Auckland Council
Te Kaunihera o Tāmaki Makaurau

Date: Monday, 30 May 2022

Government's new housing rules: what it means for Auckland

Mangere - Otahuhu Local Board

WRITTEN FEEDBACK Vol. 1 (61-7565)

Sub #	Submitter Name	Organisation	Local Board	Volume
61	Brad Coward-Ramsay		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
113	Andrea Pollard		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
266	Valentina Eldero		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
274	Rachel M		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
399	John Bacon		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
420	MUKARRAM MOHAMMAD		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
549	Pratik Raval		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
593	Raymond Chau		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
657	Jo Fonua		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
678	Sethuraman Sankara Marar		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
781	Turina Taka		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
804	Warren Brown		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
943	Robert Hartlebury		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
989	Maryanne Rapana		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
1073	Gus Barrowman		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
1130	Kenneth Walton		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
1175	Nukutau Pokura		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
1228	Yuko Taylor		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
1292	Patrick Rossiter		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
1306	Jeanette Thorne		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
1360	Miriam Kamsteeg		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
1363	morgan harris		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
1442	Richard G		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
1550	Catherine Spencer		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
1590	Mara Bebich		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
1617	Peter Judd		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
1635	Kyle Berryman		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
1709	N Messier		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
1941	Bridget Smith		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
1944	Finn Hitch		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
2027	Abigail Naylor		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
2066	Katie Martin		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
2216	Dani G		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
2370	Vivek Batra		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
2506	William Howse		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
2660	Hayden Cargo		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
2670	Aaron Smith		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
2684	Arvin Lawler		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
2721	Ahlene Mckee		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
2738	Kiew Chai Law		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
2779	Wayne Sims		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
2909	Sheran Randeniya		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
3021	Lisiate Tonga		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
3043	Chong Khaw		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
3076	Mikesh Patel		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
3113	Beverley Riddell		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
3174	Vicki Sykes		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
4145	Stephen Rendle		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
4304	Brayden Kerr		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
4403	Kathryn leGrove		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
4571	Loretta Toalepai		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
4605	Ema Sepi		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
4606	Anaseini Samu		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
4621	Christina Ainuu		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
4655	Lifu Tavana		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
4782	Kayla Siila		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
4792	Afele Nukulasi		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1

Sub #	Submitter Name	Organisation	Local Board	Volume
4793	Kesia Nukulasi		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
4794	Sukilele Nukulasi		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
4795	Tembeti Tinatali		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
4796	Motusia Tinatali		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
4946	Kina John		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
4984	Nukulasi Apelu		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
4985	Apelu Nukulasi		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
4986	Lynlia Pomaree		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
4987	Talo Vaefou		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
4988	Vaefou Tafia		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
4989	Pelenike Pelesala		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
4990	Alamenia Tafia		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
4991	Pelesala Tafia		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
4992	Aifou Tafia		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
4993	Olya Vaefou		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
4994	Ruha Vaefou		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
4995	Luata Vaefou		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5010	Salome Homasi		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5011	Fiani Homasi		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5012	Nei Tara		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5013	Petise Homasi		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5014	Meana Homasi		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5015	Neimeli Homasi		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5016	Sulukie Homasi		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5017	Aily Ani		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5018	Eric-Dily Homasi		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5019	Litia Homasi		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5020	Pauline Homasi		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5082	Ofoikalanga ʻOtutoa		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5083	Finau 'Otutoa		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5084	Linda 'Otutoa		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5085	Valeli 'Onesi		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5086	Seini 'Onesi		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5087	Jennifer 'Onesi		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5088	Aisea 'Onesi		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5089	Fe'ofo'aki Pasa		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5090	Melania Pasa		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5091	Senimili Pasa		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5092	Patelesio Sikei		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5093	Melania Sikei		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5094	Mele Fepaki		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5095	Malia Sikei		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5096	Akanesi Sikei		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5097	Siaosi Kale		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5104	Pati Sikei		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5105	Tangi Sikei		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5106	Christopher Sikei		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5107	Atonia Kaufusi		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5108	Siale Kaufusi		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5126	Finau Vea		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5127	Chrystal Vea		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5190	Henileta Vai		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5191	ʻElisapeti ʻAkauola		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5192	ʻOkusitino Mailangi		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5193	Mana Kauhala		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5194	Sekope Manoa		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5195	ʻAlimoni ʻIsa'ake		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1

Sub #	Submitter Name	Organisation	Local Board	Volume
5196	Lavenita Maka		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5197	ʻElisapeti Pouʻuhila		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5198	ʻAtelea Veamatahau		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5199	ʻAholovo Faʻaseʻe		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5200	Fili		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5201	Fifita		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5204	Vaisioa Veukiso		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5205	Kisione Niukapu		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5207	Sione Kauhalaniua		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5283	Lueseta Sione		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5287	Sete Falekaono		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5288	Kaola Falekaono		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5289	Sitoni Hufanga		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5290	Ngaki Hufanga		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5295	Sepa Hifo		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5296	Sisi Hifo		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5299	Tangikina ʻEmelio		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5300	Sailoka ʻEmelio		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5303	Tangata-o-Matoto Siolaʻa		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5304	Soana Siolaʻa		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5327	Ika Taufa		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5328	Losa Taufa		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5340	Tevita Lutui		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5357	Vileti Latu		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5358	Sosefo Pole		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5359	Tivinia Pole		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5360	Lasini Pole		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5361	Viliami ʻOtuhiva		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5362	Rangi ʻOtuhiva		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5363	ʻAnitelu Tuli		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5364	Hilaʻatu Tuli		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5365	Fiana Tuli		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5368	Tevita Fatu		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5369	Soane Moa		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5370	Losaline Moa		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5371	ʻIkani Pailate		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5372	Finau Pailate		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5373	Tumuʻakifonua ʻOtai		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5374	Fine ʻOtai		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5375	Lopeti Schaumkel		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5376	Siosiana Schaumkel		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5377	Velangilala Vatikani		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5378	Piulua Vatikani		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5379	Malia Fariu		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5380	Hifo Muller		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5381	Tito Finau		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5382	Tufutele Finau		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5383	Losa Siua		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5384	Tamole Veamatahau		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5385	Amelia Veamatahau		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5386	Malio Filo		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5387	ʻUnaloto Filo		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5388	Siale Langi		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5389	Tavite Lopeti		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5390	Seini Lopeti		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5391	Yohanny Lopeti		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5392	Sulieti Lopeti		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1

Sub #	Submitter Name	Organisation	Local Board	Volume
5393	Lu'isa Vatikani		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5394	Kasimila Fe'ao		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5395	Sepiuta Fe'ao		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5397	Mele Vehikite		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5404	Peni Hifo		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5405	Ana Hifo		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5406	Kelepi Tu'ipulotu		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5407	Lesieli Tu'ipulotu		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5408	Paula Pongi		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5409	Sesilia Pongi		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5410	Langakali Taumoe'anga		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5411	Rose Taumoe'anga		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5412	Litani Fehoko		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5413	Katea Latu		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5414	Falakiko Vaengatau		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5415	Taiana Vaengatau		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5416	Sofia Paegotau		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5417	Luseane Sili		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5418	Kapiteni Fifita		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5419	Ala Fifita		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5420	Tuputau Vaifo'ou		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5421	Pelenatita Vaifo'ou		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5422	Nola Folau		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5423	Kalisi Mafi		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5424	Vaiola Henry		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5425	Paulo Falekaono		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5426	Mafi Falekaono		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5427	Sakalia Pusiaki		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5428	Litea Pusiaki		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5434	Losita Tupou		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5435	Filisonu'u Tupou		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5436	Siosifa Taufa		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5437	Erana Taufa		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5438	Cecilia Tupou		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5439	Peni Tupou		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5496	Matthew Braidford		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5511	Rhys Goodwin		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5626	Robert Findlay		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5718	Bunleng Chunn		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5774	Devid Cudicio		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
5785	Emma Johnson		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
6089	Andrea Marshall	Auckland International Airport Ltd	Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
6389	Ossie Manukuo		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
6451	Manjit Singh	New Zealand Sikh Women's Associ	Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
6516	Christine Yuan		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
6673	A Rasmussen		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
6846	Nick D		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
6940	Jessica James		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
7147	Lewis Gibb		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
7148	Margaret Ting		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
7181	Wayne Oliver		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
7259	Zhenmin Wang		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
7262	Shusheng Zhang		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
7378	Mandeep Singh		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1
7565	Licai Cui		Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	1

#61

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Brad Coward-Ramsay

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

'- It allows for easier access to amenities and major transport hubs reducing the need for vehicles and thus reducing traffic congestion.

- intensification in this area means less pressure on infrastructure on the rest of the city.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

'- as above it allows for easier access to transport hubs and reduces the need for vehicles.

- Less pressure will be put on city centres as the high density housing will be spread across all metro centres and thus increasing housing locality options for people which will still allow same access level to transport.

- promotes public transport, particularly for high school students and city workers.

- having high density housing in these areas means the council can focus on upgrading infrastructure in these concentrated areas only as opposed to having to consider a wider area which would be cost effective as well.

- Will bring more foot traffic and hopefully encourage small/big businesses to set up in the metro centres which will bring money and business to local small businesses and improve the economy of metro areas.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

'- as above it allows for easier access to transport hubs and reduces the need for vehicles.

- Less pressure will be put on city centres as the high density housing will be spread across all metro centres and thus increasing housing locality options for people which will still allow same access level to transport.

- promotes public transport, particularly for high school students and city workers.

- having high density housing in these areas means the council can focus on upgrading infrastructure in these concentrated areas only as opposed to having to consider a wider area which would be cost effective as well.

- Will bring more foot traffic and hopefully encourage small/big businesses to set up in the metro centres which will bring money and business to local small businesses and improve the economy of metro areas.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

'- if we look at some local town centres, post covid there are a lot of empty shops and businesses , having more people in the area will bring more money to the area = more spending which will hold up a lot of local businesses.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

'- if we look at some local town centres, post covid there are a lot of empty shops and businesses , having more people in the area will bring more money to the area = more spending which will hold up a lot of local businesses.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Precinct under investigation - unsure what that means.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

n/a

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#113

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Andrea Pollard

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

I'm disappointed you haven't included my street, Awa At Otahuhu in the area as we easily walk to the train station in 10 minutes.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

I live in a railway house in Awa st with an historic protection on it and are one of the only houses in the street that is not subdivided. I think the heritage of the house can be protected and still allow for the back garden to be subdivided. More housing is needed desperately and we sit here in a tiny house on a huge section. It should be freed up

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#266

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Valentina Eldero

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

They're important buildings so they should be included

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

makes sense - if the areas cannot cope with the demand what's the point of people living in these buildings when they may see many issues arise whether its related to their wastewater services or other matters

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

local public views, special character buildings & allowing sunlight into public places are all important.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#274

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Rachel M

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

A 20 minute walk would be fine for a lot of people. Should make the area where taller buildings can be made as large as possible.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

A 20 minute walk would be fine for a lot of people. Should make the area where taller buildings can be made as large as possible.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

A 20 minute walk would be fine for a lot of people. Should make the area where taller buildings can be made as large as possible.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

The more intensified an area, the more efficient public transport will be.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

The more intensified an area, the more efficient public transport will be.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

The special character areas are the best suited for high density as they are closest to the city center. It seems crazy to want to preserve old houses that are falling apart at the expense of efficient public transport. There are plenty of special character buildings and houses, we don't need to keep them all.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#399

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: John Bacon

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Don't want built up areas

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Seems reasonably

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Makes sense

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

It makes sense

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Makes sense

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Sounds reasonable

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

A good balance

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Good to protect special places

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

These things are vital to consider

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

No.but seems feasible/reasonable

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#420

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: MUKARRAM MOHAMMAD

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Please also allow Mangere East for apartment zone

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#549

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Pratik Raval

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#593

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Raymond Chau

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

I think this would be good. I would think people would have no problem walking this distance espeically in the city.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

I think it should match 1.2km catchment. For most people I would think the difference between a 10 and 15 minute walk is negligible. It might as well match the metro catchment.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

I think it should match 1.2km catchment. For most people I would think the difference between a 10 and 15 minute walk is negligible. It might as well match the metro catchment.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification would be great, it would would increase the land use efficiency and provide density to the town centre. Higher density here would help support businesses as it gives them a larger customer base.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

