



Future use of Western Springs Stadium

**Summary of feedback received during
Auckland Council's public consultation
from 19 May to 15 June 2025**



Future use of Western Springs Stadium



Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report

The information in this report summarises feedback received during the consultation period of 19 May to 15 June 2025 regarding proposals for the future use of Western Springs Stadium.

This report does not include any advice or recommendations for decision making.

Whakarāpopototanga matua

Executive summary

TOTAL SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED: 14,894

Overview of the consultation

Auckland Council and Tātaki Auckland Unlimited (TAU) consider that Western Springs Stadium could contribute greater public and community value to Auckland than it does currently. In recent years, the venue has been relatively underutilised, and it faces high maintenance costs and an ongoing operating loss.

Through Auckland Council's Long-term Plan 2024-2034, there is no funding available to improve the stadium, just enough to maintain it as-is. In response, TAU has explored a range of options to improve the venue's performance, reduce financial pressure, and unlock more value for Aucklanders — culturally, socially, and economically.

During this consultation, Auckland Council and TAU promoted the consultation via media releases, the Western Springs and Waitematā Local Board Facebook pages, adverts in the Central Leader community newspaper, via the People's Panel, and regional organisations and interest groups were given the opportunity to present their views to councillors in person.

We also observed a small number of campaigns led by organisations or community groups in support of the various potential development options, including Save Our Speedway, Ponsonby Rugby Club, CRS Management (an Auckland-based artist and event management company), and Auckland Football Club (Auckland FC). These campaigns showed strong community interest in the future use of Western Springs Stadium.

Overall, feedback was varied with no clear majority for any one option. However, individual submitters showed a slight preference for Option 3B: Explore other ideas (33 per cent, predominantly wanting speedway to stay at Western Springs), over Option 2: Western Springs Bowl (30 per cent), Option 1: Auckland Arena (21 per cent), and Option 3A: Keep things as they are (16 per cent).

When considering those results, it is worth noting that around half the submitters that selected Option 3A: Keep things as they are, did so with comments relating to keeping speedway at Western Springs Stadium. Overall, around 30 per cent of all submitters made comments relating to keeping speedway at Western Springs Stadium.

Submissions from organisations were very evenly split, with Option 2: Western Springs Bowl and Option 3B: Explore other ideas both slightly preferred (22 organisations each) over Option 1: Auckland Arena (19 organisations) and Option 3A: Keep things as they are (two organisations).

Further analysis is outlined in the body of the report, including common themes and more detailed analysis by:

- Individual submitters, including the views of Māori individuals specifically, individuals by local board area, and individuals by their connection to Western Springs Stadium (as selected in question one)
- Organisation submitters, including regional organisations or interest groups that attended the ‘have your say’ event.

Who we heard from

More detailed data tables of submitters who provided demographic information alongside their feedback is provided in **Attachment One**. The following figures relate to the 90-95 per cent of submitters that provided demographic information. Some key points to note include:

- The age of submitters was relatively evenly distributed compared to Census figures for Auckland, however males between the ages of 35 and 64 were most overrepresented compared to Census figures
- Around 60 per cent of submissions came from male submitters
- 87 per cent of submitters identified themselves as a European ethnicity, 12 per cent identified as Māori, 10 per cent as Pasifika, and five per cent as Asian – these figures represent significant overrepresentation from European submitters and underrepresentation from Asian submitters compared to Census figures
- Regarding location, over a quarter of submissions (27 per cent) came from residents in either the Albert-Eden or Waitemata Local Board areas. Submissions were otherwise relatively well distributed across Auckland local boards, though Howick and most southern local boards were relatively underrepresented compared to Census figures.

Local board breakdowns

Data tables detailing the number of responses by local board, for both individuals and organisations, can be found in **Attachment Two**.

Horopaki

Context

Auckland Council and Tātaki Auckland Unlimited (TAU) consider that Western Springs Stadium could contribute greater public and community value to Auckland than it does currently. In recent years, the venue has been relatively underutilised, and it faces high maintenance costs and an ongoing operating loss.

