Funding Auckland's Storm Recovery and Resilience Consultation

WRITTEN FEEDBACK

Volume 8

Date published: **Wednesday, 27 September 2023**

Local Board	Pages
Upper Harbour	1 - 168
Waiheke	169 - 201
Waitākere Ranges	202 - 856

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #31

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #59

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Good proposal for repairs/buyout. Auckland is NZ's most important city; this is required.

However, I don't support the establishment of National schemes for this. Each area is unique so what will a National scheme do? Over time, it will just be seen as an extra pot of funding or resourcing to dip into for doing normal council work and/or central government might pay less attention to it because it's so nebulous. Much better way is to impose Capital Gains Tax or some sort of land sale tax, even if 2%, and ear mark that money exclusively, for improving ore remediating the area from where the land/house

was sold. This is a more sustainable model with local awareness. Short sighted Kiwis and lobby-serving National and Labour parties will not agree to it though.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Buyout criteria are fine but how about using the lessons learnt to make future changes such as:

   1) ruling out future housing in Cat 3 areas

   2) bringing back more power in building and consent laws (diluted by Labour and National for intensification) that either required assessment and mitigation by builders or disallows building

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Do not support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #64

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

rather purchase, roll out support to rebuild them

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

purchase and then what ?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Do not support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #68

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Rate payers shouldn't be paying for people who brought unsafe homes

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   I don't know

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #74

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Government and those rate payers who built/purchased property in risky areas such as flood plains and cliff tops should have a higher rate increase than those who leave elsewhere.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Why should a stupid risk taking Uninsured and or under insured property owner get a larger payout at the rate payers/government expense. If I have a accident in my car and I am not insured I pay for it. There is no difference.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #87

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Just do it stop faffing about

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Do not support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #93

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Do not support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #134

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #137

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

I don't know

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #149

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I disagree with but-out of homes. Owners shouldn't have built/bought homes in risky areas or areas with potential to become such. It shouldn't be ratepayers' or taxpayers' responsibility to buy them out.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Yes, it shouldn't

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Do not support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #150

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Leave it to the experts.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #160

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

If the government and council hadn't wasted billions for meaningless carbon zero and ethnic nonsense, I would agree.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Do not support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #201

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

the government package seems fair and reasonable

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

the process should be done as quickly and efficiently as possible giving due consideration to every case individually

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #237

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Ths was such a drastic event that the entire community should chip in to pay for repairs and to cover losses.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #246

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

SO LONG THAT EVERYONE RECEIVES A FAIR DEAL.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #271

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

It seems like there is no other option. "No" basically meant no funding. I would have liked to see an alternative approach in which land owners shared some of the cost burden. I would also have liked to see "how" of funding at the Council level e.g. a one-time targeted rate, a local board component for impacted areas, etc.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   No. However, information communication systems need to be significantly improved to communicate risks at time of purchase via LIM linked to online and continually updating risk resources. Geospatial information systems should be used to integrate communication of scenario risks across agencies e.g. sea level rise impacts, flooding risk from rain events, etc.

   Feedback to question 4: responsibility and accountability lies with the consenting agency (Auckland Council). Important to not pass the buck to national government as this drives avoidance of local risk management. If an insurer will not insure a property that they previously would have then why is that? In those scenarios, what is the mechanism to facilitate shared risk ownership? How can we enable the insurer to manage retreat funding as an insurance option?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Do not support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #332

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Needs more funding from central government, not just the council.

Something like ChCh rebuild.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Central government should buy those, not the council.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #333

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

During the Leaky Homes Crisis, property owners were very much left to fend for themselves with extremely limited support from government. Therefore I don't see a reason why the owners of the 700 properties should be treated any different to all the owners who suffered severe financial hardship during the leaky homes crisis. Furthermore, where are the insurance companies in this matter???

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Please see my comments above

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #472

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Maybe reduce councillors numbers/salaries so you don't need to expect the rate payers to fund it

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #473

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #506

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

I don't know

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I struggle to see how the council is responsible to purchase flood affected homes. Isn't it the home owners responsibility to insure their own property?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

I don't think you should buy them? When has it becomes the councils responsibility to purchase Category 3 homes?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #510

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

The uninsured should not be covered.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #525

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I do not think the central government contribution is enough. The costs to rebuild will be greater than these two combined.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

I think the owners of insured properties need the payouts from insurer's first. Uninsured or under insured properties should not have to be funded by the taxpayer.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #550

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I hope that this is a "one off" and that Local and Central Government will produce a strategy for dealing with future event of the type. The way this event is dealt with should not become a precedence for future events.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #570

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

I don't know

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #575

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Do not support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #592

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #639

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I am concerned that by becoming involved in buying out homes Council is becoming a defacto insurer of last resort and is thereby encouraging home owners not to insure their properties.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Firstly properties should be valued conservatively and then Council resources should be used to top-up pay-outs after insurance contributions and owner contributions have been fully assessed. Council should not become the primary underwriter. Disincentives should be in place to ensure ratepayers do not see Council funding as a free alternative to taking out insurance.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #644

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Ensure rates are not used to fund this proposal. Rates are already too high, and Council cannot afford it. Central Government should be paying more as part of their tax take.

Cleaning up existing water ways and making them more resilient is long overdue so should be a priority.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Category 3 can be defined as unlivable, not safe and unrepairable as risk of future landslides or flooding mean the property cannot be rebuilt. Technical advice can establish these benchmarks.

   Buy out should be based on the market value of the property prior to the event. Valuers used to establish the value of the property "before" the event and normal dispute resolution processes available to owners if settlement offers/values are unsatisfactory.

   Insurance settlement amounts for the sum-insured buildings and improvements should be deducted from the agreed value and any EQC sum also deducted.

   Demolition amounts included in insurance cover should be paid out by the insurance company.

   Uninsured and underinsured parties should take a hit on their loss and not get any payout for the improvement portion - only the land value that Council will purchase. Those parties without insurance have taken the risk of not having cover in place, so should not get a "bailout" now there has been an event.

   Land value for these purchases should be based on the LV prior to the event, as if unaffected by any flooding or land slips. Any EQC payout should be deducted from the final buy out price.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

I don't know

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #690

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

It should be a fair price for the homeowners.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #703

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Keep your hands off ratepayers money

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

If these people are dumb enough to buy a house that's on a cliff edge of below it don't make other people pay for their mistake

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Do not support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #794

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Home owners should own the risks associated with their private property not the residents and ratepayers of Auckland who do not meaningfully share in the upside of their gains. This scheme sets a precedent which will bankrupt the council and the country with known increases in risks due to climate change. If the private property owner wishes to mitigate their risks they should purchase private insurance. If no insurance product is available they should own the fact they made a poor purchase decision and ask their bank for financial assistance.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   See above

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Do not support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #813

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Payment should be realistic not over generous

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #830

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I don't think council should buy out homes. That's for insurance

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Don't buy them

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #865

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I don't like an idea of council buying out private properties.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Do not support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #918

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #922

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Good but hard decision, let's now get on with it asap for the sake of everyone

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Please just do this asap and ensure that you have good, trained people dealing with the property owners so that you don't cause them further distress

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #942

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

It looks like priority 1 is transport, Priority 2 and 3 are more important

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #955

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

It's fine to do repairs and improvements.

It's absolutely irresponsible having to pay for irresponsible behaviour of people who rather gamble and not or underinsure their property.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   To be clear:

   If the counillors of Auckland want to buy these properties they should do using their own money, not the money of ratepayers.

   Everone had the chance to insure their property.

   Those who chose to do nicer things with the money should live the consequences.

   Why should anyone insure a property in the future?

   Where is the limit of letting ratepayers and taxpayers pay for irresponsible people?

   Why now and not in the future?

