Auckland Council
Te Kaunihera o Tāmaki Makaurau

Date: Monday, 30 May 2022

Government's new housing rules: what it means for Auckland

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board

WRITTEN FEEDBACK Vol. 1 (17-1881)

Sub #	Submitter Name	Organisation	Local Board	Volume
17	Barry Jones		Hibiscus and Bays	1
73	Pieter Engelbrecht		Hibiscus and Bays	1
169	Ej Wrench		Hibiscus and Bays	1
187	K Summers		Hibiscus and Bays	1
234	Alison Ferguson		Hibiscus and Bays	1
251	Fiona Mackenzie		Hibiscus and Bays	1
264	Timothy Watt		Hibiscus and Bays	1
280	Sonja Bothma		Hibiscus and Bays	1
282	Jess Hulena		Hibiscus and Bays	1
308	Joseph Herde		Hibiscus and Bays	1
312	Steven Barker		Hibiscus and Bays	1
340	Allan Brown		Hibiscus and Bays	1
346	Jan Strijker		Hibiscus and Bays	1
372	James Buckwell Masefield		Hibiscus and Bays	1
424	Bev Cumming		Hibiscus and Bays	1
431	Larraine Harris		Hibiscus and Bays	1
434	Alfred Reynolds		Hibiscus and Bays	1
451	Hugh Anderson		Hibiscus and Bays	1
477	MARIANA GUALBERTO		Hibiscus and Bays	1
500	Patrick Learmonth		Hibiscus and Bays	1
523	Serge Shakhov		Hibiscus and Bays	1
548	Max Thomson		Hibiscus and Bays	1
564	Alex van Dam		Hibiscus and Bays	1
581	Christina Dunbar		Hibiscus and Bays	1
587	Hazel Hipkins		Hibiscus and Bays	1
594	Grant Hodgson		Hibiscus and Bays	1
615	Kathy Mcilwain		Hibiscus and Bays	1
616	Geoff Wood		Hibiscus and Bays	1
632	Carrie McColl		Hibiscus and Bays	1
633	clive sergeant		Hibiscus and Bays	1
653	Francis Caetano		Hibiscus and Bays	1
677	Colin Burrows		Hibiscus and Bays	1
681	Michael Nettleship		Hibiscus and Bays	1
701	Bruce Hurley		Hibiscus and Bays	1
725	Gill Maynard		Hibiscus and Bays	1
737	Jodie Piggott		Hibiscus and Bays	1
747	youngho oh		Hibiscus and Bays	1
748	Peter Martin		Hibiscus and Bays	1
760	John de Lacey		Hibiscus and Bays	1
801	Peter Pearce		Hibiscus and Bays	1
835	Benet Chin		Hibiscus and Bays	1
841	Amy Milbourn		Hibiscus and Bays	1
862	Jane Roberts		Hibiscus and Bays	1
930	Maria Bellringer		Hibiscus and Bays	1
945	Michael Lavender		Hibiscus and Bays	1
970	Julian Simpson		Hibiscus and Bays	1
987	Burkhard Wuensche		Hibiscus and Bays	1
1001	Terry Tolich		Hibiscus and Bays	1
1041	Sheryl Edwards		Hibiscus and Bays	1
1043	Cherie Veza		Hibiscus and Bays	1
1049	Matt Daly		Hibiscus and Bays	1
1089	Jannik Wittgen		Hibiscus and Bays	1
1145	Desmond Fox		Hibiscus and Bays	1
1171	Beth Willmott		Hibiscus and Bays	1
1180	Andrea Stiles		Hibiscus and Bays	1
1251	Mike Rogan		Hibiscus and Bays	1
1280	Kevin Hall		Hibiscus and Bays	1

Sub #	Submitter Name	Organisation	Local Board	Volume
1284	Rebecca Falconer		Hibiscus and Bays	1
1285	Barry Hannah		Hibiscus and Bays	1
1309	Carol Johnson		Hibiscus and Bays	1
1341	Dean Lupton		Hibiscus and Bays	1
1342	Allan Bell		Hibiscus and Bays	1
1343	Robert Brown		Hibiscus and Bays	1
1361	WADE ALEXANDER		Hibiscus and Bays	1
1375	Roland O'Driscoll		Hibiscus and Bays	1
1390	D B		Hibiscus and Bays	1
1460	Paula Luijken		Hibiscus and Bays	1
1490	Debra Dowd		Hibiscus and Bays	1
1505	Jenny Hardyment		Hibiscus and Bays	1
1509	Lynda Blanchard		Hibiscus and Bays	1
1512	Kerry Hart		Hibiscus and Bays	1
1521	Lynda Morton		Hibiscus and Bays	1
1533	Graeme Legg		Hibiscus and Bays	1
1558	Sue Bright		Hibiscus and Bays	1
1561	Brent Godfrey		Hibiscus and Bays	1
1565	Robyn Voss		Hibiscus and Bays	1
1567	Greg Hughes		Hibiscus and Bays	1
1611	Sally Mitchell		Hibiscus and Bays	1
1623	K Welch		Hibiscus and Bays	1
1633	Jean-luc Marrier-D'Unienville		Hibiscus and Bays	1
1677	wayne coupland		Hibiscus and Bays	1
1678	Kerri Fergusson		Hibiscus and Bays	1
1680	PETER HARLAND		Hibiscus and Bays	1
1691	Jessica Tully		Hibiscus and Bays	1
1718	Stephen Firth		Hibiscus and Bays	1
1730	Mark Smith		Hibiscus and Bays	1
1750	Vanessa Lacassie		Hibiscus and Bays	1
1759	Noone Special		Hibiscus and Bays	1
1821	Anon Anon		Hibiscus and Bays	1
1828	Stacey Bishop		Hibiscus and Bays	1
1836	Steven Green		Hibiscus and Bays	1
1844	Yuval Ararat		Hibiscus and Bays	1
1881	Jeanette McGrath		Hibiscus and Bays	1

#17

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Barry Jones

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

In general I agree with the proposals above. But I believe that these changes should only complement most of the existing Unitary Plan rules which benefit us all. In particular I believe that it is essential to retain the minimum access/driveway widths, referred to in Table E27.6.4.3.2 (page 38) of the current Unitary Plan website reference https://unitaryplan.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/Images/Auckland%20Unitary%20Plan%20Operative/Chapter%20E%20Auckland-wide/4.%20Infrastructure/E27%20Transport.pdf so as to not allow subdivisions which do not meet those access/driveway width requirements. I would object to minimum access/driveway widths being reduced.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#73

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Pieter Engelbrecht

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Other

Tell us why

I do support this but there should be more support for commuters on electric and pedal bicycles as well as electric scooters. This will help reduce the city's carbon footprint as well.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

With adequate, safe bike lanes commuters will be able to use electric and pedal bicycles as well as electric scooters. The distance can then be expanded to areas like Grey Lynn, Ponsonby, Westmere, Point Chevalier for high density apartments.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

800 meters is an easy walkable distance even for people with disabilities but as per my previous feedback, think about support for electric and pedal bicycles as well as electric scooters.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

That distance can be extended further because 400m from large town centers is very close, it will limit the number of apartments that can be build. Albany area works well because there are a lot of open space but in Parnell it might not work well due to limited space. Extend it to at least 2km.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

That distance can be extended further because 400m from small town centers is very close, it will limit the number of apartments that can be build.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

We are a modern city that needs to keep up with expansion requirements to accommodate our growing population. If we exclude certain areas because of "special character" we might end up with years of delays which we cannot afford anymore. Architects can design these apartments to be either more modern looking or to fit into these "special character" areas.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Feedback from previous point - We are a modern city that needs to keep up with expansion requirements to accommodate our growing population. If we exclude certain areas because of "special character" we might end up with years of delays which we cannot afford anymore. Architects can design these apartments to be either more modern looking or to fit into these "special character" areas.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

We are a modern city that needs to keep up with expansion requirements to accommodate our growing population. If we exclude certain areas because of "special character" we might end up with years of delays which we cannot afford anymore. Architects can design these apartments to be either more modern looking or to fit into these "special character" areas.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

It does not help to build these high rise apartments in Pukekohe or Helensville. It might be good for the area but it is miles out of the city, it will just be a further burden on the council to provide efficient transport options as well as all other constraints that might be faced.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#169

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Ej Wrench

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Need more housing

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Need more housing

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Need more housing

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Need more housing

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Need more housing

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Poor design historically and poor build quality

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are areas that should not be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Poor design and build quality