Through Auckland Council's Long-term Plan 2024-2034, there is no funding available to improve the stadium, just enough to maintain it as-is. In response, TAU has explored a range of options to improve the venue's performance, reduce financial pressure, and unlock more value for Aucklanders — culturally, socially, and economically.

As a result, Auckland Council and TAU put the option out for consultation to gain public feedback.

Under the principles of consultation in the Local Government Act 2002 (section 82), council should encourage people who may be affected or have an interest in the outcome of a proposal to provide their views.

Public consultation provides insight into the level of public interest and the sentiment of those who chose to participate. Decision-makers consider the preferences expressed by submitters alongside other inputs, such as financial implications, expert advice, technical assessments, policy alignment, and strategic objectives.

Engagement approach

During this consultation, Auckland Council and TAU promoted the consultation via media releases, adverts in the Central Leader community newspaper, and the Western Springs and Waitematā Local Board Facebook pages, at the start and end of the consultation period.

In addition, we promoted the consultation via the People's Panel (Aucklanders who have signed up to participate in council research and public consultation) the day after the consultation opened.

Regional organisations and interest groups were also given the opportunity to present their views in person at a 'have your say' event attended by councillors.

Auckland Council's communications team noted 97 pieces of media coverage across the consultation period – around half of which were via radio, nearly a third online, and the remaining split between podcasts, TV and print media.

We also observed a small number of campaigns led by organisations or community groups in support of the various potential development options, including Save Our Speedway, Ponsonby Rugby Club, CRS Management (Auckland-based artist and event management company), and Auckland Football Club (Auckland FC). The various campaigns were primarily promoted online or through email campaigns encouraging people to participate in the consultation. These campaigns showed strong community interest in the future use of Western Springs Stadium.

Urupare

Feedback

The following summary presents submissions from individuals and organisations separately. Feedback from organisations may represent the views of numerous individuals and/or expert views. As such, the two groups are presented separately to assist decision makers in their consideration of feedback.


Analysis is presented for each of the consultation questions, beginning with the question and response option wording.

Overall, we received 14,894 submissions.

1.0 What is your connection to Western Springs Stadium? (Tick one or all that apply)

Multi select question | 14,721 responses (99% of all submitters)

Feedback from individuals



Response	Count	Percentage
I attend events at the stadium or its sports fields	10,977	75%
I participate in nearby activities (e.g. community sports, performances, MOTAT, Zoo, Western Springs)	6,499	44%
I live in the area (Waitematā or Albert-Eden local board)	4,586	31%
I don't have a direct connection, but I am interested in how the space is used	2,592	18%
I am part of a cultural or community group with an interest in the area	1,516	10%
Other	676	5%
I work or volunteer at Western Springs Stadium or nearby	622	4%
TOTAL	14,666	NA*

Of the 676 individuals that selected ‘other’ to explain their connection to Western Springs Stadium, around 40 per cent (276) further explained the selections they had already made.

In addition, around a third (227) of the individuals that selected ‘other’ outlined their involvement with, or support for, speedway.

The remaining responses were varied, including connections to other sports or music, family in the area, general ratepayers, and other more sentimental connections to Western Springs Stadium.

Feedback from individuals by local board

Responses to submitter connection to Western Springs Stadium differed per local board, however the trend was still generally consistent across all options.

At least 64 per cent of submitters from each local board said they attend events at Western Springs Stadium or its sports fields.

We note that 1,466 individuals selected the option that they “live in the area”, however based on the suburb or local board they provided in their submitter details, indicated they live further from Western Springs Stadium than the Albert-Eden or Waitematā Local Boards (14 per cent of those that selected “I live in the area”).

The question did not define the option “I live in the area” any further than that statement, therefore it appears submitters interpretation of that option ranged from living in the surrounding suburbs to living in the wider Auckland area.

Feedback from Māori individuals

1,577 of the 14,666 individual responses (11 per cent) to this question came from individuals who identified as Māori.

Responses from Māori individuals were consistent with responses from all submitters.


