



How we represent you

Auckland Council representation review

Written Feedback

Upper Harbour Volume #1

August 2024



Sub #	Organisation Name	Page Number
1104	Business North Harbour	209



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

the proposal is fair and reasonable

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

- 2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

- 2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

the proposal enables better representation to take account of the population changes on the north shore.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

Rodney is a growth area for the north shore and needs better representation to take account of this.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

- 4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?**

Local board boundaries

- 5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1).**

What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

more information available at shopping malls and other public places



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Since the formation of the “super city” electoral system, I think that it has become increasingly undemocratic and irrelevant. At the heart of the issue is the multiple candidacies per constituency, i.e. it’s a block plurality voting (BPV) system. If we were voting for truly independent individuals in that voting system, it may have some democratic relevance, but we are not presented with that choice. Increasingly the candidates have become organised into groups, which are effectively now parties. The parties are chimeric and pretending to be representative of things they are not, so the average voter doesn’t even really know what they are voting for and the block voting system makes it unrepresentative of the voters’ intentions. BPV regularly produces complete landslide majorities for the group of candidates with the highest but still modest level of support but because so many parties are running and voters are unable to engage in tactical voting. This has resulted in a small cohesive group of voters, making up only a tiny minority of the eligible voters, electing all the open seats by merely constituting a barely marginal plurality.

Maybe the electorate cannot express what I have explained above ... but they know when a system is stacked against them AND SO THEY SIMPLY DO NOT TURN OUT!!!!

I have never ever felt my vote to be more worthless and wasted than it does in this Auckland electoral system. IF (???) you truly want to fix voter engagement and representation, then change the voting system. Personally, I prefer the single candidate per constituency, with a 2-round run-off (TRR) with the 2nd place if an outright majority of votes cast is not achieved. STV is another better alternative than BPV but even first past the post is fairer than this current system.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections**2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?**

Do not support

Tell us why

The electoral system is unfair and undemocratic and it should be a single candidate per constituency for all voters

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Do not support

Tell us why

The electoral system is unfair and undemocratic and it should be a single candidate per constituency for all voters

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

The electoral system is unfair and undemocratic and it should be a single candidate per constituency for all voters

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why***Non-complying subdivisions***

- 4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?**

The electoral system is unfair and undemocratic and it should be a single candidate per constituency for all voters

Local board boundaries

- 5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Do not support

Tell us why

The electoral system is unfair and undemocratic and it should be a single candidate per constituency for all voters

- 5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Do not support

Tell us why

The electoral system is unfair and undemocratic and it should be a single candidate per constituency for all voters

- 5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Do not support

Tell us why

The electoral system is unfair and undemocratic and it should be a single candidate per constituency for all voters

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

The electoral system is unfair and undemocratic and it should be a single candidate per constituency for all voters

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

The electoral system is unfair and undemocratic and it should be a single candidate per constituency for all voters

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

Since the formation of the “super city” electoral system, I think that it has become increasingly undemocratic and irrelevant. At the heart of the issue is the multiple candidacies per constituency, i.e. it’s a block plurality voting (BPV) system. If we were voting for truly independent individuals in that voting system, it may have some democratic relevance, but we are not presented with that choice. Increasingly the candidates have become organised into groups, which are effectively now parties. The parties are chimeric and pretending to be representative of things they are not, so the average voter doesn’t even really know what they are voting for and the block voting system makes it unrepresentative of the voters' intentions. BPV regularly produces complete landslide majorities for the group of candidates with the highest but still modest level of support but because so many parties are running and voters are unable to engage in tactical voting. This has resulted in a small cohesive group of voters,

making up only a tiny minority of the eligible voters, electing all the open seats by merely constituting a barely marginal plurality.

Maybe the electorate cannot express what I have explained above ... but they know when a system is stacked against them AND SO THEY SIMPLY DO NOT TURN OUT!!!!

I have never ever felt my vote to be more worthless and wasted than it does in this Auckland electoral system. IF (???) you truly want to fix voter engagement and representation, then change the voting system. Personally, I prefer the single candidate per constituency, with a 2-round run-off (TRR) with the 2nd place if an outright majority of votes cast is not achieved. STV is another better alternative than BPV but even first past the post is fairer than this current system.