   Only because the current government is desperate before the election to bribe anyone?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1006

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Its all good, its just missing an education package that would provide people with their own tools to deal with an emergency. Also it missing 'Stormwater Attenuation Tanks' for every Auckland household. If a comprehensive program of attenuation tanks was implemented it would remove the 'spike' stormwater flow from extreme events across the city. This is the easiest and probably cheapest resilience measure you could take. This is not even mentioning the other benefits (alongside water use education) this program would have.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   As long as its fair.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1015

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

I don't know

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

In our community flooding is minor compared to other communities. Having said that, in the last events we were 1 - 2 metres under water primarily as a result of the storm water viaduct not coping with the volume of water. Additional to this, drains around the village were blocked and so the drainage system wasn't capable of doing its job. This resulted in overflow of all systems and that started a snowball effect. Additional to this, the local restuarants are dumping their used oil down the drains and toilets resulting in blocked waste water and sewage systems.

If maintenance of the waterways, drainage systems and vigilance of their abuse was improved we may not need to spend all this money.

I'm not sure I can support rates increases of this magnitude when our incoming Mayor told us he'd fix the wasteful spending and all we seem to be getting is more increases, greater than what the last Mayor was presenting.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   No, tough decision. Part of me says it's one of lifes tough lessons while the other part says how did these people get into this position and who is to blame.

   As a rate payer I don't see why I should cover the bill for incompetence or greed in the same way that the leaky buildings situation arose. The council and RMA failed in their duty to provide due care in their decisions and so could potentially be argued to be negligent in their duties.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Do not support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1097

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Why should uninsured property get a full buyout when others have been paying for insurance and get some payout?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1148

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1155

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Ratepayers should not be tasked with buying out category 3 properties in the current economic situation. Inflation is high and the cost of living a real burden to many. An possible rate increase of 10% is burdensome.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Property owners that have not taken steps to reduce their level of risk through taking insurance or have bought properties in council identified floodplains etc should receive buyouts that reflect this decision. Those that have not taken insurance or knowingly (or unknowingly through lack of due diligence) bought properties with increased risk should receive a reduced amount.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Do not support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1181

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Those who have some insurance available should be entitled to a better outcome than the uninsured to reflect that they have paid to mitigate their exposure to risk. EG through a higher cap on payment.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1218

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

The Council should not in any circumstance be treated as an insurance company. It should have formed part of home owners due diligence that they were placed in flood zones and high risk slopes so in no circumstance should the rate-payers (Council) have to pay for someone else's lack of care. Existing infrastructure should be maintained and upgraded where necessary. It should be noted that the storm events of 2023 were NOT regular and no infrastructure was designed to withstand those flows.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Completely disagree - the Council should not be involved and in no circumstance should have to buy-back what is now unproductive land. The Council should not in any circumstance be treated as an insurance company. It should have formed part of home owners due diligence that they were placed in flood zones and high risk slopes so in no circumstance should the rate-payers (Council) have to pay for someone else's lack of care.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Do not support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1223

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

How about we get the farmers and oil companies to pay? Why should those who have been doing the right thing be penalised while the corporates causing the problem burn the planet down, and bank the profits.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1238

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

The government should have the funds available from its emergency/disaster funds to cover these costs. We rate payers shouldn't have to pay even more money. We pay huge amounts for rates and watercare already, and the fact you have neglected to maintain and expand infrastructure is not our fault. These are the responsibility of whomever should have been maintaining the infrastructure.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   To be honest, it's not the govt or council's fault if people haven't got home insurance. That's the risk the homeowners face if they don't get insurance, and they know it. Therefore we shouldn't be paying them out.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Do not support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1292

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

No. If possible help people to Auckland people to get some tax relief/rebate for the money they pay for water including the waste water compared to other regions of New Zealand and around the globe where the water is free.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1303

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Support the first two parts - infrastructure repairs, water repairs, but want more info on "buy-outs". We pay $4,000 a year for our insurance, so why should our rates be used to buy out those not, or under-insured?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Any buyout should be minus the full insurance cost, whether there is insurance or not.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1330

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Purchase category 3 properties quickly so that those poor families can be safe and move on with their lives

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1331

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Purchase category 3 properties as soon as possible to protect those families

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1381

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I do not believe that all properties deserves a buyout. When government goes and buyout flood prone houses you are encouraging people to build houses in dangerous areas even so urban planning will allow it. If the government does not issue a buyout it will send a message to all house owners do not buy in dangerous areas.

We can always try to build our way out of flooding but that is only a band-aid solution. Council should be looking at just closing roads instead and let flood waters go down rather then building higher roads.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   People who did not buy insurance should not be insure as it will result in people not wanting to insure their homes. They want tax payers money which every citizen contributes for their buyout but did not want to contribute when they never had a problem that is unfair to people who brought insurance.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Do not support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1417

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I'd support the buyout of category 3 to the advantage of renters and where they are primary dwellings.

However, the insurer should carry the cost in the first instance

Where the owner has not insured or has under insured they have chosen to cover the risk and therefore should not benefit. Renters of these properties must not have to lose because of the owners taking the risks.

However, I believe compensation is necessary for the geo-technical failures.

However, this last point is questionable if this was a known risk and the owners were specifically made aware of it.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Owners who have been fully aware of the risk and chosen not to insure or to under-insure have knowingly taken on the risk and therefore should be responsible - without prejudice to renters etc. i the case of category 3 properties the occupants should be re-homed without cost and a degree of responsibility passed on to the owners.

   Compensation for the lose of the land should be available in the first instance from the insurers (or bearers of the risk) e.g. EQC, insurance companies or the owners themselves if the they have chosen to self-insure.

   Prices should be aligned with ratable values

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1457

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

how will council pay for the removal of any houses brought out?

Also pay for the properties to be turn into parks etc?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

CV are reasonable price to pay. But if property were listed beforehand as know flood zone like Boarder Rd in Henderson the owner shall get less as they should have done due diligence before purchasing property.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1458

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1484

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1490

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I think it is great that you are finally helping the people effected. I know some of them and they have been through a lot and getting a resolution asap would be really good.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

This should be done to fairly reflect how much that house is worth and you couldn't be seen to be offering less than the Ratable Value which is what they have been paying the council rates based on.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1584

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

During july and august the flooding still continues invasion my property land, my property land getting serious and more landslip to deep to take landslip distance up to 40 meters start from driverway fence bundary and my property driverway, it make my driverway remain only 1 meters wide there is no car can drive throught this driverway now.

The asphalt road driverway is totally loss its function.

Property address is: 85 Paremoremo Road, Lucas Heights, Auckland, 0632 - Red

Auckland council property no. #8250083574 - 85 Paremoremo Road, Lucas Heights, Auckland, 0632 - Red

In the future flooding and cyclone, it will become more serious and deep landslip, and the land loss, continuesly landslide will become even more impossible repair land damage. It's that risk to life in live in this land property. Let Auckland Council use consider to purchase my properties. Let it buyout.

Thanks

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

Yes

1584



Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1585

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I do not support the proposed package because of obligations on Auckland council to get funds for it.

As far as I know, Council already has a significant budget hole, which even can't be covered with already increased rates we pay. Meanwhile proposed package suggests Council find plus three times more money then the current hole is somewhere - where I wonder, isn't it from ratepayers pockets?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   I don't support the fact of purchasing category 3 properties.

   I perfectly understand how sad the situation is for those households. I strongly believe, this should be solved via the insurance. The more government or local authorities get involved into the events which are supposed to be covered by insurance, the more insurance become relaxed and the more exclusions they get, and the least helpful they become and we end with situation when we pay for insurance and we pay to cover the supposed-to-be-insured events from our pockets as well.

   I strongly against the national centralised fund establishment, because it prevents insurance companies from solving events which they are supposed and being paid to solve.