The areas to likely to have special characters are often closer to the central city. They would also have the most rapid transit lines through them. Its counter productive to apply special character to these places as these places are the prime location for intensification.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

A very larage section of the central suburbs are listed as such. Especially down Dominion Rd. Why is one of the most used transit lane listed as such? This area is ideal to intensification as there are Light Rail in planning stages. I would understand 1 or 2 blocks of houses being listed but it looks like at least half the houses on Dominion Rd are listed as special character.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#657

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Jo Fonua

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#678

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Sethuraman Sankara Marar

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

add more free areas

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

add more free convenience for walkers

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

make travel more friendly

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

C

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

add more free areas

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

add segregation

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay

- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#781

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Turina Taka

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft

- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#804

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Warren Brown

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Other

Tell us why

I do not support it at all. Not enough infrastructure or rule of law in nz

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

I do not support it at all. Not enough infrastructure or rule of law in nz.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Other

Tell us why

I do not support it at all. Not enough infrastructure or rule of law in nz.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

I do not support it at all. Not enough infrastructure or rule of law in nz.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

I do not support it at all. Not enough infrastructure or rule of law in nz.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

No demolition of any dwellings to intensify population. Not enough infrastructure or rule of law in nz.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Other

Tell us why

Map is too old. Do not increase population in the city. Not enough infrastructure or rule of law in nz.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Other

Tell us why

I dont support at all any intensifying of population in the city. Not enough infrastructure or rule of law.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Not enough infrastructure or rule of law in nz.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Auckland and Nz have serious problem with lawlessness due to incompetent govt decisions. The govt needs to address Auckland infrastructure and law and order deficiencies and stop adding to ratepayers burden on top of the incompetent council we have now

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#943

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Robert Hartlebury

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

I agree in support of the auckland museum viewshaft but dont care about the rest. I would like to see more protection for green areas.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#989

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Maryanne Rapana

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

People like myself are doing keep healthy and fitness for their own personal well-being and I love to support this idea.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

The same reason from my first comment -People like myself are doing keep healthy and fitness for their own personal well-being and I love to support this idea.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Again responding with my answer the same as the first and second question.- People like myself are doing keep healthy and fitness for their own personal well-being and I love to support this idea.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

This I personally don't support because I am speaking on behalf of our native birds that are struggling to find a place to settle in.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

Same as I answer the question above on regarding my thoughts on the proposal to apply the housing and apartment building -This I personally don't support because I am speaking on behalf of our native birds that are struggling to find a place to settle in.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

No comment

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

No comment

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

No comment

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

No

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1073

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Gus Barrowman

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

More homes in central suburbs

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft

- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Disagree with viewshaft protections

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1130

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Kenneth Walton

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

The need for high density housing outweighs the need for preserving the exclusive club of home ownership in those areas.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

It would have to be temporary and it should be rectified as soon as possible.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft

- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1175

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Nukutau Pokura

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

It may diminish the suburbs space

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Security with closer vicinity is an assurance

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Convenience and accessibility will be great

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Parking will be havoc, and security towards families with young children because of close vicinity to busy roads

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

As above [Parking will be havoc, and security towards families with young children because of close vicinity to busy roads]

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

For history and identity

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Preservation for future generations ensures identity and

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Don’t strain the constraints, regardless the economic possibilities

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Consideration for large families, accessibility for people with mobility issues, mental health, environment degradation minimisation

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1228

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Yuko Taylor

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Basically, I don't support lots of apartments everywhere.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Better closer to catchments.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Good enough.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** I don't know

Tell us why

Before building apartments, we need proper transport systems & networks.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

200 m could be OK.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

We need to protect historical things for our offspring.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

It makes sense.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

They are already business areas.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

We need them.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1292

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Patrick Rossiter

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Needs to be a shorter "walkable" distance to take into account "disabled" population

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Needs to be a shorter "walkable" distance to take into account "disabled" population

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Needs to be a shorter "walkable" distance to take into account "disabled" population

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Seems about the right distance

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

The same distance as other areas for consistency

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

If the government wants all areas to be treated with equal disdain, why should someone's definition of "special character" be any different? Essentially this is saying higher property value areas should be treated differently.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

If the government wants all areas to be treated with equal disdain, why should someone's definition of "special character" be any different? Essentially this is saying higher property value areas should be treated differently. If this argument drags the higher value property owners into arguing against the proposals, so much the better.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Business is business. "Special character" should not come into the argument. After all, your definition of special character may be very different from the next person who occupies your position.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure affects the entire community, thus needs to be protected in various ways.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Stockade Hill? If this is a special area what about One Tree Hill ("No Tree Hill)?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1306

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Jeanette Thorne

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Also safe cycling and scootering to nearby trains. All buses in the city Buses should be electric or be trams , including restoring the Manukau Rd to Onehunga Trams where the lines are still there.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

As long as there are separate safe pathways for cycles and scooters together and separate safe walkways to the edge of the metropolitan centres.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

As long as the rapid transport on main routes or covering wide areas are electric and able to take wheelchairs, and be every 10 minutes from 12.01am to 11.59 pm daily. Then people will convert to transport, especially if there is free transport (or $1 for 5 zones covered in a trip, and over 5 zones $2 per trip.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

But please keep our arable land so we can still have productive land in Auckland - especially around Pukekohe and Mangere, as it is important food miles are kept small.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

We need to intensify our places near transport hubs and busy centres. However , green spaces must be nearby within 500m that can have native and fruit trees, sports equipment and vegetable and herb gardens.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

We must protect as much of our important and historic waterways and ensure there is operational plan to keep them clean, maybe use hidden camera on precious natural resources, so people can be questioned what their motive was.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

As long as you identified natural protection areas, and Maori land that has not been built on.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

They cover a lot of the Auckland City region, which means as long as there is rapid electric transport and safe cycleways, this should work well.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

We must ensure we have green spaces, as described above in my submission, and ensure our transport is clean, fast and efficient, which means that rail tracks need to be wider , so freight and passenger trains can go more rapidly especially between cities and towns.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Sunlight, ridge lines, sea/river/ and lake views should be maintained are very important and the ther ones you.ve mentioned above.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1360

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Miriam Kamsteeg

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

This would destroy Mangere Bridge's character

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

This would destroy Mangere Bridge's character

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay

- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1363

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: morgan harris

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Other

Tell us why

Impacting an already congested area of motorway will be detrimental to the flow of traffic. But if the foot traffic can flow smoothly without slowing the flow of motor traffic. The developments will be advantageous to the CBD area

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

The metropolitan services are in dire need of upgrading. From more frequent train stops to more train lines expanding the railways. The train lines are outdated and are not a viable option for reliable transport

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Other

Tell us why

Distance to rapid transport stops is not as important as the accessibility of the rapid transport. Busses often miss key stops. Busses also don't stop at major transit hubs to make them viable.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Lagre scale development in such close proximity to town centres will only add to the pedestrian traffic problems may town face. This will decrease the attraction to said towns.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Smaller less impactful developments will make the townships grow in number without subtracting from the local feeling of community and segregation.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

Some locations of interest will benefit greatly from further developments. However many will be left with more traffic and less accessibility. The areas of interest also need to have ease of access increases in proportion to the scale of residential developments

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think less areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Areas with stand alone houses forming a community should be left to develop steadily and maintain a balance of appartments and houses as to not overcrowd roads, local shops and communal activities/ lifestyles overall.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Fixing these will help with future developments and current quality of services and lifestyle

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1442

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Richard G

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

1) Cycles can travel further in 10 to 15 minutes than 1,200km. 2) A greater distance will relieve pressure to build on public spaces and places of interest within the walkable catchment.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

The same reasons: 1) Cycles can travel further in 10 to 15 minutes than 1,200km. 2) A greater distance will relieve pressure to build on public spaces and places of interest within the walkable catchment.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Again, the same reasons: 1) Cycles can travel further in 10 to 15 minutes than 1,200km. 2) A greater distance will relieve pressure to build on public spaces and places of interest within the walkable catchment.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Special character areas are our history and help preserve a different style of living, which in many ways has a better standard of living than the the likely future new-builds. (This is emphasised by if the new-builds of the last 5 years, which show little to no thought towards the standard of living for a community or those buying the new-builds due to lack of alternatives.)

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

I could find NO blue areas marked around the Auckland CBD or around Manukau CBD. Where are they? How can comments be made without the necesary info?

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

I could find NO blue areas marked around the Auckland CBD or around Manukau CBD. Where are they? How can comments be made without the necesary info?

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

The open question is, how much would improvements to the infrastructure cost (and who would pay)? Are upgrades technically possible and reasonable?

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1550

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Catherine Spencer

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Lots of tall buildings already in the city centre.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

I think 6 stories is very high and is likely to have a large impact on the already built environment including existing home and business owners.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

I think 6 stories is very high and is likely to have a large impact on the already built environment including existing home and business owners.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Special character often means history and history is important.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

I could not identify any on the map??

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

I could not identify any on the map??

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

This is reasonable, on the base case that the upgrade of infrastructure would occur more slowly than the developement required.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Sunlight needs to be considered across all consents. This is an important part of enjoynment of a property, whether owned or rented, and Council/Govt should take care to ensure maintenance of sunlight on existing properties when building new ones, as well as reasonable allowances for privacy.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1590

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Mara Bebich

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Other

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

so long as the transport is rapid. the current piecemeal approach with feeder buses and rather unreliable trains while we wait for CRL would not support this outcome

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

we have already lost too much of our heritage to the current rules. being able to see the richness of our built heritage in suburbs is important to creating place. I would support extending the special character areas to other parts or buildings of a particular age to ensure this richness isn't further degraded. For example in Otahuhu there is no protection for built heritage and there are excellent examples of early and mid 20th century architecture such as villas, bungalows, Pegler and railway houses that only remain under the current owners.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

there should be more - see comments above -we have already lost too much of our heritage to the current rules. being able to see the richness of our built heritage in suburbs is important to creating place. I would support extending the special character areas to other parts or buildings of a particular age to ensure this richness isn't further degraded. For example in Otahuhu there is no protection for built heritage and there are excellent examples of early and mid 20th century architecture such as villas, bungalows, Pegler and railway houses that only remain under the current owners.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

sustainable and reliable infrastructure should be a minimum requirement for developments and intensification. failing to do so could lead to catastrophic results and should therefore be a qualifying matter

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1617

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Peter Judd

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Other

Tell us why

I think the problem is the inflexibility of the public transport options. People will still want to use cars and that is an issue when you have three cars per household. This is a big subject but if you are going to intensify then you have to have better and more interesting and usable transport options. Be radical. Look at Automated Uber vehicles. Look sat Skycabs. Cheaper and more viable that heavy trains and boring buses. Make Auckland a world leader in innovation.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

See above -I think the problem is the inflexibility of the public transport options. People will still want to use cars and that is an issue when you have three cars per household. This is a big subject but if you are going to intensify then you have to have better and more interesting and usable transport options. Be radical. Look at Automated Uber vehicles. Look sat Skycabs. Cheaper and more viable that heavy trains and boring buses. Make Auckland a world leader in innovation.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

See above -I think the problem is the inflexibility of the public transport options. People will still want to use cars and that is an issue when you have three cars per household. This is a big subject but if you are going to intensify then you have to have better and more interesting and usable transport options. Be radical. Look at Automated Uber vehicles. Look sat Skycabs. Cheaper and more viable that heavy trains and boring buses. Make Auckland a world leader in innovation.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

This makes sense. Don't have big build ups in one area that require people to of necessity travel away from their living zones.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

As above -This makes sense. Don't have big build ups in one area that require people to of necessity travel away from their living zones.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more)

within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1635

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Kyle Berryman

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

It will creep anyway as more people want to live closer to facilities and stores that higher density will attract. Be ambitious rather than incremental which risks seeing the wrong housing built around the perimeter.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

It will creep anyway as more people want to live closer to facilities and stores that higher density will attract. Be ambitious rather than incremental which risks seeing the wrong housing built around the perimeter.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

It will creep anyway as more people want to live closer to facilities and stores that higher density will attract. Be ambitious rather than incremental which risks seeing the wrong housing built around the perimeter.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

It will creep anyway in time as more people want to live closer to facilities and stores that higher density will attract. Be ambitious rather than incremental which risks seeing the wrong housing built around the perimeter.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