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Other

Tell us why

Changes are ok but review does not go far enough. We need drastic measures likes abolishing local boards and moving to streamlined structure.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

- 5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Tell us why

- 5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Tell us why

- 5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Tell us why

- 6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?**

The review is not going far enough. A much simpler structure can exist by eliminating local boards. Local boards add no value. As member of public, we rarely know who they are, what they do, and only hear about them every 3 years.

Abolish local boards.

Abolish local boards.

We don't need a two-tier system (LB + Councillors). One-tier local representation - Councillors/wards. The number of councillors and wards can be increased to compensate for abolition of local boards. Wards-Councillors-Mayor. Simple. It complements MP/electorate set

up. This is enough. We don't need any more bureaucracy, I mean, "democracy" - as such politicians don't do much anyway.

Auckland Council needs to save money to fund important things. Local representation has a lot of saturated fat, that can, and must be trimmed. We will save millions in wages, allowances, taxis, and paper printing and with say having 3 councillors per ward, for everything. It'll also give us a better chance of holding them accountable and remembering their names also!



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Support

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Support

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Support

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Support

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

- 2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

- 2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

To help the inbetween livers. But also if it is a quick and easy change as portrayed then I'm all for it.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?**Tell us why****3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?****Tell us why*****Non-complying subdivisions***

- 4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?**

Local board boundaries

- 5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Tell us why

- 5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Tell us why

- 5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Tell us why

- 5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Tell us why

- 5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Tell us why

- 6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?**



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

- 2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

- 2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

I do not support change to diminished council assets and public access

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Do not support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

- 5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Tell us why

- 5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Tell us why

- 5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Tell us why

- 6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?**



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

- 2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

- 2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

N/A

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

N/A



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Support

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

- 2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Support

Tell us why

- 2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Support

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Disagree, fairness is the principle

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Support

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Support

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Disagree and do not comply, based on the principle of fairness and justice

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

- 5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Tell us why

- 5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Tell us why

- 5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Tell us why

- 6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?**



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

Whether this thing changes or not, what impact will it have on life?

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

I don't think there is any difference

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

No opinion.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

- 5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Tell us why

- 5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Support

Tell us why

It's better to be neat.

- 5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Tell us why

- 6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?**



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Representatives speak up

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Support

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Support

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

With the increase in permanent residents and immigrants, especially with the development of new apartment buildings and new types of real estate, significant changes have occurred compared to six years ago. It is very necessary to readjust the representation of each district.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Support

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why**3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?**

Support

Tell us why***Non-complying subdivisions*****4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?**

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries**5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Given the rise in permanent residents and immigrants, coupled with the construction of new apartment buildings and the introduction of diverse real estate offerings, there have been considerable changes over the past six years. It is essential to reassess the representation for each district accordingly.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

In recent years, Albany has seen several successful real estate developments, leading to a significant increase in the number of residents. Consequently, adjusting the electoral districts to reflect these changes has become even more important.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

- 5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Support

Tell us why

- 5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Support

Tell us why

- 5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Support

Tell us why

- 5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

I don't know

Tell us why

- 6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?**



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

As the number of permanent residents and immigrants has grown, and with the emergence of new apartment complexes and various real estate developments, the landscape has significantly changed compared to six years ago. It is crucial to update the district representation to reflect these changes.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

In recent years, Albany has seen several successful real estate developments, leading to a significant increase in the number of residents. Consequently, adjusting the electoral districts to reflect these changes has become even more important.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why**6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?**

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

The increase in both permanent residents and immigrants, alongside the development of new apartment buildings and innovative real estate models, has substantially altered the dynamics from six years ago. Consequently, it is vital to recalibrate district representation to align with these developments.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

With more permanent residents and the introduction of new housing developments, including apartment buildings, the situation has evolved markedly since six years ago. There is a pressing need to revise the representation of each district to address these changes effectively.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Based on the current situation, it is appropriate to readjust the representation of each region.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Support

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Other

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Other

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

We hope to strengthen mutual communication with the management organizations, and work together to build a harmonious community



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Support

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Support

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Support

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Support

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Support

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

- 6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?**



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

With the increase in permanent residents and immigrants, especially with the development of new apartment buildings and new types of real estate, significant changes have occurred compared to six years ago. It is very necessary to readjust the representation of each district.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

With more permanent residents and the introduction of new housing developments, including apartment buildings, the situation has evolved markedly since six years ago. There is a pressing need to revise the representation of each district to address these changes effectively.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why**3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?**

I don't know

Tell us why***Non-complying subdivisions*****4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?*****Local board boundaries*****5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

To achieve the requirements of fair representation in city council electoral districts and effectively represent the interests of the community, it is necessary for councilors to represent the interests of the public at the decision-making table.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Support

Tell us why

We believe that having government officials draft the plan is more scientific and appropriate.