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think less areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Ridge line is a joke look what you did to the long bay ridge - view lines are bs - built form controls need to be more substantial with substantial natural areas (parks with trees and wetlands)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#187

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: K Summers

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

I believe our heritage buildings need to be protected.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft

- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Rezoning of Residential large lot adjacent to Urban zoning.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#234

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Alison Ferguson

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

This is one of the most serious items. In March 2022, there was a significant rainfall event in Auckland and it was very clear that the stormwater network could not cope with this, and designs for stormwater management for many many homes was not up to scratch. More housing development will mean more concrete and less ground absorption and management of stormwater. This needs to be treated as a priority. The major arterial roads cannot flood, this prevents emergency services getting through and costs many many hundreds of thousands of dollars of damage.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay

- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#251

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Fiona Mackenzie

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Other

Tell us why

I do not support. High density with pathetic parking and outdoor facilities is ugly and impractical when most people's lives are more complex than the local bus/train station allows for. It offers nothing for the environment, families or nearby communities.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

I do not support. High density with pathetic parking and outdoor facilities is ugly and impractical when most people's lives are more complex than the local bus/train station allows for. It offers nothing for the environment, families or nearby communities.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Other

Tell us why

I do not support. High density with pathetic parking and outdoor facilities is ugly and impractical when most people's lives are more complex than the local bus/train station allows for. It offers nothing for the environment, families or nearby communities.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

I do not support. High density with pathetic parking and outdoor facilities is ugly and impractical when most people's lives are more complex than the local bus/train station allows for. It offers nothing for the environment, families or nearby communities.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

I do not support. High density with pathetic parking and outdoor facilities is ugly and impractical when most people's lives are more complex than the local bus/train station allows for. It offers nothing for the environment, families or nearby communities.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government’s direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

‘Special character areas’ are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland’s older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

10a. Other comments

Oppose the "amending" of the Unitary Plan to fit with this badly designed ACT (Enabling Housing and Supply Amendment).

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#264

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Timothy Watt

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

I do not support the governments mandate to increase intensification at all.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

I do not support the governments mandate to increase intensification at all.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

I do not support the governments mandate to increase intensification at all.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

I do not support the governments mandate to increase intensification at all.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

I do not support the governments mandate to increase intensification at all.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

I do not support the governments mandate to increase intensification at all.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Other

Tell us why

I do not support the governments mandate to increase intensification at all.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Other

Tell us why

I do not support the governments mandate to increase intensification at all.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

I do not support the governments mandate to increase intensification at all.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

I do not support the governments mandate to increase intensification at all.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#280

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Sonja Bothma

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

My opinion is solely based on what I've seen in other countries. I'm sure there's a way to design and apply strict consents to prevent some of the unwanted side effects of densely populated areas, but I doubt if the government's put enough future thinking into this. It feels way too rushed to have had the proper rule considerations.

Where apartments are concentrated in an area, there is less greenery and the area looks lifeless and dull. Those who can afford it will move to more livable areas causing the housing to become low cost in future.

Unfortunately this is a generalization, but from what I've experienced, concentrated low cost housing results in more crime and other unwanted elements. People will avoid using the bus stations and centres because it will be nasty, dirty and unsafe

I think not concentrating the multi story apartments in a specific area but dotting them around, one per bus stop for instance will be a better idea

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

My opinion is solely based on what I've seen in other countries. I'm sure there's a way to design and apply strict consents to prevent some of the unwanted side effects of densely populated areas, but I doubt if the government's put enough future thinking into this. It feels way too rushed to have had the proper rule considerations.

Where apartments are concentrated in an area, there is less greenery and the area looks lifeless and dull. Those who can afford it will move to more livable areas causing the housing to become low cost in future.

Unfortunately this is a generalization, but from what I've experienced, concentrated low cost housing results in more crime and other unwanted elements. People will avoid using the bus stations and centres because it will be nasty, dirty and unsafe

I think not concentrating the multi story apartments in a specific area but dotting them around, one per bus stop for instance will be a better idea

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

My opinion is solely based on what I've seen in other countries. I'm sure there's a way to design and apply strict consents to prevent some of the unwanted side effects of densely populated areas, but I doubt if the government's put enough future thinking into this. It feels way too rushed to have had the proper rule considerations.

Where apartments are concentrated in an area, there is less greenery and the area looks lifeless and dull. Those who can afford it will move to more livable areas causing the housing to become low cost in future.

Unfortunately this is a generalization, but from what I've experienced, concentrated low cost housing results in more crime and other unwanted elements. People will avoid using the bus stations and centres because it will be nasty, dirty and unsafe

I think not concentrating the multi story apartments in a specific area but dotting them around, one per bus stop for instance will be a better idea

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

My opinion is solely based on what I've seen in other countries. I'm sure there's a way to design and apply strict consents to prevent some of the unwanted side effects of densely populated areas, but I doubt if the government's put enough future thinking into this. It feels way too rushed to have had the proper rule considerations.

Where apartments are concentrated in an area, there is less greenery and the area looks lifeless and dull. Those who can afford it will move to more livable areas causing the housing to become low cost in future.

Unfortunately this is a generalization, but from what I've experienced, concentrated low cost housing results in more crime and other unwanted elements. People will avoid using the bus stations and centres because it will be nasty, dirty and unsafe

I think not concentrating the multi story apartments in a specific area but dotting them around, one per bus stop for instance will be a better idea

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

200m sounds reasonable, although the concentration of these in an area will still make the area less livable and dull. Having strict rules around green space, light and good design might help

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more)

within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

The roads in the older suburbs do not support current traffic volumes, adding to that will be ridiculous

A bit of a side vent for whoever cares to read this novel :D

Most people even when using public transport for daily commutes have, or would like to have a car to explore in. We need to address the fact that apartments and cookie-cutter houses these days don't have adequate parking space and are blocking the roads. There are so many suburbs only left with a single lane for bi-directional traffic due to cars parking on the street. At first I found it intriguing, now I just find it baffling!

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#282

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Jess Hulena

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

I don't properly understand what a qualifying matter is and the GIS map doesn't seem to properly represent areas that are special character.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Devonport should not be allowed to intensify, it would ruin the character of it. the entirety of should be protected

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

As long as this isn't a cop out to not invest in wider Auckland (especially north Auckland) services that are already by far lacking.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Devonport to be protected as special character. No intensification.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#308

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Joseph Herde

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft

- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#312

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Steven Barker

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Auckland is a dump. The proposal will make it worse.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Auckland is a dump. The proposal will make it worse.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Auckland is a dump. The proposal will make it worse.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Auckland is a dump. The proposal will make it worse.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Auckland is a dump. The proposal will make it worse.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

We need to save what little we have.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Auckland is a dump. The proposal will make it worse.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

This government will go away soon. In the meantime stall for time.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#340

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Allan Brown

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#346

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Jan Strijker

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

This is an acceptable distance.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Please DO NOT touch the character villas areas! DO NOT touch those anywhere!

There are plenty of areas where developers can really get their hands dirty and develop.

For example, high-density housing in the Milldale and adjacent development areas, with light rail and bus access.

That is where the focus of developers should be.

Do not dilute the little character that is left of Auckland's inner suburbs.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

There is very little left of Auckland's character housing.

Please do not destroy more of Auckland's character for the sake of enriching developers!