It will creep anyway as more people want to live closer to facilities and stores that higher density will attract. Be ambitious rather than incremental which risks seeing the wrong housing built around the perimeter.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

It an area is desirable to live in then people will be willing to pay the upgrade costs for infrastructure. This question appears to confuse cost allocation with the investment decision.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

The proposed Light Rail areas identified should enable intensification today independently of light rail decisions as they are natural areas for housing development, i.e. this consultation is confusing which comes first, the light rail or the need for a transport solution. There will be a need for a transport solution independent of the mode. Proximity to buses already makes the identified corridor desirable for its amenity suiting itself to intensification. In Mangere Bridge, the areas for intensification should extend further West and potentially cover the entire Mangere Bridge area with all at the 6 level height (not restricted to 3 levels unless some layering within the deep blocks). The further from the CBD and the fewer alternatives transport alternatives the higher the propensity of patrons to walk further which enables a greater catchment. The area is already served by great natural amenities. The ALR alignment seems to miss the concept of maximising patronage by not appearing to pass down Coronation Road to Mangere Bridge village and onto Bader Drive. This is the natural pathway for serving a broader population and housing supply rather than a motorway pathway which serves too much dead space (area given to roads). Having stops in town centres such as Mangere Bridge can activate town centres and communities, and is associated with creating safe spaces. A stop on a motorway is a stop on a motorway. Will people feel safe there at night? No, they will not. If it goes ahead as planned it is placemaking a space for vulnerability that is inconsistent with positive intensification and greater and more inclusion use of public transportation in evenings. No other community on the proposed light rail route seems to be asked to have a station on a motorway so why is it being proposed for South Auckland?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1709

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: N Messier

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft

- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1941

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Bridget Smith

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

It's busy already it this will just mzake it busier, increasing the time to get from point A to B.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

It cluster to much people together, where those closer will have no privacy especially with it near a hub centre.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Terrace housing are not permanent living spaces and draw it people which does make get to the town centres easier. With all these high rise buildings there is a lack of life and I'd hate to grow up with sun being blocked out and heightening the concern for the residents that are there.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Way to close to have any sort of privacy for those potential families and it blurs the social lines of respect and courtesy between town centre customers and those families living in those houses.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#1944

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Finn Hitch

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

This is a good idea but these walkable catchments must be able to be used by disabled people, especially wheelchair users, if the pavement and traffic stops pedestrians will use to access bus and train stops and other community spaces are not smooth and easy to navigate then the walkable catchment will not be accessable or useful to disabled people. Ensure that this 15 minute walk for non-disabled people is also the same time it takes for a disabled person to reach their destination, not longer.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

This walkable catchment of 800m from the edge of meteropolitan centres should also include town centre zones, local centre zones and light industry zones. As long as it is equaly as accessible to disabled people as it is to non-disabled people and is not just around meteropolitan centres i support it, however this should also ensure that the public transport in place between two meteropolitan centres and town centre zones is adequate enough for residents to access.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

The cost of living in this area should be accessable and affordable for all people, not just those on median to high incomes as well.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

it should be to at least 800m with high accessability.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Should be up to 500m with high accessability.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are areas that should not be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

remeura, st. heliers, mission bay, herne bay, kohimarama.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

More should be included.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

this should be decided on a case by case basis.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Abigail Naylor

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

THAB Zone is a great start but THAB rules are somewhat limiting for housing developments - perhaps the Mixed Use zoning would be a better bit within these catchments? This is why we are seeing a lot more apartment buildings being built within mixed use zones OR corner sites within THAB zones - how are you going to address these issues? This is a great opportunity to ensure that the zones actually ALLOW for density in a practical way.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

See comments above please. THAB Zone is a great start but THAB rules are somewhat limiting for housing developments - perhaps the Mixed Use zoning would be a better bit within these catchments? This is why we are seeing a lot more apartment buildings being built within mixed use zones OR corner sites within THAB zones - how are you going to address these issues? This is a great opportunity to ensure that the zones actually ALLOW for density in a practical way.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

"Special Character Areas" as a 'qualifying matter' is a racist tool. If you look at demographics in areas such as Ponsonby, this is 80%+ European, compared to other areas that are zoned for high density residential (for example, Māngere, Mt Roskill, Tāmaki, the list goes on) - these areas have less than 40% (some as low as 10%) European populations, the rest being migrants, Māori and Polynesian populations. This is a significant reason why you should not keep Special Character Areas as a qualifying matter, ESPECIALLY as these areas are within such close proximity to the CBD, and key transit routes across the isthmus.

I absolutely understand the need to retain our heritage and associated buildings, but consider WHO'S heritage this is preserving. If we have the same 'protection' to Māori sites of significance as we do to European villas, our cityscape would look vastly different. Protect 1 or 2 or even 10 key streets (for example, Franklin Road), but there is NO NEED for entire suburbs to be "preserved". It is absolutely outrageous.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

'See comment above. Intensification is absolutely necessary and European 'special character' suburbs have no place in a modern city.

--

"Special Character Areas" as a 'qualifying matter' is a racist tool. If you look at demographics in areas such as Ponsonby, this is 80%+ European, compared to other areas that are zoned for high density residential (for example, Māngere, Mt Roskill, Tāmaki, the list goes on) - these areas have less than 40% (some as low as 10%) European populations, the rest being migrants, Māori and Polynesian populations. This is a significant reason why you should not keep Special Character Areas as a qualifying matter, ESPECIALLY as these areas are within such close proximity to the CBD, and key transit routes across the isthmus.

I absolutely understand the need to retain our heritage and associated buildings, but consider WHO'S heritage this is preserving. If we have the same 'protection' to Māori sites of significance as we do to European villas, our cityscape would look vastly different. Protect 1 or 2 or even 10 key streets (for example, Franklin Road), but there is NO NEED for entire suburbs to be "preserved". It is absolutely outrageous.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

As above, choose 1 or 2 business areas that need to be preserved (eg. Ponsonby/Parnell/Mt Edent TCZ) and get rid of the rest.

Town Centres have the capacity to be really great areas for people to live, work and play. We should be vitalising these areas and making sure that they still serve us today. Consider that their density when they were built was to support the tram network and multi-storey town centres were a part of that. We have a responsibility to continue to have our infrastructure supporting our transit networks, to meet our growing population within the isthmus.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

I don't have enough information to make a comment on this. If the 'infrastructure constraints' area is located within the Auckland Isthmus, then I absolutely DO NOT support this. The isthmus should be our main focus for intensification and infrastructure in this area should be upgraded and prioritised to support this.

However, if the 'infrastructure constraints' are within the outskirts of the city and Future Urban Zone (eg. Drury, Pukekohe, Dairy Flat etc), then I support this as a qualifying matter.

LOCATION LOCATION LOCATION - and the Auckland isthmus (and metropolitan centres) is where we need to have intensification.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across

areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

I don't support any of these additional qualifying matters. However, I do support what mana whenua want. Have you had appropriate consultation with relevant iwi groups on these matters? This is their whenua.

Since I was not given the opportunity anywhere else in this survey, I would like to raise the issue that not all isthmus bus routes have been considered to be RTN stops. With the frequency of buses up Dominion Road, Mt Eden Road and Manukau Road, these should also be highlighted as walkable catchments!! (Mt Eden Road has buses every 3-7 minutes - if that is not rapid transit, I don't knowwhat is... That is more frequent than trains, isn't it? Why isn't a walkable catchment all the way along Three Kings/Mt Eden road also included in the proposal?)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#2066

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Katie Martin

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

We have a housing crisis and are facing a climate crisis - we need more housing options close to jobs, community services and supermarkets etc. It only makes sense that we intensify more. I used to live in Mount Eden and was happy to walk an hour to the city centre as it was good for my wellbeing. People will walk much further than 15 minutes to the city centre, this is much too short.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

10 minutes is just not far enough. We need more housing options where it makes sense - and these are the areas that are prime locations for more intensification and to help do the best thing for our climate.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

I think this could be 15 minutes.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

I think if there's access to public transport or good cycleways close by then they could be further

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

I think if there's access to public transport or good cycleways close by then they could be further

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

I think we need to retain are much smaller proportion. All special character that is within a walkable catchment should be rezoned to support more housing as a starter.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are areas that should not be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

All special character that is within a walkable catchment should be rezoned to support more housing as a starter.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

This is why I think we need to get rid of more special character as this qualifying matter is more important. We want to intensify in the right places that aren't going to end up having adverse

effects on people - such as more flooding because there's too many new houses in an area and not good infrastructure options. However where there aren't the same infrastructure constraints we should be bolder in our intensification - i.e. making walkable catchments bigger and SCA smaller.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#2216

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Dani G

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

a 10-minute walk seems a reasonable distance to allow intensification to town centres

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

I would expect this distance to be at least a 5-minute walk (whereas this proposal is 2.5 minutes based on your earlier assumptions)

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

I think special character areas could remain, but the provisions on new buildings should relate to the architectural design, rather than limits on building heights and density. We can build more density while creating buildings that have a similar feel and design features to older buildings, even if they are taller.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are areas that should not be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

The map is terrible for engagement - I turned off all layers except the special character overlay and still couldn't really see what was going on because you used a satellite basemap or a grey basemap with no context. I figured out how to use the grey one, which wasn't much better, and I imagine most members of the public would not guess which button to click to even get to the grey one. Regardless, from what I can see, the special character overlay area is very extensive and will be very limiting on providing housing growth in the most central and important areas.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

We need to make sure we can provide enough supporting infrastructure, but we should not use an overlay for this to avoid densification in central areas, just because that would require upgrading infrastructure.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

I generally agree with these, but need to be sure they aren't overly restricting.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#2370

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Vivek Batra

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Simply a good idea

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** I don't know

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** I don't know

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Enough parking should be there . Streets are jam packed near townhouses and especially if the big markets are nearby

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#2506

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: William Howse

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#2660

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Hayden Cargo

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Should match the city centre, 15minute city is the aim

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Should match the city centre, 15minute city is the aim

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Minimum should be 800m

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

I agree that it should be qualifying matter but should be limited and the argument should be framed from the other direction that development should be encouraged unless the special character can be proved

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

But have concerns around NIMBY's using this incorrectly

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay

- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#2670

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Aaron Smith

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Densificaton is needed to support infrastructure.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

The definition seems vague. Amenities are really critical rather than defining a town center. Eg, otahuhu is quite far from it's trai station. Kyli to

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

This question is (purposefully?) vague. The age or "character" of an area should not protect it from densificaton if it is in a sensible area to do so.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

My specific concern is the areas including the "lodges" around favona near bader park. These have been changed from single housing to mixed urban, while they do not appear to meet any of the qualifying criteria. My concern is these poorly connected areas may become too dense for existing infrastructure. Particularly transport but also wastewater as the nearby treatment station seems to often be overworked and create noxius smells.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#2684

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Arvin Lawler

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

More people will benefit from living closer to transport hubs.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Public transport saves emissions

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Promotes walking and cycling which saves emissions

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Promotes walking and cycling saving emissions

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

More people closer to transport hubs. Many benefits to the environment

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Character properties are beautiful but they are high maintenance and expensive to maintain. Particularly around transport hubs they should be relocated to allow more people to live near transport.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Character buildings near transport hubs should be relocated etc

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Character buildings should relocated in transport hubs.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Infrastructure needs to keep pace with the growing population.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how

they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

No

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#2721

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Ahlene Mckee

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Other

Tell us why

I do not support the building of 6 story buildings in Mangere 1. It is well known that the need in Mangere is multi generational housing. Apartment blocks rarely exceed 2-3 bedrooms and do not provide sufficient shared space for healthy multi generational housing.