Feedback from organisations

This question does not relate well to organisations, but of the 55 organisations that responded to this question, identifying themselves as follows:

- 30 attend events at the stadium or sports fields
- 22 participate in events nearby
- 22 are part of a community or cultural group
- 16 live (or are based) in the area
- 8 work or volunteer at the stadium or nearby
- 5 do not have a direct connection, and
- 16 selected ‘other’ – comments described a mix of being based in the area, being involved with events at the stadium, or using the stadium in some capacity.

2.0 Which option do you prefer? (Select one option only)

Single select question | 14,886 responses (>99% of all submitters)



Overall, feedback was varied with no clear majority for any one option. However, individual submitters showed a slight preference for Option 3B: Explore other ideas (33 per cent, predominantly wanting speedway to stay at Western Springs), over Option 2: Western Springs Bowl (30 per cent), Option 1: Auckland Arena (21 per cent), and Option 3A: Keep things as they are (16 per cent).


When considering those results, it is worth noting that around half the submitters that selected Option 3A: Keep things as they are, did so with comments relating to keeping speedway at Western Springs Stadium. Overall, around 30 per cent of all submitters made comments relating to keeping speedway at Western Springs Stadium.

Submissions from organisations were very evenly split, with Option 2: Western Springs Bowl, and Option 3B: Explore other ideas, both slightly preferred (22 organisations each) over Option 1: Auckland Arena (19 organisations) and Option 3A: Keep things as they are (two organisations).

Further information follows, including more detailed analysis by:

- Individual submitters
- Māori individuals
- Individuals by local board
- Individuals by connection to Western Springs Stadium (as selected in question one)
- Organisation submitters, including regional organisations or interest groups that attended the 'have your say' event.

Feedback from individuals



Response	Individuals (count)	Individuals (%)
Option 1: Auckland Arena	3,123	21%
Option 2: Western Springs Bowl	4,391	30%
Option 3A: Keep things as they are and retain current arrangements	2,357	16%
Option 3B: Explore other ideas	4,907	33%
I do not have a preference	42	< 1%
TOTAL	14,820	100%

Tell us why

Of the 3,123 individuals who preferred **Option 1: Auckland Arena**, 2,342 (75 per cent) provided a comment. The most common themes from these comments were:



Private funding reduces the burden on ratepayers

Comments supported the proposal because it secures private investment and ensures no cost to ratepayers.

952 comments (41 per cent of those that selected Option 1 and provided a comment)



Multi-purpose facility

Comments liked how the proposal would provide a range of facilities for the community, including those that will help its sustainability as a stadium.

539 comments (23 per cent of those that selected Option 1 and provided a comment)



Dedicated football stadium

Comments supported a dedicated home stadium for Auckland FC and football in Auckland, with some also commending the high-performance facilities and atmosphere created by the supporting infrastructure.

534 comments (23 per cent of those that selected Option 1 and provided a comment)



Support for growing sports

Comments supported providing quality facilities to support sports that are growing in participation and popularity, including particular references to the football and basketball facilities.

474 comments (20 per cent of those that selected Option 1 and provided a comment)

Other themes included:

- 344 comments suggested the current facilities need an upgrade
- 237 comments suggested this proposal would provide better facilities as a music and entertainment venue
- 219 comments support a smaller, mid-size, boutique stadium that would complement Auckland's other stadiums
- 200 comments suggested the proposal will bring economic or social improvements to the surrounding area, including employment and a boost to local culture, as a place for people to gather.

Of the 4,391 individuals who preferred **Option 2: Western Springs Bowl**, 3,330 (76 per cent) provided a comment. The most common themes from these comments were:



Better music and entertainment venue

Comments wanted to see it enhanced as, or believe the site provides for a better music venue. Comments often highlighted wanting to retain the “Hollywood Bowl” style natural amphitheatre and site capacity.

1,520 comments (46 per cent of those that selected Option 2 and provided a comment)



Retain Ponsonby Rugby Club

Comments that expressed a desire for Ponsonby Rugby to retain its current home at Western Springs Stadium, which has been its home for over 30 years.