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#372

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: James Buckwell Masefield

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

There is need for more housing - we should be thinking bigger picture and further into the future. Intensification should reach further however the supporting infrastructure needs to be there to support. I fully support the removal of curbside parking and think there needs to be more cycle lanes.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

There is need for more housing - we should be thinking bigger picture and further into the future. Intensification should reach further however the supporting infrastructure needs to be there to support. I fully support the removal of curbside parking and think there needs to be more cycle lanes.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

There is need for more housing - we should be thinking bigger picture and further into the future. Intensification should reach further however the supporting infrastructure needs to be there to support. I fully support the removal of curbside parking and think there needs to be more cycle lanes.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

There is need for more housing - we should be thinking bigger picture and further into the future. Intensification should reach further however the supporting infrastructure needs to be there to support. I fully support the removal of curbside parking and think there needs to be more cycle lanes.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

There is need for more housing - we should be thinking bigger picture and further into the future. Intensification should reach further however the supporting infrastructure needs to be there to support. I fully support the removal of curbside parking and think there needs to be more cycle lanes.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

I think special character buildings should be much lower on the priority list compared to future issues of traffic, housing etc

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

I think special character buildings should be much lower on the priority list compared to future issues of traffic, housing etc

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

I think special character buildings should be much lower on the priority list compared to future issues of traffic, housing etc

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

I support this as it may be very costly to create the infrastructure for this but it is better to do it now than keep kicking the can down the road.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#424

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Bev Cumming

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

It makes sense

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

It makes sense

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

It makes sense

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

It makes sense as long as the buildings fit with the character of the environment and that the impact on existing residents is minimal

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

It makes sense as long as the buildings fit with the character of the environment and that the impact on existing residents is minimal

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

A lot of effort has been put into the classification of special interest areas and theses need to be recognised

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

It makes sense as long as the buildings fit with the character of the environment and that the impact on existing residents is minimal

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Makes sense that issues with existing infrastructure are taken into consideration

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across

areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

No comments

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#431

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Larraine Harris

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#434

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Alfred Reynolds

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#451

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Hugh Anderson

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

This will impinge on many suburban areas, which could mean a loss of sunlight, trees, larger gardens and open spaces.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

This will impinge on many suburban areas, which could mean a loss of sunlight, trees, larger gardens and open spaces.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

This will impinge on many suburban areas, which could mean a loss of sunlight, trees, larger gardens and open spaces.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

This will impinge on many suburban areas, which could mean a loss of sunlight, trees, larger gardens and open spaces.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

I think this proposal should be scrapped altogether.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

The map i viewed showed no special character areas - just a map of the Auckland area with no coloured areas.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

The map showed me none of these areas.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#477

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: MARIANA GUALBERTO

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

I think resource consent should be needed. More control on the type of construction. A lot of new Terrace houses are not well planned. Garage/Storage/Access from street not well designed.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

I think resource consent should be needed. More control on the type of construction. A lot of new Terrace houses are not well planned. Garage/Storage/Access from street not well designed.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

This is crucial for ongoing planning. High density without the proper infrastructure has devastating effects, like floods for example.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay

- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Sunlight, green areas per capita, parking, sidewalks, cycleways, public spaces all need to be considered.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Patrick Learmonth

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

not sure what you mean by "edge of city centre" though

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

this is nimbyism! Satisfies priviledged people.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are areas that should not be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft

- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#523

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Serge Shakhov

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think less areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#548

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Max Thomson

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Added to the distance from the city centre to the edge of same, it is a long walk for residents without a vehicle.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

800 metres covers a very large area, especially as the "edge of metropolitan centres" is not clear to me. 800 metres is a long walk for elderly residents with large shopping bags full of groceries (presuming it is targetting residents without vehicles.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

500 metres to a rapid transit stop is adequate for elderly residents.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

400 metres from the very centre means less than that on average.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

It seems to be a reasonable distance but maybe it should be a transition to that rather than a simple boundary.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

We need to protect some of the better character of many parts of Auckland.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

I see very little blue in Devonport, an area that needs more special character protection for example.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

From my limited scan of the city there appears to be inadequate protection of Auckland's special character.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Otherwise we risk excess infrastructure expenditure or failing infrastructure.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Those additional qualifying matters make very good sense. Maybe specific recognition of Maori values should be taken into account as well.

Areas adjacent to parks should also have limitations.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#564

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Alex van Dam

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Many old people will live in these areas. Less than 1000 metres would be better.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** I don't know

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** I don't know

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure must be adequate.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft

- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

no

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#581

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Christina Dunbar

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#587

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Hazel Hipkins

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

It is needed

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** I don't know

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#594

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Grant Hodgson

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

15 min walk. Ok time.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

1200m is about a 15 min walk

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

1200m is a 15 min walk

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

400m is better

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Important to keep some of the historical soul of an area

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Retains the historical soul of an area

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Historical soul of area

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#615

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Kathy Mcilwain

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Good distance as most people can walk this.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Good distance as most people can walk this.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Easy for most to do.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Close to travel and shops.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

Bad idea in smaller centres. No one wants an apartment on top of transit in a smaller location. It's ugly.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Everyone has to put up with this nonsense including wealthy living in qualifying areas.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Your map didn’t work.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Your map didn’t work.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Finally someone is considering infrastructure before ramming a million people into a square kilometer.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how

they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

No. It's pretty much a done deal, eh?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#616

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Geoff Wood

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

But believe there is a need for more attention to be given to water supply need growth over the next 30 years in the Hibiscus Coast region and alternative transportation — light rail? to a Silverdale area terminus. There needs to be a terminus HUB away from current Silverdale HUB as too much traffic is being funnelled there. Pen link will help but not with my read of proposed growth.

A second harbour crossing must be started within decade and it must be designed for mass transit.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay

- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

I can not understand why we are so slow delivering and overpriced on infrastructure projects. There is something that needs to be sorted soon to bring on needed infrastructure projects. I compare with Sydney's new western rail project, and the Manila Metro and light rail projects as examples.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#632

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Carrie McColl

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

The older parts of the city I'm familiar with seem to have been considered (Northcote Pt/Birkenhead Pt/Taka/Devonport/Ponsonby/Herne Bay/Grey Lynn/Mt Eden/Parnell etc). Hoping this is applied citywide to any areas with character homes/areas

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

We should already have a tube system, whatever needs to be done to connect this city should be a qualifying matter.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft

- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#633

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: clive sergeant

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#653

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Francis Caetano

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Most cities around the world have high density close to the CBD which are well serviced by public transport - also conducive to to more density as long as adequate green spaces and parks

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Again these larger metropolitan areas are well services by regular public transport and this maximizes the uptake - also conducive to to more density as long as adequate green spaces and parks

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

To support maximum uptake of public transport - also conducive to to more density as long as adequate green spaces and parks

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Must ensure adequate green spaces and parks and roading infrastructure - for example Glenfield lack all these therefore suggest only within 200m.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

This will destroy the character of these small centre where people choose to live - with a relatively longer commute to CBDs therefore I suggest reduced to 100m only otherwise will loose village character and cause even more congestion

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Central government is killing off our heritage - no we do not want that need to retain that as I have seen when travelling overseas where they have retained these heritage areas that attract tourists - if this proceeds why would you ever visit Auckland

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Central government is killing off our heritage - no we do not want that need to retain that as I have seen when travelling overseas where they have retained these heritage areas that attract tourists - if this proceeds why would you ever visit Auckland

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Central government is killing off our heritage - no we do not want that need to retain that as I have seen when travelling overseas where they have retained these heritage areas that attract tourists - if this proceeds why would you ever visit Auckland

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Council is already facing significant affordability issues - to put this infrastructure in these areas is unaffordable and a waste of ratepayer funds

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#677

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Colin Burrows

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Good to have high density housing cloe to transport hubs,but should also include the ferry wharfs

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Good as long as it includes all transport hubs,bus,train and ferry

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

As above -Good as long as it includes all transport hubs,bus,train and ferry

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

I do not support these ,it wiil end up a getto

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

As above -I do not support these ,it wiil end up a getto

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

The current power, water,waste,schools,cannot support it

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay

- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#681

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Michael Nettleship

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

15min walk feels right

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

10 min walk feels right

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

people can walk 15mins to rapid transit

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

crazy that this already isn't done

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

crazy that this already isn't done

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

because that is where intensity should be and should not be held hostage to NIMBY's

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

hard to argue with

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

the viewshafts are ridiculous and should be removed and not a qualifying matter

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#701

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Bruce Hurley

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

15 min walk is reasonable and within the capabilities of most people

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Do not see any reason to differentiate between city and metropolitan centres

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Do not see any reason to differentiate between city centres and rapid transit stops

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

800m (10min walk) seems reasonable. Demand will quasi set a closer limit.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

400m (5min walk) seems reasonable. Demand will quasi set a closer limit.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Room for compromise

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Only deferring an inevitable upgrade

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay

- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Nil

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#725

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Gill Maynard

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

You have the knowledge about how far people will walk to make this work.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

People need homes. Young people and old people need accessibility to public transport. We need to reduce car use.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Homes, homes, homes!

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

I agree in part. This would be lovely if it was a level playing field but those character areas are full of the wealthy folks and the other areas are not. Those rich folks are happy to rip out everything inside their character homes and put hideous extensions on the backs of their villas and just leave the front shell but heaven forbid an affordable small apartment block goes into the area.

Meanwhile people who depend on their veg gardens for food may lose their sunlight. The rich shouldn't have the loudest voices but no doubt they are complaining already!

