How we represent you

Auckland Council representation review

Written Feedback

Kaipātiki Volume #1

August 2024

Sub #	Organisation Name	Page Number
	Individual submissions only	

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

I just think the changes seem sensible

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

Yes. I think that people should only be able to stand in one ward, and ideally only the ward that they live in. I think people best represent a ward when they live in it.

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why
Has no material impact on me

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

I would maintain the boundaries for the non-complaint subdivisons. Auckland is constantly changing, I dont' believe there is any benefit in changing the boundaries.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

I don't understand why this part is being moved. There is no explaination of why this area has been chosen.

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

I don't understand why this part is being moved. There is no explaination of why this area has been chosen.

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#122

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Other

Tell us why

Not agreeing with "not following the fairness of representation rule for certain local boards (more information on pages 7 and 8 of the representation review initial proposal) to avoid splitting established communities." Sounds super dodgy

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#128

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#131

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

The ward boundaries must be consistent with other City administrative boundaries and reflect population demographics

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Logical updating of the boundaries

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

This should form part of a regular review process

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

No thanks

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why
waste of funds needed elsewhere

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Do not support

Tell us why

waste of funds needed elsewhere. Concentrate on important things: water, preserving coastline, improving infrastructure

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#379

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

I want representation to be equal amongst all districts

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

I agree with these changes. Please make them enforced in full effect.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

No

#380

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Representation in the community is essential. If the council is too preoccupied with communities outside of their own scope, they cannot focus on significant issues at hand.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

The changes are beneficial to the community as a whole.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

No

#461

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

It's too confusing for people living and the area. This is for simplification of rates etc.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#465

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

It is a small section, so wouldn't have a big effect

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Support

Tell us why

It would be a good representation of the new Flat Bush sub division.

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

I think there should be more education and engagement from each bard about changes in communities,

#471

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Support

Tell us why

Flat Bush is a relatively newly developed area, and dividing into subdivisions could lighten the load for the currently disproportioned divisions.

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#503

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

Why is it that the Elected Local Boards communicate ?

But Council Employees and Departments Do Not.

#536

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#539

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#666

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

Botany is a region dominated by Chinese people. Separation will weaken the voice of Chinese people.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Adding more new areas to the North Shore instead of the original suburbs

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Do not support

Tell us why

Rodney should further integrate the North Shore

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

be opposed to

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Do not support

Tell us why

The political inclinations of the people in our two places are different, so this will lead to mixing

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

You can refer to more administrative divisions

#804

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#805

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#812

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

With more permanent residents and the introduction of new housing developments, including apartment buildings, the situation has evolved markedly since six years ago. There is a pressing need to revise the representation of each district to address these changes effectively.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#813

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

The growing number of permanent residents and the advent of new real estate projects, particularly new apartment buildings, have transformed the scene over the last six years. This necessitates a necessary adjustment in how districts are represented.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese

individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#816

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

The changes in the local permanent population, due to recent real estate development and immigration, have significantly altered compared to six years ago. It is appropriate to readjust the representation of each constituency. I support this.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#817

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

The changes in the local permanent population, due to recent real estate development and immigration, have significantly altered compared to six years ago. It is appropriate to readjust the representation of each constituency. I support this.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

In recent years, Albany has had several successful new real estate developments, increasing the number of residents. The aforementioned changes in constituencies have become particularly important.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#818

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

After careful consideration and understanding of the collected reasonable proposals, I support those that are beneficial to national interests. The changes in the local permanent population, due to this year's new real estate development and immigration, have significantly altered compared to six years ago. It is appropriate to readjust the representation of each constituency.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Other

Tell us why

I support reasonable decisions.

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

In recent years, Albany has had several successful new real estate developments, increasing the number of residents. The aforementioned changes in constituencies have become particularly important.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

To ensure fair representation of the Botany subdivision, I support it.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Does this subdivision make sense? I don't understand.

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

As long as fair representation is achieved, I have no further opinions and support it. During the seminar and promotional event, we learned that, in addition to the rules of fair representation, the government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. Among these, the actual needs of the community are particularly important. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

As long as it is convenient and beneficial to the public, I support it and have no further opinions."