1,012 comments (30 per cent of those that selected Option 2 and provided a comment)



Maintain community access

Comments that were concerned with ensuring continued community access to Western Springs Stadium and/or the outer fields, often including concerns that private investment or ownership would restrict community access or result in losing public land and an asset for Aucklanders. Around a third of these mentions were in conjunction with retaining Ponsonby Rugby Club.

960 comments (29 per cent of those that selected Option 2 and provided a comment)

Other themes included:

- 610 comments suggested the proposal would provide a range of facilities for the community, often generally referring to music, culture and sport, but also including references to the proposed Ponsonby Rugby Club facilities serving as facilities to the wider community

- 353 comments discussed Western Springs Stadium's historical significance, including references to it being a heritage site or as an iconic music venue
- 263 comments suggested the current facilities need an upgrade.

Of the 2,357 individuals who preferred **Option 3A: Keep things as they are and retain current arrangements**, 1,913 (81 per cent) provided a comment. The most common themes from these comments were:



Retain speedway

Comments that want speedway to remain at Western Springs Stadium, for reasons including its historical ties as 'the home of speedway for 96 years'.

1,186 comments (62 per cent of those that selected Option 3A and provided a comment)



Retain current arrangements

Comments that were opposed to any changes to site, suggesting the stadium serves the community well in its current multi-use form (without referring to speedway).

392 comments (20 per cent of those that selected Option 3A and provided a comment)

Other themes included:

- 226 comments discussed the importance of maintaining Western Springs Stadium as a music and entertainment venue
- 221 comments expressed concern about cost to the ratepayer, on some occasions referencing the cost of living and what impact the proposals might have on rates, that building a stadium would be a waste of money, or that they selected this option as it was the cheapest option
- 179 comments discussed transport infrastructure, often relating to transport accessibility and parking difficulties at other venues compared to Western Springs Stadium, or how other proposals would contribute to those difficulties at Western Springs Stadium.

Of the 4,907 individuals who preferred **Option 3B: Explore other ideas**, 4,166 (85 per cent) provided a comment. The most common theme from these comments was:



Retain speedway

Comments that want speedway to remain at Western Springs Stadium, for reasons including its historical ties as 'the home of speedway for 96 years'. A number of these comments also suggested a lack of trust and/or dissatisfaction with council due to a perceived lack of transparency in council's previous considerations regarding speedway at Western Springs Stadium.

3,335 comments (80 per cent of those that selected Option 3B and provided a comment)

Other themes included:

- 393 comments discussed the importance of maintaining Western Springs Stadium as a music and entertainment venue

- 308 comments expressed concern about cost to the ratepayer, on some occasions referencing the cost of living and what impact the proposals might have on rates, that building a stadium would be a waste of money, or that they selected this option as it was the cheapest option
- 306 commented that the site could be better utilised if other options were explored. While most mentioned speedway, some other references included:
 - turning it into a cricket ground
 - maintaining green space, including potentially expanding Western Springs Park and/or Auckland Zoo
 - a variety of community recreation facilities, including a swimming pool, racquet sports, and sports fields
 - other comments addressed exploring alternatives that might satisfy proposed options 1 and 2 by utilising other stadiums or central locations.

Of the 42 individuals who **did not have a preference**, 33 (79 per cent) provided a comment. There were no significant themes identified from these comments.

Further analysis

Feedback from Māori individuals

1,578 of the 14,820 individual responses (11 per cent) to this question identified themselves as of Māori ethnicity.

Of those:

- 17 per cent expressed a preference for Option 1: Auckland Arena
- 38 per cent preferred Option 2: Western Springs Bowl
- 16 per cent preferred Option 3A: Keep things as they are
- 28 per cent preferred Option 3B: Explore other ideas, and
- Less than 1 per cent expressed no preference.


Common themes raised in responses from Māori individuals were consistent with responses from all submitters.