   This situation is already exist: the EQC already prevents insurance companies from doing their job.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Do not support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

#1585

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1588

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Properties should be valued at the most recent CV (capital value) at a minimum- the current market value (before damage) would be fair)

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Properties should be valued at the most recent CV (capital value) at a minimum - the current market value (before damage) would be fair)

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1661

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I believe more funds should be spend on resilience projects like Make Space for Water, and possibly less spent on property buy outs.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

I believe owner contributions should scale with property value. The owners of more valuable properties should be expected to cover a greater proportion of the costs than the owners of low value properties.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1690

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

No I don't know enough about it.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1718

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

The sums don't add up. Assuming the Councils contribution is 1B (with interest) and there being 700000 rateable residential properties in Auckland, the rates increase would need to be more like 30 - 50%.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

CV is easiest and fairest option for all. Or CV less a small percentage say 10 - 15%

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1719

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

It is important that along with this proposal to address current issues, there also needs to be huge emphasis on the requirement for storm-proof infrastructure including roads, parking, etc in all the new developments around the city!!!

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Should leverage off lessons learned in Christchurch, Nelson, and overseas. Key is that families in this situation are owed some recompense because the Council allowed their homes to be built in a place that was demonstrably unsafe.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1798

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

We should not be using council funds to buy-out any homes.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

We should not be using council funds to buy-out any homes.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Do not support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1911

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   I don't know

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1947

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

The weather related issues affected more of NZ than just Auckland, making it a nationwide issue and should therefore be funded by the Government.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Because Council valuations are inaccurate because they are always out of date, current market values should be the basis of any purchases. Negotiations with insurers is paramount so they pay their fair share. Uninsured properties are another matter entirely - I'm unsure if Council/Government should bear the full brunt of costs if people chose not to insure their properties. Otherwise, what is the point of having insurance?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2065

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Properties that can be turned into mini-solar farms for their neighbourhoods should be given the option for the current buy-out plus an annual share of the income generated by solar, so that the land becomes productive in some capacity for the local area and the soon-to-be-former owners get some lasting connection, if they choose to, as well as on-going small source of income while contributing to grid resiliency.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2136

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

I don't know

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Govt investment to Ak. If a loan, No. If not a loan, Yes

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

If a property is Category 3 and cannot be lived in for whatever reason, the payout should be the same. Possibly 80% preferably 100% . Current valuations at time of problem was probably higher than actual value with decreasing prices prior to defined Category 3. Those with insurance should have been paid out properly, those without enough to get them into a reasonable home in the same district.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2144

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Tax the rich for the money.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2151

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2170

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2176

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Seems appropriate in the curcumstances

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Capital values as per rates.

Quickest and least administrative on ongoing debates and costs

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2299

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2351

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2352

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Insufficient funding per household. Would you personally accept what you are offering, if it was your house involved? Put yourself in their position.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Use Current Government Valuation

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2396

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Why isn't all the funds from our regional fuel tax not supporting this more?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2463

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don't know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2477

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Too much pressure on tax payer. Current interest rates and living costs are already too much pressure on most people

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2485

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Upper Harbour

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

I don't know

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #48

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waiheke

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Because that's will be another excuse to increase council rate

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Not council will pay for the properties but ratepayers, we pay you, looks like you forgetting that fact. Insurances should pay

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #227

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waiheke

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Topping up on insurance payouts to a proportion of market value based on what properties in the area had sold for in relation to market value and some factor .

I think paying out on under and uninsured properties needs to acknowledge that owners took a risk in under/not insuring, the public should not have to underwrite that risk - at least not fully or to a high extent.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #250

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waiheke

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Do not support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #257

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waiheke

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I do not think that taxpayers and ratepayers should be buying out homeowners as there will be thousands of others around the country that will fall into this category in the future. We should not be funding 1 in 200 year floods which will become 1 in 100 then 1 in 50 in the future.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Any buyout should be based solely on the value of the land

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Do not support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #276

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waiheke

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Take the govt money offered so it can be started NOW

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Do the worst first so they can move on, then quibble about the rest

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #438

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waiheke

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Council Funding should not involve cutting funding in other areas. This is a significant unexpected cost, and the only responsible way to make up funding is through new ways of raising the money

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #441

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waiheke

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Central Government should be providing a higher percentage of the costs.

Taxes taken for so-called 'climate change' initiatives and money sent internationally by Central Government for so-called 'climate change' should be spent domestically ie. in New Zealand not sent to other countries or to international funds.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Primarily, the relevant Insurance Companies should be held to account and pay their fair share.

   Auckland Council has some responsibility in terms of the planning decisions that have permitted these builds.

   Council also has a huge responsibility in the case of failed storm-water infrastructure and maintenance and where Council storm-water has contributed (or even caused) these properties to fail.

   Central Government taxes taken for various obscure climate related matters should be channelled into these buy-outs.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #524

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waiheke

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Unless the problem has been caused by council ineptitude I feel that the home owners insurance should cover this and not the rate payer.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #610

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waiheke

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

   - Necessary repairs to the transport network,
   - Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
   - The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

   Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

   Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

   Yes

2. **Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?**

   Please just get on with the work as there are too many people with their lives on hold

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

CV valuation is the starting point, but there will be exceptions where a property had undergone significant improvements since the CV was set.

Properties that were insured should only be paid out to the CV valuation

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #613

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waiheke

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Second homes should not be included. Only primary residence. Use rateable value to value the homes. Deduct any insurance payable. For those who have no insurance use a means test to decide whether the normal insurance payable should be deducted from their payment.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1544

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waiheke

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Is there in place an open access to prospective home buyers that their land they wish to buy or invest in, has adequate information regarding if the zone is flood problematic or landslip problematic due to storm surges or coastal properties informed of deterioration due to coastal properties being quite sandy loom, so home buyers can have a clearer understandingof what they're buying into?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   I don't know

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1780

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waiheke

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Use the market value prior to the storms

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1830

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waiheke

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

I don't know

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   I don't know

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1927

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waiheke

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Remediation of this problem should be funded solely by central government. I am sorry for those affected, but I live MANY miles away from the affected area, which I have not even visited in over 50 years. I feel no more responsibility for that area than might any other citizen of NZ. I don't believe my area (Waiheke Island) should be controlled by Auckland Council at all. I certainly don't think it fair to increase my property rates substantially to fix some area of the country that has nothing more to do with me than Invercargill.

Auckland Council is a failed experiment. I have long wanted to return to being governed by the old Waiheke County Council, which used rates collected from Waiheke properties to deal with matters affecting Waiheke Island. That actually worked. Now Auckland Council won't even fix the roadside drains outside my property -- very possibly because I am not allowed to even TALK to any individual person who is paid to deal with matters like the drains outside my property. In my view the affected residents of West Auckland should be compensated entirely by central government -- not by ill-advised and poorly-described borrowing using my property rates as security.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   No I don't. The properties in question have nothing to do with me.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1966

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waiheke

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Starting point should be CV valuation unless owner object for valid reason.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2315

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waiheke

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I'd like there to be an independent appeals mechanism put in place for people to appeal their categorisation.

It's important that the land from buyouts is used for the benefit of the community. Like green spaces, community orchards, safety zones (is that the blue-green zones discussed?).

It's also really important that the land from these buyouts is safely planted/sorted out and maintained.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   The buyout process needs to be fair for everyone in all categories. We all need to be able to continue to live in our community and keep our families children in their community and support networks.

   Look at market values and CV. Not lower. That at least helps with this first point.

   Quick buyout option should be available - set at the CV - and longer valuation one for those who want to go that road.

   Fast, independent appeals procedure.

   Homeowners should only have to deal with one entity, not multiple. Council should deal with EQC.

