurate way to payout homes

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1982

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

A. Council let us down by not funding stream cleaning etc. But you don't have any spare cash.

You have heaped so many extra charges onto ratepayers some of us are finding it hard to

exists in Auckland. I kid you not.

If my rates go up any more I am in deep shit. I am sure others on fixed income will be

in the same boat.

Suggestion. All councillors take a 50% cut in wages to fund this issue.

They created the problem by ignoring it so they should pay. (They will still have an

income far higher than mine.)

B. No don't accept the governments hand out. They won't be there for much longer

Deal with this with the new government. Flooding is becoming a national issue

lets deal with it nationally not on an ad hock pay as it happens mentality.

Yes NZ is broke, but again cut the politicians wages by 50% let them feel the crunch.

C. Just a point of interest for you. The rateable value on my property is now over 1 million $

yet 4 different estate agents have said, and I have it in writing, that I can only expect

$800,000 max One said "your property is over rated" So council really are just

a bunch of noddies making us the resident's pay for their over spending, under budgeting

empire building, mistakes. Have any of them even got the skills of running a business

After all that is what running a city the size of Auckland is. Hopefully I wont be living

in Auckland Super City for much longer so this may all be irrelevant.

Seeing all the new housing going up at about $1,000,000 Rateable value, one wonders why Auckland city is short of cash...

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2003

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2025

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I really think people who are affected by the flood should be protected as we stay awake with anxiety every time it starts to rain. We can not live in the property like this as no further safety protections being happening.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

I don't know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2026

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   I don't know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2053

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Flooded properties appear to be a bit less complex to deal with but I strongly urge council to give equal weight and focus to properties with slips and instability.

It would be great to understand how these assessments and priorities will be set and to ensure that the impacted residents are part of the discussion on how policy will be set (not just included alongside all Aucklanders)

I am hearing very clearly from all impacted residents that they will have an independent appeal process available to them to appeal the categorisation if they need to. This is a way of mitigating future legal action and should considered seriously.

Category 2 homeowners are in the most challenging position and will need funding to be made available to help with the cost of work that needs to be done to stabilise their properties as the amounts that I have seen offered by EQC and insurance are way short of what will be needed.

As far as uninsured properties go, some recognition does need to be given to property owners who have paid insurance for years. This is a really tough issue but perhaps recognition could be given to the amount of insurance paid by land owners over the time they have owned the property? I understand if this adds to complexity and "paperwork" and may just be too hard.....and I am also really aware that care needs to be taken to not compound the problems of people already struggling.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   My experience of being part of a process for negotiating buy outs for flooded properties with Waitakere council (years ago) showed that by being a bit flexible and offering either the CV valuation OR an independent valuation provided by the homeowner and also council, thus built trust and transparency into the process and allowed it to go smoothly without legal challenge.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2114

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2118

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Have you tried taxing the rich and a capital gains tax? That would be great. Clearly our city's infrastructure is failing at the hands of a broken tax system that is not being changed thanks

Do go cutting money from the arts and reprioritising funding from areas that need it because of running and ineffective tax system.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Purchase them with the money from actually taxing the rich or with the money from capital gains tax

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2125

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

CV will provide a standard basis for all land value assessments. To be fair a market valuation date of 26 January 2023 would be appropriate as this was before the impact and will provide valuations for all impacted properties on the same date. The Council should top up the difference between the insurance payout, EQC payout and the assessed market value as at 26 January 2023. People who are uninsured should not be part of this process as they did not protect their assets and ratepayer should not fund their lack of personal protection.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2142

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

There needs to be an independent process for challenging both categorisations and valuations.

I believe the primary focus is moving people out of harms way and secondarily reducing flood risk to remaining households in the future.

With this priority focus in mind, I don't believe there is actually a moral hazard with buying out uninsured properties - people do not just take out insurance for a catastrophic flood event. The Climate Change Adaptation Act will inevitably have

different settings. Precedents and excellent templates for Auckland's Flood Recovery are given by the Resilient Homes Program of NSW.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Properties should be bought out at market value and/or CV. The buyout offer needs to be fair and equitable in respect that it should allow people to buy back into the property market and not put people in financial peril.