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

I support it because the regional boundaries coincide with the road boundaries.

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

Reasonable, then support.

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

Reasonable, then support.

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

We are very happy and satisfied with our life in New Zealand, so being a good citizen is our virtue. In the local Chinese community, we also hope to participate in the work of regional councils and management agencies. We look forward to more effective channels and interaction with district councils and management agencies in the future, working together to build a better community.

#819

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

We support what is beneficial to the national interest, and then we improve our concern for the national interest by helping and supporting changes in the local resident population. Over the past few years, with new property developments and immigration, there have been significant changes compared to six years ago. It is appropriate to readjust the representation of each constituency.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Other

Tell us why

I support reasonable decisions.

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

To ensure fair representation of the Botany subdivision, I support it.

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Does this subdivision make sense? I don't understand.

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

As long as fair representation is achieved, I have no further opinions and support it. During the seminar and promotional event, we learned that, in addition to the rules of fair representation, the government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. Among these, the actual needs of the community are particularly important. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

As long as it is convenient and beneficial to the public, I support it and have no further opinions."

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

I support it because the regional boundaries coincide with the road boundaries.

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Reasonable, then support.

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

Reasonable, then support.

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

We are very happy and satisfied with our life in New Zealand, so being a good citizen is our virtue. In the local Chinese community, we also hope to participate in the work of regional councils and management agencies. We look forward to more effective channels and interaction with district councils and management agencies in the future, working together to build a better community.

#820

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

We agree with the new community boundary division plan, as it aligns with the principle of fair representation.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Support

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Support

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Considering the historical reasons for the formation of some communities, it is acceptable to relax the principle of fair representation.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

We hope that the community can pay more attention to and support the work of the Chinese Association, listen to their suggestions for community development, and foster a harmonious and happy living environment for all residents.

#822

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

With the increase in permanent residents and immigrants, especially with the development of new apartment buildings and new types of real estate, significant changes have occurred compared to six years ago. It is very necessary to readjust the representation of each district.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

We hope to have Chinese participation in management roles, establishing more effective channels for interaction with district councils and management agencies, and working together to build a better community.

#823

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

As the number of permanent residents and immigrants has grown, and with the emergence of new apartment complexes and various real estate developments, the landscape has significantly changed compared to six years ago. It is crucial to update the district representation to reflect these changes.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

We hope to have Chinese participation in management roles, establishing more effective channels for interaction with district councils and management agencies, and working together to build a better community.

#826

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

Due to the economic and population development in New Zealand, moderately adjusting the representation of each constituency is the right decision.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

We hope to hear more perspectives from diverse representatives.

#827

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Due to the economic and population development in New Zealand, moderately adjusting the representation of each constituency is the right decision.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Support

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

We hope to hear more perspectives from diverse representatives.

#828

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why
It aligns with the principle of fair representation.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?
Support

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Support

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

We should widely seek the opinions of voters.

#830

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

As the city develops and residential areas continue to expand, there will be significant changes in population. Therefore, periodically redrawing district boundaries is in line with the principle of fair representation. This is reflected in the boundary changes listed in the same proposal.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Support

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Support

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Fairness is always relative and never absolute. Therefore, considering the historical reasons of some communities, it is acceptable to relax the principle of fair representation.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

n my Albany community, a large proportion of the population is Chinese. Therefore, we hope the community can pay more attention to and support the work of the Chinese Association. We also hope for regular meetings with representatives from various Chinese associations to hear their opinions and suggestions on further improving community development. This will help our community residents live more harmoniously and happily.

