How we represent you

Auckland Council representation review

Written Feedback

Rodney Volume #1

August 2024

Sub #	Organisation Name	Page Number
71	Kaukapakapa Area Residents and Ratepayers Association	125
72	Pest Free Kaukapakapa	129
671	Sylvan Estate Residents Society Incorporated	412
737	Omaha Beach Community, Inc	474
760	Northern Action Group Inc	529
936	Taupaki flood committee	549
1105	Matakana Community group	754
1238	Point Wells community and residents association	847
1490	Warkworth Area Liason Group (WALG)	859

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

I do not agree with the boundary final locations for Rodney however I do agree that the RLB area needs to meet legal requirements.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Local Board members are required to understand and be able to represent the interests of all community members within their areas, and within the whole of Rodney. As a governance board, decisions are made at a Rodney level, not a local level.

All members vote on funding allocations to the whole of Rodney and vote as a whole, not only representatives from that subdivision making decisions about their subdivision. Creating rurally focussed subdivisions ignores the intensification of countryside living and residential subdivisions in Kaukapakapa, Helensville, Parakai and Waimauku.

One of the issues could be that people that do not live in the towns within which their subdivisions are named may feel that this means they are not represented well.

I agree with updating ward names to better represent the areas they cover such as:

- Northern Rodney (nee Wellsford)
- Mahurangi (nee Warkworth)
- South Kaipara (nee Kumeu)
- Dairy Flat

I support Option 3 as it allows residents to better identify with their areas. While Option 5 is preferred by Council staff, it excludes the townships throughout South Rural like Waimauku, Helensville and Parakai which are no longer supporting rural communities in the main, but a higher population of countryside living/ residential communities.

Additionally, many of the issues that the communities in the Kumeu subdivision have faced are catchment related and Option 5 splits catchments. After Cyclone Gabrielle the residents of the Kumeu and Kaukapakapa River catchments have formed catchment-wide emergency support groups and it is very important to maintain those links and decision-making channels. There is flood monitoring equipment and restoration work occurring within these catchments which cannot be split for political reasons. Option 3 allows all river catchments to remain intact by way of boundaries and this will greatly assist in emergency resilience.

Also, the South Kaipara Emergency Response Group has formed covering all of South Head and the current Kumeu Subdivision and I propose that we link the name of the revised ward to South Kaipara to enable consistency and identity.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

Local board members do a great job at representing their communities as a whole. Even in Rodney, the Wellsford subdivision has received huge amounts of funding for its low population and for its current non-complying size. While the size of these areas may not currently comply with legislation, the communities have not been penalised.

There is no value to creating subdivisions based on a general landuse when all areas contain a variety of landuses. We must also consider the roading upgrades that Waka Kotahi is planning for the North West and ensure this is consistent with that.

Our business association, North West Country Inc will have different representatives under Option 5 despite the business community seeing themselves as one group. Option 3 is a better option all round.

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

It makes a much fairer representation, where for too long the rural ratepayers have largely been ignored.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

It makes for a much fairer representation.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Rodney is a contrast of urban and rural lifestyles with different needs. The rural wards will provide more balance in decision making that will ensure that the needs of both urban and rural areas are met.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

Rodney is a contrast of urban and rural lifestyles with different needs. The rural wards will provide more balance in decision making that will ensure that the needs of both urban and rural areas are met. What we have seen in the past 14 years in Rodney was worse than during the period at Rodney District Council when the Hibiscus Coast and Rural Committees were dissolved and led to the chaos.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

More representatives needed

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#13

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

it seems fair

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

People with disabilities don't seem to be represented enough in decisions around accessibility that affect them every day

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

You weaken our voice by dividing us

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

You weaken our voice by dividing us

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

Rodney supports Rodney we never wanted to be part of Auckland and ever since we have been all maintenance has gone down hill Rodney mine is not spent in Rodney it is sub par our roads are horrific!

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Better representation of the area ... current board is slightly better than previous ones but that does not say much. The secret squirrel meetings are very disappointing in what is supposed to be a democracy.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

Better representation of areas ... Rodney is diverse and needs to be treated as such. Rural, farming, life style blocks, industrial, town centres, and urban towns plus holiday properties ...

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Communities need to be fully consulted ... not just over social media.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

North Rodney (Warkworth, Wellsford) must have representation as population grows

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Fairer representation (and fairer travel/workload for elected representatives too)

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

Rural deserves much fairer representation than has had recently. The distance expected to be covered by wellsford's elected official should be shared. This proposal is a much better idea

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why
We shouldn't lessen the number of wards

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Don’t lessen the number of wards in Rodney.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

Let people answer also online through online seminars or weekend programs when more people can be involved

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Warkworth wellsford will loose adequate representation, we will loose 2 voices that speak for our communities. We need representation from those who know our communities, facilities, our people and what we need and value.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Warkworth wellsford will loose adequate representation, we will loose 2 voices that speak for our communities. We need representation from those who know our communities, facilities, our people and what we need and value.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#39

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why
Don't think any change is necessary in Rodney

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Change not necessary. Working well as it is

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#41

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why
I do not support any ward changes

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Do not support

Tell us why

I do not want to see Warkworth and Wellsford lose any representation

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Growing areas of Auckland don't need less representation

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Not applicable for where I live

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#42

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Because I think it should stay as it is as our Warkworth area is under represented.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

They do not offer Warkworth and Wellsford enough representation

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#43

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Splitting Wellsford and Warkworth is NOT an ideal situation , we need our representatives to represent us, not to go elsewhere. Leave things as they are!

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#45

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why
good to reflect changes in dynamics

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Omaha (and surrounding)areas are not part of urban warkworth and are more aligned with rural issues

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

for reasons above - we are rural not urban

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#46

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

The loss of reps in the Warkworth Wellsford area is unacceptable. It's a fast growing area with a great variety in demographics that need to be represented by people who know the area

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#48

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

The changes to Warkworth representation do not make sense.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Warkworth is already a major centre and will become even more so in the future. We need proper representation.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

If you are talking about "Sanders Reserve" in Paremoremo you should at least spell it correctly.

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#49

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Wellsford is completely different area to where we travel, explore or have anything to do with. We feel local to Kumeu area and often there and being apart of it. We only ever travel through Wellsford to go north not to explore or be apart of the community.

It would feel very disjointed to be removed from our current area to anywhere north of makarau!

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

I don't want to be aligned with Wellsford as I never go there and don't know anyone there. I want it to stay as it is as I align with Helensville and have friends there.
Changing is completely unnecessary and a waste of tax payer money.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Leave as is as people know their communities and this is a complete waste of money changing.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

I don't want to be aligned with Wellsford as I never go there and don't know anyone there. I want it to stay as it is as I align with Helensville and have friends there.

Complete waste of taxpayer money and no changes needed.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Fairness would be leaving it as it is as that's what people know and it's a waste of money changing.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

Stop making unnecessary changes - a complete waste of tax payer money.

#51

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Bonjour a reduction in representation for Warkworth or the moving of representation to urban. Warkworth is a mainly rural community and should be represented as such

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Bonjour a reduction in representation for Warkworth or the moving of representation to urban. Warkworth is a mainly rural community and should be represented as such

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#52

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Kaukapakapa should stay in the Kumeū board region not subdivided and connected with upper kaipara, warkworth, wellsford, etc. Half of Kaukapakapa is now suburban subdivisions. How does that relate to rural farming? Our board mme was will not accurately reflect our area. Kaukapakapa more closely reflects Kumeū, hellensville and similar areas. It is not the middle of nowhere on a large rural block and should not be treated as such. Keep the boards as they are. Do not subdivide Kaukapakapa into the “wop wops”. Auckland is expanding by the second. Most of us commute into the central areas for work every day. Do not waste money on this.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#53

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Kaukapakapa is not part of Warkworth. We are 15 minutes to Silverdale. 5 minutes to Hellensville. We are connected to those areas with schools, sports, work, friends, life, etc. Leave us in the Kumeū subdivision and save money for important things.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#54

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

doesnt take into account the sicial connections , like sport, where you go to drink Nd eat and shop

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Lacks consideration of social connections, like where kids play sport, where we drink and eat, go to the gym

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

so rural Rodney, in the Wainui, Waitoki, Kaukapakapa cathments, basically Kahikatea Flat Road, everything flows back to Silverdale, via Pine Valley and Dairy Flat. Regardless of land and zoning issues, that is where tje people associate....so proposal is...KahiKtea Flat Road, including Kaukapakapa village be linked to Dairy Flat more than Kumeu

#55

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

If it ain't broke, don't fix it. Look at how well the supercity is working out, not that well!

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Again. It's not broken so don't fix it.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Does a difference of 0.02 make a non complying subdivision. Who really cares about such a small difference? If it ain't broke don't fix it.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#56

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

I don't want my towns representation to be removed and therefore lose our local resources

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

I don’t want my towns representation to be removed and therefore lose our local resources

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#57

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Because we're rural in waimauku now and it's not only ruin the beautiful village we live in now but also create a lot more traffic and the roads aren't being improved to support this at all. Not only that but the subdivision housing that this would create would have poorly built houses or apartments due to the new governments rules about relaxing getting resource consent and having it all done by photos / online therefore investors getting away with lots of dodgey housing and it all looking like a disgusting slum. This is not New Zealand and this is ruining our rural lifestyle for future generations to come! I strongly oppose against this shift in new subdivision zoning / new border , leave waimauku alone and dont wreck our environment. Go away please!

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

It's ruining our countryside and the houses look like they'll be slums. This is not the New Zealand we were raised up in. Please stop spreading these awful townhouses. Disgusting. The governments relaxed attitude with new ways of resource consent is also going to make things look worse. Stop this!

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

We’d like someone who appreciates our area in waimauku and its surroundings and people as it is and stop further subdivisions.

#58

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Kaukapakapa should remain with Helensville. People in Kaukapakapa shop and work in Helensvile, it is 10km away. Wellsford is a 35 min drive away and is not part of our day to day life. We only go through Wellsford when heading north.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#59

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

The Kaukapakapa area has no similarity or links to Wellsford. We are far better aligned with Helensville and Kumeu, and should definitely remain associated with those areas.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Kaukapakapa is very different in its character and makeup from Wellsford, and as such should remain associated with Helensville and Kumeu. I do not believe that becoming part of the Wellsford ward would leave the Kaukakakapa community well represented and would likely result in even less money being spent on the area than the tiny amount currently allocated.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#60

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

As a resident of Kaukapakaoa I do not feel connected to Wellsford in the slightest. My day to day life revolves around the Helensville, Waitoki, Kumeu area as they are our local communities and services. I wish to be represented by people in our immediate area who know the area and its residents to look out for our best interests and culture. I feel being part of Wellsford we would be left out and only concerns of Wellsford would be met. Investment and commitment is required I Kaukapakapa and surrounding areas to bring the best out of this area. Like supporting more local business within the commercial village which has partly already being constructed yet mostly vacant. Footpath to connect the whole village. There currently is no safe walkway from the village to the playground for children. Proposed plan to remove car parks is insane in a rural community which rely on driving due to the scarce public transport expected in a rural community. Seems like city focus is being enforced in rural communities as cheap kudos points for council members who have no interest or understanding of the communities they are elected to serve.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Do not support

Tell us why

More or less what is started above.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

As a resident of Kaukapakaoa I do not feel connected to Wellsford in the slightest. My day to day life revolves around the Helensville, Waitoki, Kumeu area as they are our local communities and services. I wish to be represented by people in our immediate area who know the area and its residents to look out for our best interests and culture. I feel being part of Wellsford we would be left out and only concerns of Wellsford would be met. Investment and commitment is required I Kaukapakapa and surrounding areas to bring the best out of this area. Like supporting more local business within the commercial village which has partly already being constructed yet mostly vacant. Footpath to connect the whole village. There currently is no safe walkway from the village to the playground for children. Proposed plan to remove car parks is insane in a rural community which rely on driving due to the scarce public transport expected in a rural community. Seems like city focus is being enforced in rural communities as cheap kudos points for council members who have no interest or understanding of the communities they are elected to serve.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Yes

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#61

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

My area, Leigh will lose a representative and Rodney area as we know it , will dissappear. The rural north area as proposed is an enormous area of land. This is a growing region. With the increase in population predicted , the number of community facilities and distances to them is already under stress. More representation would seem in order.n

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

From the figures shown we lose a board member and a council member. This area is growing. We already are under resourced with community facilities. How will this be addressed with less representation.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#62

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

We need more representation , not less. we are shadowed by central city views./representation

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Wellsford has different needs to Warkworth so needs a voice

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#65

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Makes sense based on information provided

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

Makes sense based on information provided

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Leave as is

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#66

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

I want to keep (or increase!) the local Warkworth representation.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

I do not support changing the local board subdivision.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

Give more power to the local Board and ability to make meaningful changes and decisions that effect our community.

#67

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

If you delete Wellsford having any representation, I proper to sornd my rates, money locally away from Council.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#68

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why
We will have less representation

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#69

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Both Warkworth and Wellsford would end up without any representation on the Rodney Local Board for their townships and surrounding areas.

Why change something that works well now.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Keep representation for each ward as it is. This allows each board member to hear the community and be their communities voice.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

It will not work. Why water money and time changing something that is working.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

Keep our elected members on the board

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable): Kaukapakapa Area Residents and Ratepayers Association

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

While it is important to review boundaries and make sure they are legal, the preferred option put forward for Rodney is not supported.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

The new boundary between North Rural and South Rural is along the Kaukapakapa River however this is splitting a stormwater catchment where we have been working for years to support landowners during emergencies. While in essence it does not seem like a detail of significance at a governance level, it is. If one person for North Rural is voted in that knows nothing about our issues and does not support what we are doing they may vote against our initiatives while the member for South Rural will support it. We cannot afford to have split views within our operational catchment.

Those of our Kaukapakapa residents now lying within the proposed north rural area DO NOT identify with Wellsford and do not support being represented by a northern rural representative. Our lives are spent sending children to school in Kaukapakapa, Helensville, Waitoki, Silverdale or Orewa and we do not head north. Our residents work east and south of Kaukapakapa.

We have an issue with the area being called South Rural as this does not represent who we are and how we live. We have a significant amount of growth in our townships and are experiencing infrastructure issues related to residential growth. A solely rurally focussed local board member will not represent our views or our needs adequately.

We have formed the South Kaipara Emergency Network which covers all of the Kaukapakapa River catchment, Makarau Catchment, Kumeu Catchment, South Head, Muriwai and Helensville river catchments. We need single representation of this area to ensure we are supported and represented well. Option 3 is supported by our community as this maintains these areas within one subdivision and ensures we receive the best representation.

Option 5 is NOT SUPPORTED as it is called South Rural as well as the issues identified above. It should be renamed South Kaipara or South Rodney as this is what people identify with. The habit of naming areas based on their largest town is causing people to misunderstand how they are represented and what issues are being raised at local board meetings.

Our local business association, North West Country, has been established for over ten years and covers all of Kaukapakapa, Helensville, Parakai, Waimauku, Huapai, Kumeu and Riverhead. We STRONGLY SUPPORT the geographical area of North West Country Inc.

remaining in one local board subdivision and therefore support Option 3. We do not wish to have this established area covered by multiple local board subdivisions especially when they come to the board each year to request that the targeted rate is struck. This could cause division and a risk to the business association funding if the north rural representative does not support the funding.

We do not support adding an additional subdivision into the local board area and seek that we maintain 4 subdivisions.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

Adjust the RLB boundaries between Wellsford and Warkworth subdivisions but maintain all areas from Makarau, to South Head to Kumeu in one subdivision. DO NOT call it South Rural as this does not represent who we are as a whole.

#72

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable): Pest Free Kaukapakapa

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

We understand the changes are necessary but not not support the preferred option 5 for Rodney.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

We are Pest Free Kaukapakapa and our area covers as far north as Makarau and as far south as Punganui. This proposal will see our area come under two Rodney Local Board subdivisions as our catchment is proposed to be split. WE DO NOT support Option 5, we SUPPORT OPTION 3.

It is important that subdivision boundaries follow catchment boundaries for our work as we focus as a community on stormwater catchments as we share similar issues.

We are closely connected with the Kaukapakapa Community Emergency Network which extends north to the Makarau Catchment and south to Helensville. It is important that this area is completely within one subdivision so that there is no risk to dual representation or conflicting representation at the governance table.

At the moment RLB supports pest free coordinators for Mahurangi and South West Rodney. If you split the Kaukapakapa Area into North Rural we are likely to become ineligible for support as this area does not have coordinators.

Wellsford as an area is remote and has a low population so it seems like Option 5 is a way to shift people into that area despite the fact that we DO NOT IDENTIFY with Wellsford, and any Wellsford representative will not identify with our community. Even having a focus on rural land use mis-represents those of us in Kaukapakapa and south. To be within an area called South Rural would be devastating for our residential and commercial areas which already need the most support they can get.

Kaukapakapa has spent years petitioning council for investment in safe footpaths, playgrounds, connections, public transport, better roads and facilities for our growing community and the local board has always said no and spent money in Warkworth and Kumeu. If you split our community into the South Rural area this will continue for many more years as we will still not be recognised as a residential and commercial township. Option 5 IS NOT SUPPORTED IN ANY WAY. Do not make this change.

We support OPTION 3 and keeping Kaukapakapa in one subdivision and making sure we are not lumped as a rurally focussed area. This would be highly detrimental to our community.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

We do not support Option 5, we do not support being part of a subdivision called South Rural, if anything it should be called South Rodney or South Kaipara. We are not rural, we have many residential and commercial areas that need representation within the proposed South Kaipara area.

#73

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

rural communities needs and infrastructure are different from the tarseal cycle lane urban areas

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

we need better targeting of rates, sick to death of being rated $150 for transport levy when the nearest transport is in warkworth 40km round trip away. I own 10 acre block I recycle my own garden waste and food scraps are for animals. so our rates should reflect services you actually need to supply. also since no help was given after gabrielle, I do not want to see any charging to recover from anybody other than those who you helped. also spending of rate payers money on non rated land and ares, should be stopped

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

yes, social welfare is the responsibility of the government, not local council. because when you have to employ consultants because you don't know what your doing , you are wasting money

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

yes only people living in the area they represent and a cross section of farmers, life style block, environmentalists

#74

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Because it is taking away voices from the little towns and gives more to the bigger towns when we all pay the same rates, equal rights should equal, equal votes/voices and the proposal does not!!!

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

This is not a fair representation

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

We should all have equal voices and equal votes no matter where we live

#76

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Our towns get for gotten about as it is. It will get worse if we have less representation. Why don't o say, I'm not going to pay my rates. The majority of my rate money goes to Auckland. I don't live in Auckland. I live in wellsford and want my rates to be spent taking care of the town I live in

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#78

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Ridiculous that an area which is undergoing huge population growth is having representation reduced.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#79

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Keep Kaukapakapa with Helensville and Kumeu area, as we are much closer to Helensville (10mins) than a 45min drive for most residents up to Warkworth & Wellsford. Must keep Kaukapakapa together, as new proposal shows we would be split in two.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

No need for change, happy where Kaukapakapa is placed.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

To Whom It May Concern,

Subject: Opposition to Proposed Boundary Changes for the Warkworth and Wellsford Local Board

I'm writing to share my concerns about the proposed boundary changes for the Warkworth and Wellsford local board. After reviewing the plans, I believe these changes might not be in the best interest of our community.

Firstly, our current boundaries have helped build a strong sense of identity and community in both Warkworth and Wellsford. Changing these boundaries could disrupt the cultural and historical connections that we've all come to value.

Moreover, I'm worried about representation. The new boundaries might not accurately reflect our community's needs and interests. This could lead to certain areas being underrepresented and missing out on crucial resources and services. Keeping the existing boundaries ensures everyone continues to have a fair voice.

There are also economic concerns. The proposed changes could create uncertainty for ongoing and future development projects. Stability in our governance structures is essential for businesses and investors, and sudden boundary changes could negatively impact growth in our region.

Additionally, I'm particularly concerned about Wellsford potentially losing its voice and becoming disconnected from Warkworth if the number of council representatives is reduced. Wellsford has unique needs and priorities, and it's crucial that these are adequately represented and addressed. A reduction in representation could lead to important local issues being overlooked.

Finally, there's the issue of accessibility. The current boundaries make it easy for residents to know where to go for services and support. New boundaries might lead to confusion and inconvenience, which isn't ideal for anyone.

I understand that reviewing local governance structures is necessary from time to time, but I believe our current boundaries have served us well. They provide stability, continuity, and effective representation, which are crucial for our community.

Thank you for considering my feedback. I hope the final decision will reflect the concerns of residents who value the current structure and the sense of community it supports.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#81

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

You want to take more away from warkworth and wellsford!!! The 2 places where more effort is needed.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

As per my comment above

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#82

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why
The current situation is better than the proposal

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

The current situation is better than the proposal

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#83

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Representing the same numbers of people does not make the system "Fair".
Making sure the council represents the communities correctly and ensuring their needs are met despite the population, is the better option.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

I do not feel that the new boundary would be suitable as the areas included inside the boundary are too diverse. Kumeu is not a rural area, and I doubt if the needs of wellsford are anywhere near similar to the needs of Kumeu, therefore a single councilor cannot fairly represent all parties

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#84

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

I'm concerned about Kaukapakapa being put into Rural North, when Helensville which is only 10k away, and the town we have the most contact in so many ways. Our communities are pretty much one. We are 41k from Wellsford, it takes 35 minutes to get there. We have no reason to go there, and for sure, if there are priorities for work and spending based out of Wellsford, we are not going to do too well. Either Helensville needs to be in North Rural, or Kaukapakapa needs to be in South Rural.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

See above.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

See above.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#85

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Unsure of what the actual outcome will be and what the reason is for this

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

It would appear that the representation we have now would be diluted and therefore less say in matters relating to our area

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#88

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Kaukapakapa needs to be part of the South Rural ward - it makes no sense that it is split in two between North and South. Please make sure it is in South so that we are represented by our actual local community. We are much more aligned to Helensville, Waimauku etc than Warkworth / Wellsford.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#91

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why
Rodney needs defined Rural representation.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

I would like to see Kumeu, Riverhead, Waimauku and Muriwai as a subdivision but appreciate the numbers may not fall where they need to for this to happen.

The current division for Kumeu extends to Glorit and the west of Warkworth which is Northern Rodney. This is a disconnection with the Kumeu area.

The needs of the rural community are quite different to the urban area. These suggested changes give a focus on rural character of Rodney as well its rural service towns. The urban spaces within the rural area are catered for in this model. Council buildings and parks within the rural areas and urban satellites will be managed as they are now. Having board members that are familiar with the large rural areas is more likely with the moth south split.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

Kaukapakapa would be better to be in Southern Rural as its “big brother” town is Helensville.

#92

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

My concern is that if Kaukapakapa is broken into two that emergency responses to floods etc will be different. Kaukapakapa isn't connected to Wellsford really in any way and is quite a distance from there that issues that face Wellsford, Makarau, Glorit etc are different to those of us in Kaukapakapa. The community works well as it is and I don't see any actual evidence to show that splitting us into two will have any positive effect for us.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#93

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

We need to be prepared for the next storm event in context with climate change

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

Because of the increase in population in South Rodney means there is a lot more for elected board members to do which enables the community to have a voice

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#94

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

While on paper and on map the change is logical. The reality of the separation and merge action will reduce representation for urban centers in rural communities.
At present we've been trying to get some key issues fixed and the deafness of Auckland councillors is very obvious. we have more ability to be heard and more ability for action to happen through central government than through local council.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

As a person that lives in Wellsford, I don't support the proposed change.

While on paper and on map the change is logical. The reality of the separation and merge action will reduce representation for urban centers in rural communities.