Apartment living tends to attract young urban couples - insentivising the building of this type of apartment would have the effect of gentrification and pushing family's out of the Mangere Area. I have lived in England where multi-story tower block affordable housing proved to be a disaster - unsafe with little or no safe community space. The proposal to allow 6 story buildings in Mangere is not what the community needs, will push Mangere residents outside of their suburb and will only aid gentrification which historically had a profound impact on Pacifica communities in Grey Lynn/Ponsonby disrupting community and cultural connections. Keep the high rises for inner city and out of Mangere.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

As stated I am more concerned at the idea of 6 story apartments in Mangere irrespective of the walkable catchment

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Other

Tell us why

I do not support this. From the map I have seen most of Mangere would be considered within the walkable catchment

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city

blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

I do not agree that Papatoetoe is a large enough town Center to support intensive housing.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

I do not support this at all. Mangere Bridge for instance would be completely swallowed up by this proposal

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government

is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

However special character should not only protect old colonial villas. Community facilities and culture should also be protected. In Mangere this would be protection of our high quality volcanic arable soil. Views and sight lines should also be protected enabling open space and appreciation of our Maunga, Moana and Awa

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this

as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Protect Mangere's food growing soils and history. Add to views Ihumatao Stonefields and Puketutu Island Mangere Mountain

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#2738

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Kiew Chai Law

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

It would make sense to broaden the high density areas so we can keep up with population growth

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

As above -It would make sense to broaden the high density areas so we can keep up with population growth

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

As above -It would make sense to broaden the high density areas so we can keep up with population growth

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

As above -It would make sense to broaden the high density areas so we can keep up with population growth

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are areas that should not be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#2779

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Wayne Sims

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

We must change our approach to high density living in existing centres in order to make use of existing infrastructure and speed up development.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

We must change our approach to high density living in existing centres in order to make use of existing infrastructure and speed up development.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

We must change our approach to high density living in existing centres in order to make use of existing infrastructure and speed up development.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

We must change our approach to high density living in existing centres in order to make use of existing infrastructure and speed up development.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

We must change our approach to high density living in existing centres in order to make use of existing infrastructure and speed up development.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

We need to retain heritage and history of the city

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

We need to retain heritage and history of the city

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

We need to retain heritage and history of the city

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Must plan for the future ...long term... so we don't repeat the mistakes of the past with a short term focus and local political bias

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across

areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#2909

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Sheran Randeniya

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

We have many lands still unused from the edge of the metropolitan centers

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft

- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Lisiate Tonga

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Makes sense and also provides a lifeline to those businesses in the city centres who have suffered during covid. What business does not want their primary catchment to be in walking distance?

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Makes sense and also provides a lifeline to those businesses in the city centres who have suffered during covid. What business does not want their primary catchment to be in walking distance?

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

How do you make more people use public transport? You make it easier to access and affordable enough so they do away with the convenience and pleasure of driving alone.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Town centres have been slowly turning into mish mash of low budget stores because where most town centres are...there is a shopping centre nearby. Having their primiary catchement in walking distance would provde the foot traffic that is seeing most town centres with multiple vacancies or low tier retailers.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

The more people we can house the better. We do need to consider parking though because not everyone is rushing to take public transport.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

We need to move forward and improve things. Yes some older buildings are beautiful, but they will live forever as images in our archives. If we want town centres to thrive again, we need to give them people in their immediate vicinity.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

The map I viewed had everything in bordered by blue. Everything qualifies.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

The map I viewed had no red. Good, this allows progress without worrying about old buildings. If they are super significant, relocate them.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

The intensification has to ensure the infrastructure in that area supports the growth. We don't want the issues to affect the ability for people to actually live in the area.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#3043

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Chong Khaw

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Other

Tell us why

I support the proposal but it is not consistence. The property around Golf Avenue, Otahuhu is shown as two-storey single dwelling with special character overlay. This area is 600m from the train station and less then 1200m to the town centre. Therefore this area should have a higher density zone.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

I support the proposal but it is not consistence. The property around Golf Avenue, Otahuhu is shown as two-storey single dwelling with special character overlay. This area is 600m from the train station and less then 1200m to the town centre. Therefore this area should have a higher density zone.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Other

Tell us why

I support the proposal but it is not consistence. The property around Golf Avenue, Otahuhu is shown as two-storey single dwelling with special character overlay. This area is 600m from the train station and less then 1200m to the town centre. Therefore this area should have a higher density zone.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Some of the special character area do not contained any special character building.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#3076

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Mikesh Patel

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around the city center makes sense

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Support in the name of reducing congestion and encouraging mode shift to public transport

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

I think these changes are necessary to support thriving town centers

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

I think 200 meters is reasonable, though would not like to see some heritage properties demolished or also to see all centers that have a nice built up character immediately around small centers demolished

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

I think this makes sense though would have to examine which areas have been identified - I trust however that bits of the inner-city suburbs which are the majority of these areas are the ones that see reduced protections as those areas should be higher density than the outer suburbs - this is

the opposite of what is the case in the Unitary Plan. My home (52 Nikau Road in Otahuhu) is in a special character area and while I would like to see a proposed minor dwelling (65sqm or less) not have to conform so strongly to the character, while preserving the character of the main building, I also do not want to see greater density in my neighborhood. Retaining some areas of non-terraced housing and even more importantly green space is essential in my opinion.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

I think there should also be protections for mature trees / forest stands. My neighbour purchased their home and removed many mature trees which has resulted in less wildlife, more noise and greater flooding.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#3113

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Beverley Riddell

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft

- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#3174

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Vicki Sykes

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

This is a feasible distance for most people to walk in a reasonable time.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

It could also be 1200m for the reason above.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Could be 1200m

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

If the number of special character areas is eroded they are at risk of disappearing altogether. Presumably there will be stringent processes available to seek a review of these designations should circumstances make that sensible in the future.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

If the number of special character areas is eroded they are at risk of disappearing altogether. Presumably there will be stringent processes available to seek a review of these designations should circumstances make that sensible in the future.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Absolutely. We will create huge problems for future generations if we allow intensification in areas where there is not sufficient infrastructure to cope with this well.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

'Other qualifying matters:

- must be sufficient sunlight in public places, parks, schools, etc.

- must be sufficient public parks, public amenities, schools, etc. - do not let all spare land be taken before anyone plans for shared amenities.

- don't just let Council staff approve each development independently of each other development. Ensure they must apply neighbourhood, community, and city wide overlays so that the overall city wide development is also viable and people centric.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4145

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Stephen Rendle

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

There are some special character areas in Auckland that hold this title but are run down and in a border-line derelict state (particularly around Otahuhu). These areas should be opened up for intensification to aid in solving the housing crisis, and utilising land close to the train stations.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are areas that should not be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

The area around Otahuhu (Nikau and Lippiatt Rd) are primed for development close to a train station. The special character overlay should be lifted for this area as the housing does not adequately meet the qualifying matter. There is a mixture of facades and house finishes, with little to no cohesion, not exactly a great example of special character houses. On top of that, the houses that would qualify as special character, are incredibly difficult and expensive to maintain. This has lead to a severe degradation in these properties.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how

they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4304

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Brayden Kerr

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are areas that should not be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft

- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4403

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Kathryn leGrove

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

I dont

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Residences close to public transport reduce road congestion.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Special character areas are more likely to have mature trees that make up the urban forest. With increasing population density the urban forest is more necessary than ever to make the city liveable. The new rules reducing outdoor space provisions will mean existing trees will be cut down to fill the site with houses. All existing special character area should be exempt from the new rules to allow for some of the urban forest to be retained.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Areas with notable trees should be identified as a qualifying matter.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

It will help to protect Auckland's infrastructure from unforeseen issues that may arise in the future.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how

they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

I support all of the above as qualifying matters and suggest the following to be considered as qualifying matters

-Open space provided for public use, sports and active recreation and community use should be considered a qualifying matter,

-Notable trees and those not yet fully scheduled but have been applied for should be a qualifying matter.

-The requirement to provide sufficient business land to meet demand should be a qualifying matter. Business land should not be allowed to be rezoned residential.

-Qualifying matters should limit the height and density of development on sites with existing large trees or those lacking infrastructure to support increased density. Standards to provide for deep soil to grow trees should be developed.

-Significant ecological areas, historic heritage areas, coastal marine areas, estuaries,high use stream management areas,urban lake management areas,wetland management areas,sites and places of significance to Mana Whenua,areas providing public access to lakes and rivers should all be qualifying matters limiting height and density.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4571

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Loretta Toalepai

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

too close

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

will overcrowded cities

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4605

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Ema Sepi

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4606

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Anaseini Samu

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

for safety

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

safe for people who live nearby

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4621

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Christina Ainuu

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

easy to get around

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

easy to catch bus or train

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4655

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Lifu Tavana

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

umhealthy

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

unhealthy

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4782

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Kayla Siila

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

It should be closer. Dont want any tall building beside my house.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

800 should be ok

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4792

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Afele Nukulasi

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

It should be closer. Dont want any tall building beside my house.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

800 is fine, no further than that

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4793

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Kesia Nukulasi

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

It should be closer. Dont want any tall building beside my house.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

800 is fine, no further than that

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4794

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Sukilele Nukulasi

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

It should be closer. Dont want any tall building beside my house.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

800 is fine, no further than that

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4795

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Tembeti Tinatali

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

It should be closer. Dont want any tall building beside my house.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

800 is fine, no further than that

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4796

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Motusia Tinatali

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

It should be closer. Dont want any tall building beside my house.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

800 is fine, no further than that

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4946

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Kina John

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4984

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Nukulasi Apelu

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4985

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Apelu Nukulasi

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4986

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Lynlia Pomaree

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4987

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Talo Vaefou

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4988

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Vaefou Tafia

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4989

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Pelenike Pelesala

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4990

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Alamenia Tafia

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4991

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Pelesala Tafia

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4992

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Aifou Tafia

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4993

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Olya Vaefou

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4994

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Ruha Vaefou

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#4995

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Luata Vaefou

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5010

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Salome Homasi

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5011

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Fiani Homasi

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5012

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Nei Tara

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5013

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Petise Homasi

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5014

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Meana Homasi

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5015

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Neimeli Homasi

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5016

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Sulukie Homasi

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5017

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Aily Ani

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5018

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Eric-Dily Homasi

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5019

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Litia Homasi

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5020

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Pauline Homasi

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5082

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Ofoikalanga 'Otutoa

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

It is a good thing

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Would be ideal especially for older persons heading out of the house.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5083

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Finau 'Otutoa

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Good to have safer walkways for pedestrians.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Accessible for those travelling via bus/train services.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5084

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Linda 'Otutoa

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Would be good for those wanting to walk.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Easier to go to if there are places to walk.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5085

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Valeli 'Onesi

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** I don't know

Tell us why

It may disrupt the systems i.e water connection, electricity and traffic during construction

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Walking is good for your health, there is visibility of current housing structures already taking place in Mangere

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5086

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Seini 'Onesi

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Mangere is a growing suburb

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Good exercise.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5087

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Jennifer 'Onesi

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

The development will be good for the surrounding towns

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Good for local residents

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5088

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Aisea 'Onesi

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** I don't know

Tell us why

I am not familiar with the small towns and their needs

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Its safe idea

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5089

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Fe'ofo'aki Pasa

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

I have been in a resident in South Auckland for a number of years now with an increase of people living in the area of Manukau. I support the proposed

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

It is the trend of a growing town

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5090

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Melania Pasa

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Like my husband, it allows and assists small towns develop

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Accessible for older residents and vulnerable

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5091

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Senimili Pasa

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** I don't know

Tell us why

I'm not too sure what it will be like in a fews time

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

There is development everywhere and it will help local business

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5092

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Patelesio Sikei

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Allows growth in neighbouring towns near the metropolitan city of Manukau

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

As a homeowner, it may even raise the value of the my property

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5093

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Melania Sikei

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Towns like Manurewa, Clover Park and surrounding towns to Manukau can benefit from the proposed

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

The neighbouring streets from our house are seeing developments already.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5094

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Mele Fepaki

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

We need more accommodation for people, who can access the services in Manukau

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

What is proposed allows people to use the local services within walking distance

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5095

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Malia Sikei

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

I will be looking to live in those areas that is not to far from main metro hub, in terms of walking distance

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Safe for young families, women and elderly who live in the area

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5096

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Akanesi Sikei

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

people will be looking to live the area that is near work and easier to get travel to via foot and vehicle

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

easier for people to use the services of the public transport to get to their jobs, appointments and school

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5097

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Siaosi Kale

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** I don't know

Tell us why

I have been Australia and the US and I did see something like what is proposed but I have not formed an opinon on it yet

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

It is good to be walking distance to services, leave cars home and enjoy the walk

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5104

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Pati Sikei

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

For over 40 years I have seen the development of South Auckland. Any the church community growing, it is good for our city

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

This is good for those that may not be able to afford to run their vehicles every day.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5105

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Tangi Sikei

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

We have to cater for the current time.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Good for the growth of the local community