#834

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese

individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#835

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

The increase in both permanent residents and immigrants, alongside the development of new apartment buildings and innovative real estate models, has substantially altered the dynamics from six years ago. Consequently, it is vital to recalibrate district representation to align with these developments.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#842

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

The increase in both permanent residents and immigrants, alongside the development of new apartment buildings and innovative real estate models, has substantially altered the dynamics from six years ago. Consequently, it is vital to recalibrate district representation to align with these developments.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Support

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. **Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?**

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#843

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

As the number of permanent residents and immigrants has grown, and with the emergence of new apartment complexes and various real estate developments, the landscape has significantly changed compared to six years ago. It is crucial to update the district representation to reflect these changes.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. **Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?**

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#851

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

The growing number of permanent residents and the advent of new real estate projects, particularly new apartment buildings, have transformed the scene over the last six years. This necessitates a necessary adjustment in how districts are represented.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#852

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

The increase in both permanent residents and immigrants, alongside the development of new apartment buildings and innovative real estate models, has substantially altered the dynamics from six years ago. Consequently, it is vital to recalibrate district representation to align with these developments.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#853

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Support

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese

individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#858

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

With changes in the local resident population, the representation of each electoral district should be readjusted accordingly.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#859

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

With changes in the local resident population, the representation of each electoral district should be readjusted accordingly.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#860

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

With changes in the local resident population, the representation of each electoral district should be readjusted accordingly.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. **Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?**

#861

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

With changes in the local resident population, the representation of each electoral district should be readjusted accordingly.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#868

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

With changes in the local resident population, the representation of each electoral district should be readjusted accordingly.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#869

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

With changes in the local resident population, the representation of each electoral district should be readjusted accordingly.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#870

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

With changes in the local resident population, the representation of each electoral district should be readjusted accordingly.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#871

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

With changes in the local resident population, the representation of each electoral district should be readjusted accordingly.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#872

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

With changes in the local resident population, the representation of each electoral district should be readjusted accordingly.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#873

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

With changes in the local resident population, the representation of each electoral district should be readjusted accordingly.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#875

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

With changes in the local resident population, the representation of each electoral district should be readjusted accordingly.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#878

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

With more permanent residents and the introduction of new housing developments, including apartment buildings, the situation has evolved markedly since six years ago. There is a pressing need to revise the representation of each district to address these changes effectively.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

We understand that, in addition to the rules of fair representation, the government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. Importantly, they took into account the actual needs of society. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#879

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Given the rise in permanent residents and immigrants, coupled with the construction of new apartment buildings and the introduction of diverse real estate offerings, there have been considerable changes over the past six years. It is essential to reassess the representation for each district accordingly.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

We understand that, in addition to the rules of fair representation, the government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. Importantly, they took into account the actual needs of society. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#880

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

The growing number of permanent residents and the advent of new real estate projects, particularly new apartment buildings, have transformed the scene over the last six years. This necessitates a necessary adjustment in how districts are represented.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

We understand that, in addition to the rules of fair representation, the government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. Importantly, they took into account the actual needs of society. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#881

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

With the increase in permanent residents and immigrants, especially with the development of new apartment buildings and new types of real estate, significant changes have occurred compared to six years ago. It is very necessary to readjust the representation of each district.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

In addition to the rules of fair representation, the government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. Importantly, they took into account the actual needs of society. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#886

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

With the increase in permanent residents and immigrants, It is very necessary to readjust the representation of each district.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

With the increase in new real estate developments, the population has doubled. The changes in the electoral district reflect this significant shift, which is also important.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#887

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

With the increase in permanent residents and immigrants, especially with the development of new apartment buildings and new types of real estate, significant changes have occurred compared to six years ago. It is very necessary to readjust the representation of each district.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#888

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

The increase in both permanent residents and immigrants, alongside the development of new apartment buildings and innovative real estate models, has substantially altered the dynamics from six years ago. Consequently, it is vital to recalibrate district representation to align with these developments.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Support

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#889

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

With the increase in permanent residents and immigrants, especially with the development of new apartment buildings and new types of real estate, significant changes have occurred compared to six years ago. It is very necessary to readjust the representation of each district.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#892

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

The growing number of permanent residents and the advent of new real estate projects, particularly new apartment buildings, have transformed the scene over the last six years. This necessitates a necessary adjustment in how districts are represented.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

In addition to the rules of fair representation, the government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. Importantly, they took into account the actual needs of society. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#893