   For our own situation at 3 Candia Road, we were significantly impacted in the 31st of August 2021 flood event. Tower Insurance cancelled the insurance, with the claim being settled in November 2022. Tower elected not to carry out the repair on the property. We proactively tried to gain insurance after settlement, but were informed repairs needed to be completed before insurance could be secured. Consequentially the property was uninsured at the time of the Auckland Anniversary flood event. In this respect if Auckland Council decides to penalise uninsured properties in any fashion this should not apply to properties that were not uninsured through choice. We should not should not be further disadvantaged for the sole reason of having suffered through two natural disasters instead of one.

   Where Category 3 properties are within an identified Blue/Green area as part of Making Space for Water, the buyout for these Category 3 properties should be equivalent to the offers being made for all Blue/Green properties. The amenity value of these properties, in terms of the tangible benefits they will provide to the community and environment, should be accounted for in the buyout methodology.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2145

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

There needs to be an independent process for challenging categorisations and valuations. I believe the primary focus should be getting people out of harms way and secondarily reducing flood risk to remaining households in the future. With this priority focus in mind, I don't believe there is any moral hazard with buying out uninsured properties - people do not just take out insurance for a catastrophic flood event. The Government paid out for uninsured properties in Christchurch that were Red Zoned so there is precedence. It's also completely immoral to judge and punish people after what they have been through, this is a recovery after all.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Properties should be bought out at market value and/or CV. The buyout offer needs to be fair and equitable in respect that it should allow people to buy back into the property market and not put people in financial peril. For our own situation at 3 Candia Road, we were significantly impacted in the 31st of August 2021 flood event. Tower Insurance cancelled the insurance, with the claim being settled in November 2022. Tower elected not to carry out the repair on the property. We proactively tried to gain insurance after settlement, but were informed repairs needed to be completed before insurance could be secured. Consequentially the property was uninsured at the time of the Auckland Anniversary flood event.

   In this respect if Auckland Council decides to penalise uninsured properties in any fashion this should not apply to properties that were uninsured because of a prior natural disaster event. We should not should not be further disadvantaged for the sole reason of having suffered through two natural disasters instead of one. Where Category 3 properties are within an identified Blue/Green area as part of Making Space for Water, the buyout for these Category 3 properties should be equivalent to the offers being made for all Blue/Green properties. The amenity value of these properties, in terms of the tangible benefits they will provide to the community and environment, should be accounted for in the buyout methodology.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2156

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

This proposal is a great step forward in helping affected communities move on from the anniversary floods and Cyclone Gabrielle.

In principle I wholeheartedly support the package and its intent to move people out of harm's way. This can and must be the primary focus - halfway measures to mitigate flooding in particular will not be effective in the long run. Unless there is complete and total confidence in the proposed interventions for category two homes, as many properties as feasible must be placed into cat3. Otherwise the risk of kicking the can and the problem down the road is far too great. Property buy outs and blue green

networks are the most effective solution as well as being the only permanent solutions. We must use this as an opportunity to avoid putting people through devastation time and time again.

There is a real concern amongst affected homeowners that cat2 interventions will not go far enough to protect them from flooding. Many of the affected properties will take months or years to reinstate. How do we keep people safe in the meantime? How long will people have to wait for flood protection? Where do they live in the meantime? How can they have confidence that insurance money spent reinstating their homes isn't a complete waste if flood mitigation will be years in the making?

It's easy for decision makers to feel that raising homes or building stop banks may be adequate interventions but for those of us who have lived through the trauma of these floods I can assure you there is little confidence this will keep us safe. We have lost pets, family, possessions, vehicles and been trapped in our homes with no safe way to escape. Raising our homes only makes it harder to escape as the flood waters fill higher around our property. The rivers near our properties run fast and strong and when filled with debris such as on the 27th of January any raised home faces a real foundational risk when it comes to stability during a flood.

As a homeowner who has experienced the devastation of the anniversary weekend floods first hand, this is not a time to be optimistic about our resilience currently or in the future. We must proceed with absolute caution and use this as an opportunity to learn from our past mistakes. I have no doubt that for many of the flooded homes in West Auckland, property buy outs will by far prove to be the most cost effective measure over the long term. It makes no sense for insurers to continue to pay out every 5-10 years whilst money is simultaneously put into flood mitigation. None of these interventions provide complete confidence to the homeowner and will prove more costly than the property itself in the long run.