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5106

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Christopher Sikei

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

I support my parents opinions of catering for the current time, of accommodation to be in walking distance of the metropolitan area

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Would help aleviate the current shortage of Mangere, but enables accessibility to services

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5107

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Atonia Kaufusi

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

A potential for my son to move into the area but not too far from Manukau

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Closer to services in the town

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5108

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Siale Kaufusi

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

If the proposal goes through, it will allow me to look at those areas to move into which is closer in walkable distance to work.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Good for Mangere

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5126

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Finau Vea

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Good proposal to deal with distance from work place, and transport routes

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

there is a growing number of people living in Mangere, close to the schools and work

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5127

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Chrystal Vea

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

There much needed accommodation in the area

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

An advantage for growing families

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5190

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Henileta Vai

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

We do need have sound, dry and safe structures to help with the housing crises

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

There is already a number of stuctures in Otahuhu

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5191

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: ʻElisapeti ʻAkauola

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

More housing opportunities

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

There are few structures that exist in Otahuhu which has generated steady growth

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5192

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: 'Okusitino Mailangi

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Provides a boost in the local community

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

there are current structures in place with added advantage to be near accommodation

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5193

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Mana Kauhala

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

More and more people are wanting to live in Auckland but hardly any accommodation available

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

I believe it's beneficial to residents

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5194

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Sekope Manoa

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Helps the local area

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

there can be a lack of privacy

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5195

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: ʻAlimoni ʻIsaʼake

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Need more accommodation to meet the demad

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

There needs to be consideration of type of potenntial residents that they will be promoting the prosposal to

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5196

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Lavenita Maka

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Meets the housing crisies

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

Consider traffic safety

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5197

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: 'Elisapeti Pou'uhila

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Meets the housing crisies

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

Consider the safety of current residence

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5198

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: ʻAtelea Veamatahau

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Allows people who want to live and work in Auckland

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

Will it lower the rate of crime in the area

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5199

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: 'Aholovo Fa'ase'e

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

There is a need for housing in the area

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

Brings in ppportunities for various services in the area

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5200

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Fili

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Employment opportunities in the construction industry

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

it can be challenging with the rise in the population

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5201

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Fifita

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Bring growth in the area

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Especially suitable for elderly people

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5204

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Vaisioa Veukiso

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

I have witnessed the development of South Auckland for over 40 years. When the church community grows, it is good for our city

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Accessible and vulnerable to the elderly

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5205

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Kisione Niukapu

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Allows potential future residents to live near the main services of the subway hub

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Developments to support local businesses are everywhere

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5207

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Sione Kauhalaniua

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

It is beneficial to have accommodation within walking distance of the metropolitan area

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Safe for young families, women and the elderly living in the area

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5283

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Lueseta Sione

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

The proposal supports the housing crises

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Close to amenities

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5287

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Sete Falekaono

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Meets the housing crisis

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Close to amenities

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5288

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Kaola Falekaono

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Good to have safe living spaces

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Close to amenities

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5289

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Sitoni Hufanga

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Need for more affordable accommodation near the city

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Close to amenities

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5290

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Ngaki Hufanga

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

We do need have sound, dry and safe structures to help with the housing crises

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Close to amenities

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5295

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Sepa Hifo

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Need more accommodation to meet the demand

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Close to amenities

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5296

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Sisi Hifo

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Meets the housing crisis

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Close to amenities

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5299

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Tangikina 'Emelio

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

There is a need for housing in the area

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Close to amenities

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5300

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Sailoka ʻEmelio

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Employment opportunities in the construction industry

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Close to amenities

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5303

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Tangata-o-Matoto Siola'a

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Resolve the housing crisis

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Close to amenities

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5304

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Soana Siola'a

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

When the church community grows, it is good for our city

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Close to amenities

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5327

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Ika Taufa

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

It is good proposal, with solutions to the housing crises.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Close to amenities

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5328

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Losa Taufa

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

This will enable the growth of the local economy

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Close to amenities

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5340

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Tevita Lutui

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

I don`t have any comments

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** I don't know

Tell us why

It may cause indifference in the community

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5357

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Vileti Latu

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

People need to be able to live nearby the city to access necessary services, ie. Clinics, shopping centres, colleges

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Close to amenities

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5358

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Sosefo Pole

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Preference for single level structure in these areas

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

Can have affect on the current residence within the catchment area

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5359

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Tivinia Pole

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Brings more work into the catchment area

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

May have and increase in the rates

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5360

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Lasini Pole

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Solve the housing crises

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

May have and increase in the rates

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5361

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Viliami ʻOtuhiva

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

It`s the trend in growing cities

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

May have and increase in the rates

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5362

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Rangi ʻOtuhiva

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Good to have safe living spaces

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

May have and increase in the rates

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5363

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: ʻAnitelu Tuli

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

More housing opportunities

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

May have and increase in the rates

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5364

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Hila'atu Tuli

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Meets the housing crisis

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

May have and increase in the rates

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5365

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Fiana Tuli

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Not far from the area where services that would be frequently visited.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

May have and increase in the rates

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5368

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Tevita Fatu

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Allows growth in neighbouring towns near the metropolitan city

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

Close to amenities

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5369

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Soane Moa

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

It is a good thing

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

Close to amenities

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5370

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Losaline Moa

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Good to have safer walkways for pedestrians.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

Close to amenities

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5371

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: ʻIkani Pailate

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

I`m not too sure what it will be like in the future?

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

May have and increase in the rates

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5372

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Finau Pailate

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Small towns near the city centre can benefit from the proposed

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

May have and increase in the rates

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5373

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Tumu'akifonua 'Otai

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

I will be looking to live in those areas that is not too far from main metro hub, in terms of walking distance

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

I can benefit from it being at my vulnerable age

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5374

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Fine 'Otai

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Resolve the housing crisis

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

I can benefit from it being at my vulnerable age

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5375

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Lopeti Schaumkel

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

We must cater for the current needs of the area

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?**

Tell us why

I can benefit from it being at my vulnerable age

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5376

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Siosiana Schaumkel

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Not far from areas with frequently used services

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

I can benefit from it being at my vulnerable age

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5377

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Velangilala Vatikani

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

This allows and assists small towns develop

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

I can benefit from it being at my vulnerable age

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5378

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Piulua Vatikani

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Close to walk to churches, schools and town centres is ideal for all families and potential residents.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

I can benefit from it being at my vulnerable age

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5379

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Malia Fariu

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Allows people who want to live and work in Auckland

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

I can benefit from it being at my vulnerable age

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5380

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Hifo Muller

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Provides a boost in the local community

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

I can benefit from it being at my vulnerable age

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5381

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Tito Finau

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

More housing opportunities

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

I can benefit from it being at my vulnerable age

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5382

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Tufutele Finau

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Brings job opportunities

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

I can benefit from it being at my vulnerable age

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5383

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Losa Siua

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Helps the local area

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Mangere is growing and it will benefit the local families to be within walkind distance to amenities

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5384

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Tamole Veamatahau

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

There are structures already going up

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Mangere is growing and it will benefit the local families to be within walkind distance to amenities

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5385

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Amelia Veamatahau

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Caters for the growing population

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Mangere is growing and it will benefit the local families to be within walkind distance to amenities

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5386

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Malio Filo

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

More and more people are wanting to live in Auckland but hardly any accommodation available

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Mangere is growing and it will benefit the local families to be within walkind distance to amenities

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5387

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: ʻUnaloto Filo

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

The population is rising and would need to be near services that are close by

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Mangere is growing and it will benefit the local families to be within walkind distance to amenities

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5388

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Siale Langi

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Only if it is affordable

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

I can benefit from it being at my vulnerable age

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5389

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Tavite Lopeti

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Good for the outer towns to benefit from the development

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

I can benefit from it being at my vulnerable age

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5390

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Seini Lopeti

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

People can still live in Auckland

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

I can benefit from it being at my vulnerable age

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5391

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Yohanny Lopeti

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Solve the housing crises

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Mangere is growing and it will benefit the local families to be within walkind distance to amenities

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5392

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Sulieti Lopeti

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Careful planning allows good growth of the population

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Mangere is growing and it will benefit the local families to be within walkind distance to amenities

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5393

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Lu'isa Vatikani

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

I cannot comment now

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** other

Tell us why

I am still a bit cautious that it will increase the cost of living

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5394

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Kasimila Fe'ao

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

It is good to live close to the city centre

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Mangere is growing and it will benefit the local families to be within walkind distance to amenities

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5395

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Sepiuta Fe'ao

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Living far away from the city centre has its disadvantages

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Mangere is growing and it will benefit the local families to be within walkind distance to amenities

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5397

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Mele Vehikite

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

We are a growing city

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Mangere is growing and it will benefit the local families to be within walkind distance to amenities

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5404

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Peni Hifo

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

I really don't have much to say on the topic

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

There is already congestion on the roads

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5405

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Ana Hifo

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

This enables potential residents to move within walking distance of your workplace.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Mangere is growing and it will benefit the local families to be within walkind distance to amenities

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5406

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Kelepi Tu'ipulotu

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

We must adapt to the needs of the current times.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Mangere is growing and it will benefit the local families to be within walkind distance to amenities

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5407

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Lesieli Tu'ipulotu

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Resolve the housing crisis

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Mangere is growing and it will benefit the local families to be within walkind distance to amenities

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5408

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Paula Pongi

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

promotes community grows, it is good for our city

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Mangere is growing and it will benefit the local families to be within walkind distance to amenities

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5409

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Sesilia Pongi

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Resolve the housing crisis

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Mangere is growing and it will benefit the local families to be within walkind distance to amenities

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5410

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Langakali Taumoe'anga

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Not far from areas with frequently used services.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Mangere is growing and it will benefit the local families to be within walkind distance to amenities

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5411

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Rose Taumoe'anga

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Allows people who want to live and work in Auckland

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Mangere is growing and it will benefit the local families to be within walkind distance to amenities

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5412

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Litani Fehoko

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

beneficial for the growing population and one hand we may end up like Sydney

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Mangere is growing and it will benefit the local families to be within walkind distance to amenities

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5413

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Katea Latu

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

People can still live in Auckland

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Mangere is growing and it will benefit the local families to be within walkind distance to amenities

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5414

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Falakiko Vaengatau

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Caters for the growing population

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Mangere is growing and it will benefit the local families to be within walkind distance to amenities

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5415

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Taiana Vaengatau

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

There are structures already going up

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Mangere is growing and it will benefit the local families to be within walkind distance to amenities

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5416

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Sofia Paegotau

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Gives growth in the area

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Mangere is growing and it will benefit the local families to be within walkind distance to amenities

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5417

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Luseane Sili

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

People need to be able to live nearby

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Mangere is growing and it will benefit the local families to be within walkind distance to amenities

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5418

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Kapiteni Fifita

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Good to see some development happening

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Mangere is growing and it will benefit the local families to be within walkind distance to amenities

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5419

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Ala Fifita

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Solve the housing crises

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Mangere is growing and it will benefit the local families to be within walkind distance to amenities

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5420

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Tuputau Vaifo'ou

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Allow people to move into the area to be close to work and services

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

I found the benefits of living close to the town centre, and also with the nearby apartments that act as a village

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5421

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Pelenatita Vaifo'ou

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Benefit to live near city centre and within walking distance

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

People look out for each other

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5422

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Nola Folau

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

City becomes so busy and congested

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

I won't comment

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5423

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Kalisi Mafi

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

I guess it would be good, my family relies on transport to get us around

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5424

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Vaiola Henry

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Solve the housing crises

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

I can benefit from it being at my vulnerable age

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5425

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Paulo Falekaono

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

It is good to live close to the city centre

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Mangere is growing and it will benefit the local families to be within walkind distance to amenities

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5426

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Mafi Falekaono

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Wonderful opportunities for people wanting to move into the area to be close to work, education, and health services

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Mangere is growing and it will benefit the local families to be within walkind distance to amenities

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5427

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Sakalia Pusiaki

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

There is employment opportunities whenever there is construction and development takes place

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

My wife and I own our home, my concerns with what is proposed will drive our rates up to pay for extra services to cater for the growing population

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5428

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Litea Pusiaki

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Solve the housing crises

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

I am a homeowner, and I am aware of the developments that are taking place along Puhinui and in Manukau City.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5434

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Losita Tupou

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

assists small towns develop

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Enables families and residents to access services, be part of community