   This process should be as quick as possible. People will run out of money and become bankrupt otherwise.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #16

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

There should be funding made available for category 2 remediation.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #33

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Registered valuation prior to cyclone

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #34

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

If we get paid out, do we have the opportunity to stay in the house, rent off Council until we find another place to live?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

will this be determined by the Government valuation?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #83

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Use Government Valuation

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #88

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

I don't know

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #90

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Roading repairs must be top priority after cat 3 housing buy outs.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

People should have known the risk in having uninsured or under insured properties. Unfortunately, this means they may have to slip down the priority list.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #139

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Basing on CV should suit most properties as many market values are in fact below CV at present. Those who wish could contest and have a fair market value assessment carried out. This might take a little longer but be fair for those whose CVs were for some reason unfairly low.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #157

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #186

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

The transport funding seems light given the amount of substantial damage to the road network.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Using CV /market values seems a sensible starting point. Perhaps properties over a certain value could be subject to a valuation as well.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #195

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

I don't know

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I do find it unfair that the central government (as in taxpayers) paid for the Christchurch rebuild/buy out and now this has fallen to regions ratepayers. I do think it should all be covered by the taxpayers (for the buy out in all regions)

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Insurance companies should pay out what they have to pay out under the policy . The buyout

money tops up to/close to the determined value.

Uninsured people just get a top up amount - it's the risk they took in not taking out insurance.

Underinsured - again the council tops up the land value portion. Again the homeowner took the risk in underinsuring their house .

Don't think you can pay out fully to uninsured/underinsured people - otherwise not fair to those who have paid for insurance.

Valuation of the property either latest valuation +/- % houses values have changed .

The process has to be transparent with ratepayers being told how the money is allocated and what methods .

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   I don't know

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

#195

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #229

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #235

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

   - Necessary repairs to the transport network,
   - Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
   - The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

   Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

   Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

   Yes

2. **Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?**

   Only additional feedback is thatbuyouts should provide top-ups to insurance and EQC and the responsibility pf homeowners to mitigate risks via their own insurance and EQC

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

In the interests of speedy resolution the proposal should be adopted. Longer term, EQC should have a larger role. However, Auckland City Council is in a better position as local govt to administer.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #267

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

When buying out properties consider the future maintenance. This is where the long term const is. Consider urban market gardens, orchards and regional park type farming. DO NOT take a pepperbox approach to purchase this will lead to massive weed and vegetation growth unable to be maintained and a real fire hazard. Buy out blocks of land that can be used for alternative land use as mentioned above

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   When buying out properties consider the future maintenance. This is where the long term const is. Consider urban market gardens, orchards and regional park type farming. DO NOT take a pepperbox approach to purchase this will lead to massive weed and vegetation growth unable to be maintained and a real fire hazard. Buy out blocks of land that can be used for alternative land use as mentioned above

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #288

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Council should only be topping up to fair market cost based on the rates valuation only if there is a shortfall in EQC/ Private Insurance.

NO further buy-out should be made for future events as people should have private insurance for their homes - but in turn, the council should NOT be approving resource consent for developments or new construction in flood-risk areas. EQC funding should be increased by the central government to cover this in the future this should not be the responsibility of the council.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #303

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #315

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

The whole thing has taken and is taking too long-i think it's appalling. The whole system is a disgrace and Council is part of that.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Just to say you are taking too long.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #346

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

I feel the owners of these properties should be getting the market value for there homes prior to the cyclone and floods.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #368

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #412

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

People who are not insured shouldn't be put in same position as people who are insured. They chose not to pay $ and took that risk.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

See above comment.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #432

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Just need to get things moving

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Given local flood and weather mitigation strategy is the responsibility of Council, failure of that strategy requires that Council accept responsibility for associated costs and homeowners are at no fault. Council is obliged to pay full market value at a time just before the storm event.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Do not support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #433

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

There should be close collaboration with the insurance sector where further bargaining needs to be done. Those who are insured should be paid by their insurers firstly, then EQC, then the government and finally by the council. Those not insured should be funded mainly by government. You'll never please everyone, even if you choose the most fairest payment methodology, so something that pleases the majority. Value based on rates and an average of similar properties prior to the event? I really don't know. But insurance needs to pay their more than fair share and not get out of it.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #463

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

It is a one off opportunity to obtain immediate and urgently needed funding, to provide certainty and resolution for those worst affected in the community, and a start for ongoing planning and mitigation. Infrastructure works are a core business of Council, providing resilience and management in conjunction with long term planning and land use and transportation, and this is an essential part of Council's remit. It has to proceed for tje good of all of Auckland and the robustness of the community

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Insurance payments should be used where possible to offset the loss of the dwelling, and the payout principally focused on purchase of the land at fair market value.

   Where there is no insurance, differentiation should be made between where it was unobtainable, and where it was simply not taken up, but also bearing in mind hardship, and the level of reimbursement discussed in the negotiation process with some flexibility and understanding for individual circumstances

   . Where possible it would be good to ensure that the total of buyout plus insurance enables purchase of a similar and suitable property in the same area, as these people have to go somewhere, and preferably, if they wish, within their own community.

   The process should be conciliatory, voluntary, and managed thoughtfully, by a process of compassionate negotiation, and with recourse to an independent arbitrator or ombudsman in cases of conflict or disagreement. It is crucial to present the opportunity as a voluntary opportunity rather than an imposition. Council has to be seen as fair and caring and respectful of personal interests, and this approach would go a long way to buildiing trust and to achieving agreed resolution. Avoid legal action at all costs as this simply creates resentment and incurs extra cost and delay.

   Having observed news reports of the process happening in Hawkes Bay, there appears to be a lack of communication in terms of voluntary buyout. There is reportedly a fear among landowners of being forced off the property, having the right to rebuild cancelled, or having public services withdrawn limiting the use of the land

   Can I suggest that when a voluntary buyout offer is made, the various options are made crystal clear, as to what restrictions and limitations will be placed upon the title, in terms of zoning, restrictions on rebuilding, further construction on the site, provision of public services, and insurance, et cetera, as a result of the inevitable administrative changes that have to be made by Council in terms of vulnerable land in order to better manage risk for the future.

   This means that each landowner will fully understand the options and constraints available if they retain the property, and consequent impacts on its future use and

value: and can discuss these during negotiations, so that they can balance the option of retention against the buyout offer and make a fully informed decision.

Openness and options are essential if the trust of landowners in the process is to be maintained, and we can learn from the Hawkes Bay process in order to smooth the recovery process in Tamaki Makaurau.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #489

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I do not agree with the buy-out of 700 homes. These owners should all have their own insurances to cover this.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Auckland Council should not buy these homes. They should have been insured at the time.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #511

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

For property buyouts property values prior to the cyclone will need to be considered. Families have a large amount of saved capital in their homes. Loss of up to half the property value would be devastating. Capital values are a guide only to relativity as regards to nearby or equivalent properties.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   For property buyouts property values prior to the cyclone will need to be considered. Families have a large amount of saved capital in their homes. Loss of up to half the property value would be devastating. Capital values are a guide only to relativity as regards to nearby or equivalent properties.