One final consideration that I really hope the recovery team is truly putting resources into is the relationship between categorisation and blue green networks. I am a flooded homeowner whose property has been provisionally placed in a blue green network area as confirmed by council documents. I am also in the process of being categorised and believe my home will fall somewhere smack bang in the middle between category 2 and three. My worst fear is that I am placed into cat2 and given no option other than to reinstate my property as I can't afford to pay both rent and mortgage long term. With the knowledge that my property is likely to be acquired anyway as part of a future blue green network, it makes absolutely zero sense that I would spend in excess of $200k reinstating my home only to be told 1-2 years later that I need to move on. It makes much more sense to categorise my home as cat3 now so that insurance money can be put towards the buy out process and in turn save the council money. For homes such as mine this decision cannot be made in isolation - that would be total lunacy and would play havoc on the financial and mental wellbeing of people in the same scenario.

If a property is on the fence between cat 2 and 3 we must err on the side of caution. The stakes are far too high. We have now been given a perfect opportunity to reset the way we live around rivers in Auckland and we must be risk averse in our approach.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   My feedback is that offering homeowners RV as a starting point is the fairest option and will strike the best balance between speed and accuracy when it comes to valuations. There may be edge cases where people can dispute this and perhaps there needs to be an avenue for this but speaking for myself personally I just want this over and done with and RV feels like the quickest, most pain free way to do this.

   As someone who bought a property in 2021 at the height of the market I would still stand to lose a significant amount of money as the RV is below the purchase price I paid for the property however I am aware I am in the minority here. My concern with offering pre flood market value is that this figure would represent the lowest point of the recent housing crash and may actually disincentivise people from engaging with the buy out process. For someone in my situation a pre flood market value offer would put me on the brink of negative equity with absolutely no chance of re-entering the property market and the near complete loss of a %25 deposit on a property. If this offer was presented to me it would be tempting to try and put the house on the open market to see if a better offer could be found. This is counterintuitive to the whole process and undermines the council's efforts to reduce risk and move people out of harm's way. As such the council needs to ensure that buy out offers are high enough so that they allow people to move on.

   It is unfair that those who are more educated and have the resources to negotiate further and engage with the process may come out better off than those not as privileged. People with disabilities, limited access to legal advice or those who speak English as a second language should not be disadvantaged because they lack the same opportunities as others to contest the buy out offer. This is where offering the RV is the most ethical and equitable option. Especially for those living in urban West Auckland neighbourhoods where property values are lower than the average for Auckland City.

   For those who are truly devastated by the floods, living in portacabins on unsafe land, living in a home with 14 people, living separated from their children, it is not fair that they should have to wait longer for a resolution due to others wanting to negotiate down to the last dollar. This is where we must have a process that can be fast tracked to get people out of hardship and we must make sure the process doesn't disadvantage those who wish to have the matter resolved as quickly as possible.

There has been a historical neglect of the waterways and stormwater network right across West Auckland and the council must step up now and do the right thing to help those in need. There is a growing threat of legal action from a number of affected homeowners and the least that the council could do now is offer people a fair price for their home and have the matter resolved once and for all. Our rivers are the urban stormwater network and we cannot continue to consent more and more development and pump more runoff into the and expect those of us at the rivers edge to stay where we are.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2171

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2199

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Please make it quick so people affected can get on with their lives.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Please make a it quick process and offer a fair price. People affected have been mucked around for a long time.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2204

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

It's not council (and there for community) responsibility to buy houses. That's what insurance is for. We privatise the profits abs socialise the losses.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

It's not council and community to bail out home owners that's what insurance is for. Will we have to buy out those living in the waitaks if there is a fire risk or slip risk?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2229

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Fix the creeks

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2241

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Auckland council need to decrease it's 'in house' wastage and 'mis-management' of money to make this balance out a bit better.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Defining cat 3 should be a property that is at repeated risk of flooding etc, the buy out price should be at the August 2021 valuations as per when we got flooded before as per negligence by council not maintaining the streams (aka stormwater assets) and increasing imperviable development.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes


#2241
0:01 / 0:31

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2244

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Please use the CV value of the flood affected properties prior to the events, as this is the value the council had placed on the property

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2254

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Priority should go to people's property first we are the ones mostly affected by this, infrastructure only if it has a beneficial affect on affected property.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

2 Independent valuers one by owner one by council

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2260

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Categorization of properties need to be completed alongside the Making Space for Water program to give all flood effected Aucklanders certainty.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Property buyouts need to be set at 100% of CV.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   I don't know

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2262

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Categorization of properties need to be completed alongside the Making Space for Water program to give all flood effected Aucklanders certainty.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Property buy-outs need to be set at 100% of CV

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   I don't know

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2263

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I understand Category 1 and 3, but as yet have not heard anything mitigating risks for Category 2 as other Councils have included eg building stream berms etc... What is not included in your proposal is the cheaper option of lifting houses above water levels (approx $100k) or retaining walls to redirect water flow (approx $20k depending on the property site).