Feedback from individuals by local board

Considering the location of Western Springs Stadium, the Waitematā and Albert-Eden Local Board areas have a closer connection than other local board areas and are likely to be more immediately affected by these proposals.

We also received more submissions from submitters in these local boards than other boards by a significant margin (nearly double the next highest local boards). Over a quarter of submissions (27 per cent) came from residents in either the Albert-Eden or Waitematā Local Board areas. Submissions were otherwise relatively well distributed across Auckland local boards, though Howick and most southern local boards were relatively underrepresented compared to Census figures.

Feedback from individuals in both the Waitematā and Albert-Eden Local Board areas showed a clear preference for Option 2: Western Springs Bowl.



2,047 submitters identified themselves as living in the Waitematā Local Board area. Of those:

- 27 per cent expressed a preference for Option 1: Auckland Arena
- 47 per cent preferred Option 2: Western Springs Bowl
- 11 per cent preferred Option 3A: Keep things as they are
- 15 per cent preferred Option 3B: Explore other ideas, and
- Less than 1 per cent expressed no preference.

1,981 submitters identified themselves as living in the Albert-Eden Local Board area. Of those:

- 28 per cent expressed a preference for Option 1: Auckland Arena
- 41 per cent preferred Option 2: Western Springs Bowl
- 13 per cent preferred Option 3A: Keep things as they are
- 18 per cent preferred Option 3B: Explore other ideas, and
- Less than 1 per cent expressed no preference.

Common themes raised in responses from individuals in these local board areas were consistent with responses from all submitters.

*For data tables detailing the number of responses by all local boards, for both individuals and organisations, please refer to **Attachment Two**.*


Feedback from individuals by connection to Western Springs Stadium

In question one, we asked submitters about their connection to Western Springs Stadium.

Considering submitters' preferred option for the future use of Western Springs Stadium against their connection to the stadium shows mixed results.

The following chart details preferred development option by connection to the stadium, presented in order from the most frequently chosen 'connection' to the least. Highlights include:

- The preference from submitters that indicated they attend events at Western Springs Stadium or its sports fields was to Option 3B: Explore other options (36 per cent, mostly supporting speedway), closely followed by Option 2: Western Springs Bowl (31 per cent, mostly supporting Ponsonby Rugby Club)
- The preference from submitters that indicated they participate in activities nearby Western Springs Stadium was for Option 2: Western Springs Bowl (35 per cent)
- The preference from submitters that indicated they live in the area was for Option 2: Western Springs Bowl (37 per cent)
- The preference from submitters that indicated they do not have a direct connection with Western Springs Stadium was for Option 1: Auckland Arena (33 per cent).



Common themes raised in responses from these individuals were consistent with responses from all submitters.

Feedback from organisations



Response	Organisations (count)	Organisations (%)
Option 1: Auckland Arena	19	29%
Option 2: Western Springs Bowl	22	33%
Option 3A: keep things as they are (retain current arrangements)	2	3%
Option 3B: explore other ideas	22	33%
I do not have a preference	1	2%
TOTAL	66	100%

Of the 19 organisations that supported **Option 1: Auckland Arena**, the common themes from their comments were:

- 13 support the proposal because it secures private investment and ensures no cost to ratepayers
- 9 like that the proposal would provide a range of facilities for the community, including opportunities for local businesses
- 8 support providing quality facilities to support sports that are growing in participation and popularity, including submissions discussing football, basketball, netball and padel.

Of the 22 organisations that supported **Option 2: Western Springs Bowl**, the common themes from their comments were:

- 12 discussed the importance of Western Springs Stadium as a music venue, many of which from organisations in the music industry
- 8 discussed Western Springs Stadium's historical significance, predominantly as a music venue but also in relation to Ponsonby Rugby Club
- 8 expressed a desire for Ponsonby Rugby Club to retain its current home at Western Springs Stadium.

Of the 22 organisations that supported **Option 3B: Explore other options**, the common themes from their comments were:

- 20 organisations wanted speedway to remain at Western Springs Stadium, around three quarters of which were organisations associated with speedway or the motor racing industry
- 12 discussed Western Springs Stadium's historical significance as 'the home of speedway'.