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

I know that the Heritage department has been working flat out identifying these areas. I trust their expertise. But it will be down to the loudest voices as usual.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Makes sense. No point putting people in to a place with no public transport or facilities. Just creates more traffic gridlock elsewhere. Te atatū! Newmarket traffic.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

All good. Thanks for all your hard work, knowledge and fairmindedness. Good luck with the nimbys!

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#737

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Jodie Piggott

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** I don't know

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** I don't know

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** I don't know

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft

- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#747

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: youngho oh

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Must consider beyond 2 dimensional, should consider slope and level. And also seasonal and weather difference. There will be windy and rainy days. Does average person covers elderly, minor, disabled and also healthy person not at one's best condition on the day? 1.2 km is too far to walk.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Must consider beyond 2 dimensional, should consider slope and level. And also seasonal and weather difference. There will be windy and rainy days. Does average person covers elderly, minor, disabled and also healthy person not at one's best condition on the day? 1.2 km is too far to walk.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** I don't know

Tell us why

Must consider beyond 2 dimensional, should consider slope and level. And also seasonal and weather difference. There will be windy and rainy days. Does average person covers elderly, minor, disabled and also healthy person not at one's best condition on the day? 1.2 km is too far to walk.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

Only if you can solve infrastructure and traffic issue. How are you going to deal with car parking requirement which is already problematic with little intensification?

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

Only if you can solve infrastructure and traffic issue. How are you going to deal with car parking requirement which is already problematic with little intensification?

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#748

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Peter Martin

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

It is a good idea to build housing with a greater density rather than increase city limits every year

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

People should be encouraged to live closer to public transport so they can use it more often

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

People should be encouraged to live closer to public transport so they can use it more often

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

the distance is about right as long as any proposed developments are well planned to suit there environment

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

the distance is about right as long as any proposed developments are well planned to suit there environment

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

some of Auckland's character houses should be retained for the future.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

the zones are about right for Auckland's future needs

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

the zones are about right for Auckland's future needs

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Auckland needs infrastructure that meets future needs

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

no

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#760

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: John de Lacey

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Should be 750m

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Should be 400m

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

400m

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay

- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

All existing single house zones

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#801

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Peter Pearce

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

OK for CBD not necessarily the same for other transport hubs.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#835

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Benet Chin

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Other

Tell us why

I do not support any intensification. Infrastructure is insufficient as it is. This will only compound problems.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

I do not support any intensification. Infrastructure cannot support this.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Other

Tell us why

I do not support any intensification. Infrastructure cannot support this.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

I do not support any intensification. Infrastructure cannot support this.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

I do not support any intensification. Infrastructure cannot support this.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

Qualification not necessary if no intensification.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Other

Tell us why

Qualification not necessary if no intensification.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Other

Tell us why

Qualification not necessary if no intensification.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

There should be no intensification. There is insufficient infrastructure in Auckland as shown by the drought last year.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how

they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#841

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Amy Milbourn

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

It seems a feasible and convenient distance. Any further away will force people to use cars for anything that involves carrying things, etc.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

I think 800m to 1000m is far enough

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

I think for small town centres, there would need to be some supporting infrastructure (parking, ease for road congestion) taken into account. I think 200m for small local centre seems too wide where these places aren't set up for such high density.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

I can’t see them on the map

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Absolutely support this

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay

- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#862

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Jane Roberts

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#930

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Maria Bellringer

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

We must remember trees and greenery are important for reducing carbon as for biodiversity - these should be incorporated where possible even close to city centres and transit stops.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

For most people walking further than 800 meters would be healthy.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

For most people walking further than 800 meters would be healthy.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Currently, large old sections are being subdivided into housing estates. Trees and biodiversity are being lost. This is not ecologically sound nor environmentally friendly. In my area alone (Red Beach) large multi -acre sections have been subdivided when the owners die or move on. The bird life, insect life and reptile life are decimated. People can walk further, they are just not used to it.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Currently, large old sections are being subdivided into housing estates. Trees and biodiversity are being lost. This is not ecologically sound nor environmentally friendly. In my area alone (Red Beach) large multi -acre sections have been subdivided when the owners die or move on. The bird life, insect life and reptile life are decimated. People can walk further, they are just not used to it.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Currently, large old sections are being subdivided into housing estates. Trees and biodiversity are being lost. This is not ecologically sound nor environmentally friendly. In my area alone (Red Beach) large multi -acre sections have been subdivided when the owners die or move on. The bird life, insect life and reptile life are decimated. People can walk further, they are just not used to it.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

We should protect our heritage, trees and wildlife.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

We should protect our heritage, trees and wildlife.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

It is important to consider infrastructure. Too often, housing has been built with no consideration as to how the water pipes, sewerage etc will cope not to mention impaction of ground leading to water run off, removal of trees and vegetation leading to water run off etc. Also, road networks.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#945

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Michael Lavender

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** I don't know

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** I don't know

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** I don't know

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#970

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Julian Simpson

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Auckland is a terrible city for walking. Don't listen to nimbus.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

the metropolitan areas need to be built up, and useful.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

It's a complete no-brainer. Make it easy to live near transport.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Make it so people can be close to amenities.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Let people live close to amenities, make restaurants and cafes.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

they should be supported on their merits, not simply because they're old

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

I don't understand - a screenshot would have helped

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

I can't tell from the map

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Let's not build Dubai

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#987

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Burkhard Wuensche

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Should be much closer and only where infrastructure supports it. Already now we have widespread flooding during heavy rain due to surface sealing. Wastewater system is not designed for such intensification. Also, buildings must have sufficient car parks or residents must be forced not to own a car - otherwise it will only lead to residents parking on the road and clocking up streets, which results in more accidents and pollution.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Should be much closer and only where infrastructure supports it. Already now we have widespread flooding during heavy rain due to surface sealing. Wastewater system is not designed for such intensification. Also, buildings must have sufficient car parks or residents must be forced not to own a car - otherwise it will only lead to residents parking on the road and clocking up streets, which results in more accidents and pollution.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Should be much closer and only where infrastructure supports it. Already now we have widespread flooding during heavy rain due to surface sealing. Wastewater system is not designed for such intensification. Also, buildings must have sufficient car parks or residents must be forced not to own a car - otherwise it will only lead to residents parking on the road and clocking up streets, which results in more accidents and pollution.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Should be much closer and only where infrastructure supports it. Already now we have widespread flooding during heavy rain due to surface sealing. Wastewater system is not designed for such intensification. Also, buildings must have sufficient car parks or residents must be forced not to own a car - otherwise it will only lead to residents parking on the road and clocking up streets, which results in more accidents and pollution.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Should be much closer and only where infrastructure supports it. Already now we have widespread flooding during heavy rain due to surface sealing. Wastewater system is not designed for such intensification. Also, buildings must have sufficient car parks or residents must be forced not to own a car - otherwise it will only lead to residents parking on the road and clocking up streets, which results in more accidents and pollution.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government’s direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

‘Special character areas’ are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland’s older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Character housing is what makes Auckland livable - if people would want to live in a high-rise city there are plenty of options available in Asia.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Other

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Most of Auckland is unsuitable for intensification as demonstrated by wide-spread flooding after heavy rain. Also Auckland's population is shrinking now due to reduced quality of life (traffic, bad quality of buildings, etc.). There is no point in making it even worse by intensifying more. Intensification must be achieved by specially designed satellite suburbs with adequate infrastructure and good transport connections. Such satellite suburbs could be built 20+ stories high since people have a choice to live there.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Terry Tolich

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

I want to limit the opportunity as much as possible for the creation of slums that this government planning requirement facilitates.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

I want to limit the opportunity as much as possible for the creation of slums that this government planning requirement facilitates.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

I want to limit the opportunity as much as possible for the creation of slums that this government planning requirement facilitates.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

I want to limit the opportunity as much as possible for the creation of slums that this government planning requirement facilitates.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

I want to limit the opportunity as much as possible for the creation of slums that this government planning requirement facilitates.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

It is important to retain the character of these areas. Large new build multi unit dwellings would clash with this character.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

The larger the area that qualifies as special character, the less opportunity there is for poor quality new build multi unit development.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

The larger the area that qualifies as special business character, the less opportunity there is for poor quality new build multi unit development.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

This should cover most of Auckland …..