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

As the number of permanent residents and immigrants has grown, and with the emergence of new apartment complexes and various real estate developments, the landscape has significantly changed compared to six years ago. It is crucial to update the district representation to reflect these changes.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

In addition to the rules of fair representation, the government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. Importantly, they took into account the actual needs of society. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#894

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

The increase in both permanent residents and immigrants, alongside the development of new apartment buildings and innovative real estate models, has substantially altered the dynamics from six years ago. Consequently, it is vital to recalibrate district representation to align with these developments.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#899

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

The increase in both permanent residents and immigrants, alongside the development of new apartment buildings and innovative real estate models, has substantially altered the dynamics from six years ago. Consequently, it is vital to recalibrate district representation to align with these developments.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. **Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?**

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

With more permanent residents and the introduction of new housing developments, including apartment buildings, the situation has evolved markedly since six years ago. There is a pressing need to revise the representation of each district to address these changes effectively.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. **Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?**

   The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#901

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

The growing number of permanent residents and the advent of new real estate projects, particularly new apartment buildings, have transformed the scene over the last six years. This necessitates a necessary adjustment in how districts are represented.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#902

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

With the increase in permanent residents and immigrants, especially with the development of new apartment buildings and new types of real estate, significant changes have occurred compared to six years ago. It is very necessary to readjust the representation of each district.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#903

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#904

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Support

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#905

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

The growing number of permanent residents and the advent of new real estate projects, particularly new apartment buildings, have transformed the scene over the last six years. This necessitates a necessary adjustment in how districts are represented.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#906

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

With more permanent residents and the introduction of new housing developments, including apartment buildings, the situation has evolved markedly since six years ago. There is a pressing need to revise the representation of each district to address these changes effectively.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. **Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?**

   The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication.

#907

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#908

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

As the number of permanent residents and immigrants has grown, and with the emergence of new apartment complexes and various real estate developments, the landscape has significantly changed compared to six years ago. It is crucial to update the district representation to reflect these changes.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. **Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?**

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#909

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

As a Chinese community, we are eager to participate in the work of the district committee's management organization. Young people find it difficult to take on this representative work full-time, and elderly people face language barriers. The biggest issue is that the topics our community cares about are not given attention due to a lack of communication. We look forward to future discussions and efforts with the management committee to build a better community together.

#910

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Given the rise in permanent residents and immigrants, coupled with the construction of new apartment buildings and the introduction of diverse real estate offerings, there have been considerable changes over the past six years. It is essential to reassess the representation for each district accordingly.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. **Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?**

   As a Chinese community, we are eager to participate in the work of the district committee's management organization. Young people find it difficult to take on this representative work full-time, and elderly people face language barriers. The biggest issue is that the topics our community cares about are not given attention due to a lack of communication. We look forward to future discussions and efforts with the management committee to build a better community together.

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

With more permanent residents and the introduction of new housing developments, including apartment buildings, the situation has evolved markedly since six years ago. There is a pressing need to revise the representation of each district to address these changes effectively.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. **Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?**

   As a Chinese community, we are eager to participate in the work of the district committee's management organization. Young people find it difficult to take on this representative work full-time, and elderly people face language barriers. The biggest issue is that the topics our community cares about are not given attention due to a lack of communication. We look forward to future discussions and efforts with the management committee to build a better community together.

#912

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. **Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?**

   As a Chinese community, we are eager to participate in the work of the district committee's management organization. Young people find it difficult to take on this representative work full-time, and elderly people face language barriers. The biggest issue is that the topics our community cares about are not given attention due to a lack of communication. We look forward to future discussions and efforts with the management committee to build a better community together.

#913

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

The growing number of permanent residents and the advent of new real estate projects, particularly new apartment buildings, have transformed the scene over the last six years. This necessitates a necessary adjustment in how districts are represented.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. **Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?**

   As a Chinese community, we are eager to participate in the work of the district committee's management organization. Young people find it difficult to take on this representative work full-time, and elderly people face language barriers. The biggest issue is that the topics our community cares about are not given attention due to a lack of communication. We look forward to future discussions and efforts with the management committee to build a better community together.