Two organisations supported **Option 3A: Explore other options** and one indicated **no preference**.

Feedback from regional organisations and interest groups that attended the 'have your say' event

Of the 66 organisations that responded to this question, nine attended the 'have your say' event. Of those:

- One organisation, Western Springs Association Football Club (AFC), supported Option 1: Auckland Arena
- Eight organisations supported Option 3B: Explore other options
 - One, Bay City Basketball Club, indicated they wanted basketball to be given greater consideration in any option
 - Seven organisations expressed a preference for speedway to stay at Western Springs Stadium. Those organisations and interest groups were Auckland Three Quarter Midget Association Inc, Western Springs Speedway Association, Auckland Speedway Supporters Club, Auckland Midget Racing Drivers Club, F2 Midget Racing Driver Club, KiwiKidz Quarter Midget Club, and Auckland Sprint Car Drivers Club.


ATTACHMENT ONE: Who we heard from

Overall, we received 14,894 submissions – 14,828 individuals and 66 organisations.


Most feedback came online (14,762 submissions), though we also received small amounts via our physical feedback forms (75), email (52), and some regional organisations or community groups only gave feedback via our ‘have your say’ event (5).

The tables below indicate the demographic profile of those that answered the demographic questions.

AGE	Male	Female	Other	Total	%
< 15	57	23	0	81	1%
15 – 24	810	411	15	1,248	9%
25 – 34	1,376	851	15	2,276	16%
35 – 44	1,640	1,062	2	2,746	19%
45 – 54	1,761	1,311	3	3,114	22%
55 – 64	1,428	1,004	0	2,456	17%
65 – 74	952	565	0	1,528	11%
75 +	439	224	0	668	5%
Total submitters providing data				14,117	100%



ETHNICITY	#	%
European	11,928	87%
Pākehā/NZ European	11,569	84%
Other European	359	3%
Māori	1,580	12%
Pasifika	1,433	10%
Samoa	783	6%
Tongan	234	2%
Cook Island Māori	171	1%
Other Pasifika	245	2%
Asian	635	5%
Indian	233	2%
Chinese	204	1%
Southeast Asian	121	1%
Other Asian	77	< 1%
Middle Eastern/ Latin American/ African	229	2%
Other (incl. Kiwi/New Zealander)	147	1%
Total submitters providing data		13,723
		NA*



* Note: percentages add to more than 100% because people could select more than one ethnicity.

The table below indicates the total number of submissions received by the local board that submitters live in.

LOCAL BOARD	Total	Percentage
Albert-Eden	1,987	13%
Aotea/Great Barrier	22	< 1%
Devonport-Takapuna	437	3%
Franklin	704	5%
Henderson-Massey	1,095	7%
Hibiscus and Bays	769	5%
Howick	579	4%
Kaipātiki	517	3%
Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	246	2%
Manurewa	186	1%
Maungakiekie-Tāmaki	469	3%
Ōrākei	634	4%
Ōtara-Papatoetoe	153	1%
Papakura	249	2%
Puketāpapa	302	2%
Rodney	1,101	7%
Upper Harbour	605	4%
Waiheke	68	< 1%
Waitākere Ranges	964	6%
Waitematā	2,054	14%
Whau	683	5%
Regional organisation	39	< 1%
Outside Auckland	476	3%
Not supplied	555	4%
TOTAL	14,894	100%

ATTACHMENT TWO: Local Board breakdowns

Feedback from individuals by local board

1.0 What is your connection to Western Springs Stadium? (Tick one or all that apply)

Multi select question | 14,721 responses (99% of all submitters)

Considering the location of Western Springs Stadium, the Waitematā and Albert-Eden Local Board areas have a closer connection than other local board areas and are likely to be more immediately affected by these proposals.