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Any additional restrictions on this poorly conceived government requirement are a positive.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1041

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Sheryl Edwards

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Should be in heart of city if any further high rise

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Closing city in to much

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Other

Tell us why

Depends what rapid transit stops are being considered

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

Too claustrophobic,

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

Definite NO. We have enjoyed an open environment and the individual small town centres relaxed uniqueness

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

We have already seen in many areas the demolition of character homes that give a unique look to our city, we don't want to end up in a concrete jungle, 'coronation streets'

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Other

Tell us why

We have already seen in many areas the demolition of character homes that give a unique look to our city, we don't want to end up in a concrete jungle, 'coronation streets'

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think less areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Depends on each individual areas and in keeping with the present surrounds

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Definitely the infrastructure needs to be paramount, we are already on overload

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how

they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Overall….we need to retain some of Auckland's uniqueness which makes our city stand apart from other countries. These feedbacks appear to be a token offer to the community to try and justify radical changes that certain bodies have already set their own agendas!

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1043

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Cherie Veza

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

what about areas like Army Bay that have big sections that could be used for extra housing, there are bus stops, ferries that run in the vicinity

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft

- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1049

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Matt Daly

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

I think this is a fair and reasonable amount of time to have to walk

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

I think this is a fair and reasonable amount of time to have to walk

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

I think this is a fair and reasonable amount of time to have to walk

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Seems fair and reasonable, although I do believe that the council needs to ensure that if a multi-story building or apartment is being built - that the construction MUST include a basement

parking level to support parking relative to the number of tenants and their cars - i.e. 1x carpark per bedroom (at least) if not 2x. I also oppose the council making on street parking paid for in areas where it currently isn't paid for.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Seems fair and reasonable, although I do believe that the council needs to ensure that if a multi-story building or apartment is being built - that the construction MUST include a basement parking level to support parking relative to the number of tenants and their cars - i.e. 1x carpark per bedroom (at least) if not 2x. I also oppose the council making on street parking paid for in areas where it currently isn't paid for.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government’s direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

‘Special character areas’ are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland’s older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

We've got to stop living in the past

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Seems fair and reasonable

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Not too bothered

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1089

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Jannik Wittgen

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are areas that should not be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think less areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Should upgrade the infrastructure instead

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1145

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Desmond Fox

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Auckland needs to copy London and suburbs, which has high population capacity close to transport links.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

all transport hubs should allow for high population densities.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

same as above [all transport hubs should allow for high population densities.]

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

the London. Model

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Again the London model.England generally has high population density around or adjacent to transport hubs followed by large open farming or greenbelt spaces.However after following some realestate programme in England, which demonstrates that the properties with some back garden, are highly sought so garden or green spaces should be in included in all high density housing plans.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

These older areas with their older buildings close to city centers are exactly where the increased population density should occur.However as building is allowed almost boundary to boundary with the resulting shading to adorning homes.I propose that when such a site is sold, before building can proceed, the other effected neighbours are given the opportunity to sell before their values drop.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

unable to view map

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

unable to view map

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

If these areas are close to transport hubs or other qualifying features by excluding these areas because the infrastructure is not up to date, will only delay the population growth in these areas.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1171

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Beth Willmott

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Good idea

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** I don't know

Tell us why

I don’t know about the inner Ciry I leave that to the experts

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Encourages public transport use

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Good idea

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Not small town centres

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

As long as a few character buildings are kept for historical reasons modern buildings are more suitable.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Not for business areas

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

I’m not a builder or expert

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how

they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Good ideas here

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1180

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Andrea Stiles

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

It's a growing city, we need more housing

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Growing cities need more housing, and town houses are the way to go

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

It's easier for people to get to work, and they'd pay to live there

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

It's not going to stop growing and people need places to live

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

It's accessible and it's a growing area

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

I'd rather the older places stay the way they are, unless there's a way to integrate them

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

I'm unsure

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Business is an integral part of our economy

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

It's going to need upgrading at some point or another

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1251

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Mike Rogan

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Around Milford should be extended. To include a larger catchment

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Their should be more to include landscape controls. The site coverages should include more landscape area under the proposed mdrs. I am concerned there is little to no ability to help soften the effects of intensification through landscaping

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think less areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1280

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Kevin Hall

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

1200 meters is along way to walk. Maybe 1000m

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Sounds good

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Sounds good

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Sounds good

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Small town centers won't cater enough

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1284

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Rebecca Falconer

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

I walk everywhere and hate how car-dependent people seem to be in Auckland. Anything to encourage walking is great, especially given NZ's obesity epidemic.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

My preferred option is 1200 metres, but anything is better than nothing.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

I don't regard NZ buildings as particularly historic in the big scheme of things and would rather there were investment in green initiatives, but sometimes rulings on buildings are better done on merit so I don't object to the proposal.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft

- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1285

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Barry Hannah

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Auckland can't keep spreading out. Heritage listing is largely nonsense, the housing stock is out of date and not fit for purpose. Build up, intensify urban centres around transport hubs, reduce reliance on motor vehicles, encourage public transport and healthier transport modes.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Unless there's a very special reason, heritage areas are nothing but NIMBY protectionism. Most of Auckland's older housing stock doesn't have any architectural merit, and any exceptions to that can be treated on a case by case basis because there's very few examples. Auckland can't afford to push people out to the far reaches of the city just to maintain the classic Ponsonby villa or Remuera mansion. If some owners want to retain their special place no one is stopping them, but

they can't hold the rest of the city to ransom. We must intensify, build up, embrace public transport, and improve the lives of far many more people.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Growth needs to make sense. I believe that infrastructure constraints will be worse if Auckland is allowed to expand further, as opposed to density increasing.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1309

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Carol Johnson

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

I support it in principle as it is not too fare to walk unless you are disabled, however heritage buildings MUST be preserved.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

I support it in principle as it is not too fare to walk unless you are disabled, however heritage buildings MUST be preserved.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

I support it in principle as it is not too fare to walk unless you are disabled, however heritage buildings MUST be preserved.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Urban sprawl needs to be halted, as this is the reason it is currently hard to get to public transport, or to shops. We currently need out cars to get around Auckland.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

Some small towns should be kept that way, to give the people options as to where they want to live. We don;t ant to end up with a concrete jungle of hen house size homes. Tomorrow's slums.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Heritage matters. All cultures have special places of interest which must be preserved.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

The map looks confusing.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

The map looks confusing.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

No point in jamming in high rises and intense building if there are not enough support facilities like water supply, waste water piping, roading, etc.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across

areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Existing homes with views which are being rated on the increased value of these homes. Cannot block an existing view and keep charging the same rates. So if a resident's view is bocked out by a new build high rise, then they must be offered a rate reduction.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1341

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Dean Lupton

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Existing infrastructure available without need for significant upgrades

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Sunlight and daylight angle controls are vital in maintaining acceptable livable areas. We don't want a concrete jungle

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1342

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Allan Bell

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

As long as the walkways are attractive and secure they will provide an excellent opportunity to exercise without it being too strenuous

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

As long as the walkways are attractive and secure they will provide an excellent opportunity to exercise without it being too strenuous

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Same as above with safety and attractiveness an important feature. Not a stress lane - As long as the walkways are attractive and secure they will provide an excellent opportunity to exercise without it being too strenuous

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

But only if good sized open spaces are developed on the perimeter

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

with the same conditions as previously - But only if good sized open spaces are developed on the perimeter

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Why repeat the same mistakes other cities have made over the last 60 years. Consider the urban and suburban environment as a whole and preserve features that people like to see and walk past.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Why repeat the same mistakes other cities have made over the last 60 years. Consider the urban and suburban environment as a whole and preserve features that people like to see and walk past.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Again character improves the environment. More pleasure and less stress

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Common sense

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

These comments are general and relate to residents' health. The new densities required are a risk for communicable disease such as Covid and the dramatic but less publicised pandemic of Non Communicable diseases. There is good evidence that urbanisation is associated with dysbiosis =life in distress. Dysbiosis in turn is the primary factor in the rising rates of allergy and chronic inflammatory diseases, autoimmunity, metabolic diseases, mental health and development disorders. These changes have been seen in wild animals when they are confined in zoos as well as immigrants from a rural lifestyle entering a world of western society.

Many of the measurable features of dysbiosis relate to the skin and gut microbiome which in turn are affected by factors such as lack of light, exposure to soil and plant microbes which have anti-inflammatory effects.