I think that the Council needs to make sure the this whole process is fair and equitable and that there is room for homeowners to challenge the decisions made via an independent process separate from Council processes eg not employed by them.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   In Category 3 Buyouts the Insurance companies should pay out the full insured value of the flooded house therefore reducing the cost to Council and Government - eg a house insured for $500k replacement value which would then leave on average $600k to split between government and council. This would allow funding for more houses than the 774 houses allowed for in your plan.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2264

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I understand Category 1 and 3, but as yet have not heard anything mitigating risks for Category 2 as other Councils have included eg building stream berms etc... What is not included in your proposal is the cheaper option of lifting houses above water levels (approx $100k) or retaining walls to redirect water flow (approx $20k depending on the property site).

I think that the Council needs to make sure the this whole process is fair and equitable and that there is room for homeowners to challenge the decisions made via an independent process separate from Council processes eg not employed by them.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   In Category 3 Buyouts the Insurance companies should pay out the full insured value of the flooded house therefore reducing the cost to Council and Government - eg a house insured for $500k replacement value which would then leave on average $600k to split between government and council. This would allow funding for more houses than the 774 houses allowed for in your plan.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2279

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2289

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

When deciding buyout amount, take in to consideration that some home owners might not be eligible for insurance payouts through no fault of their own.

If buyout is accepted, home owners (particularly in the case of it being a family home as opposed to rental etc) will need to be given a reasonable amount of time to relocate as finding a new property in this climate will not be easy and is likely to displace people away from the communities & suburbs they are a part of (impact on schools, work etc) so this process may cause further duress if time frames are too tight after accepting a buyout, considering this is not a normal buy/sell property situation.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2306

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2312

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

All of our neighbors have had an engineer to come visit, but we haven't yet. Although our house didn't flood, our property did. We want to know if an engineer will be coming to our property to complete an assessment.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Yes, we believe there should be negoiation between the property owners and the council.

   We believe houses/properties should be purchased at market value.

   We do not believe there should be a cap on the amount paid out to home owners.

   It would be great if all homeowners of a street or particular area are approached at the same time. This would mean less anxiety and waiting to know what is happening.

   Category 3 should include homes and properties that are likely to flood in future events as climate change worsens.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2313

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I feel council don't listen to ratepayers needs

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

I have complained for several yrs over my property which has been flooded 4times plus on deaf ears I have no stormwater or footpath my rates have gone up over $1000 and are now over 4000 i have had to put in retaining walls fromm properties adjoining mine who also have no storm water and tried to do drainage my insurance excess is $2500 which is very hard on a pension I see so much money wasted though the council yet u expect me to pay to put in my own storm water

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Do not support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2317

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Making Space for Water needs better definition going forward. There will always be a bigger flood. Flood mitigation infrastructure needs to include secondary flow paths and secondary storage ponds to cope with greater than design floods.

A better understanding of flood risk is needed. A 1% annual flood risk means a 1 in a hundred chance each year that a 1% flood flow can reach a designated design height or higher each year. The words or higher are usually omitted from the analysis.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   All parties should make a financial contribution including Banks, real estate agents, district and regional councils, who have all contributed to the building of residential properties in natural hazard areas. Section 72 of the Building Act was and is a big big mistake and a convoluted error of judgement. Completely at odds with the RMA which requires Councils to control land use for the avoidance and mitigation of natural hazards.