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

We trust that civil servants have a better understanding of the actual situation. Based on historical experience, the establishment of residential and commercial areas around Albany Mall in the past three years has led to an increase in the population of the Albany area. Therefore, the number of electoral representatives should be increased accordingly to reflect the current situation.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why**3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?**

I don't know

Tell us why***Non-complying subdivisions*****4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?**

Historical experience is worth noting. If a portion of subdivided districts is allowed to deviate from the rules of fair representation, it should still ensure community connections and strive to maintain a sound basis of natural infrastructure. This approach should be supported.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

While I may not be familiar with the historical context, if it benefits social connections and allows for timely feedback from established communities, it should be supported.

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

I may not be aware of the historical situation, but if it benefits the government in carrying out its work more effectively and facilitates citizen feedback on their needs, then it should be strongly supported.

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

I personally believe that aligning district boundaries with road boundaries is more beneficial for the effective operation and support of community work.

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

If it is more beneficial for work and supports community management, then it should be endorsed. However, if it is detrimental to work and community management, then it should not be supported.

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

We hope that regional councils and management agencies should engage deeply with the community to understand more grassroots opinions, provide timely feedback on public needs, and address the issues raised by residents. If certain issues cannot be resolved, it is important to inform community residents of the reasons for this, such as funding constraints, planning issues, or lack of manpower, in order to seek the understanding of the public.

How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

With the increase in permanent residents and immigrants, especially with the development of new apartment buildings and new types of real estate, significant changes have occurred compared to six years ago. It is very necessary to readjust the representation of each district.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why**3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?**

I don't know

Tell us why***Non-complying subdivisions*****4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?**

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries**5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Support

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Support

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Support

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Support

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

- 2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

- 2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Support

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Support

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

With the increase in permanent residents and immigrants, especially with the development of new apartment buildings and new types of real estate, significant changes have occurred compared to six years ago. It is very necessary to readjust the representation of each district.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why**3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?**

I don't know

Tell us why***Non-complying subdivisions*****4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?**

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries**5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Fair representation is quite important.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Support

Tell us why

It would be better if the boundaries between electoral districts align with the boundaries of the regional councils.

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

This decision would be better.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

We hope that councilors will engage more with citizens in various communities to gather and respond to their wishes and demands.



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Support

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Support

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

With changes in the local resident population, the representation of each electoral district should be readjusted accordingly.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Support

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Support

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Support

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Support

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Support

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Other

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Adjusting according to the current situation, the representation of each district is appropriate.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Other

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

- 2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Support

Tell us why

- 2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

With changes in the local resident population, the representation of each electoral district should be readjusted accordingly.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Support

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions**4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?**

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries**5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Support

Tell us why

- 5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Support

Tell us why

- 5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Support

Tell us why

- 5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Support

Tell us why

- 5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

With changes in the local resident population, the representation of each electoral district should be readjusted accordingly.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why**3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?**

I don't know

Tell us why***Non-complying subdivisions*****4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?**

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries**5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

As the number of permanent residents and immigrants has grown, and with the emergence of new apartment complexes and various real estate developments, the landscape has significantly changed compared to six years ago. It is crucial to update the district representation to reflect these changes.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why**6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?**

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. **Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?**

Support

Tell us why

The increase in both permanent residents and immigrants, alongside the development of new apartment buildings and innovative real estate models, has substantially altered the dynamics from six years ago. Consequently, it is vital to recalibrate district representation to align with these developments.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. **What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?**

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why**6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?**

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

With the increase in permanent residents and immigrants, especially with the development of new apartment buildings and new types of real estate, significant changes have occurred compared to six years ago. It is very necessary to readjust the representation of each district.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

The population has changed, so adjustments should be made.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Changes should be made.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

- 2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

- 2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

- 2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

- 2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Support

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

- 5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Other

Tell us why

- 5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Tell us why

- 5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Tell us why

- 6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?**



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

- 2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

- 2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

- 2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

- 2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

- 2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

- 2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

- 2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

- 2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

- 2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

- 2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

- 2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

- 2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

- 2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

- 2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

- 2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

- 2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

- 2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Support

Tell us why

- 2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable): Business North Harbour

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

- 2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

- 2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

See attached

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

See attached

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

See attached

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

See attached

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

See attached

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

See attached



Submission: Auckland Council Representation Review 2024

7th August 2024

Business North Harbour Incorporated

██████████ – General Manager

PO Box 303 126

North Harbour 0751

Phone 09 968 2222 or ██████████

Email: ██████████

Local Board Representation: Upper Harbour Local Board

7th August 2024

Auckland Council Representation Review
Freepost Number 190198
Private Bag 92300
Victoria Street West
Auckland 1142

representationreview@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz

Submission to Auckland Council Representation Review 2024

Auckland Council is seeking feedback on the Auckland Council Representation Review 2024.