I think these devlopments should be accompanied by microbiome rewilding features. They should be surrounded by an annulus of space and should like the transit points and CBD be within the same maximum walking disance. They should contain community gardens, trees suitable for our native birds, deciduous tress for the beauty of their seasonal changes. Big trees that store carbon. Grassed areas for touch rugby others wilder for inventive play. Space to see the sky and breath less polluted air. Within the building their should be communal areas to enhance the chance of community cohesion. Each hub should have a swimming pool-not necessarily of olympic scale but again one that can be walked too. Solar panels should be mandatory to power lighting and storm water could be diverted to tank farms on the annulus to provide for irrigation of playing areas and gardens.

I recognise the need for greater density but not at the expense of individual and community health. It just needs to be done differently.

Reference Prescott SL et al. Dysbiotic drift and biopsychosocial medicine: how the microbiome links personal , public and planetary health. Biopsychosocial Medicine 2018 ; 12:7.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1343

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Robert Brown

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

However Areas greater than 1200metres from a small town centre, such as suburban Red Beach on Whangaparaoa Peninsula, are suburban and not urban and therefore should be zoned H4. Residental - Mixed Housing Suburban not H5. Mixed Housing Urban Zone. So why have you zoned them as H5?

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Again, those Areas that are greater than 1200metres from a small town centre, such as suburban Red Beach on Whangaparaoa Peninsula, are suburban and certainly not urban and therefore should be zoned H4. Residental - Mixed Housing Suburban not H5. Mixed Housing Urban Zone. So why have you zoned them as H5?

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1361

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: WADE ALEXANDER

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

because in the suburbs a lot of us are further frm bus hub in my case 5 kilometers with not a reliable feeder bus system

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

because in the suburbs a lot of us are further frm bus hub in my case 5 kilometers with not a reliable feeder bus system

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

city has to stop spreading but we need to be wary of building ghettos ensuring enough green areas are maintained at developers cost

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

to close needs to be further away to keep area open

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Other

Tell us why

i should not have to download user guide to understand map. why can itself not include a colour bar as to what colours represent

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Other

Tell us why

i should not have to download user guide to understand map. why can itself not include a colour bar as to what colours represent

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure near future or distance needs to be allowed for

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how

they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1375

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Roland O'Driscoll

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1390

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: D B

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

15 minutes is a reasonable walking distance

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

10 minutes is a reasonable walking distance

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Other

Tell us why

I think you need to be careful about what you call "rapid" transport. For example the Hbiscus Coasg bus station can hardly be considered "rapid" transport to town, it is so far away

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

I do not trust your definition of a "large town center" I live in whangapararoa and you consented a 22 unit townhouse development as it was near a "town center" when in reality it is next to a dying mall, a supermarket and a mcDonalds. It is also on the most dangerous road in our suburb. You need to actually look at what facilities are available, Takapuna is a better example of where there could be high density

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

The is absolutely too high density for small town centers.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government’s direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

‘Special character areas’ are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland’s older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

I dont think we should protect buildings, we need to focus on protecting green space and mature trees. Cities need greenery to be livable

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

The people who live in these suburbs need to make these decisions

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

The people who live in these suburbs need to make these decisions

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

You cannot have rampant building without proper infrastructure in place, only an idiot could not see that. We do not want to end up with more sewage leaking than we already have. Many

Auckland homes are also still, on tank water, these areas should not be developed until homes can affordably connect to mains water

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

You must put in controls so that existing homes do not have their sunlight blocked out by these three story homes.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1460

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Paula Luijken

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

If you are to bike/scoot then you could travel much further

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

if you are to bike/scoot then you can travel further

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

It could be further - if you are biking or scooting then you can travel much further

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

200 m is not very far - we could increas this area

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

the more area we allow for more housing the better

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

We should increase the infrastruture in these areas instead

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay

- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1490

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Debra Dowd

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Keep bigger developments in as close as possible to transport but keep area as small as possible to stop losing our open spaces Don't spread the intensification out

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Same -Keep bigger developments in as close as possible to transport but keep area as small as possible to stop losing our open spaces Don't spread the intensification out

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Same -Keep bigger developments in as close as possible to transport but keep area as small as possible to stop losing our open spaces Don't spread the intensification out

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

To intense

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Still too much

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Do not ruin character of Auckland Council are intent on making us copy England

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Council intend destroying character neighbourhoods

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Beautiful historic shops destroyed

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Cannot keep building when we have no infrastructure and can't even swim at our beaches as they are so disgusting

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how

they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Each area needs to be treated individually Auckland was never set up to be this intensive and council should be building new areas not cramming us in further and selling off our public spaces at same time

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1505

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Jenny Hardyment

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

What about the special character of coastal properties?

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure can't cope now & you're going to make it worse and make us pay for it.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1509

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Lynda Blanchard

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** I don't know

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?**

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

I am looking specifically around the Chruchill Road Reserve and the beach below. It is not appropriate for the level of intensification proposed. It is not close to city centre and or transport links that support high levels of development

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1512

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Kerry Hart

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

It's too much intensification and doesn't embrace City planning strategies

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

It's too much intensification and doesn't embrace City planning strategies

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

It's too much intensification and doesn't embrace City planning strategies

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

It completely destroys local town centre environments and will also require extensive and costly infrastructure improvements

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

It completely destroys local town centre environments and will also require extensive and costly infrastructure improvements

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

There are many special character areas that would be destroyed by intensification. I totally disagree with the government's intensification mandates and expect them to be overturned after the next election.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Bringing high-rise buildings into coastal areas, like Gulf Harbour would destroy the look and feel of the environment. It would also bring a class of people who don't fit in our environment and would introduce social tensions and crime as a result. The infrastructure impact has not been considered by the government (typical of their ideology). Who lays for the additional infrastructure? The present owners, the Council, or the new owners of the intensified buildings?

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

The additional costs would be an impost on businesses who are already under pressure as a result of government increasing the costs of doing business.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

The infrastructure constraints are already putting pressure on the Peninsula. We don't need the increased traffic and there would need to be significant capital expenditure for water, waste water, electricity, gas, and broadband. This is another cost that is going to drive up inflation at a time when restraint is required. The government has showed that they are inept at planning (Social Housing, Cycle bridge over the harbour, rapid rail) and that they are incompetent at delivery. Who pays for this upheaval to the relatively new suburbs on the Peninsula? I bet it will end up with ratepayers.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1521

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Lynda Morton

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** I don't know

Tell us why

More train and bus services would be better especially when servicing the wider community. Then patrons would be able to access these from more distant suburbs without the need for unsightly high rise and high density accommodation.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

as above -More train and bus services would be better especially when servicing the wider community. Then patrons would be able to access these from more distant suburbs without the need for unsightly high rise and high density accommodation.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Other

Tell us why

high rise and high density areas will create more infrastructure problems than they solve.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

Do not support this idea at all. Doctors, schools, hospitals and the emergency services are already at breaking point without overpowering the systems even more.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

Terraced housing and high-rise apartments need to be considered very carefully as they have a tendency to become slum areas as seen in England, USA and other industrialized areas. Sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance should not be considered nor should areas of natural beauty. Even the Victorians were aware of this which is why they put in many large parks which are essential to the health of the population.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

We have to make a concerted effort to retain historical houses, theatres, suburbs and commercial buildings instead of ripping them down as has happened on many occasions. Having high-rise and high density accommodation would destroy the charm as well as the community feeling of these areas.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

The way business areas encroach into residential suburbs should be seriously reviewed

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

The infrastructure is already broken as we can see with the water supply during the summer.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1533

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Graeme Legg

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Need intensification around mass transport routes for same to be cost effective and 10/15 minutes walk is about right (would prefer both to be 15 minutes)

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

15 minutes walk better

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

15 minutes walk better

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

About right walking distance if not on main transport route. Town centres need an increased local catchment area to maintain viability without running into parking issues. Would prefer a larger radius however otherwise walkign population catchment area maybe a bit to small to keep the local town centres viable

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Should move to 400m so as to keep the town centres viable

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

Would support a few areas but these should be exceptional and very rare. As presently stands the mandate is too broad and will result in cover for local rea owners to opt out there areas from intensification. There are very few real outstanding special character areas in Auckland whose benefits of retention outweigh those of intensification - Auckland is a young city

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Don't know enough about all these areas however as a general comment special qualifying areas should be rare and exceptionally special

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Don't know enough about all these areas however as a general comment special qualifying areas should be rare and exceptionally special

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

Would support in principal provided developers are given the alternative option of covering the costs of same by a combination of increased capital contributions and special rates

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1558

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Sue Bright

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Communities will have the required resources.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Cars will be an issue in the future

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Health and sustainability

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Allows existing businesses to grow