Response	Albert-Eden	Waitematā	Other
I live in the area (Waitematā or Albert-Eden Local Board)	81%	74%	14%
I attend events at the stadium or its sports fields	76%	73%	75%
I participate in nearby activities (e.g. community sports, performances, MOTAT, Zoo, Western Springs)	64%	60%	38%
I work or volunteer at Western Springs Stadium or nearby	5%	6%	4%
I am part of a cultural or community group with an interest in the area	13%	16%	9%
I don't have a direct connection, but I am interested in how the space is used	8%	11%	21%
Other	3%	4%	5%
TOTAL	1,976	2,038	10,652

Note (1) – this table only includes feedback where the local board of residence was provided. Percentages add to more than 100 per cent as submitters could select more than one option if applicable.

Note (2) – this question did not define the option “I live in the area” any further than that statement. As 14 per cent of submitters outside the Waitematā or Albert-Eden Local Board areas selected “I live in the area”, it appears submitters interpretation of that option ranged from living in the surrounding suburbs to living in the wider Auckland area.

2.0 Which option do you prefer? (Select one option only)

Single select question | 14,886 responses (>99% of all submitters)

Feedback from individuals by local board

Local Board	Total responses	Option 1: Auckland Arena	Option 2: Western Springs Bowl	Option 3A: No change	Option 3B: Explore other options	No preference
Albert-Eden	1,981	28%	41%	13%	18%	< 1%
Aotea/Great Barrier	22	18%	27%	23%	32%	0%
Devonport-Takapuna	436	33%	37%	13%	17%	< 1%
Franklin	703	13%	13%	21%	53%	0%
Henderson-Massey	1,092	15%	21%	17%	46%	< 1%
Hibiscus and Bays	768	21%	22%	21%	35%	< 1%
Howick	578	25%	20%	17%	38%	< 1%
Kaipātiki	517	28%	31%	15%	25%	1%
Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	246	20%	43%	11%	26%	0%
Manurewa	186	16%	22%	18%	44%	1%
Maungakiekie-Tāmaki	468	18%	36%	18%	27%	1%
Ōrākei	633	35%	27%	15%	23%	< 1%
Ōtara-Papatoetoe	153	21%	30%	21%	27%	1%
Papakura	247	14%	14%	20%	51%	1%
Puketāpapa	301	22%	38%	15%	25%	0%
Rodney	1,100	12%	11%	20%	58%	< 1%
Upper Harbour	603	22%	18%	19%	41%	< 1%
Waiheke	68	22%	47%	18%	13%	0%
Waitākere Ranges	962	14%	22%	17%	47%	< 1%
Waitematā	2,047	27%	47%	11%	15%	< 1%
Whau	683	16%	40%	14%	30%	< 1%
Outside Auckland	472	10%	25%	19%	46%	0%
Not supplied	554	14%	27%	16%	42%	< 1%

Note - this table only includes feedback where the local board of residence was provided.

Feedback from organisations by local board

Local Board	Total responses	Option 1: Auckland Arena	Option 2: Western Springs Bowl	Option 3A: No change	Option 3B: Explore other options	No preference
Albert-Eden	5	1	3	0	1	0
Aotea/Great Barrier	0	0	0	0	0	0
Devonport-Takapuna	1	0	1	0	0	0
Franklin	1	0	0	1	0	0
Henderson-Massey	2	0	0	0	2	0
Hibiscus and Bays	1	1	0	0	0	0
Howick	1	0	1	0	0	0
Kaipātiki	0	0	0	0	0	0
Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	0	0	0	0	0	0
Manurewa	0	0	0	0	0	0
Maungakiekie-Tāmaki	1	0	1	0	0	0
Ōrākei	1	1	0	0	0	0
Ōtara-Papatoetoe	0	0	0	0	0	0
Papakura	2	0	0	1	1	0
Puketāpapa	1	1	0	0	0	0
Rodney	1	0	0	0	1	0
Upper Harbour	1	0	0	0	1	0
Waiheke	0	0	0	0	0	0
Waitākere Ranges	1	0	0	0	1	0
Waitematā	4	1	2	0	1	0
Whau	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regional organisation	39	14	12	0	12	1
Outside Auckland	4	0	2	0	2	0