Introduction

Business North Harbour (BNH) representing the North Harbour Business Improvement District welcomes the opportunity to make this submission on the Auckland Council Representation Review 2024.

BNH is a significant commercial and industrial Business Improvement District (BID), representing over 4,500 commercial property owners and businesses within the North Harbour area. Collectively they employ over 35,000 Auckland residents and ratepayers. The organisation is located within the Upper Harbour Local Board area, which remains one of the fastest growing areas in the country, in both absolute and percentage population terms, which brings both challenges and opportunities to the North Harbour business district.

BNH represents and works with a wide range of businesses comprising of a mix of sole traders, Small Medium Enterprises (SME), through to multi-national organisations, representing sectors such as ICT, business services, specialist manufacturing, light – medium warehousing, logistics, retail and hospitality. In addition, we have key educational institutions within or on our boundary, including Massey University Albany and AUT Millennium, along with a variety of primary and secondary schools including Rangitoto College, the largest secondary school in New Zealand.

Our primary interests are decisions within the Auckland Council Representation Review 2024 which:

- Impact on the cost of business – across a short to medium timeframe
- Impact on economic development and the ability to leverage value from location
- Support or restrict business growth opportunities
- Impact on access to both regional and localised transport hubs
- Impact on R&D and investment – sector development and capability
- Provide the scope to leverage natural assets for economic development across the leisure and tourism sectors – enhancing Auckland's reputation

Background:

Every six years Auckland Council must review representation arrangements including Governing Body and Local Boards, to ensure that they are fair and effective and are keeping pace with Auckland's growth. Auckland is currently divided into 13 Wards with 20 Councillors representing these Wards, who along with the Mayor, make up the Governing Body. Additionally, there are 21 Local Boards made up of a total of 149 Members, who are responsible for making decisions specific to the communities in their geographic areas.

Currently, some Councillor Wards do not meet the requirement that elected representatives must represent a similar number of people (within 10 per cent of the average). This is called the 'fair representation' rule which also applies to subdivisions within Local Boards.

The Council is also required to make sure that the election of Members provides effective representation of communities of interest. In simple terms, communities of interest are groups of people who feel connected to a place, use the same services, and who have similar issues that require representation at the decision-making table.

Auckland Council is proposing to maintain the current number of Wards and Councillors, while making adjustments to Ward boundaries, especially in the North Shore, Albany, and central Auckland areas. Changes to some Local Board subdivisions are also being proposed.

Auckland Council is seeking feedback on a number of different proposals. Our response, which is limited to those proposals affecting our area, is set out below and covers:

- (1) Changes To The North Shore And Albany Ward Maps (Question 2B)
- (2) Saunders Reserve Will Be Fully In The Upper Harbour Local Board (Question 5A)
- (3) Moving Kereru Reserve Fully To The Upper Harbour Local Board (Question 5B)
- (4) Shifting The Section Of The Upper Harbour/Kaipātiki Boundary (Question 5C)
- (5) Aligning Bayview To The Kaipātiki Local Board (Question 5D)
- (6) Any Other Feedback

(1) Changes To The North Shore And Albany Ward Maps (Question 2B):

The council proposes to move an area around Bayview currently in the Albany Ward to the North Shore Ward to reduce non-compliance with the fairness of representation rule and move an area to its east from North Shore to Albany Ward to align with minor changes to local board boundaries. With these changes the boundary between the Albany and North Shore wards will fully align with local board boundaries.

BNH supports these proposed changes as they help to address the current discrepancy in the fairness of representation rule and the alignment of the Ward and Local Board boundaries is logical. Whilst these changes will not see the ten per cent rule satisfied, the rationale of excluding other areas from moving due to their community of interest status in the Albany Ward is also a logical approach.