#914

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

As a Chinese community, we are eager to participate in the work of the district committee's management organization. Young people find it difficult to take on this representative work

full-time, and elderly people face language barriers. The biggest issue is that the topics our community cares about are not given attention due to a lack of communication. We look forward to future discussions and efforts with the management committee to build a better community together.

#915

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

As a Chinese community, we are eager to participate in the work of the district committee's management organization. Young people find it difficult to take on this representative work

full-time, and elderly people face language barriers. The biggest issue is that the topics our community cares about are not given attention due to a lack of communication. We look forward to future discussions and efforts with the management committee to build a better community together.

#916

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

The local resident population has changed significantly in recent years due to new real estate developments and immigration. Compared to six years ago, it has altered considerably, so it is necessary to readjust the number of representatives in each electoral district.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#917

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

The local resident population has changed significantly in recent years due to new real estate developments and immigration. Compared to six years ago, it has altered considerably, so it is necessary to readjust the number of representatives in each electoral district.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. **Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?**

   As a Chinese community, we are eager to participate in the work of the district committee's management organization. Young people find it difficult to take on this representative work full-time, and elderly people face language barriers. The biggest issue is that the topics our community cares about are not given attention due to a lack of communication. We look forward to future discussions and efforts with the management committee to build a better community together.

#918

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

The local resident population has changed significantly in recent years due to new real estate developments and immigration. Compared to six years ago, it has altered considerably, so it is necessary to readjust the number of representatives in each electoral district.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#919

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

This year's development and changes in the immigrant situation have led to significant changes. We hope to readjust the representation accordingly.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

We support the government's consideration of other factors besides fair representation rules when introducing this proposal. We endorse this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

In the local Chinese community, we hope to have more effective channels and activities with the parliamentary and management bodies to build a better community.

#920

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

This year's development and changes in the immigrant situation have led to significant changes. We hope to readjust the representation accordingly.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

We support the government's consideration of other factors besides fair representation rules when introducing this proposal. We endorse this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

In the local Chinese community, we hope to have more effective channels and activities with the parliamentary and management bodies to build a better community.

#921

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

The local resident population has changed significantly in recent years due to new real estate developments and immigration. Compared to six years ago, it has altered considerably, so it is necessary to readjust the number of representatives in each electoral district.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#922

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Due to the rapid development of real estate and the increase in the number of immigrants in recent years, the local resident population has changed significantly compared to before. It is necessary to readjust the number of representatives in each electoral district.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#923

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

The local resident population has changed significantly in recent years due to new real estate developments and immigration. Compared to six years ago, it has altered considerably, so it is necessary to readjust the number of representatives in each electoral district.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

We support the government's consideration of other factors besides fair representation rules when introducing this proposal. We endorse this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. **Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?**

   As a Chinese community, we are eager to participate in the work of the district committee's management organization. Young people find it difficult to take on this representative work full-time, and elderly people face language barriers. The biggest issue is that the topics our community cares about are not given attention due to a lack of communication. We look forward to future discussions and efforts with the management committee to build a better community together.

#924

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Due to the rapid development of real estate and the increase in the number of immigrants in recent years, the local resident population has changed significantly compared to before. It is necessary to readjust the number of representatives in each electoral district.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. **Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?**

   As a Chinese community, we are eager to participate in the work of the district committee's management organization. Young people find it difficult to take on this representative work full-time, and elderly people face language barriers. The biggest issue is that the topics our community cares about are not given attention due to a lack of communication. We look forward to future discussions and efforts with the management committee to build a better community together.