At present we've been trying to get some key issues fixed and the deafness of Auckland councillors is very obvious. we have more ability to be heard and more ability for action to happen through central government than through local council.

Merging the Wellsford area with Rural area will dilute auckland Council's current area and per capita based statistics. Making inaction more justifiable.

The representation of the urban centre of Wellsford was separated because of the different needs of a rural industrial hub, district emergency services hub and urban community needs.

Policy effectiveness will conflicts with the separate needs of primary industry based rural land use and Wellsford Urban oriented and secondary (economy sector) manufacturing business needs.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. **Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?**

   Rodney counsellors recently republished a teams meeting to a local facebook group page. That was great to hear.

   But in person representation, meetings and discussions should be done.

   People get annoyed due to the accessibility of counsellors. These positions are public positions and need to be more open.

#99

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why
A lot fairer for all rate Payers

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Other

Tell us why

Looking at the Kaukapakapa and Waitoki townships the border runs through and along the edge, so needs to go further so that the 2 communities are not split. Say to the south of the golf course around to inland rd and then to the harbor.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

Transparency

The Rodney Ward is so big in area that the councilor should have some visible help. Especially with rural needs

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Other

Tell us why

See below in the "Rodney Local Board" changes section

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Other

Tell us why

You had several options for the new borders and have selected the one option that splits my village of Kaukapakapa completely in half, by using the Kaipara river as a border. In your own words "We propose minor noncompliance ..... to avoid splitting established communities" And yet are proposing the one version of the 6 you had that splits an established community in half.

The problem with this split is that northern Kaukapakapa will be the poor cousin to Wellsford receiving little to no representation from any Rodney Local Board member. Conversely southern Kaukapakapa will be the poor cousin represented by Kumeu. By splitting our township in half you ensure that we are the smallest voice in either subdivision with little to no representation in either location. As a whole village we at least have a stronger voice in the southern subdivision.

In addition, you are adopting the NAG "Northern Action Group" suggested borders, which perfectly positions NAG to continue its campaign to separate from the Super City council and region. NAG's very next step after you allow the change will be to push for the "Northern Rural" division to separate entirely from the super city. Your creating a rod for your own back by adopting their specific proposal.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?


Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. **Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?**

100



#103

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

I would say I'm closest to Wellsford, warkworth and helensville. I do not think of us as Kumeu when ever describing our area. We are very rural compared to this the surrounding these suburbs.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

Through the new proposed changes I feel we will get a better representation for my area (Araparera)

#111

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

As a resident in Ahuroa we have been a second thought when services and consideration are made with Warkworth. Ahuroa needs more specific representation so I am supportive of these changes.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

More localiser representation for Ahuroa and rural residents instead of urban Warkworth which seems to take the lions share

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#113

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Firstly why isn't this consultation aligned with the wards etc. that we reside i . I should have no say in how others are represented. Whoever is responsible for this process needs to rethink it.
The proposals effecting where I reside suggest whomever is behind this either has an agenda that does no include communities having a united say (maybe dividing dilutes ability to influence and therefore favours their community) or are to put it bluntly incompetent

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Splitting a small rural community, such as Kaukapakapa, across two wards is likely to dilute their ability to be fairly respeesented and simply wrong.

Your very next point re fair representation says it all - is Kaukapakapa not an established community?

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

It is how it should be so apply the same to Kaukapakapa

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#115

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Don't think area of helensville and kaukapakapa should be split. These are no where near welsford and have nothing to do with area. I do think that helensville etc should be separated to kumeu tho due to residential areas vs county areas. We need support that understands the farming areas.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Living in Waitoki we align with Kaukapakapa , Helensville and Dairy Flat and Orewa/ Whangaparaoa

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Do not support

Tell us why

No support and development proposed for the northern areas. Dairy Flat/ Waitoki

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

There is not enough thought put into the area needed to be covered in the rural sectors of this Auckland Super City. It appears that population is currently the only consideration.
I would hazard a nguess that not many people from the central city area have ever visited and travelled the rural roads of the Rodney area.
I note both Gt Barrir Island and Waiheke have a very high number of board members per head of population.. why is this deemed necessary for these islands.. but not rural areas?

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Wellsford and Warkworth will be further compromised due to lack of representation.

Land area needs to be considered.. not just population.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

The rule is flawed anyway. Political tickets should not be permissable.. as they create a bullying ethos.. and promote an unfairness of representation.

Land area needs to be included in the calculation along with population so large areas are able to be represented.

The Rodney district takes a good 3 days or more to just drive the rural roads from one west to East. Added to this.. as the northern boundary is another council, so the overlap and sharing effect between inner city subdivisions which is currently enjoyed, is more of a no go zone on the outer edges.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. **Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?**

   Wellsford needs at least two, local board members and if Warkworth and Wellsford are to be combined.. (the GISmap provided is not easy to understand from my cellphone) then we need more repesentation.

#124

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Rodney District needs more representatives not less.
Rodney is expanding in housing and population.
I disagree with reduced representation.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Rodney District needs more representatives not less.

Rodney is expanding in housing and population.

I disagree with reduced representation.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

I am a Kaukapakapa resident and don''t see any sense in splitting s community in half.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

I am a Kaukapakapa resident and don''t see any sense in splitting s community in half. It feels like our rural community will get even less voice.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#126

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Helensville is where we shop and work. Wellsford is twice the distance to travel and has a completely different social make up from what we have experienced.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

They appear compliant statistically but existing social economic character needs to also be considered from a council representation factor. Our needs in Helensville are very different from Wellsford so forcing us to be part of a region that doesn't align with our priorities is not appropriate. Just changing boundaries to meet pop thresholds is box ticking .. try another angle.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

Our representation needs to be from someone in our area that shares the same wants and needs as adverse to someone from an area that wants different outcomes .

#127

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Kaukapakapa should not be split in 2.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Kaukapakapa should not be split in 2.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

Car parking in kaukapakapa should be increased outside the dairy and hairdresser and liquor shop.

NOT decreased.

#129

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why
Fairer and more relevant representation

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#134

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

I live in Kaipara Flats. In the proposal I would be separated from Warkworth. Kaipara flats has no facilities and is reliant on Warkworth for all services. It is only 10 minutes away. It seems crazy I would have no representation around my town where all my facilities are.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

As above. I would have no representation in the town where all my facilities are, library, high school, sports facilities etc. this seems crazy.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#136

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

I do not support the splitting of the tight-knit Kaukapakapa community down the middle between the North Rural and South Rural divisions. We deserve to be represented in a single ward by united representation from the community.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

As stated above, this proposal would have the effect of splitting the tight-knit community of Kaukapakapa, of which I am a resident, ratepayer and business owner in. We deserve the right to be represented as a single unit in board and council decisions, and I am very concerned that splitting us would materially reduce our ability to be heard on matters of community importance, including funding, disaster response, safety, town planning and more.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#138

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

The proposal for Rodney does not mean that I will identify with my wider subdivision, we are being lumped into an area where we do not receive the same services and we will have split political representation which will be detrimental.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

I do not support the proposal for the Rodney Local Board for a number of reasons.

1. Kaukapakapa is proposed to be split between subdivisions and this is a terrible idea. Our community should not be split by these political boundaries and result in us receiving divided political representation.

2. Kaukapakapa should be included in the South Rural subdivision as we identify with Helensville and Waitoki more than we do with Wellsford. In fact, I never drive north unless it is to head out of Auckland. I do all my shopping in Silverdale or Albany, Helensville or Kumeu.

3. I do not support the naming of our subdivision as anything 'rural'. While we live in a rural area we are countryside living and have urban infrastructure needs. I do not identify with the term rural and I believe being in a subdivision with this focus will result in even less being achieved for our village. We have tried for years to get Council to support us with footpaths and playgrounds and to date all we have had is half of our hall carpark sealed.

4. I suggest we call the subdivision that we join South Kaipara as this clearly represents the area we identify with and is not linked to any one town or village in the subdivision.

5. I do not support the creation of a new additional subdivision and prefer other options put forward to the Rodney Local Board that retain only 4 subdivisions. Not only does this make things simpler, it saves money during elections from needing a fifth set of documents.

6. I disagree with the proposal that sees the Wellsford subdivision become a large North Rural subdivision taking up more than half of the land mass of Rodney so that the NAG group can get an additional local board representative. If their area is less populated for the one representative they have, the subdivision should be made slightly bigger to bring that subdivision into compliance. Warkworth and Wellsford have the most shared identity and the subdivision boundary should be adjusted between those two subdivisions, not come down the west coast all the way to the South Kaipara.

7. We have the Kaukapakapa Emergency Network that we have set up and it covers our local area out to Makarau, Waitoki and connects with Helensville. The Community Emergency Hub that Nicola Towersey has established is now the agreed point of relay for all communications

from the wider South Kaipara Emergency Network, FENZ and Police out to our area, Makarau and Waitoki. It is very important that we keep all of these areas within the same subdivision as we will always be needing support and representation from the local board and this will be detrimental if it is in different subdivisions. There will be a conflict between local board representatives and how they want to allocate funds to their communities.

8. I am upset that you have chosen to partner with NAG in these decisions and allow their influence in our community. No wonder this is such a mess. NAG has always threatened to apply for another annexure from Auckland for north Rodney and we do not want this process to be an opportunity for this group to establish a wider area in Rodney and have a wider influence. While it is unlikely that any commission would approve an annexure, NAG will use this opportunity to waste local politician time pushing for their agenda and this will distract from achieving outcomes for our community. We do not support the proposal in any way.

9. I have looked at the report to the Rodney Local Board and can see that an Option 3 was proposed that retained 4 subdivisions, and most of the Kumeu subdivision unchanged. This is my preferred option as it is the one that protects our communities and ensures we receive effective political representation.

10. At the Auckland Council webinar it was explained that the changes need to meet three requirements, one being perceptual. We do not identify with north Rural or Wellsford. We identify with South Kaipara and we do not wish to be lumped with a community with a northern focus.

11. This proposal is also supposed to provide for communities that function the same way and receive the same services. Kaukapakapa does not have the same issues as Wellsford, and is different again from Te Hana and east coast areas. We do share the same issues as Kumeu, Huapai, Waimauku and Helensville though as well have State Highway 16 running through our towns.

12. Please note that our Kaukapakapa Area Residents and Ratepayers Association constitution defines our community as going as far north as Barr and Tuhirangi Roads in Makarau.

13. Please note our Pest Free Kaukapakapa area of operations goes as far north as Jordan Road. It is important to ensure this area remains within one subdivision so that we can attract community funding and support. Having to work with local board members across subdivisions will be detrimental due to conflicting priorities. We are better placed connected to South Kaipara so we can access support from Rodney West Pest Free Coordinators.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

138

Rules of

KAUKAPAKAPA AREA RESIDENTS AND RATEPAYERS ASSOCIATION INCORPORATED
(AK/576914)

THE SOCIETY
As of 22 Nov 2022

1.0 Name

1.1 The name of the society is KAUKAPAKAPA AREA RESIDENTS AND RATEPAYERS ASSOCIATION Incorporated ("the Society").

1.2 The Society is constituted by resolution dated 14th December 1992.

1.3 The Constitution was revised by resolution November 2022.

2.0 Address

2.1 The address of the Society is 85 Alpine Rd, RD4, Kaukapakapa.

3.0 Purposes of Society

3.1 The purposes of the Society are to:

(a) Provide a forum for local issues and concerns to be discussed.

(b) Ensure that the views, aspirations and local concerns are well represented to the Local Board.

(c) Encourage the continued upgrading of SH16 (Kaipara Coast Highway) and other roads in the area to ensure safe access.

(d) Encourage safe inter-linkage between the parts of the village for non vehicle access.

(e) Do anything necessary or helpful to promote and maintain of the natural charm and character of the area defined by the society.

3.2 Pecuniary gain is not a purpose of the Society.

3.3 (a) The following are deemed the boundaries of the Society:

- Western boundary is the Kaipara Harbour

- Eastern boundary is Rapson Road

- Northern boundary is Barr Rd/Tuhirangi Roads

- Southern boundary is Inland Road/Old North Road/Peak Road.

(b) Usual membership qualification of the Society is deemed to be those who live or work within these boundaries. However, members may be eligible from outside the area as long as their application to join is approved by the Managing Committee.

MANAGEMENT OF THE SOCIETY

4.0 Managing Committee

4.1 The Society shall have a managing committee ("the Committee"), comprising the following persons:

(a) The Chairperson;

(b) The Secretary;

(c) The Treasurer;

(d) Committee Members to a maximum of 14;

(e) The Committee may appoint additional sub committees to undertake specific tasks.

4.2 Only Members of the Society may be Committee Members.

4.3 There shall be a minimum of three (3) Committee Members.

5.0 Appointment of Committee Members

5.1 At a Society Meeting, the Members may elect by majority vote:

(a) Who shall have the title of Chairperson,

(b) Whether any Committee Member may have more than one title;

5.2 The Term for any Committee Member shall be three (3) years. After 3 years of being on the Committee every Committee Member shall stand for re-election should they so wish.

6.0 Cessation of Committee Membership

6.1 Persons cease to be Committee Members when:

(a) They resign by giving written notice to the Committee.

(b) They are removed by majority vote of the Society at a Society Meeting.

(c) Their Term expires.

6.2 If a person ceases to be a Committee Member, that person must within one month give to the Committee all Society documents and property.

7.0 Nomination of Committee Members

7.1 Nominations for members of the Committee shall be called for at an Annual General Meeting. All retiring members of the Committee shall be eligible for re-election.

7.2 If the position of any Committee Member becomes vacant between Society Meetings, the Committee may appoint another Committee Member to fill that vacancy until the next Society Meeting.

7.3 If any Committee Member is absent from three consecutive meetings without notice of absence the Chairperson may declare that person's position to be vacant.

8.0 Role of the Committee

8.1 Subject to the rules of the Society ("The Rules"), the role of the Committee is to:

(a) Administer, manage, and control the Society;

(b) Carry out the purposes of the Society, and Use Money or Other Assets to do that;

(c) Manage the Society's bank accounts;

(d) Ensure that all Members follow the Rules;

(e) Decide how a person becomes a Member, and how a person stops being a Member;

(f) Decide the times and dates for Meetings, and set the agenda for Meetings;

(g) Decide the procedures for dealing with complaints;

(h) Prepare a budget for adoption at the AGM;

(i) Make regulations.

8.2 The Committee has all of the powers of the Society, unless the Committee's power is limited by these Rules, or by a majority decision of the Society.

8.3 Decisions of the Committee bind the Society, unless the Committee's power is limited by these Rules or by a majority decision of the Society.

8.4 Committee members are exempt from paying subscriptions.

9.0 Roles of Committee Members

9.1 The Chairperson's role is to:

(a) Ensure that the Rules are followed;

(b) Convene Meetings;

(c) Chair Meetings, deciding who may speak and when;

(d) Oversee the operation of the Society;

(e) Give a report on the operation of the Society at each Annual General Meeting;

(f) Advise the Registrar of Incorporated Societies of any rule changes;

(g) Advise the Registrar of Incorporated Societies of any alteration to the Rules;

(h) To be the designated spokesperson on behalf of the Society – this may be delegated if recorded in the minutes.

9.2 The Secretary's role is to:

(a) Record the minutes of Meetings;

(b) Keep the Register of Members;

(c) Hold the Society's records, documents, and books;

(d) Receive and reply to correspondence as required by the Committee;

(e) Retain the common seal of the Society.

9.3 The Treasurer's role is to:

(a) Collect and receive all payments made to the Society. These payments must be banked within seven days after the Treasurer receives them;

(b) Keep a true and accurate record in the Society's account book, so that the Society's financial situation can be clearly understood at any point in time;

(c) Give a financial report and statement of accounts (including an Income and Expenditure Account and Balance Sheet) at each Annual General Meeting, and more often if either the Committee or a majority of the Society decides this in a Meeting.

(d) Forward the annual financial statements for the Society to the Registrar of Incorporated Societies upon approval by the Members at an Annual General Meeting.

9.4 The Vice Chairman's role is to:
Assume the role of the Chairman at the Chairman's request or in the absence of the Chairman.

10.0 Types of Members

10.1 A Member is either an Ordinary Member or a Life Member, but not an Honorary Member.

10.2 An Ordinary Member has the rights and responsibilities set out in these Rules.

10.3 A Life Member is a person who is acknowledged as a longstanding Member of the Society. A Life Member has all the rights and responsibilities of an Ordinary Member (including the right to vote), but does not have to pay fees, subscriptions, or levies.

10.4 An Honorary Member is a person who is acknowledged as providing or having provided important services to the Society. An Honorary Member has none of the rights or privileges of a Member.

10.5 An Ordinary Member or a Life Member is deemed to be an individual person and have a single vote.

11.0 Admission of Members

11.1 To become an Ordinary Member, a person ("the Applicant") must:

(a) Complete an application form,

(b) Supply any other information the Committee requires.

11.2 The Committee may interview the Applicant when it considers Membership applications.

11.4 An Ordinary Member may become a Life Member only if:

(a) The Committee recommends that the Society should appoint the Ordinary Member as a Life Member; and

(b) The Society passes a resolution appointing the Ordinary Member as a Life Member by a two-thirds majority of those Members present and voting.

12.0 The Register of Members

12.1 The Secretary shall keep a register of Members ("the Register"), which shall contain the names, the addresses and telephone numbers of all Members, and the dates at which they became Members.

12.2 If a Member's address or telephone number changes, that Member shall give the new address or telephone number to the Secretary.

13.0 Cessation of Membership

13.1 Any Member may resign by giving written notice to the Secretary.

13.2 A Member may have his or her Membership terminated in the following way:

(a) If, for any reason whatsoever, the Committee is of the view that a Member is breaching the Rules or acting in a manner inconsistent with the purposes of the Society, the Committee may give written notice of this to the Member ("the Committee's Notice"). The Committee's Notice must:

i) Explain how the Member is breaching the Rules or acting in a manner inconsistent with the purposes of the Society;

ii) State what the Member must do in order to remedy the situation; or state that the Member must write to the Committee giving reasons why the Committee should not terminate the Member's Membership.

iii) State that if, within 14 days of the Member receiving the Committee's Notice, the Committee is not satisfied, the Committee may in its absolute discretion immediately terminate the Member's Membership.

iv) State that if the Committee terminates the Member's Membership, the Member may appeal to the Society.

(b) 14 days after the Member received the Committee's Notice, the Committee may in its absolute discretion by majority vote terminate the Member's Membership by giving the Member written notice ("Termination Notice"), which takes immediate effect. The Termination Notice must state that the Member may appeal to the Society at the next Meeting by giving written notice to the Secretary ("Member's Notice") within 14 days of the Member's receipt of the Termination Notice.

(c) If the Member gives the Member's Notice to the Secretary, the Member will have the right to be fairly heard at the next Society Meeting. If the Member chooses, the Member may provide the Secretary with a written explanation of the events as the Member sees them ("the Member's Explanation"), and the Member may require the Secretary to give the Member's Explanation to every other Member within 7 days of the Secretary receiving the Member's Explanation. If the Member is not satisfied that the other Society Members have had sufficient time to consider the Member's Explanation, the Member may defer his or her right to be heard until the following Society Meeting.

(d) When the Member is heard at a Society Meeting, the Society may question the Member and the Committee Members.

(e) The Society shall then by majority vote decide whether to let the termination stand, or whether to reinstate the Member. The Society's decision will be final.

14.0 Re-admission of former Members

14.1 Any former Member who has resigned may apply for re-admission in the same way as a new applicant, but if the former Member's membership was terminated by the Committee or the Society, the Applicant shall not be readmitted without the approval of the Committee by majority vote.

15.0 Obligations of Members

15.1 All Members (and Committee Members) shall promote the purposes of the Society and shall do nothing to bring the Society into disrepute.

MONEY AND OTHER ASSETS OF THE SOCIETY

16.0 Use of Money and Other Assets

16.1 The Society may only Use Money and Other Assets if:

(a) It is for a purpose of the Society;

(b) It is not for the sole personal or individual benefit of any Member;

(c) That use has been approved by the Committee.

16.2 The Society shall not incur any debts that it does not have adequate funds to cover.

17.0 Joining Fees, Subscriptions and Levies

17.1 The Society shall decide by majority vote at a Society Meeting:

(a) What a Member must pay to join the Society ("Joining Fee"); and

(b) What a Member must pay in order to stay a Member ("Subscription") and how often this must be paid.

17.2 If any Member does not pay a Subscription by the date set by the Committee or the Society, that Member shall have a further period of seven days to pay the Subscription. After the seven day period, the Member shall (without being released from the obligation of payment) have no Membership rights and shall not be entitled to participate in any Society activity until all the arrears are paid, and the Member's Membership shall be suspended until all arrears are paid in full.

18.0 Additional Powers

18.1 The Society may:

(a) Employ people for the purposes of the Society;

(b) Exercise any power a trustee might exercise;

(c) Invest in any investment that a trustee might invest in.

19.0 Financial Year

19.1 The financial year of the Society begins on 1st January and ends 31st December.

20.0 Cheques

20.1 Any Payment made by the Society above a value of twenty dollars ($20) must be by electronic deposit.

21.0 Appointing an Auditor

21.1 At the Annual General Meeting, the Society may by majority vote appoint someone to audit the Society ("the Auditor"). The Auditor shall audit the Society's accounts, and shall certify that they are correct. The Auditor must be a member of the New Zealand Society of Accountants, and must not be a Member of the Society. If the Society appoints an Auditor who is unable to act for some reason, the Committee shall appoint another Auditor as a replacement.

CONDUCT OF MEETINGS

22.0 Society Meetings

22.1 A Society Meeting is either an Annual General Meeting or a Special General Meeting.

22.2 The Annual General Meeting shall be held once every year between 1st March and 31st May. The Committee shall determine when and where the Society shall meet within those dates.

22.3 Special General Meetings may be called by the Committee. The Committee must call a Special General Meeting if the Secretary receives a written request signed by at least 25% of the Members.

22.4 The Secretary shall give all Members at least 14 days written notice of:

(a) The business to be conducted at any Society Meeting;

(b) A copy of the Annual Report and Statement of Accounts, if the Society Meeting is an Annual General Meeting;

(c) A list of Nominees for the Committee, and information about those Nominees if it has been provided. (The Secretary must not provide Members with information exceeding one side of an A5 sheet of paper per Nominee).

(d) Notice of any motions and the Committee's recommendations about those motions. If the Secretary has sent notice to all Members in good faith, the Meeting and its business will not be invalidated simply because one or more Members do not receive the notice.

22.5 All Members may attend and vote at Society Meetings.

22.6 No Society Meeting may be held unless at least 25% of the Members attend.

22.7 All Society Meetings shall be chaired by the Chairperson. If the Chairperson is absent, the Vice Chairman or Secretary shall chair the Society Meeting. If the Vice Chairman or Secretary are also absent, the Society shall elect another Committee Member to chair that meeting. Any person chairing a Society Meeting has a casting vote.

22.8 On any given motion at a Society Meeting, the Chairperson shall in good faith determine whether to vote by:

(a) Voices;

(b) Show of hands; or

(c) Secret ballot.

However, if any Member demands a secret ballot before a vote by voices or show of hands has begun, voting must be by secret ballot. If a secret ballot is held, the Chairperson will have a casting vote.

22.9 The business of an Annual General Meeting shall be:

(a) Any minutes of the previous Meeting(s);

(b) The Chairperson's report on the business of the Society;

(c) The Treasurer's report on the finances of the Society, the Statement of Accounts and presentation of the budget;

(d) Election of Committee Members;

(e) Motions to be considered;

(f) General business; and

(g) Approval of plans for the balance of the current and next calendar years.