Feedback question 5 states:

We propose minor changes to local board boundaries across the Devonport-Takapuna, Upper Harbour, Kaipātiki, Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki local boards to better align community areas and reserves.

(2) Saunders Reserve Will Be Fully In The Upper Harbour Local Board (Question 5A):

BNH supports the proposal that the boundary between the Upper Harbour and Devonport-Takapuna local boards is changed so that all of Saunders Reserve is in the Upper Harbour Local Board area, as it aligns with the criteria in the legislation that enables Auckland Council to include minor changes to Local Board boundaries to address minor issues and it also aligns with the legislation criteria surrounding the number of people affected. Both pieces of legislation are outlined on page 8 of the Initial Proposal Document.

(3) Moving Kereru Reserve Fully To The Upper Harbour Local Board (Question 5B):

BNH supports the proposal to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board. This proposal again aligns with the criteria in the legislation that enables Auckland Council to include minor changes to Local Board boundaries to address minor issues and it also aligns with the legislation criteria surrounding the number of people affected. Both pieces of legislation are outlined on page 8 of the Initial Proposal Document.

(4) Shifting The Section Of The Upper Harbour/Kaipātiki Boundary (Question 5C):

BNH supports the proposal to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary, as the road forms a logical and easy to identify boundary. Additionally, the proposal again aligns with the criteria in the legislation that enables Auckland Council to include minor changes to Local Board boundaries to address minor issues and it also aligns with the legislation criteria

surrounding the number of people affected. Both pieces of legislation are outlined on page 8 of the Initial Proposal Document.

(5) Aligning Bayview To The Kaipātiki Local Board (Question 5D):

BNH supports the proposal to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board as it seems logical to have a suburb contained in one Local Board area so that everyone in that suburb is dealing with the same Local Board and its representatives. This removes the possibility that a suburb and the people in it may receive differing support if they are represented by different Local Boards who have different priorities. Furthermore, the proposal again aligns with the criteria in the legislation that enables Auckland Council to include minor changes to Local Board boundaries to address minor issues and it also aligns with the legislation criteria surrounding the number of people affected. Both pieces of legislation are outlined on page 8 of the Initial Proposal Document.

(6) Any Other Feedback:

BNH supports any changes that ensure that Councillor Wards and Local Boards comply as far as is practicable with the fairness of representation rule and its ten percent variation.

That said, we believe that it is of paramount importance that whatever changes to Ward and Local Board boundaries are implemented, Auckland Council must develop the most effective governance and operational structure that will enable local representatives at Ward and Local Board level, to achieve optimum outcomes for their local communities, which are in line with the identified needs of their communities. Local Boards need to be given decision-making abilities and financial resources that support their ability to develop thriving local communities that have adequate housing, infrastructure and community facilities, all located within an aesthetically pleasing environment.

Furthermore, these local communities need to support and be supported by local business communities that provide local employment opportunities. Auckland Council and any relevant CCOs must ensure that their locally-based representatives have access to key stakeholders and resources that can assist in the development and implementation of local economic development initiatives that support local business and economic growth. A successful local economy will not only contribute individually towards a thriving local community, but also collectively towards the economic growth of Auckland as a whole.

The city, via its local communities and business communities, must continue to work to attract new businesses and employment opportunities, customers and visitors so that our local communities and Auckland in general may enjoy sustainable economic growth whilst providing a city that is both a pleasure to live in and affordable.

BNH asks that when considering the representation changes that it is asking for feedback on, Auckland Council goes further than simply moving some boundary lines on a map and fully investigates its central and local structure to ensure that programmes, services, infrastructure and economic development are all both effective and efficient. It could well be that more significant changes need to be made to achieve these outcomes.

Conclusions:

BNH fully supports fairness and equity which produces equality across every Ward and Local Board area in the city. We believe that Auckland Council must develop a representation and operational structure at its own central and local government levels based upon fairness and equity that enables, as far as is practicable, city-wide equality to be achieved.

Should there be any questions or other matters arising from this Submission, we would be pleased to respond to these.

Yours sincerely,

A large black rectangular redaction box covering the signature of the General Manager.


General Manager



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

It will help solve the zoning confusion for residents on and around the Upper Harbour. This will make accessing local boards and government initiatives easier, as well as socio economic simplifications for housing and schooling (zones will determine the price and/or demand and make it relevant)

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

- 5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Support

Tell us why

- 5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Tell us why

- 5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Tell us why

- 6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?**

Extend the Have Your Say Auckland Council program to ordinary residents who are not part of any local council or organisation; be able to more clearly communicate and make people understand the effects of changing zones. Invest more in publicity and launching e.g. clearer zoning will avoid logistical disorders and help save council maintenance money.