   Cost saving methodologies favour central and local government but do not support people.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #512

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #515

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

please talk to local communities where the roads are affected. there may be cases where the roads remain resident only or one lane roads rather than incurring costs to try and re-establish them as they were before.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #521

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Agreement is not to be taken as endorsement of future asset sales.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #552

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I doesn't necessarily improve matters moving forward. It appears to deal only with these last recent events

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

People from these properties need to be able to afford to buy somewhere. Their total payout, including any insurance on the property, should enable this.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #580

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

imperative to resolve these issues asap so people can get on with their lives and be out of this awful limbo

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #584

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

I think a combination of valuation of the homes or properties and market indicators for similar houses and properties in that area would be a good starting point. Income level and insurance status could be factors that would factor into the final amount offered.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   I don't know

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #606

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

through a combination of valuations, market value before the events and insurance payouts

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #608

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Do not support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #646

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Buy outs should be based on the current CV (Capital Value) of the property at a minimum.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #651

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Ratepayers should not be bailing out uninsured home owners, however, where a house is in an area that council cannot adequately guarantee not to be continuously affected by climate events, I support Council buying those houses and rezoning the land.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

My preference is to invest in preventing flooding in existing zoned houses, but where that is no longer possible then we should negotiate with insurers and home owners a fair price. I think home owners should expect to contribute towards a buy out in terms of a percentage of the market price. It should not fall 100% to rate payers to fund a buyout as that is patently unfair.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #653

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

We should not make payiuts to uderinsured properties.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

We should not make payouts to underinsured properties.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #684

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #687

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Bright electrical

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

I think people who where insured should be paid out, people who where not able to get insurance should be. Uninsured should be paid a percentage

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #694

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #696

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Houses flooded 2 to 3 times already are a no brainer. Also developers have built on floodable land over time and those houses have to go. New build have little to no green space to soak rain and worsen flood impact: densify up with underground parking surrounded by major green patches instead of rows of concrete with town houses.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Do not support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #709

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #735

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

It is critical to find a balance between the desires and needs of individually affected people and the rest of the Auckland ratepaying population. I am not in a broken down and uninhabitable house so I do not know, beyond the framework of common sense and human empathy, how that is for people in that situation. But I am also financially struggling on many levels, including paying rates, increased public transport fees, petrol, food and everything else, charges. My house and contents insurance bills have doubled in two years. I do not have enough money to do the right thing for myself, and yet... I should contribute to rescuing others? I am not clever or imaginative enough to see a solution, but breaking other people to save those who built or lived where it was

not safe - Muriwai, 1965 flood zone, for example or did not have insurance etc... It is all too hard, too heartbreaking. Why is this the only option? Hey, huge businesses, property developers and others who made all those profits on building homes where homes should not have been, maybe you could ante up as well...

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   It is critical to find a balance between the desires and needs of individually affected people and the rest of the Auckland ratepaying population. I am not in a broken down and uninhabitable house so I do not know, beyond the framework of common sense and human empathy, how that is for people in that situation. But I am also financially struggling on many levels, including paying rates, increased public transport fees, petrol, food and everything else, charges. My house and contents insurance bills have doubled in two years. I do not have enough money to do the right thing for myself, and yet... I should contribute to rescuing others? I am not clever or imaginative enough to see a solution, but breaking other people to save those who built or lived where it was not safe - Muriwai, 1965 flood zone, for example or did not have insurance etc... It is all too hard, too heartbreaking. Why is this the only option? Hey, huge businesses, property developers and others who made all those profits on building homes where homes should not have been, maybe you could ante up as well...

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #737

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

The work has to be done.

Aucklanders either has to fund all of it, or part of it.

This is a no-brainier.

We should accept the central government's offer to cofund it for us.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Bloody hell this is a tough one.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #746

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

We have too much debt already.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Council shouldnt

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #747

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Absolutely vital that both Council and Government work together to mitigate the risks of climate change.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

As the fund is capped, owner/occupiers of Category 3 properties should be given priority over holiday homes etc.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #750

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

How will the funds be spent to assist those with homes in the affected areas, like Piha, who have not been categorised but will have reduced property prices and possibly insurance increases/void

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Be sensitive. Get an expert from overseas who has already successfully achieved this.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #766

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #767

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Get on with it

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #777

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

It's a natural disaster. If council/govt have deemed housing not safe for future living then they need to pay out those people so they can move on with their lives. It's sad to see it still being dragged out with no end in sight.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

It's a natural disaster. If council/govt have deemed housing not safe for future living then they need to pay out those people so they can move on with their lives. It's sad to see it still being dragged out with no end in sight.

Unfortunately with the amount of rain we have had over the past yr there's nowhere for it to go. It's not the communities fault that their properties have been damaged.

A national state of emergency was declared. This should be govt funded especially for those who had insurance.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #789

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

The council should not limit it's funding to 908M, it should spend the amount required to implement flood resilience.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Buy out price needs to be capped. No buyout for non consented properties/ modifications. No buyout where owners have built understanding the risks and have made no attempt has been made to mitigate the risk.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #803

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Only land myst be reacquired and made fit for purpose

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #804

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

We need planned and managed retreat from roads with high likelihood of future erosion events incl some partial retreat eg resident only vehicle access coupled w allowing bikes and walking to reduce cost of repair. Scenic Drive between Arataki visitor centre and Elevation is a good example. So is Glen Esk Rd.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   We must stop building now on vulnerable sites and put a review on any consents issued not yet built so we refuce future liability

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   I don't know

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #806

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Please fix scenic drive asap. It's making life so hard for me without it

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Do not support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #809

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

I am keen to ensure uninsured homeowners do not receive a payment. I believe it sends the wrong message to other homeowners that they will be taken care of and that they don't need to pay for insurance.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #812

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Ideally these costs would all be funded from central government and not require local input. While Auckland is in the lucky position of being large enough to handle these costs, this does set a precedent which other smaller communities would not be able to match.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   This actually seems quite simple to me. If the house is category 3 it should become the property of council so they can remove it. The compensation paid to the owner by the council should be the current CV of the house and property. Owners should be able to purchase property insurance policies that 'top up' any pay out, up to an agreed value, if the house is 'written off'. This could be done in a similar way to vehicle insurance. I know that the council cannot control what policies the insurance companies offer, but if they set the rules and suggested the idea it may happen. I do not support the council trying to work out a fair market rate for every individual house. This will take too long and lead to long court cases. The C.V is already a recognised, reviewed value. It may not always appear to be 'fair', but in this emergency situation there will always be losers. The goal is that people are safe and are not bankrupted.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #822

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

The consultation document makes no mention of any funding (either by council or by central government) of the Category 2 homes - where property or community level intervention is deemed to be appropriate - yet those situations have also been defined as those beyond what can reasonably be achieved by the home-owner and their insurer.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Having maintained insurance cover at no small cost - it doesn't seem fair for those who haven't done so to get the same level of cover in a buyout situation.

   It also appears to me that the insurance companies have the opportunity - and incentive - to "undervalue" the damage they will cover to properties that may be category 3. The homeowner may not have the resources to challenge the assessment, or the incentive to do so if the cost of seeking such advice is deducted from any "net" payout.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #829

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I support the Necessary repairs to the transport network and

Improvements to reduce risks from future natural hazards; but I do not support the voluntary one-off buy-out of private dwellings.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Insurance is the responsibility of every home owner. Rate payers and tax payers should not bear the financial responsibility of home-owners' buy-outs.

   Homes near cliffs, waterways, or within "one in 100 year flood plains" (as per existing geomaps that is freely available) should be excluded from Category 3 buy-out criteria, as this was the risk that the buyers took on when acquiring their home.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Do not support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #841

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #894

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

   - Necessary repairs to the transport network,
   - Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
   - The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

   Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

   Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

   Yes

2. **Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?**

   Need for communication so securing telecommunications and phone lines - what happens when the fibre network or copper is broken?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Consider if they are rentals or lived in by owners.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #896

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

ACC allowed those properties to be built on, buy them out regardless of any insurance status.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #902

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Make sure the buy out cost is fair and current of potentially when owners bought the property?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #923

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #926

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #940

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #950

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

We should not be providing the same level of funding to those home owners that did not have any insurance cover- it needs to be taken into account the home owners that have paid Insurance premiums over the years- they have contributed more and therefore should be compensated more than non insured home owners

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #957

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #972

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #997

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1035

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

We need to stop developing Auckland with masses of unsustainable developments which just increase run off. Smarter buildings that balance built areas with green space and buildings that are rain friendly, covered in plants and able to be resilient.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

We are all paying for the buyouts as rate and tax payers so they need to be realistic, capped to limit expense on certain properties and balanced with insurance payouts.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1047

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

I agree that consideration go to prioritising primary residence over holiday homes and Airbnb etc