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5435

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Filisonu'u Tupou

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Creates jobs

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

We have a crisis in the community that needs attenting to

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5436

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Siosifa Taufa

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Beneficial for everyone to access to.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

A good addition and access for those without cars.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5437

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Erana Taufa

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

Would be cool having walkways that are readily accesible.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Having these walkways would mean I could freely venture to places without the worry of needing a car. I'd be able to solely rely on public transport plus walking.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5438

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Cecilia Tupou

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** I don't know

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

I think it would be good.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5439

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Peni Tupou

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** I don't know

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

I'm just to wait it out to see the potential

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5496

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Matthew Braidford

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

We have a right to light. 6 stories being build in existing low height residential areas will remove views, sun light and privacy.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

It is unfair to cram multi-storey buildings in amongst 1 and 2 story residence as it removes privacy, sunlight and will clog small streets with excess parked cars.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Other

Tell us why

No one is catching our shonky public transport or riding a push bike to work. Intensification just leads to more cars parked on the street leading to more crime as these developments never have off street parking

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

Lovely idea but people who buy these shoeboxes buy based on price not locating. Chances of husband and wife working in the same location is rare which means a car that needs parking.. oh no parking provided so parked on street where it encourages crime.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

Ok but need to provide off street parking appropriate for size of dwelling. Not everyone is living a stones throw from their work.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Further to just protecting the villa areas there needs to be consideration for the dynamic of an area. Shoving 8 houses on a site that had 1 house with no consideration for surrounding residents or style of construction is unfair, it doesn't improve the neighbourhood it just takes away.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Heritage is not the only factor to consider

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Anything that means more consideration is taken before allowing high density housing to be shoehorned in amongst existing residential properties is a good thing.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5511

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Rhys Goodwin

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Other

Tell us why

15 mins in both cases seems reasonable.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

1200 is fine.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

1200 is fine.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Nothing lasts forever we need to keep moving. I guess the key thing is that the buildings that replace beautiful character houses, are themselves built to such a standard of quality and beauty that they become the character buildings of the future. If the absolute trash that has been slapped together in my parts of neighbourhood is anything to go by then I don't hold out a lot of hope. We seems to have a real lack of vision.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Necessity is the mother of invention.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5626

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Robert Findlay

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** I don't know

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** I don't know

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** I don't know

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** I don't know

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** I don't know

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

26 Convoy Lane, Otahuhu is the last undeveloped market garden site on the road. It is 7,402m2. It's development potential has been unjustifiably reduced by zoning for two level medium density dwellings while surrounding sites are zoned for MDRS. It also has a coastal erosion control placed over it but the flat topography and location on the Tamaki estuary makes it very stable. The present owners have been on site for over thirty years and have not seen any signes of erosion. If anything, the presence of large volumes of mangroves has built up the sea level leading to accretion. It is requested that the Coastal Erosion Qulaifying matter is removed from the site and that it is zoned for MDRS like it's neighbours.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5718

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Bunleng Chunn

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Intensification is required

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

This is should be further to 2km. Allow people to cycle to any main transport hub. 129 Favona Road is within 2km from the train station. This area should be intensify.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay

- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5774

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Devid Cudicio

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think more areas or parts of areas should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#5785

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Emma Johnson

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

To enable NZ to reduce its climate impact we need better accessibility for more people to rapid public transport

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

800m is pretty short, I think we could service many more folk by increasing the distance to 1200

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

I do think we need to be careful of claiming special character on areas full of uninhabitable properties

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Building houses without sufficient infrastructure to support is a recipe for disaster, all intensification should take into account the infrastructure available

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay

- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#6089

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Andrea Marshall

Name of organisation/business: Auckland International Airport Ltd

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?**

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?**

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Please see supporting information regarding qualifying matters.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Auckland Airport

SUBMISSION ON AUCKLAND COUNCIL
PRELIMINARY RESPONSE TO HOUSING SUPPLY AMENDMENT ACT PLAN CHANGES

Summary

1. Auckland Airport welcomes the opportunity to provide feedback on Auckland Council's ("**Council**") preliminary plans for the implementation of the Medium Density Residential Standards ("**MDRS**") and key policies of the National Policy Statement on Urban Development, as required under the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act 2021 ("**Housing Supply Amendment Act**").

2. Auckland Airport recognises the need for greater housing supply and supports the Government's broader goals of intensification as an important part of providing for the current and future generations. However, essential infrastructure, like Auckland Airport, is also a core part of ensuring a high-quality urban environment and it must continue to be provided for. Auckland Airport is concerned to ensure that housing development occurs in appropriate locations and in a manner that manages effects on the health and amenity of communities within Auckland, as well as effects on the operation of the Airport.

3. Auckland Airport supports the Council's identification of the Airport as a "qualifying matter", which enables reduced housing density to those provided under the MDRS. In order to provide for the safe and efficient operation of the Airport, it is critical the following matters are included when the plan change is notified:

   (a) Auckland Airport's Aircraft Noise Overlay and the existing land use controls within the High Aircraft Noise Area ("**HANA**") and Moderate Aircraft Noise Area ("**MANA**"), which were comprehensively developed through the Auckland Unitary Plan process ("**AUP**"). These controls must continue to apply without modification;

   (b) no upzoning within the Aircraft Noise Notification Area ("**ANNA**"), given the noisy environment;

   (c) the controls on buildings and vegetation within the Obstacle Limitation Surface ("**OLS**") protected by Designation 1102 ("**D1102**") must continue to apply without modification.

Auckland Airport

4. Auckland Airport is a strategic infrastructure asset of local, regional and national importance. As the primary gateway to New Zealand, Auckland Airport is a significant contributor to the regional and national economy, and is critical to New Zealand's trade and tourism industries. As the provider of core infrastructure assets, Auckland Airport is a significant user of the Resource Management Act 1991 and relies heavily on robust planning provisions to enable the ongoing use and development of its infrastructure.

5. The Airport is continuously planning to manage the growth and development of the Airport and its landholdings. The nature of airport infrastructure and the level of capital investment required means that Auckland Airport needs to plan decades ahead. Auckland Airport does this by masterplanning its land use and development of the wider

Auckland Airport

Airport Precinct. Masterplans are high level strategic documents that set the pathway for long term planning and investment. Auckland Airport's current masterplan is based on a 30-year time horizon out to 2044.

Managing housing development around Auckland Airport

6. A core part of ensuring the safe and efficient operation of the Airport and good planning outcomes involves managing development immediately around the Airport, particularly activities sensitive to aircraft noise. Well planned urban development must balance housing supply, while not undermining the ongoing safe and efficient operation of essential infrastructure that supports well-functioning urban areas.

7. Residential development built in proximity to lawfully established large-scale infrastructure (like airports) are subjected to noise levels that can result in adverse health and wellbeing effects for residents. This development subsequently can give rise to reverse sensitivity effects with people subjected to the adverse effects complaining about or opposing the operation and development of that infrastructure. This can (and does) result in constraints being placed on that infrastructure.

8. The risk of reverse sensitivity effects placing constraints on infrastructure increases where the number of people subject to the effects increase, as there are simply more people who may seek to complain or oppose infrastructure operation and development. For this reason, it is important to have robust controls in place to manage sensitive development near the Airport (particularly in moderate to high noise environments).

9. Auckland Airport worked closely with Council through the Auckland Unitary Plan process to ensure there were comprehensive land use controls on sensitive activities in high and moderate noise environments. These controls were informed by detailed technical modelling, empirical analysis and extensive expert evidence. These controls are incorporated into the Aircraft Noise Overlay in the AUP and include:

   (a) Prohibition on new activities sensitive to aircraft noise ("**ASAN**") within the HANA. This reflects the inappropriateness of allowing new dwellings or other sensitive activities within the area subject to the highest levels of aircraft noise, above $65dB_{Ldn}$ (consistent with New Zealand Standard 6805:1992 on Airport Noise Management and Land Use Planning ("**NZS6805**")).

   (b) Consenting requirements for new ASAN in residential zones within the MANA which require compliance with acoustic insulation and ventilation standards and consideration of reverse sensitivity effects on Auckland Airport. Acoustic insulation and ventilation are a key tool in management of aircraft noise effects, but are only part of the constraints required to fully manage reverse sensitivity issues and health effects. For example, they cannot mitigate the impact of aircraft noise on outdoor living spaces, which are a key part of the amenity of residential areas.

   (c) Density controls for new ASAN in residential zones within the MANA.[1] While allowing for some development within areas subject to moderate aircraft noise, the density controls ensure large numbers of new noise sensitive activities are not able to locate within the MANA.

[1] These density controls cross refer to complementary density controls in precincts that fall wholly or partially within the overlay extents Table D24.4.3 (A37 and A38) and I412.6.1.1.

Auckland Airport

10. Alongside the land use controls to manage the impacts of aircraft noise, Auckland Airport's D1102 also applies an OLS in the airspace above and adjacent to the Airport. The OLS imposes controls on the scale and height of built development and vegetation in the vicinity of the Airport. This is a mandatory requirement to comply with Civil Aviation rules and is developed with highly technical inputs. These controls are an essential tool to ensure that aircraft can manoeuvre safely within the vicinity of the Airport and take off and land without interference from structures and other obstacles. The consequences of development infringing the OLS are obviously significant.

11. Auckland Airport relies heavily on these planning rules being applied and enforced by Council to ensure the safety of aircraft operations, reverse sensitivity effects on the Airport and health effects on communities are appropriately managed. It is critical that these rules continue to apply.

12. If development is not controlled like at present, any new development has the potential to significantly increase numbers of people subject to aircraft noise effects. The number of people subject to these effects are effectively permanent once established, given those new developments would benefit from existing use rights. It is therefore important any rules are future focused and cognisant of the future numbers of people that will be subject to aircraft noise.

Impact of proposed changes on Auckland Airport

Auckland Airport as a qualifying matter

13. Auckland Airport strongly supports the Council's identification of Auckland Airport as a qualifying matter due to its classification as "nationally significant infrastructure" under the Housing Supply Amendment Act. This aligns with the Government's intention for the use of qualifying matters, with the Select Committee report on the Enabling Housing Supply Bill expressing outlining:[2]

> […] the qualifying matters set out in new section 77G include a matter of national importance and a matter required to ensure that nationally significant infrastructure **operates safely or efficiently, and avoid reverse sensitivity concerns**. This could include ensuring residential housing is safely set back from high voltage transmission lines, and **other infrastructure such as airport noise areas, in order to avoid reverse sensitivity concerns.**
>
> [emphasis added]

14. Auckland Airport's Aircraft Noise Overlay are precisely the kind of planning provisions that are eligible for the qualifying matter exception. Similarly, the requirements of the OLS must be a qualifying matter as they are necessary:

[2] Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Bill 83-1, Report of the Environment Committee (December 2021) at page 15.

Auckland Airport

(a) for the safe and efficient operation of nationally significant infrastructure, given their critical importance to the safe take-off and landing of aircraft;[3] and

(b) to give effect to D1102.[4]

15. The preliminary information released by the Council does not provide detail on how Auckland Airport, as a qualifying matter, will be incorporated into the plan changes. While the draft planning maps released indicate the Aircraft Noise Overlay are a qualifying matter, they do not identify D1102 as a qualifying matter. This is a significant omission. Auckland Airport seeks confirmation that the Council has:

(a) accepted the OLS as a qualifying matter allowing for amendment of the MDRS where required; and

(b) undertaken an assessment to ensure none of the increased height limits planned would penetrate the OLS and jeopardise aircraft safety.

Upzoning in the Aircraft Noise Overlay

16. The planning maps introduce new residential zones to several areas within the HANA and MANA, as illustrated below. Specifically, these shift residential zoning from:

(a) Residential – Single House Zone to Two-Storey Single Dwelling Residential Area; and

(b) Residential – Mixed Housing Suburban Zone to Two-Storey Medium Density Residential Area.



Figure 1: Existing zones



Figure 2: New zones

[3] RMA, s 77I(e).
[4] RMA, s 77I(g).

Auckland Airport

Figure 3: Zoning key

17. While draft provisions have not yet been released, the Airport anticipates these zones will significantly intensify development within the HANA and MANA from what is currently provided for in the AUP.