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Should make housing more affordable

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Maintaining heritage is important

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft

- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1561

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Brent Godfrey

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

As long as there is a significant upgrade to the trains and train lines; to ensure faster speeds and more frequent trains. Example every 5 minutes or less during peak times.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

As long as there is a significant upgrade to the trains and train lines; to ensure faster speeds and more frequent trains. Example every 5 minutes or less during peak times.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

200m seems too far out; I feel it should be 50m - with the ability to review in 10 years. Small town centres rely on people to commute to larger town centres still for work, shopping and socialising putting more pressure on traffic. Public transport (trains / light rail) don't really exist from small centres.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

A city needs to grow while celebrating its character and heritage; there is plenty of other non-SCA sites that are available for future development.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how

they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Support all the other qualifying matters listed.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1565

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Robyn Voss

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

There will be a lack of sunlight and 'greenscape' and an inhuman environment ie the creation of "building canyons"

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

There will be a lack of sunlight and 'greenscape' and an inhuman environment ie the creation of "building canyons"

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

There will be a lack of sunlight and 'greenscape' and an inhuman environment ie the creation of "building canyons"

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

There will be a lack of sunlight and 'greenscape' and an inhuman environment ie the creation of "building canyons"

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

There will be a lack of sunlight and 'greenscape' and an inhuman environment ie the creation of "building canyons"

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

These special character areas are what makes a city identifiable for its residents and what gives a city a 'human element'. A city is a series of local communities eg Ponsonby, Parnell, Mt Eden, Balmoral, Devonport, Remuera, etc that citizens identify with and enjoy.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Cannot identify 'blue' (is it purple?) or 'red' on the poorly designed electronic map which appears not to have a 'key'

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Cannot identify 'blue' (is it purple?) or 'red' on the poorly designed electronic map which appears not to have a 'key'

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

It is good that town planners are proposing to take (at long last!) infrastructure constraints into consideration when proposing more construction of buildings

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

also allow volcano viewshafts - that make Auckland City unique in NZ and the world and protect urban viewshafts like upper Queen St and K Road between Queen St and the bus stop over the motorway

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1567

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Greg Hughes

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

walkable - yep , but try carrying anything heavy back home.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

walkable - yep , but try carrying anything heavy back home.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

walkable - yep , but try carrying anything heavy back home.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

mandatory off street parking in all new developments,

roads wide enough for normal traffic flows / emergency vehicles.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

dont turn auckland into just a boring city.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

infrastructure has to keep up with new housing.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1611

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Sally Mitchell

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Seems a good compromise distance.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification and taller building are necessary to curb urban sprawl, but it needs to limit the shock for areas that are currently predominantly single storey. This seems a reasonable compromise.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Definitely support anything to encourage use of public transport, but this needs to go hand-in-hand with other measures, including continued provision of free park and ride for those who cannot walk or who live further out.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Support but would appreciate some tightening of rules around building noise/mess. Strict enforcement of working hours and tradie parking, and introduction of decibel/dust levels would be welcomed, as a lot of nimby-ism relates to inconvenience for neighbours. In addition, if more homes are built, then considerable attention needs to be paid to the roads and amenities, since in many cases they will simply not cope with the influx of people. Let's try to get things right before people move in, not after multiple accidents.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

This really depends on what you class as small town centres. However, I think perhaps 150 meters would be sufficient in most cases.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

We need to keep some heritage otherwise we'll end up in a concrete wasteland. Conversely, not all heritage is necessarily worth keeping. However, there needs to be a transparent process for deciding what is retained.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are areas that should not be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Seems reasonable in general. However, Ponsonby should have more intensification - lots of it is extremely run down despite the current house prices, and its heritage value is over-rated. As an area with good restaurants and shops already, it seems ripe for more housing. Many of the current small villas could be moved elsewhere.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

I support this.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Already too many houses being built without thought to how the new residents will use transport and water. There needs to be an integrated plan, rather than a build first/sort out issues later approach.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Totally agree with ridgeline protection - this has not been enforced at Long Bay, and several houses can be seen from the park, which was not supposed to happen. I also agree that points of interest with views that attract people to go to see them need to be retained (specifically War Memorial and local public views). Finally, I support allowing sunlight into public places, as otherwise why would people want to visit or live here?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1623

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: K Welch

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Other

Tell us why

Plans are for too dense housing. Why don't you have a "do no support? period!

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

 Plans are for too dense housing I do not support Why don't you have a "do not support Plans They are for too dense housing

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Other

Tell us why

Plans are for too dense housing Why don't you have a "do not support? period!

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

Plans are for too dense housing. will be virtusaly unlivable, start of a slum with over dense population problems Why don't you have a "do not support? period!

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

Plans are for too dense housing. will be virtualy unlivable, start of a slum with over dense population problems Why don't you have a "do not support? period!

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

increased housing density "six story or more buildings" ! It is not good for specias character areas, or the humans trying to live within them!

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

high density as proposed will not be liveable. High density housing means high density people, and look at crime stats and domestic violence incidents in crowded houseing areas in Auckland now

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

the whole city should be specisal character, the recent RAM break-ins and robberies on Queen street now, before increased density housing-- alfready see the future you will be making.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

the whole city should be special character, the recent RAM break-ins and robberies on Queen street now, before increased density housing-- alfready see the future you will be making. And if

an area can't supply basic plumbing,!!!??? Is no one THINKING ON THE COUNCIL, AND WHO HIRED THESE "PLanners"!!!!

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Ridgeline Protection Overlay Local Public Views Overlay These are a no-brainer. Crowds at rugby games overlook a open playing field, If all you see from you housing is more (and crowded) housing it is a failure!! I would move elsewhere and avoid Auckland and any similarhyly over crowded and dense housing areas. Bjuid another city nearby, rather than wreck ther one we have now.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1633

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Jean-luc Marrier-D'Unienville

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

I don't live within those zones so I don't knowhow it will affect me or at all.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

I don't think it will be a problem for me as I don't live in those areas.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

I don't think it will be a problem for me as I don't live in those areas.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

You need to keep some history around for our kids to see.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Depends how the business plans to look after the old buildings.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay

- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1677

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: wayne coupland

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1678

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Kerri Fergusson

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are areas that should not be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft

- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1680

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: PETER HARLAND

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

We want to retain the existing neighbourhoods character as much as e can

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Again i prefer to preserve as much of the existing as we can

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

I do not understand why greenfield sites such as Long Bay can have a range of large properties built yet an existing suburb can at the flick of a planners pen be destroyed. And currently this has lead to massive land banks and empty house when developers wait for the market to recover, yet we have people seeking good housing. MADNESS.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

I THINK THAT RANDOMLY CHANGING EXISTING SUBURBS WITH AD HOC INFILL HOUSING IS DREADFUL

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are areas that should not be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

WHAT IS SPECIAL ABOUT THESE AREAS EXCEPT THAT THEY ARE HIGH PRICE PROPERTIES OWNED BY HIGH INCOME EARNERS - NOT IN THEIR BACKYARD!!

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think less areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

The whole of NZ has massive infrastructure issues done to us being a big country with a small population and limited financial resources. We also have an appalling planning record which is why Auckland Council is in such a debt position and we are having to stomach all these extra dreadful housing developments wherever they can be squeezed in with “no planning constraints”. As usual the Council puts the cart before the horse, build heaps of high density housing and then

wonder why the roads are gridlocked, Watercare cannot catch up etc etc. infrastructure must be a key issue when providing planning permission for ALL NEW HIGH & MEDIUM DENSITY HOUSING

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1691

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Jessica Tully

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Having walkable access to centres or public transport will help Auckland to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions by allowing more people to walk to their destinations. Better walking access for many people could also help businesses in these centres gain customers.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

The option to house more people close to jobs, shops and businesses makes so much sense! More people can choose to live closer to where they spend a lot of time. Can also hopefully help greenhouse gas emissions with more people walking or taking public transport to work/shops etc.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Creating bigger hubs in our small towns will hopefully increase the public transport that is offered to these places as more people are there to use them.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Special or not, we currently have a housing crisis and we need more people in affordable housing. These areas will do well to join the rest of Auckland to serve the community and become higher density houses.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

I think that there are other ways that the heritage of Auckland can be maintained in other ways, than these special houses.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

I think other options should be explored before blanket changing the heights of houses allowed etc. Maybe there are funding options through central government that will allow the necessary infrastructure upgrades to allow the higher density housing.