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1053

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1066

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Basically, the council will be funding via rates increases, because I assume council isn't going to cut anything.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

No, Cat 3 properties can be made safe to live in. The proposal is based on the 15 min city agenda.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Do not support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1103

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

I don't know

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

It would be favourable to understand where the number 700 came from. Where in Auckland these proposed properties? On the 2 hour webinar last week that wasn't really that helpful, it was stated there are 5000 properties that need to be assessed. Therefore, I find it difficult to understand the 700. To be honest, it appears like we are being placated until we all say 'yes' to this proposal and in effect, get ripped off. The consultation document is vague on this too. I have heard there are some properties that are not even stickered who could be category 3. For us to complete this survey (being red-stickered) is a bit unfair with limited information and limited time. As all of us who are red or yellow have said from the start, we want communication. The

information that I am receiving is a flyer that is attached to a website that I received via email. It is vague, broad and unreasonable communication for me, who has been out of my home for 7 months. Everything is a battle. Dealing with insurance, banks, Auckland Council, Auckland Transport, MSD, NZCRS, Other red-stickered groups, WINZ etc. This is not fair. It is not fair to get this information from the Piha/KareKare group for example. Why is this a 'thing'? It appears that only this group are privvy to information. Thankfully, I am now a member of this group even though I don't live in either area.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   The Capital Value option seems to make the most sense. As long as banks would still lend to people who have had to use the buyout option. It is also difficult to agree or disagree when people have not been categorised and there are still many unanswered questions. If buyouts will not happen for future events, then of course, yes I would prefer to be cat 3 and get on with the buyout process. I'm not sure how you would determine hardship and this process I imagine would be extremely slow. If I am insured, it should make no difference. I would receive Capital Value and I would be paid out by my insurance company. If I am category 2 (which is the worst one by the sounds of things) then I would expect Council to fix the land (seems a leaking pipe over many years was to blame), insurance to pay out finally and costs provided for a decent rental and not the way we have to live at the moment. Problem is, nobody seems to care that much about how we are all living at the moment and are all about offering mental health services. Unfortunately, these are just as bad as the council contacting you regarding your red-stickered property. And yes, we all know you are working hard as we have been told many times. This does not make us feel at ease of any calmer about this whole thing.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1125

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1147

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

All three issues are critical and so should proceed as fast as possible, but repairs should have an eye on resilience rather than just the short term.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1165

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1175

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

These improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards needs to be done as soon as possible before the next inevitable event happens. Council needs to investigate private owners and businesses that already pose extra unneccesary risks to the next flooding event. For example; Dandy Food Distributors on Swanson Rd have large sheets of polystrene that are still lying all along the Waimoko Stream bed since January 27th flooding and have already contributed hugely to the previous event and no action or remediation from them or council has been looked at.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Council should pay the ratable capital value of a property minus the assessed damage from insurance companies. If a property is not insured for that damage then council should not have to compensate for the shortfall. If a property has been purchased after the event (already damaged) then there should be no buy out.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1184

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

We expect council to stick to this time line outlined in the proposal and hope that we can move forward efficiently if this goes ahead.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

We agree some capping may need to be used. We believe the bulk of councils funding should come from a rates increase and not further cuts in maintenance services. Maintenance is crucial if we are going to suffer further extreme weather events.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1198

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1199

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Un/under insured homeowners have decided to take on part of any risk to the property themselves, I feel sorry for them, but so be it. They need to go to the end of the queue, unless there are strong mitigating circumstances. I favour a split payment assessment, releasing perhaps 80% based on rating valuation, with the remainder sorted later as a top up to actual "selling: valuation.

Sorry, that's more work for council but gives some quick relief and is eventually reasonably fair

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1208

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1219

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

The fairest approach would be to offer the ratable valuation of the home at the time of the event. This value can have any insurance payouts taken from the final figure as these payouts can be applied to the mortgage.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1222

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Why aren't these homes fully insured for payout/byout? Why have these owners purchased homes at the bottom of a cliff or in a flood prone area? Responsibility should not fall on other ratepayers. Unless Council have illegally allowed these homes to be built in these areas of course.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Uninsured and underinsured are not Council's or Ratepayers problem - end of story

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   I don't know

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1243

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I am deeply concerned re ensuring there is money set aside by Council/Government for individual property owners who find themselves in category 2 and are left to face a massive financial bill to remediate their property to make it safe to live in.

So far, the rhetoric coming out of Council is focusing on funding for remediation work for flood affected property and there's little to no mention of how funding will be provided for slip affected properties. We have already been out of our homes for more than 7 months facing financial hardship and the thought of solely stumping up with

hundreds of thousands of dollars to build a massive wall or other mitigated solution is soul destroying.

Then we have to factor in the cost, time and stress of wading through the resource and building consent processes which just adds a whole other layer of complexity to the situation. Having the Council provide flexibility around granting consents for realistic, well designed and engineered remediation and mitigation solutions would be extremely helpful and very welcomed.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   It is imperative that affected home owners have some say in how their property is valued. For some, their current CV is a fair and realistic value. However, for others their current CV can be out of whack by 100's of thousands of dollars which could lead to financial long-term hardship if they are forced to accept CV. Having perceived wealth doesn't necessarily equate to not experiencing hardship, either now or in the future due to the buyout process.

   By providing affected home owners the choice of how their property is valued is the fair and humane option and allows for a cohesive and collaborative approach which will lead to a positive outcome for all parties and save on long-term litigation and legal costs for all parties.

   On top of allowing a choice around property valuation, there needs to be an independent disputes process set-up which is effective, timely and robust. The disputes process needs to provide effected home owners the opportunity to dispute both property valuation and their designated category.

   Everyone needs to be treated fairly and no one group of property owners should be left behind. The mention of owner contribution is interesting as all affected property

owners have already faced a financial and emotional cost and this will continue to be ongoing for the next few years.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1244

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

It looks fair

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Properties values should be based on the latest Auckland Council RV values. Auckland Council has determined rates payable by property owners based on Auckland Council valuations. That is what should be paid to the affected category 3 property owners.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1254

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

The council needs to recognise that high density housing development, the associated loss of permeable surfaces and vegetation, inadequate drainage, and poor road and drainage maintenance are all part of this problem, and the expenditure needs to address this. The damage is not just climate change, Auckland City created the city environment which made the outcome far worse than it needed to be.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Some people will be caught in the situation where the damage can be repaired but they can't afford it, even though they had insurance. This is because, for example, if the house itself is not damaged but it is right beside a huge slip, the EQC payment will often not be nearly enough to cover the remediation work. The Council needs to have a clear position on what it will do in these cases.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1279

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

It is essential that negotiations are quick between government and council to secure funding for affected homeowners in cat 2P and 2C who were affected by landslip and/or flooding. This remediation is required to ensure that people can safely remain in their homes and not be affected by further inundation from neighboring property and the cost of future maintenance of the agreed solution needs to be paid for by government and council. We also need to make sure that these individual property owners are able to get resource and building consent and the council does not create hurdles that are too hard or too costly to maneuver. Therefore tree drip-lines should not be considered

when applying for recourse consent if a deflection wall is to be built to remediate as it is required now if the deflection wall is over 1.5 meters high.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Ensure that a fair and equitable solution is created that gives the property owner/s a choice of either a fast buy-out using market value at the time of the flood or slip. Two independent valuations for the property should suffice. From there the property owner and council can negotiate the final buy-out offer.

   To ensure public confidence in the system there needs to be a genuinely independent disputes process set-up that is timely and effective in its outcomes. There may be concerns that a final categorisation will be decided without further consultation and there will be no independent mechanism by which to dispute it hence the need for an independent disputes process.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1291

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

There has to be full transparency as to where the money has been spent.