   Section 72 states that the consent authority must issue a building consent if it considers the building work will not cause or make worse a natural hazard on the property.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2335

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I think the proposal should go ahead as quickly as possible. It is having a huge financial and mental health impact.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Firstly, this needs to be done as quickly as possible so we can move on. Value of the property should be market value, as if the property has not been damaged, otherwise how are we going to be able to purchase another home.?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2349

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Our house was inundated with 1m of water during the Anniversary weekend floods. We lost most of our possessions, and the house was subsequently gutted - kitchen, bathroom, walls and doors etc all removed. We've since been looted 3 times, anything we managed to save or possibly fix was stolen. We are especially supportive of the category 3 full buyouts considering the lack of stream maintenance and continued development up-stream from our property which contributed to the extent of the damage. We are looking for a fair and equitable resolution.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Less than 2 months before we lost everything from the floods we had finally paid off our house after a lifetime of hard work. We were fully insured. We raised concerns for many years about the upstream housing developments leading to faster run-off of water, and the evidenced swelling of the 80m of the Waimoko stream that runs through our property. We were in constant contact with Auckland Council about it and had many meetings with council staff, local Councillors and MP's.

   Consider this for defining Category 3 properties; 1) If a similar flooding event happened at night when the owner/occupier was asleep, is there risk of death? 2) Is there a way to get out of the house/property without risking ones life? 3) Can the risks be mitigated in an acceptable timeframe considering the amount of civil works that will be required? 4) Was the flood damage to property severe causing the house to be unliveable in?

   The buyout price obviously needs to find the balance between fair and fast. We do after all need somewhere to live, and what we end up with is where we will have to start from with the journey of finding our next house. If, through this process it is deemed that our house should not or can not be repaired, and being fully insured, then one would think our insurance would cover the full cost of the loss of the house, as if it were burnt to the ground. As it stands they are offering to repair it to pre-flood condition which obviously can't happen for Category 3. So, for insured houses given Category 3 it should be considered a total loss, and all money be contributed towards the buyout - it shouldn't be left to the home owner to argue that point with their insurance company. In this respect, being fully insured should most certainly be considered an owners contribution.

   Having taken pride in our house, always clean, well kept and maintained, regularly painted with a new kitchen, many added features with extensive planting, fruit trees, natives etc - we distinguished our house from the average. We worked hard to make it lovely to live in, and also to make it worth more. Whereas the CV of our property would give us a good start to get a new home, the difference between the CV and the pre-flood Market Value could also be considered an owner contribution.

   For the uninsured, or underinsured, without being mean, the buyout offer must reflect that. Obviously, the reason there is insurance money in the first place is because many of us pay regular premiums for everything we own, at the risk of losing them if we don't.

Even when insured there are clauses that present an element of risk, and we are required to balance that with the level of cover we opt for. There will of course be cases that fall outside the black and white and it would surely make sense to work through the insurance claims resolution process, and also for high level discussion to take place between Council, Central Government and the Insurance Council of New Zealand.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2355

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2366

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Buy out should not base on home owners insurance pay out or on the size of their contribution, Buy out should be fairly decided. After all, this was a natural disaster, and no one expected this major frustrating changed in their lives.

Buy out should be prioritized.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   I don't know

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2381

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2386

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2393

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

My family just want to have a life and not be taken advantage of

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Be fair and consider the costs involved to each family as they try to find a new home

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2403

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

I question why the govt/ratepayer should be funding any buy out.I know many of these homes were built on flood prone land as my daughter once owned one and it was clearly stated on council report-buyer beware.If you are unable to insure your own home perhaps you should not own one.I think in most instances these homes were insured and those renting from HNZ were rehomed.A lot of others had built on cliff edges and sides of hills which is never a clever move .Because of their error in judgement the insurance companies are punishing all other home owners with sky rocketing premiums.We the ratepayer cannot afford any more rate rises .

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Do not support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2424

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Swift action is required to help impacted people move on with their lives. The funding is required urgently by those impacted by the flooding. It is causing extreme hardship paying rent, mortgage and rates on houses that cannot be lived in.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

What mechanisms are in place to determine the classifications are fair? Who is the governing body and has oversight over the decisions to make sure they are fair and just. There are concerns about corruption. How will we ensure transparency with decisions.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2445

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

There needs to be an independent process for challenging both categorisations and valuations

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Properties should be bought out at market value and/ or CV. The buyout needs to be fair and equitable in respect that it should allow people to buy back into the property market and not put people in financial peril.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2455

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Rivercare Group Te Wai O Pareira

Local Board: Henderson-Massey

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

FUNDING AUCKLAND'S STORM RECOVERY AND RESILIENCE: CONSULTATION

RESILIENCE OF INFRASTRUCTURE

The Rivercare Group Te Wai O Pareira (The Group) has a sound knowledge of the stormwater and wastewater networks in the Henderson Massey Local Board (HMLB) area.