23.0 Motions at Society Meetings

23.1 Any Member may request that a motion be voted on ("Member's Motion") at a particular Society

Meeting, by giving written notice to the Secretary at least 28 days before that meeting. The Member may also provide information in support of the motion ("Member's Information"). The Committee may in its absolute discretion decide whether or not the Society will vote on the motion.

However, if the Member's Motion is signed by at least a quarter of all Members:

(a) It must be voted on at the Society Meeting chosen by the Member; and

(b) The Secretary must give the Member's Information to all Members at least 14 days before the Society Meeting chosen by the Member; or If the Secretary fails to do this, the Member has the right to raise the motion at the following Society Meeting.

23.2 The Committee may also decide to put forward motions for the Society to vote on ("Committee Motions").

24.0 Committee Meetings

24.1 No Committee Meeting may be held unless more than half of the Committee Members attend.

24.2 The Chairperson shall chair Committee Meetings, or if the Chairperson is absent, the Vice Chairman or Secretary shall chair the Committee Meeting. If the Vice Chairman or Secretary are also absent, the Committee shall elect a Committee Member to chair that meeting.

24.3 Decisions of the Committee shall be by majority vote.

24.4 The Chairperson or person acting as Chairperson has a casting vote.

24.5 Only Committee Members present at a Committee Meeting may vote at that Committee Meeting.

24.6 Subject to these Rules, the Committee may regulate its own practices.

25.0 Signing of Documents

25.1 The Society shall have a common seal. A document shall be executed on behalf of the Society if:

(a) The common seal is attached to the document; and

(b) The document is witnessed by any one of the Chairperson, Secretary, or Treasurer, and countersigned by one other member of the Committee.

26.0 Altering the Rules

26.1 The Society may alter or replace these Rules at a Society Meeting by a resolution passed by a two-thirds majority of those Members present and voting.

26.2 Any proposed motion to amend or replace these Rules shall be signed by at least 15 Members and given in writing to the Secretary at least 28 days before the Society Meeting at which the motion is to be considered, and accompanied by a written explanation of the reasons for the proposal.

26.3 At least 14 days before the General Meeting at which any Rule change is to be considered the Secretary shall give to all Members written notice of the proposed motion, the reasons for the proposal, and any recommendations the Committee has.

26.4 When a Rule change is approved by a General Meeting the Managing Committee shall cause to be filed with the Registrar of Incorporated Societies advice of the Rule changes in the required form. No Rule change shall take effect until this is done.

27.0 Winding up

27.1 If the Society is wound up:

(a) The Society's debts, costs shall be paid;

(b) Surplus Money and Other Assets of the Society may be disposed of:

i) By resolution; or

ii) According to the provisions in the Incorporated Societies Act 1908; but

(c) The surplus Money and Other Assets shall be distributed to:

i) Return grants etc to the donor

ii) Kaukapakapa Play Centre

iii) Kaukapakapa School

iv) Other Kaukapakapa societies as may be agreed.

28.0 DEFINITIONS

28.1 In these Rules:

(a) "Cheque" means a personal cheque or a bank cheque.

(b) "Committee" means the Committee of the Society.

(c) "Committee Meeting" means a meeting of the Committee.

(d) "Committee Member" means any Member who is on the Committee.

(e) "Majority vote" means a vote made by more than half of the Members who are present at a Meeting and who are entitled to vote and voting at that Meeting upon a resolution put to that Meeting.

(f) "Meeting" means any Annual General Meeting, any Special General Meeting, and any Committee Meeting.

(g) "Money or Other Assets" means any real or personal property or any interest therein, owned or controlled to any extent by the Society.

(h) "Payment" means any transfer of legal tender by cash, electronic transfer, bank cheque, or any other means of paying legal tender, and includes payment by personal cheque.

(i) "Rules" means these rules, being the rules of the Society.

(j) "Society Meeting" means any Annual General Meeting, or any Special General Meeting, but not a Committee Meeting.

(k) "Use Money or Other Assets" means to use, handle, invest, transfer, give, apply, expend, dispose of, or in any other way deal with, Money or Other Assets.

(l) "Written Notice" means hand-written, printed or electronic communication of words or a combination of these methods.

[redacted]

#143

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why
seems more logical

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

seems to provide a more logical split in terms of providing separate rural and urban communities of interest

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#146

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

It splits the community in half and Kaukapakapa is more aligned with the South Rural than North

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#147

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

I'm in kaukapakapa and your proposal will split the community in half. Also your submission gives anyone the opportunity to vote regardless of where they live which would not give a fair and honest representation of the outcome.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Kaukapaka is closer to helensville with more ties than wellsford. Also your proposal splits kaukapakapa in two. I thought you wanted to join communities not divide them.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

Showing what percentage of funding is given back to the communities and where it is spent.

#149

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

I don't understand why we need the separation between rural and the rest. What is the point of having more rural representatives on the local board when the sort of things the rural community are concerned about - roads, rates and rubbish e.g. - are out of the local board's hands? The local board is responsible for assets like parks, playgrounds, sports facilities, halls, public toilets etc. and there are fewer of these in rural areas so having more members represent rural interests has no benefit.
If anything we need a rural ward councillor.
Everyone who lives rurally uses council facilities in our town centres each time they go to shop, attend medical appointments, use professional services, take children to school or sports, etc. They don't need exclusive rural representation at local board level.
It's the governing body which sets the rates, makes agreements with the CCOs such as AT, and manages waste and stormwater.
Carving the local board up between rural and urban creates no advantage to either and in fact seems a bit divisive.
The push for this seems to be political gerrymandering.
The local board boundaries work well as they are. Don't change them.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

I don't understand why we need the separation between rural and the rest. What is the point of having more rural representatives on the local board when the sort of things the rural community are concerned about - roads, rates and rubbish e.g. - are out of the local board's hands? The local board is responsible for assets like parks, playgrounds, sports facilities, halls, public toilets etc. and there are fewer of these in rural areas so having more members represent rural interests has no benefit.

If anything we need a rural ward councillor.

Everyone who lives rurally uses council facilities in our town centres each time they go to shop, attend medical appointments, use professional services, take children to school or sports, etc. They don't need exclusive rural representation at local board level.

It's the governing body which sets the rates, makes agreements with the CCOs such as AT, and manages waste and stormwater.

Carving the local board up between rural and urban creates no advantage to either and in fact seems a bit divisive.

The push for this seems to be political gerrymandering.

The local board boundaries work well as they are. Don't change them.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

Rodney needs 2 Ward councillors due to its geographical size.

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Lift your performance with Communication within the communities. Post out details to rate payers so we can read and review. We shouldn't hear about this on Facebook

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Don't split Kaukapakapa village into different areas. One school zone, one bus route, one dairy, one hall. Splitting our representation is unacceptable.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#154

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

You are splitting our community right through the middle which is the biggest mistake. Most locals have children in helensville rugby club and the stupidity of putting our community with northern suburbs that are no where near us is dumb

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

You are splitting our community right through the middle which is the biggest mistake. Most locals have children in helensville rugby club and the stupidity of putting our community with northern suburbs that are no where near us is dumb

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#155

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

The survey needs to be more specific and enable the ability to comment on the changes in specific areas. You are local questions at a regional level. The survey would be better targeted for each specific Local Board area change.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

1. The proposed Rural North area splits the Kaukapakapa Community in the South

2. The proposed Rural North area splits the Waitoki Community and separates like communities such as Wainui,

3. The boundary between Rural North and South splits a water catchment area with a common flood risk and will make water way management and emergency management planning / response unnecessarily complicated.

4. The Southern section of the Northern Rural area is not aligned with Wellsford.

5. The NAG, which appears to have initiated this section of the proposal has previously been advocates of stepping out of the Auckland Council area.

6. The Kaukapakapa / Waitoki areas are more aligned to Helensville than Wellsford.

7. The Proposed Northern Rural area represent approx. 25% of the Rodney area (not population).

8. Boundary lines are more effectively drawn on ridges that enable catchments to be managed within one area.

9. The logical boundary line is the ridge line to the north of Makarau River.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. **Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?**

   Distribute elected representatives across smaller areas. Rather that 2 reps for a large area, reduce the area sizes and have one from each, e.g.: 9 areas with one rep for each area.

#156

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Other

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Other

Tell us why

Kaukapakapa is split in half. We should be part of south rural.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#162

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

Kaukapakapa is more connected with the North. Makarau, Waitoki, Wainui, Glorit and beyond. Those who commute and shop generally go via Silverdale as this is a faster route to Auckland city. Kaukapakapa school has more to do with Waitoki and Wanui. As farmers our connections are North. I feel we are lost in the Southern area. There are more towns south with Helensville, Parakai, Kumeu, Huapai and Wiamauku. Kaukapakapa is lost. We farm at Glorit and Wellsford is our service town.

This represents what connections there are in the communities better.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#163

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Any revision of representation, so long as its properly discussed with electors and sensible in its detail, is welcome

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

Any support for increased representation in such a vast and disparate area is supported...how one non local board can have any idea of what is going on is beyond me, so go for it

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Ask the people involved in the wards...do you know what they think?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

By the board coming to the community, holding public meetings are listening to what residents say....is there any other way that works?

The current system is opaque and , mostly, non responsive

#169

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

Rodney is so big

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#196

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why
Seems logical

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#197

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Want Kaukapakapa included as South Rural Subdivision, Rodney

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Want Kaukapakapa included as South Rural Subdivision. We are more aligned with the Helensville community.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

I have just looked at the information and map of the council representation and areas they each cover.

I now understand why Kumeu, Huapai, Waimauku, Muriwai, Helensville though to South Head are so poorly represented. Each of these areas is uniquely different to the other, but are exploding in housing growth. The road transport needs are huge and under consultation. However my point is that the Rodney ward needs to be split in half. The east coast/ Warkworth and that area are not the same communities and we often feel they get the bulk of the attention and funding leaving the west Rodney struggling.

It would be good for councillors to take a drive around our area during a working day and see that there is an extensive community beyond Westgate and a huge amount of traffic congestion through to Waimauku.

Please consider focussing on these highly populated and proactive communities and give us the support we deserve. We need our own representation. Not someone stretched from east to west as displayed on the map Both areas have very different needs and there is absolutely No way fair representation can occur with a ward this size.

Please consider my comments. I respect Wayne Brown as I know he came out to Kumeu and has a good understanding of how these communities need to grow, but it needs more than one person to make change happen and we need more local representation, in our own area please.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. **Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?**

#209

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

We understand the need to meet legislation but we do not agree with the proposed outcome for Rodney.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

While it is understood that the Rodney Local Board (RLB) subdivision boundaries need to change to meet legislation, the proposed option is not supported by the North West Country Incorporated business association (NWC).

NWC is a designated Business Improvement District (BID), one of 51 operating in the Auckland area. We have over ten years of support from Auckland Council to provide business member services, and over 66 years providing business association services to our community.

Our BID area covers all commercial areas in the current Kumeu Subdivision from Kaukapakapa in the north to Helensville, Parakai, Waimauku, Huapai, Kumeu and through to Riverhead.

This is a combined network of commercial landowners and business owners. Every year we report to Auckland Council on our performance against the Auckland Council BID Policy, and we also report to the Rodney Local Board (RLB) who are our funders through a targeted rate grant.

It is important that our members all have the best political representation on the RLB however this is jeopardized by the proposed subdivision boundary changes. Our operational area currently lies within one subdivision and we have consistent board representation by a single nominated board member that lives in the area and knows the area and our issues very well.

The proposed subdivision boundary changes will affect our operations by splitting our operational area across three subdivisions. We determine that this will lead to inconsistent board representation and could lead to conflict between opposing local board member interests.

Under the new proposal, our Kaukapakapa business members will be removed from our current subdivision and linked with a North Rural subdivision. This is inappropriate as our small Kaukapakapa commercial area will have north Rodney rurally focused RLB representation and this will not benefit our members.

Our Waimauku, Huapai, Kumeu and Riverhead business members will be removed into a separate Kumeu Subdivision, which will be problematic for the Kumeu Emergency Network

that provides support to local businesses and landowners throughout the Kumeu River catchment. We have established a Business Resilience Hub in Helensville and are currently developing it further with a back up power supply, Starlink internet connection, and a communication hub for the South Kaipara Emergency Network. For us to provide this resource and attract funding it is important that we remain part of a single subdivision and have consistent local board representation.

Our Helensville and Parakai members will be split into a South Rural subdivision. Again, this removal of Helensville and Parakai commercial areas into a rurally focused subdivision will greatly hinder the support and that our business community can receive from local board representatives with a rural focus.

There is a political risk that splitting our BID area across three subdivisions will result in poor representation of our community interests, and a potential conflict of funding priorities. If we have businesses in Kaukapakapa, Parakai and Waimauku with issues that we need support from the RLB with, this will be managed by three different RLB representatives at a minimum. This would increase the workload of our BID Manager and could greatly delay support and assistance as multiple people will need to be met and informed instead of the one single nominated representative that we currently have.

Our business communities are all located within 10 minutes of each other and the boundaries for the BID were chosen because all members of this community identify as one community. Splitting this community in its political representation will mean that our business members will not identify with their local board members – especially for Kaukapakapa who will be lumped into a Wellsford-focused area.

Not only do our business members live and work in this area, their children go to school in the area. Our bus routes travel from Kaukapakapa to Helensville, and from Westgate to Helensville – there are no bus routes from Kaukapakapa north as this is not how our community travels and identifies.

NWC supports an alternative option for subdivision boundary changes that retains all of the commercial areas of Kaukapakapa, Helensville, Parakai, Muriwai, Waimauku, Huapai, Kumeu and Riverhead in one subdivision which is an option that has been presented to the RLB (Option 3).

Additionally, we do not support the naming of any subdivisions as North Rural or South Rural as this does not fairly represent all the communities within these areas. NWC provides support to all owners of business within commercial land in the South Kaipara area including Muriwai associate members. Naming the areas as rural will limit the support that our members receive and how well we are represented to the RLB. We do not support having our commercial areas part of a rural subdivision.

The issues that we deal with for our members are consistent across all commercial areas; that is roading, parking, road maintenance, congestion, rubbish collections, signage, wastewater overflows, water main leaks, land development and Council Regulatory issues. With two rurally focused local board subdivisions we will be greatly undermined in how our needs are supported and met. It is imperative that our businesses remain within one single subdivision and that it is called South Kaipara.

NWC supports the naming of the subdivision as South Kaipara as this is the area in which we all identify. We have South Kaipara Good Food, South Kaipara Emergency Network, South Kaipara Landcare, South Kaipara Men's Trust, and South Kaipara Operating Parish. NWC does not support the naming of any subdivisions as North Rural or South Rural. This is highly detrimental to the commercial properties within the area.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why


5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?


Tell us why


5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?


Tell us why


6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?














Business Improvement District - North West District
Individual Areas Combined

Date: June 2014

Ref Number: C119734

Published Name: A3 North West District BID Combined Areas.pdf

0 1 Klms

1:12,000 @ A3

Individual BID Area boundaries

N

Auckland Council
Te Kaunihera o Tāmaki Makaurau

#214

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

The maps suggest that Kaukapakapa will become part of Rural North and be linked with or have potential representation in Wellsford rather than more locally in Helensville. I do not support this, and feel that Kaukapakapa should be part of the rural south subdivision as these communities are extremely close and much more connected.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#219

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why
Keep the number of representatives the same please

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Keep the same number of representatives please

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

Keep the same number of representatives for Rodney please

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#222

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Other

Tell us why

Don't oppose change per se, but the ad hoc implementation of change means it is hard to muster community support.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

I live in Kaukapakapa. It would make more sense to align the area with Helensville (rural south) as it is nearer than with Wellsford (rural north) with more things in common I.e. issues that affect Helensville, tend to also affect Kaukapakapa.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#230

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

It splits our community (Warkworth area) and so dilutes our voice. Any changes should be base on identifiable communities and not just numbers.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Changes are based purely on population numbers and ignore communities with common interests.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Numbers do not necessarily prove fairness. The proposals should be based on communities with common concerns and interests that have developed over hundreds of years. Ignoring these is unfair

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#233

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Support

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Support

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#235

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Support

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Support

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

No. Whatever is best of a people, go ahead with it. I support all proposals.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#269

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

You are taking away our local representation. Is it a deliberate act to reduce our voices again. We get ********** all back after paying a fortune in rates. No sign of help after cyclone Gabrielle. At what point are you going to stop shafting the rural communities.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

See above. do you think we are thick or something remove our voice and you can ignore us even more.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

STOP FIDDLING WITH THINGS LIKE THIS AND ACTUALLY FIX AUCKLAND YOU REALLY COULD NOT ORGANISE A DRINKING SESSION IN A BREWERY.

#296

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Support

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Support

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#334

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Other

Tell us why

Why isn't Koraha Rd in Kumeu in the change of subdivision.
The only way out kids can move forward is to build on our land and affordable.
Have useable land but unable to subdivide. Zoning needs t change so we can move forward.
Rates are so high and can be made affordable if we can subdivide.
Council will eventually force us out of our family home which we have built over the years.
We need some relief from banks and council.
Please reconsider the subdivision by adding in Koraha Rd kumeu.
Thxo

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Koraha road kumeu should be included in new subdivision changesWhy isn't Koraha Rd in Kumeu in the change of subdivision.

The only way out kids can move forward is to build on our land and affordable.

Have useable land but unable to subdivide. Zoning needs t change so we can move forward.

Rates are so high and can be made affordable if we can subdivide.

Council will eventually force us out of our family home which we have built over the years.

We need some relief from banks and council.

Please reconsider the subdivision by adding in Koraha Rd kumeu.

Thx

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Koraha Rd kumeu should be included Why isn't Koraha Rd in Kumeu in the change of subdivision.

The only way out kids can move forward is to build on our land and affordable.

Have useable land but unable to subdivide. Zoning needs t change so we can move forward.

Rates are so high and can be made affordable if we can subdivide.

Council will eventually force us out of our family home which we have built over the years.

We need some relief from banks and council.

Please reconsider the subdivision by adding in Koraha Rd kumeu.

Thx

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#335

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Do not support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#443

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Support

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Support

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#457

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Support

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Support

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#458

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Support

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Support

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#497

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Because the Rodney Local Board will have representation diluted for growing communities and for Wellsford a population in need (in my opinion) of representation as it is not thriving. All areas should be encouraged to progress not be buried because of where the numbers are.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

We need more representation where the people are now

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

No as not in my Local Board area.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

Our local board conducts a drop in evening every couple of months - interested parties can bring queries and also find out what is impacting other groups - great way to increase connectivity but we need to keep the current level of representation

#499

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

The camping grounds provide affordable holidays for residents and visitors. Council have no right to sell them. They belong to local residents.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Do not support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#502

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

The change will negatively effect communities ability to influence council decisions on policies that directly impact on their environment.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

The change will negatively effect communities ability to influence council decisions on policies that directly impact on their environment

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

No

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#504

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#507

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

This is for families not profit and the location is such that once private company is involved they will close it and sell for housing as it would be worth millions,this is the very essence of kiwi life and must be preserved for future generations

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#508

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Where and how would I have a voice from Leigh. We have the Lovely Marine reserve at Goat Island and roads, transport and other items need to be addresses

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Where us Leigh where is our care?

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#509

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

We want to keep the access and ownership within the local body.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

it will further disadvantage the local people of Rodney to have any voices

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Yes

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

yes

#510

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#512

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Public need recreational access to prime coastline.
Once in corporate hands it becomes exclusive and families miss out
Stop being cheap, and think of families who live and love and pay your wages.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

Everytime you change some it cost.

Stay as it is, resources are better used for clubs and public facilities which most areas in Auckland are in desprite need.

#519

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Assets for the community should remain in the communities hands.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#523

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Comments relate only to the Rodney Ward proposed changes to local representation

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Existing communities of interest are appropriate. The proposed changes will disenfranchise both the rural and more urban communities. There are strong connections between Warkworth & Wellsford, which are seriously eroded in these plans. It seems that the planners are simply looking at balancing historic numbers and not considering the population affected.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Why not Warkworth?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#524

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#526

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#527

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

I do not support Kaukapakapa being included in North rural. Kaukapakapa should not be divided by the river and should be moved within South rural. I spend more time in those areas and have the same needs and wants as areas such as Helensville and Parakai. I do not believe that my community would be well served by North rural.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

I do not support Kaukapakapa being split in half by the river. I do not support being part of North rural as that is not my community. Kaukapakapa would be better served by south rural. Kaukapakapa is a township and has similar needs and wants as Helensville. We work in Helensville, play sport in Helensville, use the library etc

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

I think it more important to keep communities together such as Makarau, Kaukapakapa, Helensville and Parakai. I would support being not compliant.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#529

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Our property maybe worth less if classed into a different region

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

As above

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#541

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why
Fairer representation by area.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

Makes a fairer representation

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#543

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why
Insufficient local support for my community, disproportionate.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#545

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

the premise of rural areas not being represented is false. Reducing representation in northern areas as growth it taking off. Using population numbers which do not show where growth is going to happen. And implementing changes before the growth does not make sense. Allowing the chance of our poorest community in Wellsford to lose its voice is also perhaps the most discriminating outcome of this proposal and will

Marginalise them even further.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

Leading with a consultation the says we have underrepresented in rural areas when this is not true is leading and invalidates the whole process. Using historical numbers to make decisions another future is also incorrect and as such any proposal based on this is not valid for future representation.

#546

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

We need these public spaces . Do not sell these

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Do not support

Tell us why

We need to keep these the same

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

We here in Rodney need representation

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

We need our community fairly represented , we can not loose this . Do not sell our community spaces

#551

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

I do not want to see local representation reduced and local assets put at risk. We need representation with a close connection to our community.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#552

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

The local resources need to stay local. Keep the local campgrounds available for local people.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

The local resources need to stay local. Keep the local campgrounds available for local people.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#579

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

NAG proposal for Rodney is sensible, based on population and communities of interest.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

I live in Puhoi and feel better represented by being part of a rural subdivision as proposed by changes, rather than being part of a subdivision area based on a town centre.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

No

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

No

#671

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable): Sylvan Estate Residents Society Incorporated

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

The proposed Rodney Ward boundary changes are unclear.
The imagery suggests the boundary between Kumeū Ward and South Rural will cut through the middle of Waimauku.
We need more detailed street level mapping to show which ward residents might be placed into. It makes sense to treat all of the main Waimauku residential areas as Kumeū Ward.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

The proposed Rodney Ward boundary changes are unclear.

The imagery suggests the boundary between Kumeū Ward and South Rural will cut through the middle of Waimauku.

We need more detailed street level mapping to show which ward residents might be placed into. It makes sense to treat all of the main Waimauku residential areas as Kumeū Ward.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#672

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

We should not outsource the operations of our local campgrounds in Whangateau and Martin's Bay!!

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#673

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

Better representation for rural areas in the Rodney Ward.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#675

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Leave it as is it’s just fine stop ruining everything

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#678

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

They have been local comping grounds for many years with both local families and schools utilizing them and it should stay that way. Not he owned by overseas companies

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

No

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#679

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

The Rodney (Warkworth) Area is growing significantly in population at a time while the local board is being reduced. It also doesn't reflect the size of the area with respect to the influx of holiday makers with second homes/ Baches in the area, they also pay rates and are entitled to representation.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

The Rodney (Warkworth) Area is growing significantly in population at a time while the local board in Warkworth is being reduced. It also doesn't reflect the size of the area with respect to the influx of holiday makers with second homes/ Baches in the area, they also pay rates and are entitled to representation. During this time of expansion the proposed "new" boundaries between urban and rural will also be more blurred and the council services are relevant to all as many use Warkworth as their regional hub.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The warkworth local board does a good job of spanning town and country to reduce and minimise it during a time of significant growth is to say that the growth means for some

reason we do not need the same level of representation any more. This is plainly not true. It also ignores the area as a destination for many in auckland who come out to use the facilities and have second homes and Baches here

#680

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

We can’t afford to lose our Local Voice in North Rodney. Having represention that has a close connection to our communities is so important. Our communities are already underrepresented and this change would risk further isolation.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#684

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

The suggested changes for the area where I live-Rodney- seem logical and fair based on the population numbers-

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

The representation seems fair to reflect the population numbers in each area.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#697

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

I live in rodney and do not support the changes to our community representation.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Our communities are already underrepresented and this change would risk further isolation.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

Yes, I do not want to see the break up of the Rodney Board areas. Our rural communities are very much interlinked and reducing the board vote will have negative consequences on how our local facilities are run and our local assets are maintained and cared for. STOP DIVIDING US UP!!!