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

I think surveys like this should be more targeted towards the people who are affected. Sometimes it is unclear as to how certain things will impact young people and that will probably create a lot of noise in survey responses.

How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

i support the new changes made as i believe that the councillor boundaries should be in the same area as the upper harbour region to tailor to the needs of residents there

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

i believe that the changes to the map will allow better communication w the councillor and upper harbour region and will allow a larger say for our citizens

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

i think some subdivision is needed however it is vital that the councillor is in the similar area to the upper harbour region areas

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

i think this is good because road boundaries are necessary in the maintenance of citizen representations

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

- 2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

- 2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

- 5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Tell us why

- 5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Tell us why

- 5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Tell us why

- 6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?**



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

- 2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

- 2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

I think it will decrease confusion for residents and lead to better alignment of the local ward and boards - I imagine this small change wouldn't significantly affect the daily lives of residents.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Because more Upper Harbour funding would go to maintaining the reserve and I'm not sure how much the reallocation of Saunders Reserve would benefit the lives of Upper Harbour residents.

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

- 2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

- 2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

- 5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Tell us why

- 5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Tell us why

- 5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Tell us why

- 6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?**



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

- 5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Support

Tell us why

- 5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Tell us why

- 5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Tell us why

- 6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?**



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

The initial proposal largely maintains the status quo and does not go far enough to ensure that representation meets the needs of Aucklanders

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Do not support

Tell us why

The initial proposal suggests forgoing the fairness of representation rule because meeting it would require moving large sections of the Albany Ward that "have a community of interest with Albany." However, this is not the case. Almost no one shares a sense of identity with the area covered by the Albany ward; most people's community identity is vested within the area covered by their local board, or the North Shore as a whole (the area covered by both the North Shore and Albany wards). Voters from the North Shore as a whole tend to become confused at elections when they discover that they are voting in the Albany ward rather than the "North Shore" ward. As the "community of interest" is the same in both the North Shore and Albany wards, the proposal should move whichever areas are necessary to maintain the fairness of representation rule. A better solution could even be to merge the North Shore and Albany wards to create a singular four-councillor ward, as there is no distinct local identity that means that the North Shore should be split into two wards.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?**Tell us why****3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?****Tell us why*****Non-complying subdivisions*****4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?*****Local board boundaries***

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The proposal states that the governance arrangements of Auckland are that Local Bodies make local decisions while the Governing Body makes regional decisions. However, I believe that the way that the governing body is currently elected over-emphasises localism at the expense of the greater region that the body is supposedly responsible for. As previously stated in this submission, community identities do not align well with the size of wards, with Aucklanders instead identifying with the areas encompassed by their local boards and/or with much larger sub-regions of Auckland (i.e. The North Shore, West Auckland).

To more adequately represent regional interests (rather than local interests that are already covered by local boards), half of the councillors should be elected at large rather than in wards. This could also tie-in with the mayoral election, with the councillor who receives the highest number of votes becoming the Mayor (this would also allow second and third-placed mayoral candidates to receive seats on the council to represent the interests of those who voted for them). The councillors who are elected from wards should also be elected from larger wards that align with the subregions of Auckland (i.e. North Shore, West Auckland, Central Auckland) rather than random subdivisions (someone might say that they're from West Auckland but they'll never say that they're from "Whau").

Currently, Aucklanders are not represented and their vote does not count if their views differ from the rest of their ward (i.e. a young person in Albany/Howick, or a senior in Waitemata/Albert-Eden-Puketapapa). Electing some councillors at large would circumvent this problem by allowing all Aucklanders to be represented regardless of where they live within Auckland.

The number of councillors should also be increased above 20 so that low-populated areas with distinct local identities (such as Rodney or Franklin) can be adequately represented without underrepresenting the more populous areas of Auckland.

Finally, the council will be failing to adequately represent Aucklanders if it does not promptly implement the STV voting system for the next election. The FPP voting system has been demonstrated to be a complete failure in many instances around the world (hence why New Zealand stopped using it for national elections a quarter of a century ago). Many other local councils have already switched to STV and Auckland must follow suit. It is embarrassing that Auckland still holds out and uses the broken FPP system.



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Do not support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

- 2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

- 2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Do not support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?