1. WASTE WATER NETWORK

The wastewater network has two parts, the local network collecting wastewater from properties and the transmission network collecting the local network wastewater and transmitting it to treatment plants. The transmission network in the HMLB area is separated from the stormwater network and is gravity fed. The gravity feed tends to follow natural watercourses and is often located within floodplains.

The group has reported wastewater overflows to Te Wai O Pareira/Henderson Creek to Watercare Services Ltd since 2019. It has made comprehensive submissions to the current development of Watercare's Wastewater Network Strategy 2023. These submissions include comment on current and future infrastructure upgrades and their potential environmental and public health effects on sewage discharge to the awa.

In the flood events of January - February 2023 it became apparent that significant sections of the wastewater network in the HMLB area were inundated and subject to infiltration. Of particular concern is the pipe bridge suspended beneath the footbridge on the Lower Oratia Stream at Tui Glen in Henderson.



Flood hazard modelling at this location in 2014 predicted that the peak flood levels ranged from 4 – 5.4 m RL (reduced level - metres above mean sea level) for various storm events (5 – 100 year)[1]. Observations at Tui Glen indicated that the flood level

[1] Oratia Flood Hazard Modelling - Model Build and System Performance Report to Auckland Council AE04163. Jacobs New Zealand Limited May 2016.

in the Anniversary Day 2023 storm exceeded 4m RL. The normal stream level at this point is <0.5m RL The invert (base) of the pipe bridge is 2.7 m RL. The pipe diameter is 0.9 m. The pipe bridge was immersed at the peak level of this flood event. The risk posed by this immersion include:

- Failure to the pipe resulting from its age (it was installed in 1965);
- Failure to the pipe arising from the impact of debris;
- Erosion of the stream banks from flow obstruction;
- Upstream flooding arising from log and debris jams;
- Failure of the wastewater network servicing the Henderson Massey local board area;
- Downstream public health issues.

The funding package must allow for planning and future works to upgrade the resilience of wastewater infrastructure.

2: STORMWATER NETWORK

Auckland council has commissioned reports summarising the methodology, data sources and assumptions used to build flood hydraulic and hydrological models (FHM), developing flood and flood hazard maps for the Auckland region. These models and their output have been validated with reference to data available at the time of development.

The group has particular interest the Tawa Esplanade in Te Atatu Peninsula. The stormwater discharge to the Tawa Esplanade is second only to Pixie Stream discharging to Te Wai O Pareira/Henderson Creek. The group is leading community planting, clean up and educational programmes at this location. It is also participating in pest control programmes.

Data contained in FHM for the Henderson Catchment[2] found that the stormwater pipe discharging at the Tawa Esplanade has limited capacity resulting in upstream flooding. It is understood that Auckland Council are designing stormwater infrastructure upgrades that will include this area.

The group estimates that an increase of the current diameter of the pipe discharging at Tawa Esplanade from 900 mm to 1.5 m may mitigate flooding in a 10 year storm event, but not in a 100 year storm event. The group understands that the proposed upgrades may include upstream treatment devices. If these devices include stormwater detention, these could reduce increased flows and the risk of flooding.

The group understands that initial plans for the upgrade include the piping of a small section of stream discharging to the Tawa Esplanade. The group opposes this on the grounds of ecological, cultural and educational significance. It would be preferable to retain this stream section and expand it by daylighting the stormwater pipe upstream through a disused carpark. This section of daylighting could increase the roughness of the watercourse and mitigate the effects of increased flows from larger pipes.

[2] Henderson catchment FHM Model Build and System Performance Prepared for Auckland Council Tonkin & Taylor Ltd October 2018: 29707.1000

3. RECOMMENDATIONS

The River care Group Te Wai O Pareira submits the following recommendations on infrastructure resilience:

- Wastewater network funding includes the assessment of the risks of failures arising from flood events and effects on human and environmental health;
- Ensure that flood hydraulic and hydrological modelling of flood events are revalidated with reference to storm data collected in 2023;
- Stormwater planning and upgrades at Tawa Esplanade include assessments of;
    a. The pipe sizes required to mitigate the risk of flood events;
    b. The effect of daylighting the stream through the adjacent redundant carpark;
    c. The effect of upstream treatment and storage devices;
    d. The effects of b) and c) on the larger pipe size discharge rates;
    e. The effects on ecological, cultural and educational benefits resulting from piping open stream beds.