#698

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#699

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

The proposal over simplifies things and forgets that actual people live in these regions and need to be represented properly.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

It is far more logical for the Rodney area to be represented by people who actually live here and understand what would help/hurt the region. Outsiders may have what seems like great ideas in principal but would actually be quite detrimental to Rodney residents. To reduced our representation is to silence our voice.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

Because it is far fairer to amalgamate the rural people and give them a say on the Rodney Local Board.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#701

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

As rural people we feel marginalised on the Rodney Local Board due to insufficient representation, the proposed changes would provide fairer representation.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#702

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

It risks the opinions of urban members being subsumed by the proposed new wards.

Warkworth and Wellsford subdivisions need to stay

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#703

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

We will have fewer representatives from our area making decisions that are important and unique to us

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#704

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

We a local voice for North Rodney. Having representation that has a close connection to and understanding of our local communities is critical. Our communities are already underrepresented and we would not want further isolation if this proposal
Was passed.
Council recently asked the Rodney Local Board if we should outsource the operations of our local campgrounds in Whangateau and Martin's Bay. If we didn't have 3 local votes we could have lost access to them to an Australian Company for good! Council is now proposing to take your votes away. We need your feedback stop this.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

We a local voice for North Rodney. Having representation that has a close connection to and understanding of our local communities is critical. Our communities are already underrepresented and we would not want further isolation if this proposal

Was passed.

Council recently asked the Rodney Local Board if we should outsource the operations of our local campgrounds in Whangateau and Martin's Bay. If we didn't have 3 local votes we could have lost access to them to an Australian Company for good! Council is now proposing to take your votes away. We need your feedback stop this.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

No

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. **Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?**

   No

#705

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

I fully support the new North Rural Subdivision and South Rural Subdivision in the Rodney Local Boards subdivisions and they will now give an opportunity for a clear and distinct rural voice.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

The new subdivisions will now give the rural community the opportunity for a distinct and clear voice to be heard by the greater Auckland populace.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#706

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

I do not support the change as our ward will be loosing a member as it moves a semi urban area of Wellsford and places it onto a rural zone, which clearly it is not.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#707

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Other

Tell us why

My response is only in regards to my local area.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

South Kaipara area has similar issues that need addressing. These issues are better addressed through connection with other South Kaipara communities.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

No

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#736

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

I want to keep the current number of representatives in my ward

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#737

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable): Omaha Beach Community, Inc

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

We do not wish to see the Rodney ward boundaries changed as this will reduce the representation for the Warkworth ward which is the fastest-growing part of the district.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

We do not wish to see the Rodney ward boundaries changed as this will reduce the representation for the Warkworth ward which is the fastest-growing part of the district.

On behalf of the Omaha Beach Community Inc (OBC) we are responding to your request for input into the proposed change of wards in the "Rodney district".

OBC is the Incorporated Society that represents the 1200+ residents and ratepayers of Omaha Beach. OBC is the largest residents society in New Zealand in terms of financial membership.

After careful consideration, we do not support the changes that Council is proposing.

The introduction to this matter in the consultative online document states;

“The current structure has been around for almost 15 years, and now you should give your views on how you think it can be improved".

"The review aims to better reflect the shared interests of rural communities who live in the local board area and ensure everyone is fairly represented."

“Residents are being asked how they want to be represented in the future. The council must ensure the structure is fair, effective and keeps pace with region’s growth".

In Warkworth the proposal is that the number of representatives will be reduced from 3 to 2. It is the opinion of OBC and our membership that the proposed change is entirely inconsistent with Council’s stated objectives.

The Warkworth area is one that has been selected by the Council for high growth. It is eventually projected to grow from its current population of around 4,000 to 25,000 people. The area is a

true mix of urban and rural properties and the current members of the Local Board do an excellent job of travelling around a huge area representing the various views of both the rural and the urban population, including Omaha.

To reduce the number of RLB representatives for this area to 2 seems contrary to all 3 of your statements above. 15 years ago we were not part of the Auckland Council at all. Many of us

feel that we are far removed from the Council and that there is a lack of adequate representation (we have only 1 Counsellor) and a lack of appropriate resource allocation. As already stated, we are a fast-growing population and a mix of urban and rural. Subdivisions continue to develop in both rural and urban areas of the ward. Council's own plans call for this growth to increase in the coming years.

Therefore, if anything we feel that the number of representatives for the Warkworth Ward should be increased to reflect your desire to "keep pace with the region's growth". To reduce the number instead appears counterintuitive and contravenes everything that you are apparently

trying to achieve in your review.

Finally, the proposed community consultation at the farmers market at the weekend is fundamentally flawed. It will no doubt produce a range of opinions from many people, most of whom do not live in this Ward nor even in Rodney. For this reason, any findings could not be considered meaningful and will be open to challenge.

If you are genuinely seeking local opinion it would be much more appropriate and meaningful to work with the local community groups and we would be happy to discuss this with you and facilitate greater community input in any way we can.

In summary, the Omaha Beach Community does not support the proposed changes and we strongly request that the status quo remains unchanged for the Warkworth Ward.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

We'd like the Council to consult the representative community groups with surveys like this because they can represent the collective view of their communities.

We have worked with our neighbour, , at the Matakana Community Group in the preparation of this letter as we share the same concerns, as we suspect do many other community groups whom we have been contacting over the last few days.

We have learned recently that the Council is now convening a meeting with our community groups next week in response to our concerns and we look forward to that discussion.

Councillor Greg and Ivan - please feel free to forward our letter to any other relevant people at the Council on our behalf.

737

omaha beach community inc.
0800 66 24 24 [0800 OMAHA HELP] PO BOX 123 MATAKANA 0948
us@omahabeach.co.nz www.omahabeach.co.nz

1st August 2024

Kia ora,

RE: Proposed Ward Changes to Rodney District

On behalf of the Omaha Beach Community Inc (OBC) we are responding to your request for input into the proposed change of wards in the "Rodney district".

OBC is the Incorporated Society that represents the 1200+ residents and ratepayers of Omaha Beach. OBC is the largest residents society in New Zealand in terms of financial membership.

After careful consideration, we do not support the changes that Council is proposing.

The introduction to this matter in the consultative online document states;

“The current structure has been around for almost 15 years, and now you should give your views on how you think it can be improved".

"The review aims to better reflect the shared interests of rural communities who live in the local board area and ensure everyone is fairly represented."

“Residents are being asked how they want to be represented in the future. The council must ensure the structure is fair, effective and keeps pace with region’s growth".

In Warkworth the proposal is that the number of representatives will be reduced from 3 to 2. It is the opinion of OBC and our membership that the proposed change is entirely inconsistent with Council’s stated objectives.

The Warkworth area is one that has been selected by the Council for high growth. It is eventually projected to grow from its current population of around 4,000 to 25,000 people. The area is a true mix of urban and rural properties and the current members of the Local Board do an excellent job of travelling around a huge area representing the various views of both the rural and the urban population, including Omaha.

To reduce the number of RLB representatives for this area to 2 seems contrary to all 3 of your statements above. 15 years ago we were not part of the Auckland Council at all. Many of us feel that we are far removed from the Council and that there is a lack of adequate representation (we have only 1 Counsellor) and a lack of appropriate resource allocation.

As already stated, we are a fast-growing population and a mix of urban and rural. Subdivisions continue to develop in both rural and urban areas of the ward. Council's own plans call for this growth to increase in the coming years.

Therefore, if anything we feel that the number of representatives for the Warkworth Ward should be increased to reflect your desire to "keep pace with the region's growth". To reduce the number instead appears counterintuitive and contravenes everything that you are apparently trying to achieve in your review.

Finally, the proposed community consultation at the farmers market at the weekend is fundamentally flawed. It will no doubt produce a range of opinions from many people, **most of whom do not live in this Ward nor even in Rodney**. For this reason, any findings could not be considered meaningful and will be open to challenge.

If you are genuinely seeking local opinion it would be much more appropriate and meaningful to work with the local community groups and we would be happy to discuss this with you and facilitate greater community input in any way we can.

In summary, the Omaha Beach Community does not support the proposed changes and we strongly request that the status quo remains unchanged for the Warkworth Ward.

Yours faithfully, on behalf of OBC.

[redacted]

[redacted]
Treasurer
OMAHA BEACH COMMUNITY, INC.

#738

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

With the growth Warkworth is going to have we need to keep our three elected representatives

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#739

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

My area is not represented well at present

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Stop changing boundaries

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

We already lack representation in a lot of decisions

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#740

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why
We need more representation not less

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

We need more representation not less

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#742

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why
We will be very much disadvantaged and the area is growing

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

We will be disadvantaged

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#743

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why
We in Rodney need more representation not less

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

We in Rodney need more representation not less

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#744

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why
Unfair representation

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Unfair

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#745

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

The proposed boundary changes splits Kaukapakapa in half which seems counterproductive to me

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

The proposed boundary changes cuts Kaukapakapa in half. It would be more logical to move the boundary change nearer to Glorit

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#746

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

We want to keep our independence and our voice

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Do not support

Tell us why

We want to keep our voice

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

We want to keep our voice

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

Stop trying to take over our towns and take away our voice

#747

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Rural covers a much larger area but with smaller population numbers meaning less voice. This is not fair

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

A much fairer representation of a larger area that currently has a minority representation at best in local boards.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

No

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

Very pleased to see this proposal has been made. It is looking ng overdue

#748

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

See comments re Rodney.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

I am concerned that the Warkworth subdivision is losing a board member. The proposed North Rural subdivision combines areas which have little in common except being rural. The area formerly part of Warkworth is more closely associated with Warkworth than Wellsford. The Warkworth subdivision is losing representation when growing rapidly.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

No

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why
We need representation locally

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

As I answered already

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

No

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

Stop trying to get rid of our locally voted representatives

#751

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Warkworth already has it's voice ignored and by splitting it into a smaller zone will just make that worse even though it's a very high growth area for business and residential.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#752

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

The proposed North Rural zone encompasses too many areas. Making it difficult for the individual areas to have there needs met

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#753

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Because they're unnecessary changes and only take from the community and steal our voice.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Because they're unnecessary changes and only take from the community and steal our voice.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

Listen to the people and stop wasting money on things like this.

#754

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

I want to support Kaukapakapa being held together and I feel more connection with Helensville. We're at **** Kaipara Coast Highway (so Makarau or Kakanui if you want to get really minute). I do not feel a connection with Warkworth and feel very removed from the area.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

I want to support Kaukapakapa being held together and I feel more connection with Helensville. We're at xxxx Kaipara Coast Highway (so Makarau or Kakanui if you want to get really minute). I do not feel a connection with Warkworth and feel very removed from the area.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

No

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

No

#756

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

You are watering down the representation of rural Warkworth area by reducing our ward members. We are very concerned our voices for this area will not understood or heard. We are very much a rural area

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#760

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable): Northern Action Group Inc

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why
See attached

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

See attached

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

See attached



Table of Contents

This Submission 2
Introduction 2
The process 3
The Proposal 3
Communities of Interest: Rodney is different 4
Compromise 5
Variation? 6
Conduct of opponents 7
Recommendation 8
APPENDIX: RLB member Facebook postings 9

This Submission

We make this submission in support of the changes proposed and resolved for consultation by Auckland Council's (AC) Governing Body (GB).

We offer some comments in support of the conceptual change behind the proposal. We suggest some minor adjustments to boundaries that would address concerns we have heard expressed during the consultation period.

We comment on the various misrepresentations and scaremongering tactics that have been used by some people to encourage opposition to the proposed changes.

We wish to appear before the Joint Governance Working Party (JGWP) to speak to our submission.

Introduction

In December 2020 we provided a paper in support of a motion tabled by Rodney Councillor Greg Sayers, supported by our local MPs, seeking a review of the Rodney Local Board (RLB) area subdivision boundaries[1]. The governing body deferred our proposal for consideration in the general review required every six years (in this case for the 2025 local body elections).

In preparation for the GB resolution for the public consultation required for 2024, we have been actively working with community groups to promote an understanding of the representation review process, the current non-compliance of the subdivisions in Rodney, and the proposed change in concept for interpreting communities of interest.

This has been challenging as, with the general level of apathy regarding participation in local authority elections and the process for these, it is perfectly natural and understandable for members of the public to be for the most part uninterested in engagement on these issues unless they feel disenfranchised by current subdivision arrangement or threatened in some way by proposals for change. In our opinion, the public at large, struggle to understand the difference between Wards and Local Board Areas and subdivisions, and Councillors and Local Board members.

Nonetheless we have been able to engage with some local community groups (Kaipara flats, Sandspit, Puhoi, Whangateau, Warkworth Area Liaison Group, NAG and LCA AGMs) and generally received appreciative support.

[1] https://infocouncil.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/Open/2020/12/GB_20201217_AGN_9669_AT_WEB.htm (includes the paper https://nag.org.nz/NAG%20Subdivision%20Paper.pdf)

An on-line poll we have had running since August 2023 has only attracted 109 responses, but 98% support the changes.

The process

Our efforts have involved engaging with members of the Rodney local board and AC officials working on the review. We have been impressed with the level of understanding and support received from officials during the process. However, despite trying to raise the general level of public awareness since the middle of last year, we have been unable to engage formally with decision makers (the JGWP and RLB), to improve understanding of the change proposed, prior to opportunities afforded in the process for members of the public generally.

We understand that the intention of AC behind this process is to avoid lobbying or the promotion of special interests seeking to influence decision making by the JGWP and GB. However we note, as even the Chairperson of the JGWP commented during the public webinars, that elected members are significantly conflicted in having a strong vested interest in the process by which they are elected. Consequently they may find it difficult to be independent in their judgements about changes which could impact their chances of re-election.

We are pleased that the RLB has chosen to put aside potential conflicts and support and recognise the rural /urban basis of our proposal and recommended its adoption to the JGWP. The recommendation was supported by a narrow majority (5: 4) and modified the original proposal to maintain a perceived balance between North and South voting areas.

The Proposal

The subdivision boundary changes proposed for consultation by the governing body for the Rodney local board area are based on the concept proposed in 2020 of urban/rural communities of interest - as set out in our paper to the December 2020 GB meeting.

With the updated 2023 population numbers officials made minor changes from the original proposal which brought the Kumeu subdivision into compliance with the legislative population quota.

The split of the rural subdivision into two (North rural and South rural) subdivisions was recommended by the RLB to address concerns about a perceived potential imbalance between representation from the North and from South West Rodney and to encourage the election of representatives with more localised knowledge within such a large geographic area.

[This reflects the practical political reality of differences (policy and decision-making) between members representing the interests of either North Rodney or SW Rodney residents (or party voting "blocks" and independents) which were polarising in previous Rodney local boards and have tended to persist to some degree in the current local board. Differences between members, particularly over roads and transport spending, were instrumental in the review of concepts for deciding areas of representation.]

This split of the rural area necessarily introduces some arbitrariness as to the location of the boundary between North rural and South rural. However variations are possible to address local concerns which have been expressed about not splitting local communities for voting.

[It is important to recognise that with a diverse and dispersed population in Rodney - only half of which is lumped together in a few dominant communities - any subdivision of the Rodney district for voting purposes will produce boundaries with potential concerns from people affected by those boundary decisions, whether their perceptions are valid or not. (As we will see under the communities of interest discussion anything less than electing all members at large involves compromise.)]

Communities of Interest: Rodney is different

Our support for changes to improve performance and outcomes for Rodney is not new. We have consistently argued that the region-wide centralised silo management control structures adopted by AC and its CCOs have not been fit for purpose for Rodney[2].

Rodney's land area comprises 46% of the whole of Auckland council, with 20% of its roads (in km). Rodney is 95% rural, it has 76% of the region's unsealed roads and these make up 41% of all the roads in Rodney.

Rodney's rural ratepayers make up about half the population and pay about half of Rodney's rates.

In our 2020 paper we highlighted that Rodney was unique amongst the other Local Board areas and why spreading half of Rodney's voters as minorities across its urban centres was unfair and did not provide effective representation of communities of interest. (q.v. footnote 1).

[2] 2013-2020: e.g. NAG's Proposal to separate North Rodney from AC contained arguments about why it did not work for Rodney (e.g see https://nag.org.nz/AlternativeProposal24.06.16.pdf) ; and 2023: issues for Mayoral discussions, (https://nag.org.nz/Active%20NAG%20issues%20for%20Mayor%20Jan2023.pdf)

Compromise

With such a spread of rural and rural settlement communities and two main population centres, determining the perceptual, functional and political dimensions of effective communities of interest is challenging.

At one extreme electing all 9 members of the local board at large is an obvious starting point.

But that provides no guidance to prospective candidates as to what they stand for other than a “better Rodney” , and we surmise it would likely encourage the provision of political “tickets” of candidate party groups, who would predetermine what they thought was best for the District (and have been controversial in Rodney in recent local boards).

Given the distributed, and therefore less coordinated, groupings of rural voters, such “ticket” parties would have inevitably come from urban areas and lack the understanding of rural interests important to Auckland from its rural economic contribution. Voting “at large” would be expected to produce a form of representation little different from the place based concept of population centre groupings around which subdivision boundaries have been drawn traditionally.

Shifting to a fair representation based on rural/urban differences therefore seems obvious for Rodney – something not relevant in a more consistently dense urban population local board area.

However, a more pure approach based on StatsNZ’s SSGA2023 raises complications of non-contiguous groupings (which would be novel for people to understand) and a compromise which would lump Wellsford in with all the Rural Settlements (1 member) a large Other Rural area (5 members) and a grouping of Small Urban areas (3 members).

The advantage of this arrangement is that it would help remove the political Kumeu v Warkworth, South West v North aspect of Rodney representation and decision making, but our view has consistently been that a shift to changed boundaries AND non-contiguous groupings was too radical a change for voters to readily adjust to.

The current proposal then has elements of the status quo (Dairy Flat boundaries unchanged as they remain within quota; and it recognises the two centres of Kumeu/Huapai and Warkworth) but fairly recognises the mainly rural character of Rodney and the different interests of rural and urban voters.

Variation?

During the consultation period we have heard the opposition to change from current vested interests, and concerns from the Kaukakapa community that their representation will be split by the North/South rural boundary (the proposed boundary follows the river through middle of Kaukapakapa).

While it is a matter for the JGWP whether or not to respond to these concerns, we can see 3 possible ways to meet them which would still be compliant with quota:

1. Shifting the boundary South of Kaukapakapa to place Kaukapakapa in North Rural
2. Shifting the boundary north of Kaukapakapa (to place them in South Rural) and shifting theboundary south of Waitoki catchment (to place them in North Rural).
3. Removing the boundary completely to create a single Rural subdivision of 4 members.

We would not object to any of these changes which maintains quota and satisfies the concerns expressed by those communities.

We do note however, comments made by officials (which we support) that if the concept is based on rural versus urban communities of interest then the question voters should be asking is “am I rural?” not “am I voting in the same subdivision as other people in my neighbourhood?”

We do not share the concerns of some RLB members that this will mean that rural representatives will necessarily come from the South and will not recognise or represent the interests of the people in Wellsford or rural areas. There is a distributed spread of population across the rural area with no particular area dominant.

Our perception is that rural people have needs in common - whether they are from the South Head or Port Albert or Te Arai areas. Subdivision boundaries determine who ratepayers can vote for but they do not restrict where candidates must come from. Our view is that rural voters will universally pick candidates they believe will best represent rural interests across the whole of the district, as well as contributing responsibly to RLB decision-making for the needed development of urban areas.

Conduct of opponents

We have observed scaremongering and misleading comments by a local board member which may misrepresent proposals and potential outcomes. We have also seen postings and views from other local board members which have been supportive or just encouraging engagement, but we have not seen these make misrepresentations.

These Facebook posts from Ivan Wagstaff (below) mislead the public by implying that the proposal will mean people in Whangateau, Wellsford and Sandspit will lose assets or services when there is no reason to presume that will be the case.

The posts suggest that because the representation changes from 3 members for Warkworth and 1 for Wellsford, to 2 for Warkworth and 2 for North Rural, Warkworth and Wellsford voters will lose representatives who make decisions in their interests.

That is wrong because North Rodney still retains 4 members, the same as before, and the combined area of Warkworth and North Rural subdivisions is greater than the current area of Warkworth and Wellsford subdivisions.

Threatening people with the loss of assets or services is scaremongering. Suggesting that it will result from changes to where people vote from is misleading.

AC assets and services in Rodney are not restricted by boundaries and their value and usage can be enjoyed by all. There is clearly no connection between changing the allocation of voters to areas and the decisions elected representatives make on behalf of Rodney ratepayers. Such decisions can not be foretold.

Under the proposal Wellsford gets more rural oriented support and Warkworth continues to get support from North Rural. So there is no reason to imagine scenarios in which decisions will be made that some voters may not like (any more than it is natural for local boards to make decisions some voters do not like).

Also the posts imply a division between north and south Rodney and between rural and urban voting. Whether or not that is seen in decision-making depends entirely on who is elected, not who elected them.

In general we believe people are free to post their views and we are both pleased to see and welcome our local board members actively engaging in supporting their electors.

However, we are concerned that elected representatives may be using the review as an opportunity to promote themselves (sometimes using posts as paid advertising) within and outside their base of voter support - in a way that is not much different in concept from electioneering.

[redacted] not as an individual but does not declare any (obvious) conflict of interest. Nor does he disclose

that the RLB, of which he is a member, and whose decisions he has agreed to support as a member of that collective, voted to recommend the proposal to the JGWP and GB.

Recommendation

AC has recognised the conflict of interest present when elected members are required (by law) to propose or resolve the system by which they are elected (and any changes to it).

Local Boards are asked to participate in AC's decisions under the review, and make recommendations which the JGWP gives significant weighting to in making its recommendations to the GB for resolution.

Good governance practice would be for members to recuse themselves from participating in the discussion on the merits or otherwise of such decisions (amongst voters who, presumably, are the ones who are then asked to decide on the systems by which they wish their representatives to be elected). (Standard exceptions are where members can help explain to voters, or provide useful information on, what is proposed, without offering any view as to the merits or otherwise of what is proposed.)


AC chose not to resolve any proposal for consultation under reorganisation review provisions this time. However, we believe the same arguments regarding the position of conflict of interest of elected members and restrictions on participation in public discussion on any proposal should equally apply to any reorganisation or representation proposals resolved for consultation.

We therefore **recommend:**

that the JGWP propose that the GB adopt as policy for future reviews that elected members be required to refrain from publicly expressing any views or opinions on any representation or reorganisation review proposal once it has been resolved for public consultation by the GB.

Northern Action Group Inc.
Landowners and Contractors Association
Rodney Community Voices

[redacted]



#764

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Do not support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#798

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

I do not support the proposed changes to the Rodney ward, specifically the creation of rural subdivisions and reduction of representation for other subdivisions.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

I fundamentally disagree with the proposal to create two new rural subdivisions at the expense of existing representation in areas such as Warkworth. This rural structure does not exist anywhere else in Auckland e.g. Franklin, and there is no evidence that it is required in Rodney.

Furthermore the proposal decreases the voice of Warkworth and a time when it is experiencing significant growth, a trend that council plans to continue.