NOt to much spent on administration

Personally I think it should all be paid for nationally like it was for the Christchurch Earthquakes.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Insurance should still pay out the amount they are obligated to pay out under their policy.

   The buy out should top up to what the approx value would have been worth. Valuations done on the last valuations +/- approx increase/decrease since then.

   Uninsured/underinsured properties should just receive a partial buy out amount (approx proportion % can be made from the other properties who have had full buy out). I dont think it fair uninsured should get full payout as this was the risk they chose to take by not insuring/underinsuring their properties.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1295

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1301

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

While the funding package falls short to meet all the needs as it does not cover for Category 2 houses, not accepting this package would risk that affected people get nothing, and thus I support accepting this funding package. The proposal for the proposed funding package is sound though there are areas that will need further clarifications and decisions to be made. Fair payment for the buy-out of affected Category 3 properties is most important. It is also very important that to move Category 3 houses to Category 2 there needs to be pretty clear ways forward to fix the individual property. This is true for all houses also currently placed in Category 2.

These people at present are also severely affected and if this categorisation is not done correctly a lot of people will be left in the streets (with no help) for years!

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   A system similar to what was use for Christchurch following the earthquake could be applicable here. Certainly people should get a fair price that let them buy an equivalent or similar house in Auckland (whether it is a top-up where needed of an insurance settlement, or a greater cost when there is partial or no insurance cover). If it was you and your house that was affected, what would you want? The affected people are not at fault, they should not be penalised ...this was a natural disaster.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1304

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

I don't know

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

use ratable land value

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   I don't know

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1305

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Repair of West Auckland roads and community facilities (eh West Wave) are particularly important to us

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1316

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   I don't know

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1325

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Who decides that it's intolerable to live in? I don't believe properties that are uninsured should be eligible for the buy-out. Likewise if underinsured, that is the owners responsibility.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1326

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Who decides what intolerable or tolerable actually mean in this situation? Underinsured properties should be treated similarly as fully insured properties as it is a minefield to understand how much your property should be insured for, uninsured properties should be assisted but not to the same degree as insured/underinsured properties as otherwise the whole insurance system is undermined

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1329

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I don't know if there are any category 3 houses owned by non-residents. While they would be rate payers they would not be tax payers. Should they be eligible for Govt. payout.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Fully insured properties settled first. I expect any insurance payout would reduce amount of Council/Govt buyout. I assume EQC covers land damage? I don't know how insurance works but we need to ensure there is no double dipping. If the property is mortgaged I expect the bank would be paid back. The more complex cases would be under insured/uninsured. These people will need to be assessed by Social services like Salvation Army to make sure they don't lose everything.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1345

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I support the proposal outlined in this consultation and encourage the Council to pass it

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

If properties in Category 3 are assessed as such, the buyout offer should provide an equitable solution for primary residences, holiday homes, and rentals alike.

Category 3 homeowners should be given the option to choose between CV and Market Valuation methods to ensure a just valuation outcome within and across neighborhoods. The available choices include:

Receiving the CV amount as full payment.

Delaying settlement until a full market value is agreed upon through a fair valuation process.

Receiving the CV amount initially and receiving a delayed full market value top-up, agreed upon through a fair valuation process.

It's important to acknowledge that individuals invest money in home improvements that may not be reflected in their CV.

For Category 3 buyouts, both the Auckland Council and Government funding should provide 100% of the property's value. This approach will result in several positive outcomes:

Young families won't find themselves burdened with substantial debt and mortgages that exceed the buyout offer, preventing them from going underwater.

Established families can continue residing within their communities without the fear of displacement.

Retirees will have the opportunity to move forward with their lives, free from the necessity of becoming renters due to external circumstances.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1346

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1360

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

We need to do this.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   I don't know

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1370

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I support the proposal outlined in this consultation and encourage the Council to pass it

I wholeheartedly endorse and express my strong support for the proposal that has been meticulously outlined in this consultation. I firmly believe that the measures and ideas presented within this document hold immense potential for positive change and progress. I would like to extend my enthusiastic encouragement to the Council, urging them to swiftly and decisively pass this proposal into action.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   I support the proposal outlined in this consultation and encourage the Council to pass it

   Passing this proposal would not only be a crucial step in the right direction but also a demonstration of the Council's commitment to the welfare and progress of the constituency it serves. It would signify their readiness to embrace change and innovation for the greater good, thereby aligning themselves with the needs and aspirations of the community.

   I firmly stand behind the proposal outlined in this consultation and believe that its implementation would be a significant milestone in our pursuit of positive change and progress. I implore the Council to recognise the potential and importance of this proposal and take swift action to make it a reality. This is an opportunity for us to collectively shape a better future, and I am confident that with the Council's support, we can achieve remarkable outcomes.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1383

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I think there needs to be clear steps agreed between central and local governments for category 2 properties. as from reading this it seems these could be the worst affected people in the end. Are there agreed mechanisms for deciding on affordable solutions and have these been created by non-government employees, so the interests of the owners are properly considered. I would think the affordable solutions should be based on a percentage of the property value as there will be some very expensive homes that would be keen and able to pay for a solution that a less expensive property owner could not. These mechanisms could then be used by central government in the future national managed retreat process.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Would CV not be the best way to do this especially as you clearly acknowledge these people have been in limbo for 7 months, I know that the CVs are currently quite old but it's a very accepted property value tool in NZ. Maybe the model could be CV and you exit or a valuation for those who are willing to wait. I live in an area where there has been much damage, so I see the effects on people's lives every day. I would like the buyouts done so the council can get on with all the other work needed to ensure we do not have more properties wrecked in future events. The government absolutely has to proceed with managed retreat now.

   I see you are separating uninsured people-that would be a tough call to leave a group of people out and would not seem to be the correct way for New Zealand and it would be good to know the percentage of people uninsured are involved in this. if you exclude uninsured people there would need to be an education drive for the general population not to risk uninsurance but this may be impossible for many going forward with insurance companies talking of not insuring high risk places which is why central government must get on with the managed retreat. Making the public aware would help put pressure on government. And there needs to be education re the holiday places not being bought out too as I am sure this would affect many and if Auckland council do this other councils will follow.

   I do agree with cap on payouts if there are multimillion dollar properties in category 3.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1392

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

History will judge us on how we treat Aucklanders that have been severely affected by the floods. It is important we maintain compassion and empathy towards our neighbors who have suffered such trauma, and now face on-going financial hardship.

Though undoubtedly expensive to rate payers, funding for affected homeowners in cat 2P and 2C who are affected by landslip goes a long way to ensuring equity and fairness. It is the right thing to do, and what we would all hope for ourselves in a similar situation.

Funding for further inundation from neighbouring property and the cost of future maintenance of the agreed solution needs to be factored in so families are not forever financially crippled from this event.

Council can help additionally by fasting tracking the resource and building consent and waiving associated fees with the recovery efforts. Families have already suffered a great deal in this upheaval, reserves to navigate through excessive red tape have been used up.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Again, a method that is based upon equity and fairness is all that is asked for.

   Whilst your information sheets highlights that property ownership is not risk free, I have invested my future and my four kids future into our home. We were fully insured, in good faith, with the expectation that we wouldn't be financially ruined for generations in such an event.

   To me a fair method is either CV, or two independent property valuations. Asking affected community members to foot the burden of less than 100% compensation doesn't sit well with me, and the community.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1425

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

'It is obvious that the lack of programmed preventive maintenance contributed significantly to the resultant damage.

Blocked catch pits,damaged curb and channel and a general failure to keep water courses clear of debris have caused much of the damage as what stormwater infrastructure we have didn't function at all.

The Titirangi street I have lived in for 42years receives virtually no maintenance.

Job requests raised by Auckland City to complaints I have made are often advised back to me as Complete, when nothing has been done at all.

My understanding is that none of these contracts are ever audited or checked in any way.