#925

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese

individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#926

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Due to the rapid development of real estate and the increase in the number of immigrants in recent years, the local resident population has changed significantly compared to before. It is necessary to readjust the number of representatives in each electoral district.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#927

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Due to the rapid development of real estate and the increase in the number of immigrants in recent years, the local resident population has changed significantly compared to before. It is necessary to readjust the number of representatives in each electoral district.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#928

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Due to the rapid development of real estate and the increase in the number of immigrants in recent years, the local resident population has changed significantly compared to before. It is necessary to readjust the number of representatives in each electoral district.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#929

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Due to the rapid development of real estate and the increase in the number of immigrants in recent years, the local resident population has changed significantly compared to before. It is necessary to readjust the number of representatives in each electoral district.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#930

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

The local resident population has changed significantly in recent years due to new real estate developments and immigration. Compared to six years ago, it has altered considerably, so it is necessary to readjust the number of representatives in each electoral district.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#931

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

The local resident population has changed significantly in recent years due to new real estate developments and immigration. Compared to six years ago, it has altered considerably, so it is necessary to readjust the number of representatives in each electoral district.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#932

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

The local resident population has changed significantly in recent years due to new real estate developments and immigration. Compared to six years ago, it has altered considerably, so it is necessary to readjust the number of representatives in each electoral district.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#933

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

The local resident population has changed significantly in recent years due to new real estate developments and immigration. Compared to six years ago, it has altered considerably, so it is necessary to readjust the number of representatives in each electoral district.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Albany has recently seen many successful real estate developments. With the increase in apartment buildings, the number of residents has also multiplied. Therefore, it is necessary to adjust the representation of the electoral districts accordingly.

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#934

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Due to the rapid development of real estate and the increase in the number of immigrants in recent years, the local resident population has changed significantly compared to before. It is necessary to readjust the number of representatives in each electoral district.

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

I don't know

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

At the promotional seminar, we learned that in addition to the rules of fair representation, the city government also considered other factors when introducing this plan. One important factor was the actual needs of the community. We support this approach.

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

The local Chinese community is also very eager to participate in the work of the district committees and management organizations. Currently, it is difficult to find young Chinese individuals to engage in this representative work full-time, while older members face language barriers. A more significant obstacle is that many issues of concern to the Chinese community have not gained sufficient attention from the management, resulting in a lack of communication. We hope that in the future, there will be more effective channels for interaction with the district councils and management organizations, so we can work together to build a better community.

#991

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#992

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Other

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Other

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1058

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Support

Tell us why

Better administration. Easier for public to comprehend.

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

Same as 2A

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

Same as 2A

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1636

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Support

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#1637

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Support

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#2346

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Support

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Support

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#2347

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Support

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Support

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Other

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#2351

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Support

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

Support

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Support

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#2352

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Support

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

Support

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Support

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?

#2353

How we represent you:
Auckland Council representation review

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Kaipātiki

Your feedback

Representation review initial proposal

1. Overall, what is your opinion of the changes outlined in the representation review initial proposal?

Support

Tell us why

Changes to wards from the 2022 elections

2A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the *Central Auckland wards* (maps 1.1 to 1.4)?

Support

Tell us why

2B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the North Shore and Albany wards (map 1.5)?

Support

Tell us why

3A. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Rodney Local Board subdivisions (map 2.1 and 2.2)?

I don't know

Tell us why

3B. What is your opinion of the proposed changes to the Howick Local Board subdivisions (map 3)?

I don't know

Tell us why

Non-complying subdivisions

4. Do you have any feedback on the proposal to maintain some subdivision arrangements that are currently not compliant with the rule for fairness of representation?

Support

Local board boundaries

5A. We are proposing that Saunders Reserve, currently split across Devonport-Takapuna and Upper Harbour Local Boards, will be fully in the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5B. We are proposing to move part of Kereru Reserve, currently split across Kaipātiki and Upper Harbour Local Boards, to the Upper Harbour Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5C. We are proposing to shift the section of the Upper Harbour / Kaipātiki boundary that lies between Caribbean Drive and Albany Highway southwards, so it follows a road boundary (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5D. We are proposing to align Bayview, currently split across Upper Harbour and Kaipātiki Local Boards, to the Kaipātiki Local Board (map 4.1). What is your opinion of this proposal?

Support

Tell us why

5E. We are proposing to align Taumanu Reserve (Onehunga), currently split across Puketāpapa and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Boards, to the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board (map 4.2). What is your opinion of this proposal?

I don't know

Tell us why

6. Do you have any other feedback about how you and your community can be better represented through local boards and the governing body?