



How we represent you

Auckland Council representation review

Written Feedback

Waiheke Volume #1

August 2024



Sub #	Organisation Name	Page Number
Individual submissions only		



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Waiheke

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

- 2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

- 2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Waiheke

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Makes sense

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Support

Tell us why

Makes it fair

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

- 5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Tell us why

- 5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Tell us why

- 5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?**

Tell us why

- 6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?**



How we represent you:

Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Waiheke

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

The principle of community of interests fails in the case of the proposed Waitemata and Gulf Ward.

It is clear from the population statistics that the wards representing the less affluent areas of Auckland are unrepresented in comparison to more affluent wards.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Do not support

Tell us why

It is difficult to see communities of interests between residents in water based suburbs of Waiheke and Aotea with land locked suburbs such as Newmarket and Grafton.

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

No

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

Unfortunately the super city model ensures that decision-making is in the hands of the few. People's trust in democracy, localism and bottom-up decision-making by the community rather than by one person - supposedly representing their interests - on a 21 person Council (including the Mayor) is undermined and is largely responsible for low voter turnout and public apathy.