Although short term this may be necessary, but it should be pencilled in to change to higher density when the funds to upgrade infrastructure do become available.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

I think that Ridgeline Protection Overlay, Local Public Views Overlay, Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft, and the Stockade Hill Viewshaft should all be proposed as qualifying matters to limit the development.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1718

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Stephen Firth

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

It becomes an arbitrary point and subject to the loudest voice

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1730

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Mark Smith

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

a reasonable walking distance whilst also picking up a meaningful area to intensify housing within

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

as above but due to smaller area will have less impact on existing housing areas where facilities for intensified housing are limited

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

as above -but due to smaller area will have less impact on existing housing areas where facilities for intensified housing are limited

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

will make these areas more vibrant with higher people density and also make public transport more viable. The smaller area will limit, to some extent, the impact of intensification on existing public amenities such as parks and open spaces

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

We have to start somewhere to intensify existing residential areas and whilst there are always counter arguments the benefits to public transport and town centre viability, in my opinion, outweigh these

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

I have assumed that these special character areas are of significant enough a value to carry these over where possible.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Ask central government for funding assistance and/or increase development contributions in these areas to fund infrastructure upgrades required to allow intensification.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

These are all critically important to the character of Auckland city and should all remain as qualifying matters

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1750

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Vanessa Lacassie

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1759

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Noone Special

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** I don't know

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** I don't know

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** I don't know

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** I don't know

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** I don't know

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

(I have answered "I don't know" because of a problem using the survey- see further below) Infrastructure and aesthetics and preservation of existing historic/character areas (eg Devonport, Parnell..) need to be factored into any conditions for new developments

Infrastructure in Auckland is abysmal with overflowing sewer outlets and no-swim beaches after even regular storms. Roads are congested and new developments not required to include parking means new residents park on the road, adding to congestion. (This is happening around infilling near Whangaparaoa shopping centre). If places like Devonport are to be further developed, what improvements will there be to the road in and out? Lake Road is already nearly always congested. There has to be infrastructure improvements so existing residents don't become victims of the infilling. Developers should be required to include a percentage of their development to add infrastructure development or improvement so there is zero impact of the development. They are the ultimate winners of the new development. Cities in other countries require infrastructure development included with new land developments so why can't NZ do this too, or at least Auckland? Infilling with terrace or multi-story builds is a good idea instead of single house dwellings that have no front or backyard and a barely accessible gap at the fence line between properties. This shouldn't be allowed as it has no purpose and is a wasteful use of global resources eg with having a fence and two walls for adjacent houses that you can barely squeeze past. They might as well be terraced housing and would look much better. People want their own section probably to have an area of their own, however lifestyles are increasingly indoors. Park and recreational areas, community gardens and playgrounds should also be a requirement for high density developments.

Nature corridors and pockets of bush land should be preserved so they cannot be developed. No point nz being 2050 pest free if the only nature is accessible in distant places behind a fence.

New developments should have some minimum standard of street appeal and be in line or an improvement to the style of the general area. There are so many ugly and boring developments that will look like ghettos in 20 years if they even last that long. So many look like they'll start to fall apart in 10-15 years.

Infilling around transit hubs should not be allowed if there is no shopping centre or employment hub also. You will end up with ghetto like areas with dysfunctional families if the only thing they live near is a transport hub to get them away from there.

Building inspections should include livability. I've been to new houses with mismatching balustrades and wardrobes with drawers that can't be used because they've been installed behind a post. There's clearly no quality control. It shouldn't be up to the buyer who might be desperate or not notice these things until they move in. Then they have to fix it which adds to costs and landfill as they have to dump the replaced problem (plus further impacting on environment and sustainability etc as they've had to buy the replacement which has to be sourced and shipped from overseas).

Get the basic things right like infrastructure, livability, street appeal and your feedback form! I have made the effort to add in the above feedback so hope you consider them because this is really my fifth go at this!

Your feedback form is atrocious!! I've filled it in 3 or 4 times and it keeps losing my answers and comments. If I leave it to open a new window and then come back, it's back to the start of the survey. Then one of your questions has a link so I clicked on that, came back then again it's back to the start with the Terms and Conditions page. Then another question referred to a map which I already had open in another window but again the survey was blank when I returned to it. Now it's happened again as I had to go to another app and this time it returned me to the end of the survey as I was answering the last question but everything was again BLANK and all my other comments gone. What kind of developer created it?? If you really want to encourage community involvement you need to make sure the basic things work. No wonder NZ/Auckland has so many problems if simple things like this can't be done right!!!

I selected "I don't know" for the survey answers because I have given up trying and really don't know if it's worth answering anyway as it seems to be a bureaucratic exercise if your survey form hasn't been properly tested to make sure it works properly. More reasons to leave nz.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1821

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Anon Anon

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1828

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Stacey Bishop

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Other

Tell us why

I don't support.

We live on an island and Auckland the weather can be four seasons in four minutes. Who wants to walk any distance in the rain or beating hot sun to get to any location. Especially then have to get on a bus either hot and sweaty or cold and wet.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

I don't support.

We live on an island and Auckland the weather can be four seasons in four minutes. Who wants to walk any distance in the rain or beating hot sun to get to any location. Especially then have to get on a bus either hot and sweaty or cold and wet.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Other

Tell us why

I don't support.

We live on an island and Auckland the weather can be four seasons in four minutes. Who wants to walk any distance in the rain or beating hot sun to get to any location. Especially then have to get on a bus either hot and sweaty or cold and wet.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

I absolutely don't support at all. It should be further like as in another city.

Before we start cramming more people into Auckland, work on the infrustructure first. Primarily public transport and Sewerage to cope with more waste.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

I absolutely don't support at all. It should be further like as in another city.

Auckland is already far too built up and not coping with the congestion.

Before we start cramming more people into Auckland, work on the infrustructure first. Primarily public transport and Sewerage to cope with more waste.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government’s direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

‘Special character areas’ are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland’s older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

areas have special character listings for a reason take that away and there goes the special character. seems like a no brainer

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

As above, special character areas are already classified as that for a reason. take that away and then there's no special character

Auckland is already far too built up and not coping with the congestion.

Before we start cramming more people into Auckland, work on the infrustructure first. Primarily public transport (it's terrible) and Sewerage to cope with more waste.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

As above, special character areas are already classified as that for a reason. take that away and then there's no special character

Auckland is already far too built up and not coping with the congestion.

Before we start cramming more people into Auckland, work on the infrustructure first. Primarily public transport (it's terrible) and Sewerage to cope with more waste.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

I shouldn't even have to say. It's so obvious you said it all.

Even with current upgrades we are barely keeping up infrastructure wise and then you want to add more people more = more waste.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

THIS WHOLE IDEA OF INTENSIFYING AUCKLAND EVEN MORE IS CRAZY!!!!

It just shows you the lack of brains we have running this place and why it is going fast down the toilet to becoming a third world country.

Public Transport is terrible

Waste is a problem

The roads are a big problem

Trying to force people onto hap hazard public transport is crazy.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1836

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Steven Green

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

We need more housing, but everything in moderation and consideration of economy and ecology

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

Fair enough I guess, I would still like to see far more housing in the future, otherwise I still feel forced to move overseas once I have completed and paid off my degree.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

I am currently studying economics at Massey Uni and am writing a report on Auckland house prices. I believe these changes will help with future affordability. Thank you!

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1844

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Yuval Ararat

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Other

Tell us why

The life quality of people living next to major arteries is degraded due to noise and pollution this solution reduces kore peoples life quality and increases the pressure on the medical system. It is going to cost new zealand way more than moving to better cleaner transportation.

Cost of housing is skyrocketed due to material cost and not due to supply. Look at the market now and see how new builds cost 4 times the land value. Go to australia... learn why their cost is lower and stop waisting our time with myths.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

what is a CBD? Is Albany a Catchment? No. But that is where interpretations will creep. In addition With new ways of remote working why create density where none is needed?

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Other

Tell us why

No. It falls to my response of my previous answer, you reduce peoples life quality and create pressure on already collapsing healthcare system.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

New zealand is low population what is town centre? Can you be very very specific and define this numerically?

If not i am completely against this as it opens the way for developers to interpert it and create havoc around areas with low infrastructure and road capacity

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

No. Infrastructure is insufficient and road capacity is too low. Parking as well.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1881

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Jeanette McGrath

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Hibiscus and Bays

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?**

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?**

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Logical...area can't sustain large impacts of buildings then buildings cannot go ahead

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.