18. It is imperative the existing Aircraft Noise Overlay controls outlined above at paragraph 9 continue to apply to these sites given the significant potential effects on the Airport and the communities who will eventually reside there. This includes retention of a prohibition on new dwellings in the HANA, and density restrictions in the MANA, alongside insulation requirements. Auckland Airport does not support any reduction in the strength of the controls applied by the existing Aircraft Noise Overlay provisions.

Implications of upzoning in the ANNA

19. The proposed zoning maps indicate significant upzoning to the area subject to aircraft noise between 55-60dB$_{Ldn}$, referred to in the AUP as the ANNA. While no land use controls are imposed on ASAN within the ANNA in the AUP, this reflects a historical position under the previous planning regime and a pragmatic approach by Auckland Airport to urban development in this area. This does not reflect the actual noise environment within the ANNA, which remains subject to notable aircraft noise effects. In fact, NZS6805 recommends any new ASAN should be prohibited within the area subject to 55-65dB$_{Ldn}$ unless appropriate acoustic insulation is installed.

20. The proposed rezoning of the areas subject to the ANNA (an example of this is depicted in part in figures 4 and 5 below) is significant, and shifts the zoning of the ANNA almost entirely to Mixed Housing Urban and Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zoning. This provides for significantly more housing development in the ANNA than was anticipated during the preparation of the AUP, and one Auckland Airport does not support given the adverse aircraft noise effects that still apply to the ANNA.

21. Given there are no land use controls on ASAN within the ANNA, it is critical to tread carefully with respect to any upzoning in this area to ensure that a healthy living environment is created for those who will reside there and to minimise the potential for reverse sensitivity effects on the Airport.

Auckland Airport



Figure 4: Proposed zoning



Figure 5: Existing zoning

Next Steps

22. Auckland Airport would welcome any opportunity to discuss this submission with Council.

Andrea Marshall

Head of Masterplanning and Sustainability

Auckland International Airport Ltd

PO Box 73020, Auckland Airport, Manukau 215

#6389

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Ossie Manukuo

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Other

Tell us why

We need to have more consultation with our communities about this. What will this mean for all our families. What will this mean for the wellbeing for all our communities and what will the best for all socio economic groups.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Other

Tell us why

Emphasizing again that we have not consulted wide enough or long enough. It is not enough to say we have given the opportunity to consult when we know we only have a small portion of our community that vote in Local Board elections. We have recently had our consultation for our Annual Budget and this is was a challenge to get people involved and even to understand.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Other

Tell us why

The distance about a walkable catchment needs to be discussed more and it will be dependent for each different community in Tamaki Makaurau Auckland. We need to be patient and work harder with all our communities. Lets look at how many people have participated in this consultation which will be used to decide on something very important. If we only get very little feedback lets look on how we can all participate so we make the best decisions for us all.

The problem is that many of our families are so busy and discussing this which is important will not be prioritized with trying to take care of your family, paying bills, spending quality time, wondering if they can pay their mortgage or rent.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city

blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

What we need to be mindful of is is Government dictating what we need in our community without really getting to know each and all our communities. Yes we do have Local MPs that represent Government but we need to look more deeper on how Local Government actually work with Central Government.

Our Leaders need to all come together no matter what political party they belong to and discuss what will be best for each of our communities. We need open honest conversations with actions points from each community and capture the uniqueness of these communities.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

How will we know how many from these communities that will be impacted with these decisions. In Auckland we have projects that have been decided with very little consultation from our communities and this is an example where this will happen here. We need to understand that we are failing in getting our communities to engage on important matters like this.

If we want to progress then lets all be part of this journey for us to all benefit. If we leave behind any of our community in just trying to get their voices to be heard than we will do a disservice for many generations to come.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

We have not had open transparent communications with our communities about how this will impact on our communities and families. Let us not go down the pathway of being dictated about how we will progress in our Tamaki Makaurau Auckland where we will leave the majority of us disadvantaged.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Other

Tell us why

What does it mean for those who live in these areas, what are their concerns? What is the history of these areas and how will this impact on all our community both rich and poor.

We need to make sure all our community understands what is happening and the effects of the decisions that will be made.

Are the outcomes the best for all our families and whanau

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Other

Tell us why

As with my comment above ask the Business Associations how this will impact on them. What are the examples we have that are working and the negative impacts such as crime in the area or will it help negate this. This is only one of many examples to consider.

What gives preference from one area to another?

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Other

Tell us why

What will the cost mean for us as a community and the pressure extra people will be living in an area where it only serviced a few.

If we are looking at families staying close to city centers and the option of having affordable homes, will they be affordable? There are many aspects to consider for all our community and will our decisions be better for all rich and poor people of our Tamaki Makaurau Auckland?

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay

- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

There is a lot of jargon being used when talking about such an important change in our communities. There is a risk that we have not given the time to understand this fully and decisions will be made and we are not talking to all our communities in Tamaki Makaurau Auckland.

Take the time to get to know our communities our whanau and families and future generations this will impact then we will get progress where we take all of us on a journey we support and understand.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#6451

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Manjit Singh

Name of organisation/business: New Zealand Sikh Women's Association

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

The distance is appropriate . Having lesser limit will cause congestion and those living in the vincinity can walk to and from work saying on transportation and contributing to cleaner environment.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

for reasons stated in one. The distance is appropriate . Having lesser limit will cause congestion and those living in the vincinity can walk to and from work saying on transportation and contributing to cleaner environment.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

most commuters especially elderly and disabled should not have to walk for approx 1 Km to reach their home around 500metres is ideal

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Most people living in Apartment building tend to be students or working class . having them live closer to their hub with improve their effeciency and also encourage walking, healthy lifestyle.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Smaller towns have lesser population ,so close residential dwelling would benefit them similkiarly

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

We need to preserve our landmarks and identity .This will empower our youth will a sense of pride and belonging

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

Could not identify the areas

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

could not identify the areas

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#6516

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Christine Yuan

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#6673

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: A Rasmussen

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

I think we need to invest in better public transport to make this a reality

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

In Auckland, many people are willing to walk further than 10 minutes to access jobs, services and opportunities that the metropolitan centres provide.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Walkable catchments should be 1200 metres (15 minutes) for Rapid Transit Stops.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Upzoning to a distance of 800m - further than currently proposed by Council - should take place around all Town Centres defined by Council as ‘large + high accessibility’, as well as all other town centres on the isthmus.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Upzoning to a distance of 400m should take place around all Local Centres defined by Council as ‘large + high accessibility’, as well as all other local centres on the isthmus.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government’s direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

‘Special character areas’ are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland’s older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

With the climate emergency, Auckland Council needs to enable More Homes in the Right Places. Special Character overlays in central suburbs - the areas with the greatest access to transport and existing amenities - are significantly limiting sustainable housing development and low emission neighbourhoods.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Old homes were not built to modern standards of warmth and dryness, nor weather tightness. Replacing older homes and villas with modern apartments will radically improve quality of life for residents, offering warmer, drier and healthier living environments.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

The Special Character Area overlay should not compromise or limit development in Walkable Catchments

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater

infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

It is the responsibility of the NZ Government, Auckland Council and its CCOs to deliver infrastructure in anticipation of future housing growth. It may be reasonable to have extremely limited areas qualified where it is impossible or extremely difficult to address long-term infrastructure constraints.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

The NPS-UD sets out that Qualifying Matters need to have identified Specific Characteristics that makes the level of intensification required by the NPS-UD and MDRS inappropriate in light of the national significance of urban development. Heights and density more restrictive than the NPS-UD

catchment or MDRS can only be imposed to the extent that it affects the characteristic in question. Site by site evidence is required for qualifying matters, which includes justifying the extent of the area that it's applied to, as well as the sites that it applies to.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#6846

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Nick D

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I do not think special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Yes. My feedback in regards to TWO qualifying matters.

National Grid Corridor Overlay AND Auckland Airport - high aircraft noise area.

There are already thousands of properties “Residential Houses” under these overlays.

In order to build any new residential Houses under these overlays The Auckland airport and Transpower already have certain requirements to meet. For example

1] Transpower: limit of height of building should be 8 Meters below from power lines.

Technology is changing fast. There may be a time coming when there is no need for wires to carry power and may another alternative renewable energy will change the game entirely.

2] Auckland Airport: noise mitigation by sound insulations, double glazed windows, Healthy air circulations systems such as HRV so that no need to open windows etc.

The existing residential houses are already meeting these requirements and obviously the new developments will need to comply with these requirements.

Almost all the surrounding properties around this area, particularly ‘Freyberg Ave Papatoetoe’ already have multi residential dwellings. There is no point in restricting a few.

Especially, while we are in desperate need of intensification and our government wants this to happen immediately.

These two overlays are not natural issues. These are manmade issues hence the one who cause these should not restrict others but take a responsibility and help those affected instead of them and council restricting and arm twisting of innocents. I have feedback on Zoning.

There should not be Single Housing Zone as NPS proposed the 3 houses per section nation wise.

Just an example the new development Whakaaro lane in Favona many individual two-story houses are built on site of about 130-135m2.

If there is any specific reason for a particular property to restrict development, then it should address case by case and not bluntly under single housing zone.

Earlier Single housing requirement was 800m2, while normally houses were built on section of 400m2 or less. Then why now in Draft Single housing requirement is proposed 600m2 and even proposed 400m2 or less while others can build individual two-story houses on section of 135m2.

In case Single housing zone has to go ahead then at least section requirement should 400m2 or less and not 600m2.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#6940

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Jessica James

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

As this is 'on the edge' of the city centre, it is likely to flow into other subrubs such as parnell and st mary's bay, where 6 storey or more development will likely affect heritage and special character areas, and may lead to the loss of heritage. Also Auckland city centre is quite hilly, and there are many buses already on route to the city, without walking.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

I think it should be closer. There are generally many bus routes within Auckland, so the walkable catchment for high intensity development does not need to be located up to 800m away and should be closer.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

I think the walkable catchment around rapid transit centres should be closer than 800m. While there are 6 storey developments proposed around these areas, not all the people living there will use pubic transport i.e some may not require this.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

For large town centres, this might be ok, e.g Manukau , and would still provide planning regulations, instead of the new proposed Act.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

For small town centres, this should be ok, as there would still be applicable rules from the unitary plan that can be applied to regulate (good quality/design) development.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

I support the proposal to include identifiable special character areas as qualified matters. While ALL special character areas are important, if areas are identified that have more importance, then the government may be more likely to include these as qualifying matters.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

Special Character areas are important. Once these are gone they cannot be replaced. Whereas areas that are not of significance could be used for higher density development instead.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Support

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Yes, perhaps this might be useful. Areas with older infrastructure (which may also have heritage values) may be a valid point worth considering for future development. Also areas with lack of public transport.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

For the last question on 'Other qualifying matters' I agree with the list of included matters the council will include. Also want to add-Volcanic Viewshafts- these are important to maintain, where possible, not just for better housing design but a sense of place when in different locations around Auckland.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#7147

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Lewis Gibb

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?**

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Do not support

Tell us why

I do not support the proposed zoning in mangere Bridge if the light rail project goes ahead as regards high rise building and the ensuring problem. i.e. parking etc. The current height restrictions should be retained.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. **Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)**

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#7148

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Margaret Ting

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

Support in general but want existing view shaft and height sensitive overlays retained

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Do not support – I think all existing special character areas should be a qualifying matter

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Do not support – I think there are more areas that should be identified as a qualifying matter

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft

- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Feedback on Auckland Light Rail corrider under investigation, regarding regionally significant volcanic viewshaft and height sensitive overlay attached

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Proposal: ***Auckland Light Rail Corridor Zoning under Investigation***

Regarding Mangere Bridge area bounded by Tainui Terrace, Mangere Mountain Reserve and Coronation Street

This area is currently zoned *Mixed Housing Suburban*

and has a *Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshaft and Height Sensitive Overlay*

which is noted in Table !: *Proposed AUP overlays and other items identified as required qualifying matters* as a *Matter of National Importance.*

I realise this Zoning is still under investigation but wish to note:

1. Auckland Council's carefully considered ' *Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas Overlay'* should be retained when the Light Rail Corridor Zoning comes into effect.
2. The view from the Mangere bridge and State Highway 20 of this part of Mangere Bridge suburb is iconic: the great majority of people leaving New Zealand and arriving see this stunning view over the Manukau to the beautiful indented coastline and the Mangere maunga beyond, and thousands of Aucklanders see it every day. This natural view is a taonga which must not be diluted by inappropriately placed taller buildings, or by intensification to the extent that the green canopy seen from afar is lost.