I wish to make the following points in response to the proposal.

1. Lack of natural justice / due process

This proposal appears to be the result of a small group of rural lobbyists convincing council that rural communities are not adequately represented. Apart from the views of this small group there has been no evidence provided by council to support this assertion. Furthermore, as evidenced in Council records and documents, the proposal from this group was factored into early thinking by Council. No other groups were afforded the opportunity to provide early input whilst options were being developed.

In fact, we are aware that council officials developed 3 options, none of which included rural sub divisions. To these were then added 3 versions of a proposal from the Northern Action Group, for consideration by the local board.

Other groups who should have had early input in the interests of natural justice and equity have now been presented with Council's preferred option, heavily influenced by the views of a single special interest group. Had we had the same opportunity for early input, Council's preferred option may have been different. We would therefore argue strongly that Council's process was both flawed and inappropriate and that natural justice has not been served.

In addition, the introduction of a rural / urban split is a fundamental change to the way wards and subdivisions are defined. Speaking to other groups in the area, most were not aware of this change until recently. There is a strong view amongst those I've spoken to that consultation on a change of this magnitude has been inadequate. There are far reaching consequences in this proposal and they need to be more fully discussed and understood.

2. Questionable Assumptions

The proposal is based on two questionable assumptions;

Firstly, that rural communities are poorly served by the current structure. See above.

Secondly, the data used to draw boundaries is based on 6 year old census data. In the intervening 6 years there has been significant population growth in the Warkworth subdivision area.

3. Proposal is flawed

In Warkworth the proposal is that the number of representatives will be reduced from 3 to 2. It is the opinion of the Omaha Beach Community Inc and its membership that the proposed change is entirely inconsistent with Council's stated objectives.

The Warkworth area is one that has been selected by the Council for high growth. It is eventually projected to grow from its current population of around 4000 to 25000 people. The area is a true mix of urban and rural properties and the current members of the Local Board do an excellent job of traveling around a huge area representing the various views of both the rural and the urban population, including Omaha.

To reduce the number of RLB representatives for this area to 2 seems contrary to Council's stated objectives. 15 years ago we were not part of the Auckland Council at all. Many of us feel that we are far removed from the Council and that there is a lack of adequate representation (we have only 1 Councillor) and a lack of appropriate resource allocation.

As already stated, we are a fast growing population and a mix of urban and rural. Subdivisions continue to develop in both rural and urban areas of the ward. Council's own plans call for this growth to intensify in the coming years.

Therefore, if anything we feel that the number of representatives for the Warkworth Ward should be increased to reflect your desire to "keep pace with the region's growth". To reduce the number instead appears counterintuitive and to contravene everything that you are apparently trying to achieve in your review.

4. Preferred Solution

Our preference would be to keep the existing subdivision structure and then massage boundaries to ensure equitable population distribution.

As outlined above, council officials tabled 3 options on this basis at the Rodney Local Board meeting on 15 May 2024. We believe the best solution is option 3 referred to in the minutes of that meeting and ask that this option is the one implemented. It meets the objectives of the review process without creating artificial rural / urban distinctions in an area that is changing rapidly and where no such distinctions have existed previously.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#936

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable): Taupaki flood committee

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Taupaki should not be included in the subdivision for kumeu. It is a rural farming community that suffers massive flood risk . The area also should not be developed any further until public transport and roading issues are sorted

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Taupaki should not be included in the subdivision for kumeu. It is a rural farming community that suffers massive flood risk . The area also should not be developed any further until public transport and roading issues are sorted

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#937

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#938

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

It's a retrograde step to do away with ward representation when the ward is growing - and quickly.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#939

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

It will result in us having one few representative, we battle as it is at the moment to get heard, so with one less it will make it a lot harder

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

It will result in us having one few representative, we battle as it is at the moment to get heard, so with one less it will make it a lot harder

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#940

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Reduction in representation given the huge growth expected in Warkworth is undemocratic and wrong

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

Maintain the status quo

#942

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why
Warkworth Omaha Matakana region needs more representation

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Warkworth's fast growth means status quo should continue

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

No

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#943

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Warkworth/Omaha ida rapidly growing area and deserves more than one representative on council

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

District too large for 1 representative

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#944

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

I'm not keen on any change to of wards in the Rodney District. No reduction in Warkworth Ward representation

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#945

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Less representation is not helpful

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

More representation is needed not less

in a growing area

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#946

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why
Detrimental to Omaha community representation

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Detrimental to Omaha community representation

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#947

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why
There should not be a reduction of representatives supporting Omaha residents.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

There should not be a reduction of representatives supporting Omaha residents.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#948

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why
Omaha requires representation not less

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Would take away representation for Omaha

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#950

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Less representation for our ward will be likely to translate into fewer resources for our part of Rodney from the Local Board even though our ward (Warkworth township in particular) is predicted to grow rapidly!

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

This proposal would have less representatives. With less representation for Rodney will be likely to translate into fewer resources for our part of Rodney from the Local Board even though our ward (Warkworth township in particular) is predicted to grow rapidly!

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#951

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Warkworth is growing considerably already, with significant further growth yet to be seen. To reduce the number of councilors to 2, will not assist the region in its plans for growth and the fortunes of the people in its region.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Warkworth is growing considerably already, with significant further growth yet to be seen. To reduce the number of councilors to 2, will not assist the region in its plans for growth and the fortunes of the people in its region.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#952

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

The loss of representation will only mean los of resources +

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#953

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Other

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Despite Council's stated aim to make changes to representation in the Rodney Ward to reflect future needs, the suggested changes will have the opposite effect. Warkworth and the surrounding district (including Omaha - where we reside) has been attracting investment as a growth-area,. Increased infrastructure, improved roading and significant housing development will see considerable future population growth in our area. Despite these known dynamics, the changes being suggested includes a reduction of our representation from 3 to 2 persons.

Currently, the boundary defines Wellsford & Warkworth as 'linked'. Economically, these two areas share resources and cost-saving measures, working together efficiently. Disrupting this synergy through boundary changes risks undermining the collaboration that benefits both communities.

Representation isn’t just about lines on a map – it’s about addressing the unique challenges faced by our interconnected rural and beach communities.

We strongly oppose this move.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. **Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?**

#954

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

I do NOT wish to see a diminished representation from 3 down to 2 in a rapidly growing Ward.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Rodney - Warkworth area is a High Growth area going forward and requires the full resource of representation.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Subdivisions need to be tightly controlled in relation to Local Infrastructure provisions.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

Local Boards need to be 'home grown' with experience and Controlled Vision for our future environment .

#955

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Rodney needs more representation not less. This is part of a fast growing area and is under-represented now when compared to other Local boards.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Rodney needs more representation not less. This is part of a fast growing area and is under-represented now when compared to other Local boards. This proposal is nickel and diming a local for no additional benefit.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Why would the Council ever contemplate a "minor non compliance with fairness of representation" rules or guidelines. It is arrogant to present such a proposal. .

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#956

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Warkworth ward is one of the fastest growing areas in NZ. There should be more representation rather than less.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

This area is one of the fastest growing areas and shouldn't be reduced. One less representative will be detrimental to this area.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

956

omaha beach community inc.
0800 66 24 24 [0800 OMAHA HELP] PO BOX 123 MATAKANA 0948
us@omahabeach.co.nz www.omahabeach.co.nz

1st August 2024

Kia ora,

RE: Proposed Ward Changes to Rodney District

On behalf of the Omaha Beach Community Inc (OBC) we are responding to your request for input into the proposed change of wards in the "Rodney district".

OBC is the Incorporated Society that represents the 1200+ residents and ratepayers of Omaha Beach. OBC is the largest residents society in New Zealand in terms of financial membership.

After careful consideration, we do not support the changes that Council is proposing.

The introduction to this matter in the consultative online document states;

“The current structure has been around for almost 15 years, and now you should give your views on how you think it can be improved".

"The review aims to better reflect the shared interests of rural communities who live in the local board area and ensure everyone is fairly represented."

“Residents are being asked how they want to be represented in the future. The council must ensure the structure is fair, effective and keeps pace with region’s growth".

In Warkworth the proposal is that the number of representatives will be reduced from 3 to 2. It is the opinion of OBC and our membership that the proposed change is entirely inconsistent with Council’s stated objectives.

The Warkworth area is one that has been selected by the Council for high growth. It is eventually projected to grow from its current population of around 4,000 to 25,000 people. The area is a true mix of urban and rural properties and the current members of the Local Board do an excellent job of travelling around a huge area representing the various views of both the rural and the urban population, including Omaha.

To reduce the number of RLB representatives for this area to 2 seems contrary to all 3 of your statements above. 15 years ago we were not part of the Auckland Council at all. Many of us feel that we are far removed from the Council and that there is a lack of adequate representation (we have only 1 Counsellor) and a lack of appropriate resource allocation.

As already stated, we are a fast-growing population and a mix of urban and rural. Subdivisions continue to develop in both rural and urban areas of the ward. Council's own plans call for this growth to increase in the coming years.

Therefore, if anything we feel that the number of representatives for the Warkworth Ward should be increased to reflect your desire to "keep pace with the region's growth". To reduce the number instead appears counterintuitive and contravenes everything that you are apparently trying to achieve in your review.

Finally, the proposed community consultation at the farmers market at the weekend is fundamentally flawed. It will no doubt produce a range of opinions from many people, **most of whom do not live in this Ward nor even in Rodney**. For this reason, any findings could not be considered meaningful and will be open to challenge.

If you are genuinely seeking local opinion it would be much more appropriate and meaningful to work with the local community groups and we would be happy to discuss this with you and facilitate greater community input in any way we can.

In summary, the Omaha Beach Community does not support the proposed changes and we strongly request that the status quo remains unchanged for the Warkworth Ward.

Yours faithfully, on behalf of OBC.

[redacted]

[redacted]
Treasurer
OMAHA BEACH COMMUNITY, INC.

#957

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

The idea of reducing representation in a growing area like Warkworth makes no sense and I oppose it.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

The idea of reducing representation in a growing area like Warkworth makes no sense and I oppose it.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#959

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

I think it is fair that rural people look after rural areas and town people look after town areas.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

Warkworth representatives can focus on Warkworth affairs.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#960

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

See note below re Rodney Ward

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

The proposal to reduce Warkworth representation appears illogical given the recent growth and future projected growth of the area. Consider the representation should be increased rather than reduced.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#962

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

We live in Omaha in the Warkworth area. The number of RLB reps for the Warkworth area should not be reduced from 3 to 2. The number should be increased because:
1. The Warkworth area has been selected by Council for high growth, from currently 4,000 to 25,000. Council says it wants representation "to keep pace with the region's growth". So why reduce when that contravenes what Council says it is aiming to achieve?
2. The Warkworth area is very widespread. To represent the views of both urban and rural properties the RLB has to spent time and effort to travel around the area, including to Omaha. Reducing the number from 3 to 2, increases the work load by a sixth which is not practical.
3. Folk in the Warkworth area feel far removed from Council and wish for more representation, not less as there has been a lack of resource allocation since amalgamation.
4. Accurate local opinion can be obtained from local community groups. It is a nonsense to suggest farmer's market consultation. Such gatherings attract folk from the city, not residents of the Warkworth ward or even the wider Rodney district.
We request that the status quo remains unchanged for the Warkworth Ward.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Refer our comments in question 1.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. **Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?**

#963

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why
This will result in less representation for my ward.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Less representation for my ward

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

963

omaha beach community inc.
0800 66 24 24 [0800 OMAHA HELP] PO BOX 123 MATAKANA 0948
us@omahabeach.co.nz www.omahabeach.co.nz

1st August 2024

Kia ora,

RE: Proposed Ward Changes to Rodney District

On behalf of the Omaha Beach Community Inc (OBC) we are responding to your request for input into the proposed change of wards in the "Rodney district".

OBC is the Incorporated Society that represents the 1200+ residents and ratepayers of Omaha Beach. OBC is the largest residents society in New Zealand in terms of financial membership.

After careful consideration, we do not support the changes that Council is proposing.

The introduction to this matter in the consultative online document states;

“The current structure has been around for almost 15 years, and now you should give your views on how you think it can be improved".

"The review aims to better reflect the shared interests of rural communities who live in the local board area and ensure everyone is fairly represented."

“Residents are being asked how they want to be represented in the future. The council must ensure the structure is fair, effective and keeps pace with region’s growth".

In Warkworth the proposal is that the number of representatives will be reduced from 3 to 2. It is the opinion of OBC and our membership that the proposed change is entirely inconsistent with Council’s stated objectives.

The Warkworth area is one that has been selected by the Council for high growth. It is eventually projected to grow from its current population of around 4,000 to 25,000 people. The area is a true mix of urban and rural properties and the current members of the Local Board do an excellent job of travelling around a huge area representing the various views of both the rural and the urban population, including Omaha.

To reduce the number of RLB representatives for this area to 2 seems contrary to all 3 of your statements above. 15 years ago we were not part of the Auckland Council at all. Many of us feel that we are far removed from the Council and that there is a lack of adequate representation (we have only 1 Counsellor) and a lack of appropriate resource allocation.

As already stated, we are a fast-growing population and a mix of urban and rural. Subdivisions continue to develop in both rural and urban areas of the ward. Council's own plans call for this growth to increase in the coming years.

Therefore, if anything we feel that the number of representatives for the Warkworth Ward should be increased to reflect your desire to "keep pace with the region's growth". To reduce the number instead appears counterintuitive and contravenes everything that you are apparently trying to achieve in your review.

Finally, the proposed community consultation at the farmers market at the weekend is fundamentally flawed. It will no doubt produce a range of opinions from many people, **most of whom do not live in this Ward nor even in Rodney**. For this reason, any findings could not be considered meaningful and will be open to challenge.

If you are genuinely seeking local opinion it would be much more appropriate and meaningful to work with the local community groups and we would be happy to discuss this with you and facilitate greater community input in any way we can.

In summary, the Omaha Beach Community does not support the proposed changes and we strongly request that the status quo remains unchanged for the Warkworth Ward.

Yours faithfully, on behalf of OBC.

[redacted]

[redacted]
Treasurer
OMAHA BEACH COMMUNITY, INC.

#964

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Less representation for our ward will be likely to translate into fewer resources for our part of Rodney from the Local Board even though our ward (Warkworth township in particular) is predicted to grow rapidly!

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Council so why would you take away a representative only to have to add them back in a few years' time after the projected growth.

Warkworth and other outer reaches of Auckland struggle for mind share now. Only the hard work of our existing representatives ensure we get a share of funding for all manner of things.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

Auckland Council should lead the way by moving to Electronic voting via a Smart Identity Card that can be used at major retailers self serve kiosks.

This will make voting part of a visit to the shops and easy to do at a lot less cost than sending out paper ballots and counting them.

#965

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Doesn't make sense. Omaha and Warkworth are fast growing areas. We need more representation not less.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#966

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

As both a resident and commercial property owner in Omaha , I oppose the proposed change whereby the representatives are reduced from 3 to 2. in the Warkworth Ward .

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#968

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

I feel this will be a backward step for Omaha residents.
The change will decrease representation on our ward and result in less resources from our part in the Rodney district

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Am happy with current Omaha beach board representation and see change as retrograde step

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

No

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#969

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

I do not support the proposal to reduce the Warkworth ward representation from 3 to 2 considering the forecast growth in this area.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

No

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

Mo

#970

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Do not want the reduction of Omaha's local board representation

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Do not want Omaha's local board reorientation to be reduced or otherwise negatively impacted

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#971

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why
The proposed further segregation of the northern rural zone.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

The proposed segregation of the northern rural zone.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

No

#972

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why
We will miss out getting things done

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#982

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why
Will reduce Omaha's representation

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Reduced Omaha representation

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Reduced representation for Omaha

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

No

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

Warkworth area is to grow quickly but gets less representation

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Whilst I cannot actually see any map proposals due to the aweful links, Rodney already does not get enough support and so I'm against any movement making it smaller #sealrodneyroads

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Fix the Warkworth shitlpipe properly, build a purpose built treatment plant for the growth which is happening and don't disrupt the main town businesses

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#997

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why
Warkworth is expanding makedly so should not have a reduced representation.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

No more

#998

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

The Rodney Local Board proposal is anti-community building and is not progressive for the development of Kaukapakapa

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

As above, The Rodney Local Board proposal is anti-community building and is not progressive for the development of Kaukapakapa.

The area of Kaukapakapa should not be split into north and south (both areas come together for school and community connections) and should be aligned with the Kumeu side as Kaukapakapa is a developing town.

Suggest northern border is at West Coast Road.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

We need the extra representative for Omaha and Warkworth in our fast growing region
Thank you

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

This change will potentially mean less representation (therefore less infrastructure expenditure)for a rapidly growing area.whose infrastructure is already struggling!

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

As above - the area is growing not shrinking! Huge increase in building already with more envisaged. Representation should be growing not shrinking

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why
Rural Ridney needs better representation

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

Rural Rodney needs better representation

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1003

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

I do not consider it acceptable with the changes of the Rodney board to have one less representative on an area which subject over high projected development ie from 4K to 25k populating in the coming years. This area is also very diverse sobubderstand having additional boundaries but not to reduce the number of councillors

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

Happy to have these additional subdivisions if that means additional councillors and focus for these areas as long as no reduced councillors for the existing area ie Warkworth which includes Omaha and is growing rapidly.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

No

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

Please just don’t reduce the councillor to the Warkworth areas. Rodney overall needs a good representation

#1004

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Warkworth is a high growth area with projected growth of 4000 residents.
We feel we are far removed from the Council now so reducing representation will be inconsistent with the Councils stated objectives.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1005

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why
Reduces representation in areas

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Reduces our representation

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1006

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

The changes in the Warkworth area reduce local Board representation when there is allot of growth in future years and I think the representative levels at present are better suited .

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Do not support

Tell us why

The changes in the Warkworth area reduce local Board representation when there is allot of growth in future years and I think the representative levels at present are better suited .

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

The changes in the Warkworth area reduce local Board representation when there is allot of growth in future years and I think the representative levels at present are better suited .

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

The changes in the Warkworth area reduce local Board representation when there is allot of growth in future years and I think the representative levels at present are better suited .

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1007

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

I do not support the proposed changes as Warkworth is growing rapidly and needs to retain its current representation..

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1008

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

We the rural North need to keep our voices separated from central Auckland. We have different needs and they need to be heard separately. No change needed

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Other

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1009

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Because we need strong representation to not lose our rural voices in the north.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Because we need strong representation to not lose our rural voices in the north.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1012

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Reduced representation in Warkworth goes against council objectives and common sense.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Stated above.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

It will weaken the rural voice. Our needs are different to those people who live in the central city and we need to be properly represented and our voice heard.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

It will weaken the rural voice. Our needs are different to those people who live in the central city and we need to be properly represented and our voice heard.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

No

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Don't want the local board boundaries to change

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

The current representation is ok

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1026

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

This will lead to reduced representation for Warkworth/Omaha in a rapidly growing region. Local representation is key to the development and I am strongly against any change.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1032

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1036

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1038

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Great to have more RLB members in the rural community

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

Having the greater population is a better option for our rural to get more roading etc done as the council only ever work on population areas not that rural are the largest rate providers.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1039

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why
Rodney is a growing community that requires greater representation not less.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1041

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why
Warkworth is a growth area and the ward should have increased not decreased representation.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Warkworth is a growth area and should have increased not decreased representation.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1042

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

It is proposed to reduce the number of Warkworth representatives in the Rodney District from 3 to 2. Warkworth and surrounding areas are growing in population and are earmarked for further growth. Warkworth ought to have increased representation, not reduced representation.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1043

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why
Spread of representatives is uneven.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Not a fair distribution.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1044

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Rodney Local Board presently is 49% Rural landmass of the whole of Auckland.

This suggested proposal dismantles our local communities who are still struggling with understanding boundary wards as it is. More importantly elected representatives need a balanced understanding of the vast area they live in and represent. This proposal feeds our residents fears that Urban Townships and centres are more important then Rural people. As they will never see a representative when trying to cover this proposed land mass North Rural Subdivision.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1056

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1062

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

A fairer representation of the Rural sector which we don't have at the moment.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

Wellsford has a massive rural area with only one representative. That is not a fair representation.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

No

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

No

#1063

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Rural is utterly neglected in the current structure.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

Better representation for Rural areas.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

None

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

No thank you

#1086

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

It doesn't do me or my town any favors and would further restrict our voice

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1092

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

The diverse region of Rodney, and massive growth in the Warkworth area, requires recent data and forward planning. Some Rodney areas have very 'rural' challenges, and others becoming more and more urban, again with unique challenges that brings. Greater representation in the status quo without the changes.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1105

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable): Matakana Community group

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Dear Auckland Council,

I am responding to your request for input into the proposed change of wards in the "Rodney district". The introduction to this matter in the consultative online document states;

“The current structure has been around for almost 15 years, and now you should give your views on how you think it can be improved".

"The review aims to better reflect the shared interests of rural communities who live in the local board area and ensure everyone is fairly represented."

“Residents are being asked how they want to be represented in the future. The council must ensure the structure is fair, effective and keeps pace with region’s growth".

In Warkworth the proposal is that the number of representatives will be reduced from 3 to 2. It is the opinion of the community groups below that the basis of the change is entirely wrong. Warkworth is an area that has been selected by the council as an area for high growth. It is eventually projected to grow from its current population of around 4000 to 25000 people. The area is a true mix of urban and rural properties, The current members of the Local Board do an excellent job of travelling around a huge area representing the various views of both the rural and the urban population. The entire basis for “urban/rural” separation is misconstrued in the Rodney area. The current 9 RLB members do an excellent job of representing all areas and your proposed changes cut off people from their usual communities (where they shop and go for socialisation)

To reduce the number of RLB representatives for this area to 2 seems contrary to all 3 of your statements above. 15 years ago we were not part of the Auckland Council at all. Many of us feel that we are far removed from the Council and that there is a lack of adequate representation (we have only 1 Counsellor) and a lack of appropriate resource allocation. We are a fast growing population and a mix of urban and rural. Subdivisions have happened in both areas, and the mix will continue to increase in the coming years. If anything we feel that the number of representatives for the Warkworth Ward should be increased to reflect your desire to "keep pace with the region's growth". To reduce the number instead appears to contravene everything that you are apparently trying to achieve in your review. I understand that the calculation of “current population” is based upon the 2018 census. It was accepted that this census had a very low turn out, is now 6 years old and is anyway out of date considerable growth in developments and sub-divisions that has occurred since then

Finally, community consultation at the farmers market at the w/e will produce an excellent range of opinions from many people, most of whom do not live in this Ward nor even in Rodney. It would be much more appropriate, if you are seeking local opinion, to work with the local community groups

We strongly request that the status quo remains unchanged for the Warkworth Ward.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1106

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why
Reflect better relatively

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

Reflect better demographic relativity

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1109

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

ITS FINE THE WAY IT IS STOP WASTING MONEY!!!!!!

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1114

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

I fundamentally disagree with the proposal to create two new rural subdivisions at the expense of existing representation in areas such as Warkworth. This rural structure does not exist anywhere else in Auckland e.g. Franklin, and there is no evidence that it is required in Rodney.

Furthermore the proposal decreases the voice of Warkworth and a time when it is experiencing significant growth, a trend that council plans to continue.

I wish to make the following points in response to the proposal.

1. Lack of natural justice / due process

This proposal appears to be the result of a small group of rural lobbyists convincing council that rural communities are not adequately represented. Apart from the views of this small group there has been no evidence provided by council to support this assertion. Furthermore, as evidenced in Council records and documents, the proposal from this group was factored into early thinking by Council. No other groups were afforded the opportunity to provide early input whilst options were being developed.

In fact, we are aware that council officials developed 3 options, none of which included rural sub divisions. To these were then added 3 versions of a proposal from the Northern Action Group, for consideration by the local board.

Other groups who should have had early input in the interests of natural justice and equity have now been presented with Council's preferred option, heavily influenced by the views of a single special interest group. Had we had the same opportunity for early input, Council's preferred option may have been different. We would therefore argue strongly that Council's process was both flawed and inappropriate and that natural justice has not been served.

In addition, the introduction of a rural / urban split is a fundamental change to the way wards and subdivisions are defined. Speaking to other groups in the area, most were not aware of this change until recently. There is a strong view amongst those I've spoken to that consultation on a change of this magnitude has been inadequate. There are far reaching consequences in this proposal and they need to be more fully discussed and understood.

2. Questionable Assumptions

The proposal is based on two questionable assumptions;

Firstly, that rural communities are poorly served by the current structure. See above.

Secondly, the data used to draw boundaries is based on 6 year old census data. In the intervening 6 years there has been significant population growth in the Warkworth subdivision area.

3. Proposal is flawed

In Warkworth the proposal is that the number of representatives will be reduced from 3 to 2. It is the opinion of the Omaha Beach Community Inc and its membership that the proposed change is entirely inconsistent with Council's stated objectives.

The Warkworth area is one that has been selected by the Council for high growth. It is eventually projected to grow from its current population of around 4000 to 25000 people. The area is a true mix of urban and rural properties and the current members of the Local Board do an excellent job of traveling around a huge area representing the various views of both the rural and the urban population, including Omaha.

To reduce the number of RLB representatives for this area to 2 seems contrary to Council's stated objectives. 15 years ago we were not part of the Auckland Council at all. Many of us feel that we are far removed from the Council and that there is a lack of adequate representation (we have only 1 Councillor) and a lack of appropriate resource allocation.

As already stated, we are a fast growing population and a mix of urban and rural. Subdivisions continue to develop in both rural and urban areas of the ward. Council's own plans call for this growth to intensify in the coming years.

Therefore, if anything we feel that the number of representatives for the Warkworth Ward should be increased to reflect your desire to "keep pace with the region's growth". To reduce the number instead appears counterintuitive and to contravene everything that you are apparently trying to achieve in your review.

4. Preferred Solution

Our preference would be to keep the existing subdivision structure and then massage boundaries to ensure equitable population distribution.

As outlined above, council officials tabled 3 options on this basis at the Rodney Local Board meeting on 15 May 2024. We believe the best solution is option 3 referred to in the minutes of that meeting and ask that this option is the one implemented. It meets the objectives of the review process without creating artificial rural / urban distinctions in an area that is changing rapidly and where no such distinctions have existed previously.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1126

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1127

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

In reviewing the documents provided suggesting a change to the way the Board members for the Rodney Board are elected the obvious question that comes to mind is what is exactly is the problem we are trying to solve?

There is an underlying issue that the team making the decision should be aware of.

These changes have been lobbied for and presented by a very small group of unelected people. We operate a representative democracy and they don't represent anyone yet they imply strongly that they speak for the rural community. Their real agenda is to have people they control sitting on the board. Over the last year they have manipulated the board to have projects already voted on overturned in favour of tar sealing a road on which one of their members lives on.

Rather than seeking election to the board they prefer to sit on the sidelines and influence the board's activities. They understand that if they were on the board then they could be seen to have a very strong 'conflict of interest' so they don't run for election.

They actively use social media to discredit current board members or anyone who has a contrary view to theirs.

Having rural only board members controlled by these activists will considerably weaken the local board activities and result in far fewer positive outcomes for all area areas.

The whole rural/urban issues is a fabrication by certain people that have a poor appreciation for the actual role of the Local Board. Their real objective to influence and decision making over infrastructure and transport (road tar-sealing) which is actually a Governing Body (Ward Councillor) role. They believe that controlling the local Board will be beneficial in achieving their objective.s I am entirely over their attitude and aggressive tactics.

Current Situation

The representation we have works well. I live in a rural area and I believe many rural people are very happy with the way things work now.

Most of the work of the board is around the rural towns which rural people visit on a very regular basis and they want these towns to work well for them when they visit. It is important that representation is mainly from the larger towns – this is where the local board is very active on projects and it is where most population centres and high growth areas are.

Local Service Towns like Kumeu, Warkworth and Wellsford need to have representation as these places are required to have the facilities needed for them to operate as towns. There is nothing to stop rural people from standing for the board.

My recommendation is as follows

Why seek representatives from rural only areas – these people can already stand for the board if they want but they seldom do. Most of the board's projects are in the towns, which the rural people visit frequently. An in fact there are very few rural specific projects. Finally the elected members are expected to represent everyone.

I am strongly opposed to any changes being made my strong preference is to leave things as they are – as they say – if it's not broke don't change it.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1128

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1131

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Some are not highly applicable to me in my region of Wellsford, North Auckland but I would like to make comment on the proposed changes in particular that affect my area.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

I feel that the North Rural Subdivision is too large and covers a very diverse range of rural population. As a resident of Wellsford Town I feel there is a risk that the elected representatives for that Subdivision may not even be from the Wellsford Region and in that case I feel Wellsford which has a very unique character of Town and Rural would be disadvantaged.

I also have concerns that the rural voice especially in the Wellsford region has at times seemingly been very confrontational and disrespectful - an increased emphasis on rural representation will only encourage a more strident devisive voice - current rural representation seems to have a very closed interest in rural outcomes only. Having enjoyed working extensively as a Wellsford Town resident on projects and delivery to Wellsford it has been encouraging to see some large projects and planning delivered like the current targeted rate footpath and bridge project under the Local Board targeted rate. I see Wellsford as a very unique combination of Town at the intersection of two State Highways and Rural. With the anticipated growth already planned for Wellsford Town I do not personally see myself as Rural but part of a Town growth area with Town infrastructure renewal needs for the anticipated urban growth already planned which will increase the population significantly in the foreseeable future. Wellsford Town not only services the travellers that pass through but is a service town to all the adjoining rural areas and continued focus on facilities for that wider community like the Wellsford Greenways Plan and Centennial Park Masterplan may very well be lost with a rural focus for a very wide area as is envisaged by the North Rural Subdivision.

Before adding more rural representation to the area the rural elected representatives need to work more effectively to the Council and Board model. The dominant attitude that the rural community are always disadvantaged is probably more reflective of often a lack of respectful engagement which has meant that Wellsford in particular lacks strong focus in Council and Board processes to achieve better results.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

N/A

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

I would like more opportunities as a community member to learn Council and Board processes as they relate to me and where I live so I can better engage in the process. Wellsford often does not actively become engaged in feedback and processes simply because the community often doesn't understand how their voice can change outcomes. This results in often a dominant voice seemingly representing the community whereas the wider community simply do not engage. The feedback that you receive then often does not reflect what our community really wants or needs.

#1138

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

We lost Rodney now taking our voice away. Not good enough Auckland council

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Our area is growing rapidly. We need a voice and cannot afford to lose our voice. We already lost Rodney. Wellsford need major improvements with town growth

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

No

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

Keep wellsford and Warkworth voices heard not fair to be excluded when we fight to get basic works done atm with a voice.

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Rural north is grouped together and the more urban Warkworth is separated.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

Rural community together with similar needs, urban separated

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1151

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1161

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Warkworth area has a LARGE population compared to the other areas, please retain the number of representatives we currently have

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Warkworth area has a LARGE population compared to the other areas, please retain the number of representatives we currently have

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

I think the existing arrangement for Rodney is fair! We don't need the rural farmers telling us what to do in our towns.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

Keep the existing Rodney local boards with the current representatives, please.

#1165

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1170

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Do not want leess representation On the Rodney Board

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1176

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1188

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

This is a fast growing area and needs more representation rather than less

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

As a fast growing area and one which has been chosen for intensification by AC we need more rather than less representation on local board

#1192

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why
Less representation for a rapidly growing area.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

I do not support the changes if it reduces our representation as Rodney has considerable growth planned.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

No.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

No.

#1193

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Rodney needs a lot of help and there is still so much that needs to be done. To reduce our means of conveying the help required and minimising our representatives would be detrimental to the area.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1198

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Less representation for our ward will translate into fewer resources for the Rodney Board from the Local Board even though our ward is predicted to grow rapidly!!

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1199

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Community is important. This would split the community totally in half. We also are connected with Helensville, Waitoki as children go to high school in Helensville, and our local shops, library, medical facilities. We have no association with the suggestion area. Community have not been canvassed. Hearing in city not helpful. Most people do not know about this proposed change.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

This would split the community of Kaukapakapa totally in half. We also connected with Parakai, Helensville and Waitoki.

Local high school in Helensville, medical facilities, local shops, library, play groups etc. It is only 10km away. All of our community groups have people from north and south Kaukapakapa. We have nothing in common with the suggested areas to merge with.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Regarding Rodney only, it's a fairer outcome for rural residents. Basing it on roughly equal numbers per Board member seems to be a fair way to proceed.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

It's fairer

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

A little flexibility is good.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

I am happy with the current level of engagement

#1201

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Kuakapakapa is a "village" community and any proposal to divide it in half is ridiculous. There is growth in both residential and lifestyle development around the village and the biggest issue is pedestrian connectivity which can only be solved working together, not represented by two different boards.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

1. Kaukapakapa should not be split into two boards.

2. Kaukapakapa should be with the southern ward as it is more about lifestyle than farming and more about community facilities and connectivity. A northern rural ward is mainly going to be about getting more roads sealed than about community.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1203

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Kaukapakapa should not be divided. Kaukapakapa needs to be represented by one board.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Kaukapakapa should not be split between two boards. We need to focus on community and connectivity. Souther ward would be more suited as they will focus more on community facilities not roading.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1205

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

You can't keep altering the boundaries and still supply consistency to those properties that continually have their boundary's changed

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Do not support

Tell us why

How can you supply consistent service if the boundary keeps changing

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

You need consistency

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Consistency is more important. You can alter the number of elected members if it gets too far out of balance. Decide where the boundaries need to be and then leave that alone

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Rodney is a growing district and we need more, not less, representation .

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Need more representation, not less.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1233

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

I think the population in the Warkworth, Omaha etc are will be under-represented.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

I think the population in the Warkworth, Omaha etc are will be under-represented.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1235

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

I object to the changes in representation on the local Board for the Warkworth and Omaha areas as outlined in the initial proposal - please see below

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

As I understand it, there is an effective reduction in Local Board representation for the Warkworth, Matakana and Omaha area from 2 to 1. this area is now also disconnected from the Wellsford area, with which it appears to share some real common interests. Warkworth/Matakana/Omaha is the most populous and fastest growing area in Northern Rodney. I believe the proposed changes will significantly reduce the effective representation of this key area and its interests, and I therefore oppose the suggested changes.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1236

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

After careful consideration, we do not support the changes that Council are proposing.
The introduction to this matter in the consultative online document states;
“The current structure has been around for almost 15 years, and now you should give your views on how you think it can be improved".
"The review aims to better reflect the shared interests of rural communities who live in the local board area and ensure everyone is fairly represented."
“Residents are being asked how they want to be represented in the future. The council must ensure the structure is fair, effective and keeps pace with region’s growth".
In Warkworth the proposal is that the number of representatives will be reduced from 3 to 2.
We believe that the proposed change is entirely inconsistent with Council’s stated objectives.
The Warkworth area is one that has been selected by the Council for high growth. It is eventually projected to grow from its current population of around 4,000 to 25,000 people.
The area is a true mix of urban and rural properties and the current members of the Local Board do an excellent job of travelling around a huge area representing the various views of both the rural and the urban population, including our suburb of Omaha.

To reduce the number of RLB representatives for this area to 2 seems contrary to all 3 of your statements above. 15 years ago we were not part of the Auckland Council at all. Many of us feel that we are far removed from the Council and that there is a lack of adequate representation (we have only 1 Counsellor) and a lack of appropriate resource allocation.
As already stated, we are a fast-growing population and a mix of urban and rural. Subdivisions continue to develop in both rural and urban areas of the ward. Council's own plans call for this growth to increase in the coming years.
Therefore, if anything we feel that the number of representatives for the Warkworth Ward should be increased to reflect your desire to "keep pace with the region's growth". To reduce the number instead appears counterintuitive and contravenes everything that you are apparently trying to achieve in your review.
Finally, the proposed community consultation at the farmers market at the weekend is fundamentally flawed. It will no doubt produce a range of opinions from many people, most of whom do not live in this Ward nor even in Rodney. For this reason, any findings could not be considered meaningful and will be open to challenge.
If you are genuinely seeking local opinion it would be much more appropriate and meaningful to work with the local community groups and we would be happy to discuss this with you and facilitate greater community input in any way we can.
We do not support the proposed changes and strongly request that the status quo remains unchanged for the Warkworth Ward.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

We oppose the proposed changes and support the written submission sent to council by OBC, OMAHA BEACH COMMUNITY, INC. of which we are both members.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1237

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

The diversity and number of people living in Rodney, especially Huapai and Kumeu needs to be reflected.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

No

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

There needs to be more than one Rodney councillor in Auckland council.

#1238

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable): Point Wells community and residents association

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

On behalf of the Point Wells Community Residents Association, we oppose the changes proposed to the ward boundaries, given the current and projected growth of these wards and reduction in representation in Warkworth ward.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

On behalf of the Point Wells Community Residents Association, we oppose the changes proposed to the ward boundaries, given the current and projected growth of these wards and reduction in representation in Warkworth ward.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Yes, if these are done in collaboration and consultation with those communities affected.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local residents associations are very alive and active in our rural communities in Rodney, I suggest using either the neighbourhood support networks or representatives from these groups to better ascertain the desires and interests of local communities rather than giving NAG and other such vocal groups that aren't representative such strong weighting.

#1485

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

At the meeting of WALG held on 7th August 2024, the meeting attended by 30 people resolved the following resolution by clear majority: Resolve its support for the Rodney Local Board area subdivision boundary changes proposed by Auckland Council and approve the chairman submitting a message of that support in the current public consultation.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1486

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Dear Auckland Council Representation Review,

I am writing on behalf of the Kaukapakapa community to express our concerns regarding the proposed boundary adjustments that would divide our community. Currently, Kaukapakapa is represented within the Kumeu Subdivision. However, the proposal suggests splitting our community, with one part being represented by 'South Rural' and the other by 'North Rural'. While we understand the necessity of adjusting boundaries to accommodate urban and future development, we believe this proposal warrants further consideration and consultation.

Lack of Notification and Consultation

We feel that the level of notification and consultation has been inadequate. Given the significant impact this proposal would have on our small, close-knit community, we expected more direct and thorough engagement. A simple Facebook notification is not sufficient for a decision of this magnitude. It is crucial that the community's voices be heard and considered, especially when such a fundamental change is being proposed.

Research on Proposed Boundary Adjustments

Kaukapakapa is a rural community with the school at its heart. The proposed boundary adjustment cuts through our community, disregarding the shared interests and needs of both sides of the river. It is essential that both parts of Kaukapakapa are represented by the same representatives, as our families have common concerns and aspirations. The current proposal seems divisive and fails to consider the community's cohesive nature.

Identity and Representation

It is difficult to understand how merging 'North Kaukapakapa' with 'North Rural' provides reasonable representation for our community. As residents, we identify more closely with Helensville and its surrounding areas. For essential services such as local council facilities, we travel to Helensville, which is a mere 10-minute drive, as opposed to the 40+ minutes it takes to reach Wellsford. Our daily lives revolve around Helensville's amenities, including the supermarket, library, medical facilities, schools, and small businesses. Therefore, it would be more appropriate for the entire Kaukapakapa community to join with 'South Rural' rather than being divided.

Future Considerations

Kaukapakapa is experiencing population growth, primarily from the Hibiscus Coast and Auckland central region. While we cherish the semi-rural nature of our area, it is important to note that most residents commute to urban areas for work, as viable farms are limited. Being represented by 'North Rural', a large, under-resourced, and distant community board, may not align with our community's values and development aspirations. We believe that such representation would be disadvantageous for our future growth and cultural expectations.

In conclusion, Kaukapakapa is a unique and vibrant community that should remain whole and united. If it is necessary to separate from the Kumeu Subdivision, we strongly believe that aligning with 'South Rural' would better reflect our community's identity and needs.

Attached are 28 further submissions from our community that also share the same views.

Thank you for considering our concerns. We hope for a constructive dialogue to ensure the best possible representation for Kaukapakapa.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

1486

To Auckland Council Representation Review,

I am writing as part of the Kaukapakapa community to express our concerns regarding the proposed boundary adjustments that would result in the division of our community. Currently, Kaukapakapa is represented within the Kumeu Subdivision. However, the proposal suggests splitting our community, with one part being represented by 'South Rural' and the other by 'North Rural.' While we understand the necessity of adjusting boundaries to accommodate urban and future development, we believe that this proposal warrants further consideration and consultation.

1. Lack of Notification and Consultation
We feel that the level of notification and consultation has been inadequate. Given the significant impact this proposal would have on our small, close-knit community, we expected more direct and thorough engagement. A simple Facebook notification is not sufficient for a decision of this magnitude. It is imperative that the community's voices be heard and considered, especially when such a fundamental change is being proposed.

2. Research on Proposed Boundary Adjustments
Kaukapakapa is a rural community with the school at its heart. The proposed boundary adjustment cuts through our community, disregarding the shared interests and needs of both sides of the river. It is crucial that both parts of Kaukapakapa are represented by the same representatives, as our families have common concerns and aspirations. The current proposal seems divisive and fails to take into account the community's cohesive nature.

3. Identity and Representation
It is challenging to understand how merging 'North Kaukapakapa' with 'North Rural' provides reasonable representation for our community. As residents, we identify more closely with Helensville and its surrounding areas. For essential services such as local council facilities, we travel to Helensville, which is a mere 10-minute drive, as opposed to the 40+ minutes it takes to reach Wellsford. Our daily lives revolve around Helensville's amenities, including the supermarket, library, medical facilities, schools, and small businesses. Therefore, it would be more appropriate for the entire Kaukapakapa community to join with 'South Rural' rather than being divided.

4. Future Considerations
Kaukapakapa is experiencing population growth, primarily from the Hibiscus Coast and Auckland central region. While we cherish the semi-rural nature of our area, it is important to note that most residents commute to urban areas for work, as viable farms are limited. Being represented by 'North Rural,' a large, under-resourced, and distant community board, may not align with our community's values and development aspirations. We believe that such representation would be disadvantageous for our future growth and cultural expectations.

In conclusion, Kaukapakapa is a unique and vibrant community that should remain whole and united. If it is necessary to separate from the Kumeu Subdivision, we strongly believe that aligning with 'South Rural' would better reflect our community's identity and needs.

Thank you for considering our concerns. We hope for a constructive dialogue to ensure the best possible representation for Kaukapakapa.

Sincerely,

Name:
Email / postal address:
Local board or suburb:

#1490

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable): Warkworth Area Liason Group (WALG)

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

See attached

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

1490

8 August 2024

Auckland Council Representation Review
representationreview@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz

Rodney Local Board Area subdivision changes

Dear Auckland Council.

The Warkworth Area Liaison Group (WALG) meets monthly to report, discuss and share views on developments and activities in the Warkworth District (which includes the Mahurangi area). The public and representatives of local ratepayers and residents groups throughout the District are invited to attend and meetings are regularly attended by representatives of District ratepayer groups, the Rodney Local Board, the One Mahurangi Business Association, and our Councillor Greg Sayers. Warkworth town does not have a Ratepayers and Residents group and the WALG provides a forum to share current information with the town's residents. Our meetings and activities of participants are reported on by the Local Mahurangi Matters newspaper.

The WALG has been regularly briefed on the proposals for change to the Rodney subdivisions and boundaries and at its meeting last night passed a resolution to support the proposals and approve my submitting this notice of our support, on behalf of the group.

Yours faithfully

Peter Sinton
[redacted]

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Support

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1501

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Support

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1502

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Support

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1503

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Support

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1504

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Support

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1505

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Support

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1506

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Support

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1507

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Support

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1508

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Support

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#2102

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Lack of Notification and Consultation

We feel that the level of notification and consultation has been inadequate. Given the significant impact this proposal would have on our small, close-knit community, we expected more direct and thorough engagement. A simple Facebook notification is not sufficient for a decision of this magnitude. It is crucial that the community's voices be heard and considered, especially when such a fundamental change is being proposed.

Research on Proposed Boundary Adjustments

Kaukapakapa is a rural community with the school at its heart. The proposed boundary adjustment cuts through our community, disregarding the shared interests and needs of both sides of the river. It is essential that both parts of Kaukapakapa are represented by the same representatives, as our families have common concerns and aspirations. The current proposal seems divisive and fails to consider the community's cohesive nature.

Identity and Representation

It is difficult to understand how merging 'North Kaukapakapa' with 'North Rural' provides reasonable representation for our community. As residents, we identify more closely with Helensville and its surrounding areas. For essential services such as local council facilities, we travel to Helensville, which is a mere 10-minute drive, as opposed to the 40+ minutes it takes to reach Wellsford. Our daily lives revolve around Helensville's amenities, including the supermarket, library, medical facilities, schools, and small businesses. Therefore, it would be more appropriate for the entire Kaukapakapa community to join with 'South Rural' rather than being divided.

Future Considerations

Kaukapakapa is experiencing population growth, primarily from the Hibiscus Coast and Auckland central region. While we cherish the semi-rural nature of our area, it is important to note that most residents commute to urban areas for work, as viable farms are limited. Being represented by 'North Rural', a large, under-resourced, and distant community board, may not align with our community's values and development aspirations. We believe that such representation would be disadvantageous for our future growth and cultural expectations.

In conclusion, Kaukapakapa is a unique and vibrant community that should remain whole and united. If it is necessary to separate from the Kumeu Subdivision, we strongly believe that aligning with 'South Rural' would better reflect our community's identity and needs.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#2103

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Lack of Notification and Consultation

We feel that the level of notification and consultation has been inadequate. Given the significant impact this proposal would have on our small, close-knit community, we expected more direct and thorough engagement. A simple Facebook notification is not sufficient for a decision of this magnitude. It is crucial that the community's voices be heard and considered, especially when such a fundamental change is being proposed.

Research on Proposed Boundary Adjustments

Kaukapakapa is a rural community with the school at its heart. The proposed boundary adjustment cuts through our community, disregarding the shared interests and needs of both sides of the river. It is essential that both parts of Kaukapakapa are represented by the same representatives, as our families have common concerns and aspirations. The current proposal seems divisive and fails to consider the community's cohesive nature.

Identity and Representation

It is difficult to understand how merging 'North Kaukapakapa' with 'North Rural' provides reasonable representation for our community. As residents, we identify more closely with Helensville and its surrounding areas. For essential services such as local council facilities, we travel to Helensville, which is a mere 10-minute drive, as opposed to the 40+ minutes it takes to reach Wellsford. Our daily lives revolve around Helensville's amenities, including the supermarket, library, medical facilities, schools, and small businesses. Therefore, it would be more appropriate for the entire Kaukapakapa community to join with 'South Rural' rather than being divided.

Future Considerations

Kaukapakapa is experiencing population growth, primarily from the Hibiscus Coast and Auckland central region. While we cherish the semi-rural nature of our area, it is important to note that most residents commute to urban areas for work, as viable farms are limited. Being represented by 'North Rural', a large, under-resourced, and distant community board, may not align with our community's values and development aspirations. We believe that such representation would be disadvantageous for our future growth and cultural expectations.

In conclusion, Kaukapakapa is a unique and vibrant community that should remain whole and united. If it is necessary to separate from the Kumeu Subdivision, we strongly believe that aligning with 'South Rural' would better reflect our community's identity and needs.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#2104

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Lack of Notification and Consultation

We feel that the level of notification and consultation has been inadequate. Given the significant impact this proposal would have on our small, close-knit community, we expected more direct and thorough engagement. A simple Facebook notification is not sufficient for a decision of this magnitude. It is crucial that the community's voices be heard and considered, especially when such a fundamental change is being proposed.