#7181

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Wayne Oliver

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?**

Tell us why

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?**

Tell us why

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?**

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Other

Tell us why

Zoning should be consistent for all properties in Otahuhu bordering the Tamaki River.

207 – 223 Princes Street East Otahuhu should be ‘Two-Storey Medium Density Residential Area’, not ‘Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Building Zone’ as shown.

All of McManus Place (1 – 15) Otahuhu should also be 'Two-Storey Medium Density Residential Area' given its proximity to the Tamaki River. Following the supporting documentation there is no overriding reason to zone these properties as 'Mixed Housing Urban Zone' as shown.

The unnumbered property at the end of McManus Place (the site of an electricity transmission tower) is also shown as Mixed Housing Urban Zone. How does that make any sense? Also, this property is not 1 – 23 Finn Place Panmure as it is identified on the map. How many other mistakes like that are there in this information?

To be consistent with other properties in Curlew Bay Road Otahuhu, all properties serviced by the drive at 22 Curlew Bay should be zoned Two-Storey Medium Density Residential Area. This driveway is already inadequate for the number of properties it serves. Which then implies that 3 and 5 Chatfield Avenue should also be zoned Two-Storey Medium Density Residential Area.

****

Properties in the same subdivision at Army Bay Whangaparaoa, ie 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, 18 Double Bay Place Army Bay should surely all have the same/consistent zoning – regardless of what that zoning might be. As shown, the selection of zoning appears random, between Residential - Mixed Housing Urban Zone and 'Two-Storey Single Dwelling Residential Area'. Some of these properties are even assigned both zones. How will that work? Does it mean that property owners can select the zone that best suits them? Or is this another mistake?

If there is any rationale in applying Mixed Housing Urban Zone to any of these properties, then surely it should be consistently applied to those undeveloped - ie to 12, as it is currently to 17. This would provide for more sustainable development opportunities than otherwise demolishing existing dwellings to create increased density. 14 Double Bay Place should not be Mixed Housing Urban Zone as shown, if there are properties adjacent to it zoned Mixed Housing Urban.

There is no apparent explanation or description of multiple zones or Two-Storey Single Dwelling Residential Area and Two-Storey Medium Density Residential Area zoning in the supporting documentation. Not knowing what these different zones entail makes it impossible and pointless to attempt to provide any meaningful feedback on them.

This 'preliminary response' comes across as poorly conceived, lacking imagination, consistency and common sense. The execution is also poor, obviously being rushed and clearly containing more than a few mistakes or omissions.

As such this consultation/feedback exercise is flawed. Not only is it a waste of their time and energy, it is an insult to those requested to provide feedback - not to mention being a poor spend of

ratepayer money.

The process should be abandoned at this point and recommenced after proper, competent planning has taken place and the material provided for public feedback is complete and error free.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?**

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?**

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?**

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Feedback to Auckland Council NPS-UD Preliminary Response:

Zoning should be consistent for all properties in Otahuhu bordering the Tamaki River.

207 – 223 Princes Street East Otahuhu should be 'Two-Storey Medium Density Residential Area', not 'Residential - Terrace Housing and Apartment Building Zone' as shown.

All of McManus Place (1 – 15) Otahuhu should also be 'Two-Storey Medium Density Residential Area' given its proximity to the Tamaki River. Following the supporting documentation there is no overriding reason to zone these properties as 'Mixed Housing Urban Zone' as shown.

The unnumbered property at the end of McManus Place (the site of an electricity transmission tower) is also shown as Mixed Housing Urban Zone. How does that make any sense? Also, this property is not 1 – 23 Finn Place Panmure as it is identified on the map. How many other mistakes like that are there in this information?

To be consistent with other properties in Curlew Bay Road Otahuhu, all properties serviced by the drive at 22 Curlew Bay should be zoned Two-Storey Medium Density Residential Area. This driveway is already inadequate for the number of properties it serves. Which then implies that 3 and 5 Chatfield Avenue should also be zoned Two-Storey Medium Density Residential Area.

****

Properties in the same subdivision at Army Bay Whangaparaoa, ie 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, 18 Double Bay Place Army Bay should surely all have the same/consistent zoning – regardless of what that zoning might be. As shown, the selection of zoning appears random, between Residential - Mixed Housing Urban Zone and 'Two-Storey Single Dwelling Residential Area'. Some of these properties are even assigned both zones. How will that work? Does it mean that property owners can select the zone that best suits them? Or is this another mistake?

If there is any rationale in applying Mixed Housing Urban Zone to any of these properties, then surely it should be consistently applied to those undeveloped - ie to 12, as it is currently to 17. This would provide for more sustainable development opportunities than otherwise demolishing existing dwellings to create increased density. 14 Double Bay Place should not be Mixed Housing Urban Zone as shown, if there are properties adjacent to it zoned Mixed Housing Urban.

There is no apparent explanation or description of multiple zones or Two-Storey Single Dwelling Residential Area and Two-Storey Medium Density Residential Area zoning in the supporting documentation. Not knowing what these different zones entail makes it impossible and pointless to attempt to provide any meaningful feedback on them.

This 'preliminary response' comes across as poorly conceived, lacking imagination, consistency and common sense. The execution is also poor, obviously being rushed and clearly containing more than a few mistakes or omissions.

As such this consultation/feedback exercise is flawed. Not only is it a waste of their time and energy, it is an insult to those requested to provide feedback - not to mention being a poor spend of
ratepayer money.

The process should be abandoned at this point and recommenced after proper, competent planning has taken place and the material provided for public feedback is complete and error free.

Wayne Oliver
9/05/22

#7259

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Zhenmin Wang

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

This way we can stop walking on main road (as there'll be proper pedestrian way). We would have enough strengths to achieve the walk. Also it makes the walk safe.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

The distance is a bit too close.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be further

Tell us why

The distance is a bit too close.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

This can solve lots of difficulties of people who don't own a house, and it facilitate their work and life.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Can solve the tension of housing shortage.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Should protect and preserve these areas that have special character.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

SCA proposed by the government is to protect historic area. It should be preserve its original record and appearance.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

The identified business special character areas are conducive/beneficial to people working and living in densely populated places.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

I am not sure the current situation with long-term significant infrastructure constraints in AUckland. Therefore, I don't have a say.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct should be included as qualifying matters, in order to limit the heights and density of developing these areas.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#7262

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Shusheng Zhang

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

The proposed 1.2km walking distance is a bit too long I think. Because you often come across steep hills etc.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

800m is acceptable.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

The 800m walking distance is okay/doable.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Sounds sensible.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

I agree with this proposal as building dwellings that don't take up lots of spaces can solve the tension of housing shortage.

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Agree with the protection policy of SCA, it allows these historical and cultural heritages be well preserved.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

I don't know due to the limitation of my current knowledge.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

In order facilitate people's work and life, and reduce emission for environmental best, I support the proposal of identifying these business special character areas.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

I don't know about the current situation of long-term significant infrastructure constraints in Auckland, therefore I don't have a say.

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how

they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

I support Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct being included as qualifying matters.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#7378

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Mandeep Singh

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Walkable catchment should be in closer distance.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Should be covered in less distance.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Should be in less distance.

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

It should not go that far.

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Do not support - I think it should be closer

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** I don't know

Tell us why

No knowledge.

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

No knowledge.

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** I don't know

Tell us why

No knowledge.

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay

- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct
- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

This project will help to solve housing crisis.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#7565

Government's new housing rules: What it means for Auckland

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your details

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will be kept private.

Name: Licai Cui

Name of organisation/business:

Your local board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Your feedback (all questions are optional)

Requirements for intensification

Intensification inside walkable catchments

A walkable catchment (required by the NPS-UD) is the area around a train or busway station, or a large urban centre within which an average person will walk to that location.

The government requires councils to identify walkable catchments around the city centre, metropolitan centres and rapid transit stops (train or busway stations) where buildings of six storeys or more must be enabled.

We are proposing:

- a 15-minute walk (around 1200 metres) from the edge of the city centre.
- a 10-minute walk (around 800 metres) from the edge of the metropolitan centres and around rapid transit stops, such as a train station or stop along the Northern and Eastern Busways.

These are subject to modifying factors such as landscape, for example steep hills, and physical barriers including motorways.

1. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 1200 metres from the edge of the city centre?** Support

Tell us why

I support the govt's/AC's decision as they're speaking up for its people.

2. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres from the edge of the metropolitan centres?** Support

Tell us why

I support the govt's/AC's decision as they're speaking up for its people.

3. **What do you think of our proposed walkable catchment of 800 metres around rapid transit stops?** Support

Tell us why

Intensification around town and local centres

Central government has told us that we need to enable building height and density (for example terrace housing and apartment buildings) in and around our neighbourhood, local and town centres that matches the level of commercial activity and community services in each centre (such as jobs, shops and businesses). This is achieved by applying the Residential – Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone.

Town centres are larger suburban centres in Auckland that provide for a wide range of shops, services and activities for their surrounding districts. They are often spread across a couple of city blocks, and along main roads. Some examples are Glenfield, Glen Eden, Parnell and Papatoetoe town centres.

Local centres include a larger number of smaller suburban centres that serve local areas and neighbourhoods. Local centres might have 20 or 30 shops and some small offices.

4. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings Zone to residential areas up to around 400 metres from large town centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

5. **What do you think of our proposal to apply the Terrace Housing and Apartment Buildings zone to residential areas up to around 200 metres from small town centres or large local centres with high accessibility?** Support

Tell us why

Qualifying matters

The government allows for some qualifying matters - exemptions to modify three-storey and six-storey building heights. Qualifying matters are a characteristic which can be used to protect sites of cultural, historic, or ecological significance or to avoid development in areas with natural hazards.

The government has already identified a number of qualifying matters and allows us to include other qualifying matters relevant to our city.

To include our own qualifying matters, we must provide robust evidence to justify why it should reduce building heights and density in a particular area in light of the government's direction to enable more housing.

Special Character Areas

'Special character areas' are older parts of the city that have special architectural or other built character value. They are covered by the Special Character Area Overlay in the Auckland Unitary Plan.

Many of Auckland's older residential suburbs were built around the early public transport network and emerging town centres. This is where our special character areas are located. The government is now directing us to enable increased housing density (e.g. buildings of six-storeys or more) within walkable catchments of town centres and public transport networks, and up to three storey buildings elsewhere.

The government has not included special character areas as a qualifying matter. However, we are proposing to include identified areas of special character as a qualifying matter that reduces limits on building heights and density to ensure the character values of these areas are retained.

6. **What do you think of our proposal to include identified special character areas as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

7. **What do you think of the proposed residential special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

8. **What do you think of the proposed business special character areas that we have identified?** Support

Tell us why

Infrastructure constraints

We are looking to identify areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter. As with special character areas, this is not a qualifying matter that is listed in the NPS-UD or the Act.

This may include areas where water supply and wastewater networks may not be adequate to cope with more growth and are very costly and/or difficult to upgrade, or stormwater infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide, or where access to transport infrastructure is not adequate and very costly and/or difficult to provide.

By including this as a qualifying matter, it would reduce the limit on building heights and density that would otherwise be enabled.

We have not yet determined how we would apply this qualifying matter as the NPS-UD and the Act do not provide any specific support. At this stage, we want feedback on whether to consider this as a qualifying matter or not. If we include it as a qualifying matter, we will show the extent and location of any changes to height and density requirements in our plan change in August 2022.

9. **What do you think of our proposal to include areas in Auckland with long-term significant infrastructure constraints as a qualifying matter?** Support

Tell us why

Other qualifying matters

We are also proposing to include a number of other overlays and controls in the Auckland Unitary Plan as qualifying matters that limit the height and density of development on sites or across areas to retain the values they reflect. A description of these proposed qualifying matters and how they are proposed to be protected or kept is shown in the consultation document and information sheets available on akhaveyoursay.nz/housing.

These additional qualifying matters include:

- Ridgeline Protection Overlay
- Local Public Views Overlay
- Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft
- Stockade Hill Viewshaft
- Character buildings in City Centre zone and Queen Street Valley Precinct

- Some of the existing built form controls in City Centre (e.g. allowing sunlight into public places, Aotea Square height control).

10. Do you have feedback on any other qualifying matters? (please be clear which proposal you are talking about)

Support all the proposal.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.