Research on Proposed Boundary Adjustments

Kaukapakapa is a rural community with the school at its heart. The proposed boundary adjustment cuts through our community, disregarding the shared interests and needs of both sides of the river. It is essential that both parts of Kaukapakapa are represented by the same representatives, as our families have common concerns and aspirations. The current proposal seems divisive and fails to consider the community's cohesive nature.

Identity and Representation

It is difficult to understand how merging 'North Kaukapakapa' with 'North Rural' provides reasonable representation for our community. As residents, we identify more closely with Helensville and its surrounding areas. For essential services such as local council facilities, we travel to Helensville, which is a mere 10-minute drive, as opposed to the 40+ minutes it takes to reach Wellsford. Our daily lives revolve around Helensville's amenities, including the supermarket, library, medical facilities, schools, and small businesses. Therefore, it would be more appropriate for the entire Kaukapakapa community to join with 'South Rural' rather than being divided.

Future Considerations

Kaukapakapa is experiencing population growth, primarily from the Hibiscus Coast and Auckland central region. While we cherish the semi-rural nature of our area, it is important to note that most residents commute to urban areas for work, as viable farms are limited. Being represented by 'North Rural', a large, under-resourced, and distant community board, may not align with our community's values and development aspirations. We believe that such representation would be disadvantageous for our future growth and cultural expectations.

In conclusion, Kaukapakapa is a unique and vibrant community that should remain whole and united. If it is necessary to separate from the Kumeu Subdivision, we strongly believe that aligning with 'South Rural' would better reflect our community's identity and needs.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#2105

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Lack of Notification and Consultation

We feel that the level of notification and consultation has been inadequate. Given the significant impact this proposal would have on our small, close-knit community, we expected more direct and thorough engagement. A simple Facebook notification is not sufficient for a decision of this magnitude. It is crucial that the community's voices be heard and considered, especially when such a fundamental change is being proposed.

Research on Proposed Boundary Adjustments

Kaukapakapa is a rural community with the school at its heart. The proposed boundary adjustment cuts through our community, disregarding the shared interests and needs of both sides of the river. It is essential that both parts of Kaukapakapa are represented by the same representatives, as our families have common concerns and aspirations. The current proposal seems divisive and fails to consider the community's cohesive nature.

Identity and Representation

It is difficult to understand how merging 'North Kaukapakapa' with 'North Rural' provides reasonable representation for our community. As residents, we identify more closely with Helensville and its surrounding areas. For essential services such as local council facilities, we travel to Helensville, which is a mere 10-minute drive, as opposed to the 40+ minutes it takes to reach Wellsford. Our daily lives revolve around Helensville's amenities, including the supermarket, library, medical facilities, schools, and small businesses. Therefore, it would be more appropriate for the entire Kaukapakapa community to join with 'South Rural' rather than being divided.

Future Considerations

Kaukapakapa is experiencing population growth, primarily from the Hibiscus Coast and Auckland central region. While we cherish the semi-rural nature of our area, it is important to note that most residents commute to urban areas for work, as viable farms are limited. Being represented by 'North Rural', a large, under-resourced, and distant community board, may not align with our community's values and development aspirations. We believe that such representation would be disadvantageous for our future growth and cultural expectations.

In conclusion, Kaukapakapa is a unique and vibrant community that should remain whole and united. If it is necessary to separate from the Kumeu Subdivision, we strongly believe that aligning with 'South Rural' would better reflect our community's identity and needs.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Lack of Notification and Consultation

We feel that the level of notification and consultation has been inadequate. Given the significant impact this proposal would have on our small, close-knit community, we expected more direct and thorough engagement. A simple Facebook notification is not sufficient for a decision of this magnitude. It is crucial that the community's voices be heard and considered, especially when such a fundamental change is being proposed.

Research on Proposed Boundary Adjustments

Kaukapakapa is a rural community with the school at its heart. The proposed boundary adjustment cuts through our community, disregarding the shared interests and needs of both sides of the river. It is essential that both parts of Kaukapakapa are represented by the same representatives, as our families have common concerns and aspirations. The current proposal seems divisive and fails to consider the community's cohesive nature.

Identity and Representation

It is difficult to understand how merging 'North Kaukapakapa' with 'North Rural' provides reasonable representation for our community. As residents, we identify more closely with Helensville and its surrounding areas. For essential services such as local council facilities, we travel to Helensville, which is a mere 10-minute drive, as opposed to the 40+ minutes it takes to reach Wellsford. Our daily lives revolve around Helensville's amenities, including the supermarket, library, medical facilities, schools, and small businesses. Therefore, it would be more appropriate for the entire Kaukapakapa community to join with 'South Rural' rather than being divided.

Future Considerations

Kaukapakapa is experiencing population growth, primarily from the Hibiscus Coast and Auckland central region. While we cherish the semi-rural nature of our area, it is important to note that most residents commute to urban areas for work, as viable farms are limited. Being represented by 'North Rural', a large, under-resourced, and distant community board, may not align with our community's values and development aspirations. We believe that such representation would be disadvantageous for our future growth and cultural expectations.

In conclusion, Kaukapakapa is a unique and vibrant community that should remain whole and united. If it is necessary to separate from the Kumeu Subdivision, we strongly believe that aligning with 'South Rural' would better reflect our community's identity and needs.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Lack of Notification and Consultation

We feel that the level of notification and consultation has been inadequate. Given the significant impact this proposal would have on our small, close-knit community, we expected more direct and thorough engagement. A simple Facebook notification is not sufficient for a decision of this magnitude. It is crucial that the community's voices be heard and considered, especially when such a fundamental change is being proposed.

Research on Proposed Boundary Adjustments

Kaukapakapa is a rural community with the school at its heart. The proposed boundary adjustment cuts through our community, disregarding the shared interests and needs of both sides of the river. It is essential that both parts of Kaukapakapa are represented by the same representatives, as our families have common concerns and aspirations. The current proposal seems divisive and fails to consider the community's cohesive nature.

Identity and Representation

It is difficult to understand how merging 'North Kaukapakapa' with 'North Rural' provides reasonable representation for our community. As residents, we identify more closely with Helensville and its surrounding areas. For essential services such as local council facilities, we travel to Helensville, which is a mere 10-minute drive, as opposed to the 40+ minutes it takes to reach Wellsford. Our daily lives revolve around Helensville's amenities, including the supermarket, library, medical facilities, schools, and small businesses. Therefore, it would be more appropriate for the entire Kaukapakapa community to join with 'South Rural' rather than being divided.

Future Considerations

Kaukapakapa is experiencing population growth, primarily from the Hibiscus Coast and Auckland central region. While we cherish the semi-rural nature of our area, it is important to note that most residents commute to urban areas for work, as viable farms are limited. Being represented by 'North Rural', a large, under-resourced, and distant community board, may not align with our community's values and development aspirations. We believe that such representation would be disadvantageous for our future growth and cultural expectations.

In conclusion, Kaukapakapa is a unique and vibrant community that should remain whole and united. If it is necessary to separate from the Kumeu Subdivision, we strongly believe that aligning with 'South Rural' would better reflect our community's identity and needs.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#2108

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Lack of Notification and Consultation

We feel that the level of notification and consultation has been inadequate. Given the significant impact this proposal would have on our small, close-knit community, we expected more direct and thorough engagement. A simple Facebook notification is not sufficient for a decision of this magnitude. It is crucial that the community's voices be heard and considered, especially when such a fundamental change is being proposed.

Research on Proposed Boundary Adjustments

Kaukapakapa is a rural community with the school at its heart. The proposed boundary adjustment cuts through our community, disregarding the shared interests and needs of both sides of the river. It is essential that both parts of Kaukapakapa are represented by the same representatives, as our families have common concerns and aspirations. The current proposal seems divisive and fails to consider the community's cohesive nature.

Identity and Representation

It is difficult to understand how merging 'North Kaukapakapa' with 'North Rural' provides reasonable representation for our community. As residents, we identify more closely with Helensville and its surrounding areas. For essential services such as local council facilities, we travel to Helensville, which is a mere 10-minute drive, as opposed to the 40+ minutes it takes to reach Wellsford. Our daily lives revolve around Helensville's amenities, including the supermarket, library, medical facilities, schools, and small businesses. Therefore, it would be more appropriate for the entire Kaukapakapa community to join with 'South Rural' rather than being divided.

Future Considerations

Kaukapakapa is experiencing population growth, primarily from the Hibiscus Coast and Auckland central region. While we cherish the semi-rural nature of our area, it is important to note that most residents commute to urban areas for work, as viable farms are limited. Being represented by 'North Rural', a large, under-resourced, and distant community board, may not align with our community's values and development aspirations. We believe that such representation would be disadvantageous for our future growth and cultural expectations.

In conclusion, Kaukapakapa is a unique and vibrant community that should remain whole and united. If it is necessary to separate from the Kumeu Subdivision, we strongly believe that aligning with 'South Rural' would better reflect our community's identity and needs.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#2109

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Lack of Notification and Consultation

We feel that the level of notification and consultation has been inadequate. Given the significant impact this proposal would have on our small, close-knit community, we expected more direct and thorough engagement. A simple Facebook notification is not sufficient for a decision of this magnitude. It is crucial that the community's voices be heard and considered, especially when such a fundamental change is being proposed.

Research on Proposed Boundary Adjustments

Kaukapakapa is a rural community with the school at its heart. The proposed boundary adjustment cuts through our community, disregarding the shared interests and needs of both sides of the river. It is essential that both parts of Kaukapakapa are represented by the same representatives, as our families have common concerns and aspirations. The current proposal seems divisive and fails to consider the community's cohesive nature.

Identity and Representation

It is difficult to understand how merging 'North Kaukapakapa' with 'North Rural' provides reasonable representation for our community. As residents, we identify more closely with Helensville and its surrounding areas. For essential services such as local council facilities, we travel to Helensville, which is a mere 10-minute drive, as opposed to the 40+ minutes it takes to reach Wellsford. Our daily lives revolve around Helensville's amenities, including the supermarket, library, medical facilities, schools, and small businesses. Therefore, it would be more appropriate for the entire Kaukapakapa community to join with 'South Rural' rather than being divided.

Future Considerations

Kaukapakapa is experiencing population growth, primarily from the Hibiscus Coast and Auckland central region. While we cherish the semi-rural nature of our area, it is important to note that most residents commute to urban areas for work, as viable farms are limited. Being represented by 'North Rural', a large, under-resourced, and distant community board, may not align with our community's values and development aspirations. We believe that such representation would be disadvantageous for our future growth and cultural expectations.

In conclusion, Kaukapakapa is a unique and vibrant community that should remain whole and united. If it is necessary to separate from the Kumeu Subdivision, we strongly believe that aligning with 'South Rural' would better reflect our community's identity and needs.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Lack of Notification and Consultation

We feel that the level of notification and consultation has been inadequate. Given the significant impact this proposal would have on our small, close-knit community, we expected more direct and thorough engagement. A simple Facebook notification is not sufficient for a decision of this magnitude. It is crucial that the community's voices be heard and considered, especially when such a fundamental change is being proposed.

Research on Proposed Boundary Adjustments

Kaukapakapa is a rural community with the school at its heart. The proposed boundary adjustment cuts through our community, disregarding the shared interests and needs of both sides of the river. It is essential that both parts of Kaukapakapa are represented by the same representatives, as our families have common concerns and aspirations. The current proposal seems divisive and fails to consider the community's cohesive nature.

Identity and Representation

It is difficult to understand how merging 'North Kaukapakapa' with 'North Rural' provides reasonable representation for our community. As residents, we identify more closely with Helensville and its surrounding areas. For essential services such as local council facilities, we travel to Helensville, which is a mere 10-minute drive, as opposed to the 40+ minutes it takes to reach Wellsford. Our daily lives revolve around Helensville's amenities, including the supermarket, library, medical facilities, schools, and small businesses. Therefore, it would be more appropriate for the entire Kaukapakapa community to join with 'South Rural' rather than being divided.

Future Considerations

Kaukapakapa is experiencing population growth, primarily from the Hibiscus Coast and Auckland central region. While we cherish the semi-rural nature of our area, it is important to note that most residents commute to urban areas for work, as viable farms are limited. Being represented by 'North Rural', a large, under-resourced, and distant community board, may not align with our community's values and development aspirations. We believe that such representation would be disadvantageous for our future growth and cultural expectations.

In conclusion, Kaukapakapa is a unique and vibrant community that should remain whole and united. If it is necessary to separate from the Kumeu Subdivision, we strongly believe that aligning with 'South Rural' would better reflect our community's identity and needs.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Lack of Notification and Consultation

We feel that the level of notification and consultation has been inadequate. Given the significant impact this proposal would have on our small, close-knit community, we expected more direct and thorough engagement. A simple Facebook notification is not sufficient for a decision of this magnitude. It is crucial that the community's voices be heard and considered, especially when such a fundamental change is being proposed.

Research on Proposed Boundary Adjustments

Kaukapakapa is a rural community with the school at its heart. The proposed boundary adjustment cuts through our community, disregarding the shared interests and needs of both sides of the river. It is essential that both parts of Kaukapakapa are represented by the same representatives, as our families have common concerns and aspirations. The current proposal seems divisive and fails to consider the community's cohesive nature.

Identity and Representation

It is difficult to understand how merging 'North Kaukapakapa' with 'North Rural' provides reasonable representation for our community. As residents, we identify more closely with Helensville and its surrounding areas. For essential services such as local council facilities, we travel to Helensville, which is a mere 10-minute drive, as opposed to the 40+ minutes it takes to reach Wellsford. Our daily lives revolve around Helensville's amenities, including the supermarket, library, medical facilities, schools, and small businesses. Therefore, it would be more appropriate for the entire Kaukapakapa community to join with 'South Rural' rather than being divided.

Future Considerations

Kaukapakapa is experiencing population growth, primarily from the Hibiscus Coast and Auckland central region. While we cherish the semi-rural nature of our area, it is important to note that most residents commute to urban areas for work, as viable farms are limited. Being represented by 'North Rural', a large, under-resourced, and distant community board, may not align with our community's values and development aspirations. We believe that such representation would be disadvantageous for our future growth and cultural expectations.

In conclusion, Kaukapakapa is a unique and vibrant community that should remain whole and united. If it is necessary to separate from the Kumeu Subdivision, we strongly believe that aligning with 'South Rural' would better reflect our community's identity and needs.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#2112

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Lack of Notification and Consultation

We feel that the level of notification and consultation has been inadequate. Given the significant impact this proposal would have on our small, close-knit community, we expected more direct and thorough engagement. A simple Facebook notification is not sufficient for a decision of this magnitude. It is crucial that the community's voices be heard and considered, especially when such a fundamental change is being proposed.

Research on Proposed Boundary Adjustments

Kaukapakapa is a rural community with the school at its heart. The proposed boundary adjustment cuts through our community, disregarding the shared interests and needs of both sides of the river. It is essential that both parts of Kaukapakapa are represented by the same representatives, as our families have common concerns and aspirations. The current proposal seems divisive and fails to consider the community's cohesive nature.

Identity and Representation

It is difficult to understand how merging 'North Kaukapakapa' with 'North Rural' provides reasonable representation for our community. As residents, we identify more closely with Helensville and its surrounding areas. For essential services such as local council facilities, we travel to Helensville, which is a mere 10-minute drive, as opposed to the 40+ minutes it takes to reach Wellsford. Our daily lives revolve around Helensville's amenities, including the supermarket, library, medical facilities, schools, and small businesses. Therefore, it would be more appropriate for the entire Kaukapakapa community to join with 'South Rural' rather than being divided.

Future Considerations

Kaukapakapa is experiencing population growth, primarily from the Hibiscus Coast and Auckland central region. While we cherish the semi-rural nature of our area, it is important to note that most residents commute to urban areas for work, as viable farms are limited. Being represented by 'North Rural', a large, under-resourced, and distant community board, may not align with our community's values and development aspirations. We believe that such representation would be disadvantageous for our future growth and cultural expectations.

In conclusion, Kaukapakapa is a unique and vibrant community that should remain whole and united. If it is necessary to separate from the Kumeu Subdivision, we strongly believe that aligning with 'South Rural' would better reflect our community's identity and needs.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#2113

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Lack of Notification and Consultation

We feel that the level of notification and consultation has been inadequate. Given the significant impact this proposal would have on our small, close-knit community, we expected more direct and thorough engagement. A simple Facebook notification is not sufficient for a decision of this magnitude. It is crucial that the community's voices be heard and considered, especially when such a fundamental change is being proposed.

Research on Proposed Boundary Adjustments

Kaukapakapa is a rural community with the school at its heart. The proposed boundary adjustment cuts through our community, disregarding the shared interests and needs of both sides of the river. It is essential that both parts of Kaukapakapa are represented by the same representatives, as our families have common concerns and aspirations. The current proposal seems divisive and fails to consider the community's cohesive nature.

Identity and Representation

It is difficult to understand how merging 'North Kaukapakapa' with 'North Rural' provides reasonable representation for our community. As residents, we identify more closely with Helensville and its surrounding areas. For essential services such as local council facilities, we travel to Helensville, which is a mere 10-minute drive, as opposed to the 40+ minutes it takes to reach Wellsford. Our daily lives revolve around Helensville's amenities, including the supermarket, library, medical facilities, schools, and small businesses. Therefore, it would be more appropriate for the entire Kaukapakapa community to join with 'South Rural' rather than being divided.

Future Considerations

Kaukapakapa is experiencing population growth, primarily from the Hibiscus Coast and Auckland central region. While we cherish the semi-rural nature of our area, it is important to note that most residents commute to urban areas for work, as viable farms are limited. Being represented by 'North Rural', a large, under-resourced, and distant community board, may not align with our community's values and development aspirations. We believe that such representation would be disadvantageous for our future growth and cultural expectations.

In conclusion, Kaukapakapa is a unique and vibrant community that should remain whole and united. If it is necessary to separate from the Kumeu Subdivision, we strongly believe that aligning with 'South Rural' would better reflect our community's identity and needs.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#2114

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Lack of Notification and Consultation

We feel that the level of notification and consultation has been inadequate. Given the significant impact this proposal would have on our small, close-knit community, we expected more direct and thorough engagement. A simple Facebook notification is not sufficient for a decision of this magnitude. It is crucial that the community's voices be heard and considered, especially when such a fundamental change is being proposed.

Research on Proposed Boundary Adjustments

Kaukapakapa is a rural community with the school at its heart. The proposed boundary adjustment cuts through our community, disregarding the shared interests and needs of both sides of the river. It is essential that both parts of Kaukapakapa are represented by the same representatives, as our families have common concerns and aspirations. The current proposal seems divisive and fails to consider the community's cohesive nature.

Identity and Representation

It is difficult to understand how merging 'North Kaukapakapa' with 'North Rural' provides reasonable representation for our community. As residents, we identify more closely with Helensville and its surrounding areas. For essential services such as local council facilities, we travel to Helensville, which is a mere 10-minute drive, as opposed to the 40+ minutes it takes to reach Wellsford. Our daily lives revolve around Helensville's amenities, including the supermarket, library, medical facilities, schools, and small businesses. Therefore, it would be more appropriate for the entire Kaukapakapa community to join with 'South Rural' rather than being divided.

Future Considerations

Kaukapakapa is experiencing population growth, primarily from the Hibiscus Coast and Auckland central region. While we cherish the semi-rural nature of our area, it is important to note that most residents commute to urban areas for work, as viable farms are limited. Being represented by 'North Rural', a large, under-resourced, and distant community board, may not align with our community's values and development aspirations. We believe that such representation would be disadvantageous for our future growth and cultural expectations.

In conclusion, Kaukapakapa is a unique and vibrant community that should remain whole and united. If it is necessary to separate from the Kumeu Subdivision, we strongly believe that aligning with 'South Rural' would better reflect our community's identity and needs.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#2115

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Lack of Notification and Consultation

We feel that the level of notification and consultation has been inadequate. Given the significant impact this proposal would have on our small, close-knit community, we expected more direct and thorough engagement. A simple Facebook notification is not sufficient for a decision of this magnitude. It is crucial that the community's voices be heard and considered, especially when such a fundamental change is being proposed.

Research on Proposed Boundary Adjustments

Kaukapakapa is a rural community with the school at its heart. The proposed boundary adjustment cuts through our community, disregarding the shared interests and needs of both sides of the river. It is essential that both parts of Kaukapakapa are represented by the same representatives, as our families have common concerns and aspirations. The current proposal seems divisive and fails to consider the community's cohesive nature.

Identity and Representation

It is difficult to understand how merging 'North Kaukapakapa' with 'North Rural' provides reasonable representation for our community. As residents, we identify more closely with Helensville and its surrounding areas. For essential services such as local council facilities, we travel to Helensville, which is a mere 10-minute drive, as opposed to the 40+ minutes it takes to reach Wellsford. Our daily lives revolve around Helensville's amenities, including the supermarket, library, medical facilities, schools, and small businesses. Therefore, it would be more appropriate for the entire Kaukapakapa community to join with 'South Rural' rather than being divided.

Future Considerations

Kaukapakapa is experiencing population growth, primarily from the Hibiscus Coast and Auckland central region. While we cherish the semi-rural nature of our area, it is important to note that most residents commute to urban areas for work, as viable farms are limited. Being represented by 'North Rural', a large, under-resourced, and distant community board, may not align with our community's values and development aspirations. We believe that such representation would be disadvantageous for our future growth and cultural expectations.

In conclusion, Kaukapakapa is a unique and vibrant community that should remain whole and united. If it is necessary to separate from the Kumeu Subdivision, we strongly believe that aligning with 'South Rural' would better reflect our community's identity and needs.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#2116

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Lack of Notification and Consultation

We feel that the level of notification and consultation has been inadequate. Given the significant impact this proposal would have on our small, close-knit community, we expected more direct and thorough engagement. A simple Facebook notification is not sufficient for a decision of this magnitude. It is crucial that the community's voices be heard and considered, especially when such a fundamental change is being proposed.

Research on Proposed Boundary Adjustments

Kaukapakapa is a rural community with the school at its heart. The proposed boundary adjustment cuts through our community, disregarding the shared interests and needs of both sides of the river. It is essential that both parts of Kaukapakapa are represented by the same representatives, as our families have common concerns and aspirations. The current proposal seems divisive and fails to consider the community's cohesive nature.

Identity and Representation

It is difficult to understand how merging 'North Kaukapakapa' with 'North Rural' provides reasonable representation for our community. As residents, we identify more closely with Helensville and its surrounding areas. For essential services such as local council facilities, we travel to Helensville, which is a mere 10-minute drive, as opposed to the 40+ minutes it takes to reach Wellsford. Our daily lives revolve around Helensville's amenities, including the supermarket, library, medical facilities, schools, and small businesses. Therefore, it would be more appropriate for the entire Kaukapakapa community to join with 'South Rural' rather than being divided.

Future Considerations

Kaukapakapa is experiencing population growth, primarily from the Hibiscus Coast and Auckland central region. While we cherish the semi-rural nature of our area, it is important to note that most residents commute to urban areas for work, as viable farms are limited. Being represented by 'North Rural', a large, under-resourced, and distant community board, may not align with our community's values and development aspirations. We believe that such representation would be disadvantageous for our future growth and cultural expectations.

In conclusion, Kaukapakapa is a unique and vibrant community that should remain whole and united. If it is necessary to separate from the Kumeu Subdivision, we strongly believe that aligning with 'South Rural' would better reflect our community's identity and needs.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Lack of Notification and Consultation

We feel that the level of notification and consultation has been inadequate. Given the significant impact this proposal would have on our small, close-knit community, we expected more direct and thorough engagement. A simple Facebook notification is not sufficient for a decision of this magnitude. It is crucial that the community's voices be heard and considered, especially when such a fundamental change is being proposed.

Research on Proposed Boundary Adjustments

Kaukapakapa is a rural community with the school at its heart. The proposed boundary adjustment cuts through our community, disregarding the shared interests and needs of both sides of the river. It is essential that both parts of Kaukapakapa are represented by the same representatives, as our families have common concerns and aspirations. The current proposal seems divisive and fails to consider the community's cohesive nature.

Identity and Representation

It is difficult to understand how merging 'North Kaukapakapa' with 'North Rural' provides reasonable representation for our community. As residents, we identify more closely with Helensville and its surrounding areas. For essential services such as local council facilities, we travel to Helensville, which is a mere 10-minute drive, as opposed to the 40+ minutes it takes to reach Wellsford. Our daily lives revolve around Helensville's amenities, including the supermarket, library, medical facilities, schools, and small businesses. Therefore, it would be more appropriate for the entire Kaukapakapa community to join with 'South Rural' rather than being divided.

Future Considerations

Kaukapakapa is experiencing population growth, primarily from the Hibiscus Coast and Auckland central region. While we cherish the semi-rural nature of our area, it is important to note that most residents commute to urban areas for work, as viable farms are limited. Being represented by 'North Rural', a large, under-resourced, and distant community board, may not align with our community's values and development aspirations. We believe that such representation would be disadvantageous for our future growth and cultural expectations.

In conclusion, Kaukapakapa is a unique and vibrant community that should remain whole and united. If it is necessary to separate from the Kumeu Subdivision, we strongly believe that aligning with 'South Rural' would better reflect our community's identity and needs.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#2118

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable): Kaukapakapa Sports Bowls Club

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Lack of Notification and Consultation

We feel that the level of notification and consultation has been inadequate. Given the significant impact this proposal would have on our small, close-knit community, we expected more direct and thorough engagement. A simple Facebook notification is not sufficient for a decision of this magnitude. It is crucial that the community's voices be heard and considered, especially when such a fundamental change is being proposed.

Research on Proposed Boundary Adjustments

Kaukapakapa is a rural community with the school at its heart. The proposed boundary adjustment cuts through our community, disregarding the shared interests and needs of both sides of the river. It is essential that both parts of Kaukapakapa are represented by the same representatives, as our families have common concerns and aspirations. The current proposal seems divisive and fails to consider the community's cohesive nature.

Identity and Representation

It is difficult to understand how merging 'North Kaukapakapa' with 'North Rural' provides reasonable representation for our community. As residents, we identify more closely with Helensville and its surrounding areas. For essential services such as local council facilities, we travel to Helensville, which is a mere 10-minute drive, as opposed to the 40+ minutes it takes to reach Wellsford. Our daily lives revolve around Helensville's amenities, including the supermarket, library, medical facilities, schools, and small businesses. Therefore, it would be more appropriate for the entire Kaukapakapa community to join with 'South Rural' rather than being divided.

Future Considerations

Kaukapakapa is experiencing population growth, primarily from the Hibiscus Coast and Auckland central region. While we cherish the semi-rural nature of our area, it is important to note that most residents commute to urban areas for work, as viable farms are limited. Being represented by 'North Rural', a large, under-resourced, and distant community board, may not align with our community's values and development aspirations. We believe that such representation would be disadvantageous for our future growth and cultural expectations.

In conclusion, Kaukapakapa is a unique and vibrant community that should remain whole and united. If it is necessary to separate from the Kumeu Subdivision, we strongly believe that aligning with 'South Rural' would better reflect our community's identity and needs.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#2119

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Lack of Notification and Consultation

We feel that the level of notification and consultation has been inadequate. Given the significant impact this proposal would have on our small, close-knit community, we expected more direct and thorough engagement. A simple Facebook notification is not sufficient for a decision of this magnitude. It is crucial that the community's voices be heard and considered, especially when such a fundamental change is being proposed.

Research on Proposed Boundary Adjustments

Kaukapakapa is a rural community with the school at its heart. The proposed boundary adjustment cuts through our community, disregarding the shared interests and needs of both sides of the river. It is essential that both parts of Kaukapakapa are represented by the same representatives, as our families have common concerns and aspirations. The current proposal seems divisive and fails to consider the community's cohesive nature.

Identity and Representation

It is difficult to understand how merging 'North Kaukapakapa' with 'North Rural' provides reasonable representation for our community. As residents, we identify more closely with Helensville and its surrounding areas. For essential services such as local council facilities, we travel to Helensville, which is a mere 10-minute drive, as opposed to the 40+ minutes it takes to reach Wellsford. Our daily lives revolve around Helensville's amenities, including the supermarket, library, medical facilities, schools, and small businesses. Therefore, it would be more appropriate for the entire Kaukapakapa community to join with 'South Rural' rather than being divided.

Future Considerations

Kaukapakapa is experiencing population growth, primarily from the Hibiscus Coast and Auckland central region. While we cherish the semi-rural nature of our area, it is important to note that most residents commute to urban areas for work, as viable farms are limited. Being represented by 'North Rural', a large, under-resourced, and distant community board, may not align with our community's values and development aspirations. We believe that such representation would be disadvantageous for our future growth and cultural expectations.

In conclusion, Kaukapakapa is a unique and vibrant community that should remain whole and united. If it is necessary to separate from the Kumeu Subdivision, we strongly believe that aligning with 'South Rural' would better reflect our community's identity and needs.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Lack of Notification and Consultation

We feel that the level of notification and consultation has been inadequate. Given the significant impact this proposal would have on our small, close-knit community, we expected more direct and thorough engagement. A simple Facebook notification is not sufficient for a decision of this magnitude. It is crucial that the community's voices be heard and considered, especially when such a fundamental change is being proposed.

Research on Proposed Boundary Adjustments

Kaukapakapa is a rural community with the school at its heart. The proposed boundary adjustment cuts through our community, disregarding the shared interests and needs of both sides of the river. It is essential that both parts of Kaukapakapa are represented by the same representatives, as our families have common concerns and aspirations. The current proposal seems divisive and fails to consider the community's cohesive nature.

Identity and Representation

It is difficult to understand how merging 'North Kaukapakapa' with 'North Rural' provides reasonable representation for our community. As residents, we identify more closely with Helensville and its surrounding areas. For essential services such as local council facilities, we travel to Helensville, which is a mere 10-minute drive, as opposed to the 40+ minutes it takes to reach Wellsford. Our daily lives revolve around Helensville's amenities, including the supermarket, library, medical facilities, schools, and small businesses. Therefore, it would be more appropriate for the entire Kaukapakapa community to join with 'South Rural' rather than being divided.

Future Considerations

Kaukapakapa is experiencing population growth, primarily from the Hibiscus Coast and Auckland central region. While we cherish the semi-rural nature of our area, it is important to note that most residents commute to urban areas for work, as viable farms are limited. Being represented by 'North Rural', a large, under-resourced, and distant community board, may not align with our community's values and development aspirations. We believe that such representation would be disadvantageous for our future growth and cultural expectations.

In conclusion, Kaukapakapa is a unique and vibrant community that should remain whole and united. If it is necessary to separate from the Kumeu Subdivision, we strongly believe that aligning with 'South Rural' would better reflect our community's identity and needs.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Lack of Notification and Consultation

We feel that the level of notification and consultation has been inadequate. Given the significant impact this proposal would have on our small, close-knit community, we expected more direct and thorough engagement. A simple Facebook notification is not sufficient for a decision of this magnitude. It is crucial that the community's voices be heard and considered, especially when such a fundamental change is being proposed.

Research on Proposed Boundary Adjustments

Kaukapakapa is a rural community with the school at its heart. The proposed boundary adjustment cuts through our community, disregarding the shared interests and needs of both sides of the river. It is essential that both parts of Kaukapakapa are represented by the same representatives, as our families have common concerns and aspirations. The current proposal seems divisive and fails to consider the community's cohesive nature.

Identity and Representation

It is difficult to understand how merging 'North Kaukapakapa' with 'North Rural' provides reasonable representation for our community. As residents, we identify more closely with Helensville and its surrounding areas. For essential services such as local council facilities, we travel to Helensville, which is a mere 10-minute drive, as opposed to the 40+ minutes it takes to reach Wellsford. Our daily lives revolve around Helensville's amenities, including the supermarket, library, medical facilities, schools, and small businesses. Therefore, it would be more appropriate for the entire Kaukapakapa community to join with 'South Rural' rather than being divided.

Future Considerations

Kaukapakapa is experiencing population growth, primarily from the Hibiscus Coast and Auckland central region. While we cherish the semi-rural nature of our area, it is important to note that most residents commute to urban areas for work, as viable farms are limited. Being represented by 'North Rural', a large, under-resourced, and distant community board, may not align with our community's values and development aspirations. We believe that such representation would be disadvantageous for our future growth and cultural expectations.

In conclusion, Kaukapakapa is a unique and vibrant community that should remain whole and united. If it is necessary to separate from the Kumeu Subdivision, we strongly believe that aligning with 'South Rural' would better reflect our community's identity and needs.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Lack of Notification and Consultation

We feel that the level of notification and consultation has been inadequate. Given the significant impact this proposal would have on our small, close-knit community, we expected more direct and thorough engagement. A simple Facebook notification is not sufficient for a decision of this magnitude. It is crucial that the community's voices be heard and considered, especially when such a fundamental change is being proposed.

Research on Proposed Boundary Adjustments

Kaukapakapa is a rural community with the school at its heart. The proposed boundary adjustment cuts through our community, disregarding the shared interests and needs of both sides of the river. It is essential that both parts of Kaukapakapa are represented by the same representatives, as our families have common concerns and aspirations. The current proposal seems divisive and fails to consider the community's cohesive nature.

Identity and Representation

It is difficult to understand how merging 'North Kaukapakapa' with 'North Rural' provides reasonable representation for our community. As residents, we identify more closely with Helensville and its surrounding areas. For essential services such as local council facilities, we travel to Helensville, which is a mere 10-minute drive, as opposed to the 40+ minutes it takes to reach Wellsford. Our daily lives revolve around Helensville's amenities, including the supermarket, library, medical facilities, schools, and small businesses. Therefore, it would be more appropriate for the entire Kaukapakapa community to join with 'South Rural' rather than being divided.

Future Considerations

Kaukapakapa is experiencing population growth, primarily from the Hibiscus Coast and Auckland central region. While we cherish the semi-rural nature of our area, it is important to note that most residents commute to urban areas for work, as viable farms are limited. Being represented by 'North Rural', a large, under-resourced, and distant community board, may not align with our community's values and development aspirations. We believe that such representation would be disadvantageous for our future growth and cultural expectations.

In conclusion, Kaukapakapa is a unique and vibrant community that should remain whole and united. If it is necessary to separate from the Kumeu Subdivision, we strongly believe that aligning with 'South Rural' would better reflect our community's identity and needs.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#2123

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Lack of Notification and Consultation

We feel that the level of notification and consultation has been inadequate. Given the significant impact this proposal would have on our small, close-knit community, we expected more direct and thorough engagement. A simple Facebook notification is not sufficient for a decision of this magnitude. It is crucial that the community's voices be heard and considered, especially when such a fundamental change is being proposed.

Research on Proposed Boundary Adjustments

Kaukapakapa is a rural community with the school at its heart. The proposed boundary adjustment cuts through our community, disregarding the shared interests and needs of both sides of the river. It is essential that both parts of Kaukapakapa are represented by the same representatives, as our families have common concerns and aspirations. The current proposal seems divisive and fails to consider the community's cohesive nature.

Identity and Representation

It is difficult to understand how merging 'North Kaukapakapa' with 'North Rural' provides reasonable representation for our community. As residents, we identify more closely with Helensville and its surrounding areas. For essential services such as local council facilities, we travel to Helensville, which is a mere 10-minute drive, as opposed to the 40+ minutes it takes to reach Wellsford. Our daily lives revolve around Helensville's amenities, including the supermarket, library, medical facilities, schools, and small businesses. Therefore, it would be more appropriate for the entire Kaukapakapa community to join with 'South Rural' rather than being divided.

Future Considerations

Kaukapakapa is experiencing population growth, primarily from the Hibiscus Coast and Auckland central region. While we cherish the semi-rural nature of our area, it is important to note that most residents commute to urban areas for work, as viable farms are limited. Being represented by 'North Rural', a large, under-resourced, and distant community board, may not align with our community's values and development aspirations. We believe that such representation would be disadvantageous for our future growth and cultural expectations.

In conclusion, Kaukapakapa is a unique and vibrant community that should remain whole and united. If it is necessary to separate from the Kumeu Subdivision, we strongly believe that aligning with 'South Rural' would better reflect our community's identity and needs.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#2124

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Lack of Notification and Consultation

We feel that the level of notification and consultation has been inadequate. Given the significant impact this proposal would have on our small, close-knit community, we expected more direct and thorough engagement. A simple Facebook notification is not sufficient for a decision of this magnitude. It is crucial that the community's voices be heard and considered, especially when such a fundamental change is being proposed.

Research on Proposed Boundary Adjustments

Kaukapakapa is a rural community with the school at its heart. The proposed boundary adjustment cuts through our community, disregarding the shared interests and needs of both sides of the river. It is essential that both parts of Kaukapakapa are represented by the same representatives, as our families have common concerns and aspirations. The current proposal seems divisive and fails to consider the community's cohesive nature.

Identity and Representation

It is difficult to understand how merging 'North Kaukapakapa' with 'North Rural' provides reasonable representation for our community. As residents, we identify more closely with Helensville and its surrounding areas. For essential services such as local council facilities, we travel to Helensville, which is a mere 10-minute drive, as opposed to the 40+ minutes it takes to reach Wellsford. Our daily lives revolve around Helensville's amenities, including the supermarket, library, medical facilities, schools, and small businesses. Therefore, it would be more appropriate for the entire Kaukapakapa community to join with 'South Rural' rather than being divided.

Future Considerations

Kaukapakapa is experiencing population growth, primarily from the Hibiscus Coast and Auckland central region. While we cherish the semi-rural nature of our area, it is important to note that most residents commute to urban areas for work, as viable farms are limited. Being represented by 'North Rural', a large, under-resourced, and distant community board, may not align with our community's values and development aspirations. We believe that such representation would be disadvantageous for our future growth and cultural expectations.

In conclusion, Kaukapakapa is a unique and vibrant community that should remain whole and united. If it is necessary to separate from the Kumeu Subdivision, we strongly believe that aligning with 'South Rural' would better reflect our community's identity and needs.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Lack of Notification and Consultation

We feel that the level of notification and consultation has been inadequate. Given the significant impact this proposal would have on our small, close-knit community, we expected more direct and thorough engagement. A simple Facebook notification is not sufficient for a decision of this magnitude. It is crucial that the community's voices be heard and considered, especially when such a fundamental change is being proposed.

Research on Proposed Boundary Adjustments

Kaukapakapa is a rural community with the school at its heart. The proposed boundary adjustment cuts through our community, disregarding the shared interests and needs of both sides of the river. It is essential that both parts of Kaukapakapa are represented by the same representatives, as our families have common concerns and aspirations. The current proposal seems divisive and fails to consider the community's cohesive nature.

Identity and Representation

It is difficult to understand how merging 'North Kaukapakapa' with 'North Rural' provides reasonable representation for our community. As residents, we identify more closely with Helensville and its surrounding areas. For essential services such as local council facilities, we travel to Helensville, which is a mere 10-minute drive, as opposed to the 40+ minutes it takes to reach Wellsford. Our daily lives revolve around Helensville's amenities, including the supermarket, library, medical facilities, schools, and small businesses. Therefore, it would be more appropriate for the entire Kaukapakapa community to join with 'South Rural' rather than being divided.

Future Considerations

Kaukapakapa is experiencing population growth, primarily from the Hibiscus Coast and Auckland central region. While we cherish the semi-rural nature of our area, it is important to note that most residents commute to urban areas for work, as viable farms are limited. Being represented by 'North Rural', a large, under-resourced, and distant community board, may not align with our community's values and development aspirations. We believe that such representation would be disadvantageous for our future growth and cultural expectations.

In conclusion, Kaukapakapa is a unique and vibrant community that should remain whole and united. If it is necessary to separate from the Kumeu Subdivision, we strongly believe that aligning with 'South Rural' would better reflect our community's identity and needs.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#2126

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Lack of Notification and Consultation

We feel that the level of notification and consultation has been inadequate. Given the significant impact this proposal would have on our small, close-knit community, we expected more direct and thorough engagement. A simple Facebook notification is not sufficient for a decision of this magnitude. It is crucial that the community's voices be heard and considered, especially when such a fundamental change is being proposed.

Research on Proposed Boundary Adjustments

Kaukapakapa is a rural community with the school at its heart. The proposed boundary adjustment cuts through our community, disregarding the shared interests and needs of both sides of the river. It is essential that both parts of Kaukapakapa are represented by the same representatives, as our families have common concerns and aspirations. The current proposal seems divisive and fails to consider the community's cohesive nature.

Identity and Representation

It is difficult to understand how merging 'North Kaukapakapa' with 'North Rural' provides reasonable representation for our community. As residents, we identify more closely with Helensville and its surrounding areas. For essential services such as local council facilities, we travel to Helensville, which is a mere 10-minute drive, as opposed to the 40+ minutes it takes to reach Wellsford. Our daily lives revolve around Helensville's amenities, including the supermarket, library, medical facilities, schools, and small businesses. Therefore, it would be more appropriate for the entire Kaukapakapa community to join with 'South Rural' rather than being divided.

Future Considerations

Kaukapakapa is experiencing population growth, primarily from the Hibiscus Coast and Auckland central region. While we cherish the semi-rural nature of our area, it is important to note that most residents commute to urban areas for work, as viable farms are limited. Being represented by 'North Rural', a large, under-resourced, and distant community board, may not align with our community's values and development aspirations. We believe that such representation would be disadvantageous for our future growth and cultural expectations.

In conclusion, Kaukapakapa is a unique and vibrant community that should remain whole and united. If it is necessary to separate from the Kumeu Subdivision, we strongly believe that aligning with 'South Rural' would better reflect our community's identity and needs.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#2127

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Lack of Notification and Consultation

We feel that the level of notification and consultation has been inadequate. Given the significant impact this proposal would have on our small, close-knit community, we expected more direct and thorough engagement. A simple Facebook notification is not sufficient for a decision of this magnitude. It is crucial that the community's voices be heard and considered, especially when such a fundamental change is being proposed.

Research on Proposed Boundary Adjustments

Kaukapakapa is a rural community with the school at its heart. The proposed boundary adjustment cuts through our community, disregarding the shared interests and needs of both sides of the river. It is essential that both parts of Kaukapakapa are represented by the same representatives, as our families have common concerns and aspirations. The current proposal seems divisive and fails to consider the community's cohesive nature.

Identity and Representation

It is difficult to understand how merging 'North Kaukapakapa' with 'North Rural' provides reasonable representation for our community. As residents, we identify more closely with Helensville and its surrounding areas. For essential services such as local council facilities, we travel to Helensville, which is a mere 10-minute drive, as opposed to the 40+ minutes it takes to reach Wellsford. Our daily lives revolve around Helensville's amenities, including the supermarket, library, medical facilities, schools, and small businesses. Therefore, it would be more appropriate for the entire Kaukapakapa community to join with 'South Rural' rather than being divided.

Future Considerations

Kaukapakapa is experiencing population growth, primarily from the Hibiscus Coast and Auckland central region. While we cherish the semi-rural nature of our area, it is important to note that most residents commute to urban areas for work, as viable farms are limited. Being represented by 'North Rural', a large, under-resourced, and distant community board, may not align with our community's values and development aspirations. We believe that such representation would be disadvantageous for our future growth and cultural expectations.

In conclusion, Kaukapakapa is a unique and vibrant community that should remain whole and united. If it is necessary to separate from the Kumeu Subdivision, we strongly believe that aligning with 'South Rural' would better reflect our community's identity and needs.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#2128

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Lack of Notification and Consultation

We feel that the level of notification and consultation has been inadequate. Given the significant impact this proposal would have on our small, close-knit community, we expected more direct and thorough engagement. A simple Facebook notification is not sufficient for a decision of this magnitude. It is crucial that the community's voices be heard and considered, especially when such a fundamental change is being proposed.

Research on Proposed Boundary Adjustments

Kaukapakapa is a rural community with the school at its heart. The proposed boundary adjustment cuts through our community, disregarding the shared interests and needs of both sides of the river. It is essential that both parts of Kaukapakapa are represented by the same representatives, as our families have common concerns and aspirations. The current proposal seems divisive and fails to consider the community's cohesive nature.

Identity and Representation

It is difficult to understand how merging 'North Kaukapakapa' with 'North Rural' provides reasonable representation for our community. As residents, we identify more closely with Helensville and its surrounding areas. For essential services such as local council facilities, we travel to Helensville, which is a mere 10-minute drive, as opposed to the 40+ minutes it takes to reach Wellsford. Our daily lives revolve around Helensville's amenities, including the supermarket, library, medical facilities, schools, and small businesses. Therefore, it would be more appropriate for the entire Kaukapakapa community to join with 'South Rural' rather than being divided.

Future Considerations

Kaukapakapa is experiencing population growth, primarily from the Hibiscus Coast and Auckland central region. While we cherish the semi-rural nature of our area, it is important to note that most residents commute to urban areas for work, as viable farms are limited. Being represented by 'North Rural', a large, under-resourced, and distant community board, may not align with our community's values and development aspirations. We believe that such representation would be disadvantageous for our future growth and cultural expectations.

In conclusion, Kaukapakapa is a unique and vibrant community that should remain whole and united. If it is necessary to separate from the Kumeu Subdivision, we strongly believe that aligning with 'South Rural' would better reflect our community's identity and needs.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#2129

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Rodney

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Lack of Notification and Consultation

We feel that the level of notification and consultation has been inadequate. Given the significant impact this proposal would have on our small, close-knit community, we expected more direct and thorough engagement. A simple Facebook notification is not sufficient for a decision of this magnitude. It is crucial that the community's voices be heard and considered, especially when such a fundamental change is being proposed.

Research on Proposed Boundary Adjustments

Kaukapakapa is a rural community with the school at its heart. The proposed boundary adjustment cuts through our community, disregarding the shared interests and needs of both sides of the river. It is essential that both parts of Kaukapakapa are represented by the same representatives, as our families have common concerns and aspirations. The current proposal seems divisive and fails to consider the community's cohesive nature.

Identity and Representation

It is difficult to understand how merging 'North Kaukapakapa' with 'North Rural' provides reasonable representation for our community. As residents, we identify more closely with Helensville and its surrounding areas. For essential services such as local council facilities, we travel to Helensville, which is a mere 10-minute drive, as opposed to the 40+ minutes it takes to reach Wellsford. Our daily lives revolve around Helensville's amenities, including the supermarket, library, medical facilities, schools, and small businesses. Therefore, it would be more appropriate for the entire Kaukapakapa community to join with 'South Rural' rather than being divided.

Future Considerations

Kaukapakapa is experiencing population growth, primarily from the Hibiscus Coast and Auckland central region. While we cherish the semi-rural nature of our area, it is important to note that most residents commute to urban areas for work, as viable farms are limited. Being represented by 'North Rural', a large, under-resourced, and distant community board, may not align with our community's values and development aspirations. We believe that such representation would be disadvantageous for our future growth and cultural expectations.

In conclusion, Kaukapakapa is a unique and vibrant community that should remain whole and united. If it is necessary to separate from the Kumeu Subdivision, we strongly believe that aligning with 'South Rural' would better reflect our community's identity and needs.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?