- these contractors are defrauding the city routinely and have been for decades.

A Compliance and Fraud unit should be created immediately to randomly check on contractors and recover any losses found.

I have on numerous occasions seen the Intergroup hydrovacs mark the catch pits with Fluro spray and drive away without doing anything, even when it is full.

When they sweep the street they only do one side.

- we are being ripped off big time,every day.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1432

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

I don't know

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Concerns about the voluntary buyback and why insurance is not covering the properties.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Uninsured properties should not be included as a category in the buyback and should be excluded. Underinsured properties should have a separate appraisal method then insured properties.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1451

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

I don't know

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   I don't know

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1455

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

1) assessments need to ensure that consideration for category 3 includes for properties that will be affected by future events where foundations/structure impacted making homes unsafe to live in.

2) Partial buyouts need to be considered, where portion of property is affected and presents risk to life. Portion of property to be bought where possible owners can then retain section of property with home either moved within property or home raised to ensure safety.

3) where a property is not assessed as category 3 council need to assist owners financially with install adequate retaining/drainage methods on the owners land, especially if their home will continue to be flooded by future events.

4) more consideration to be given to properties where risk to life/property of landslide and flooding is combined.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1462

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Agree with outlined proposal.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Agree with outlined proposal. I would support an independent appeals mechanism for the categorization of properties covered by the extreme weather events recovery programme

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1497

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Make the categorization process and the buyout more of a priority than worrying about transport and improvements. These people have waited months and months for more information and you are constantly delaying and elongating the process. It feels like the election and the Council's own issues are taking up too much of everyone's time so there is little left to help the displaced Aucklanders who deserve answers. I have many friends and family who have been effected and I honestly believe that we have let them down. Third world countries would have done better by their residents.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   I realize there will be properties that their value exceeds the Ratable Value, like a 10 million dollar cliff top property. But for a general rule surely a CV price would be fair and reasonable to offer for the payout. No one deserves to receive less for their house than it is worth or less than they would get if they were to put it up for sale in the current market. It would also be fair for the insurance money and EQC money to be used to assist you in offering as much as possible to these people.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1509

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I applaud the acknowledgement of urgency in the document. It is urgent that we find resolutions as quickly as possible.

We need to ensure financial support is accessible to homeowners needing to mitigate further damage caused by landslips or flooding. At this point, homeowners might face costs in the hundreds of thousands of dollars to safeguard their properties from adjacent damage.

We also need to simplify the bureaucratic hurdles associated with obtaining building and resource consent. Category 2 homeowners will be required to seek building and resource consent for their mitigation plans. We urge the Council to streamline this procedure to prevent long-lasting delays caused by red tape.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   It's really important than homeowners have a say in this methodology.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1512

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1546

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Homeowners in Category 2P & 2C affected by landslides or floods need assistance too There needs to be sufficient money available to help these owners are affected by landslide and/or flooding to fix and stop/reduce from further damage and inundation including from neighbouring properties. The proposed solutions needs to be safe and economically viable. We need to consider are there any ongoing costs for maintaining these proposed solutions. Currently resource and building consents are needed for any required solutions. This takes time and money - this process needs to be streamlined and fast tracked and be cost effective for people already out of pocket, Otherwise the whole situation drags on and on

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   We can't have just one solution when coming up with the value of properties to give the property owner a choice as to whether they want speed over accuracy. There needs to be an independent entity set-up with timely and effective processes to resolve any disputes which may arise.

   No one could foresee that these events would have impacted lives so greatly, therefore to consider anything less than 100% value of properties to remove people from situations of intolerable risk would result in undue hardship going forward.

   It is important that all private homeowners should be treated equally regardless of whether they are holiday homes, rentals etc. Many people have their retirement savings tied up in these properties therefore there should not be any caps imposed on the buy-outs.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1547

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Homeowners that are placed in 2P and 2 C that are affected by landslide and or flooding are the most affected by ongoing issues.

We need to make sure that that any mitigation or remediation needs to be safe and economically viable. There may be ongoing costs for the home owner in maintaining preventative measures - this needs to be taken into consideration.

Also what about having to apply for resource consent to build walls or whatever is needed to mitigate land slides - this takes time and money. There needs to be a

solution for this. These homeowners can't be adversely affected more than they are already

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Speed of settlement is important - but it needs to be accurate. There is only one shot at it. some owners may prefer a buyout at CV value, but for othes a market value may be a more fair settlement.

   Homeowners should have a choice - possibly offer CV or they can choose for a more protracted settlementI

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1567

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Given the many issues involved in the increasing risk scenario, I think for an initial response this is a pretty good option. I think prevention is under-represented in terms of classification of some homes as they may be needed for engineering infrastructure to cope with future events. The analysis of differentiating category 2, in terms of keep/remediate, buyout /intolerable risk or utilize for infrastructure may be crucial to level of success.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Being somewhat socialistic , I believe it should be means tested, but that will go down like a lead balloon. Where people will be crippled by this event a more generous approach taken,: somewhere approaching market value. Sliding scale where second home/holiday home is involved. Unfair? The general public should not be responsible for unwriting risk of buying high value cliff top homes where risk factor is obviously overuled by wow.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1569

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1572

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Red stickered home owners are at rock bottom - financially, menally and emotionally. Please move ahead with the funding package so they can be paid out and restart their lives.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Given CV's vary greatly across suburbs and property types, and don't necessarily reflect pre cyclone/flood market valuation, it is my strong view is that category 3 homeowners should be offered a choice between CV and Market Valuation. Where market valuation is opted for, there needs to be a fair valuation process in place for both parties. This should not simply be the council dictating the valuation.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1596

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

National government will have strings attached like managed retreat and restrictions

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

The consults used are not independent and therefore the properties maybe able to be saved

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Do not support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1597

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

The Uninsured should get the same dollar amount from the Council/Government as the Insured. No additional payment to off-set the risk (and financial saving) the Uninsured chose.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Rate value taken as a fair value at this time.

Cat 3. Fully Insured payout should equal the building replacement value, so Council payout tops up to Rate Value. (Land Value)

Cat 3. Under-insured payout should be Land Value payout

Cat 3. Uninsured should be Land Value payout

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1614

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Karekare Ratepayers and Residents Trust

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

GV, less insurance, the sum capped at $1M

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1617

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I totally support the proposal and urge the council to support it - and adopt it - in full

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

The buyout offer for any house under Category 3 shouldn't differentiate for primary residences, holiday homes and rentals - it needs to be the same solution.

but more importantly, Category 3 homeowners should be offered a choice between CV and Market Valuation to allow for a fair valuation outcome within and across suburbs. these should be their options:

* Receive CV amount as full payment

* Delay settlement until full market value agreed through a fair valuation process

* Receive CV amount and receive delayed full market value top up agreed through a fair valuation process

but not forgetting that people make improvements to their homes that are NOT reflected in the CV.

The Auckland Council -with the help of the Government funding - should offer 100% of the value of the property for Cat 3 buyout so young families are not left underwater struggling with a mortgage and being sent into negative equity. Also for retirees who can't get mortgages at all.

Priority must go to help people stay in their communities.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1630

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I hope it's implemented in a timely fashion as people are continuing to struggle, both mentally and financially. Council need to own up to the poor judgement they made in planning/building so many housing projects and not forecasting the environmental factors in to it.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Regardless of insurance circumstances, the Auckland Council should purchase all category 3 properties. Properties should also be purchased at market value - or a more suitable way to distinguish this amount, what the rates they're paying are as this reflects the value the council believes the home to be (and has been charging home owners as such)

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1636

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I feel that this should be jointly covered by both government and council.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Extreme risk needs to be compensated for.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1638

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Any design work should be done with consultation with the local community who live in the area and know what the issues are

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Uninsured or underinsured properties should not benefit from the buyout process in as much as they don't receive compensation for not having insurance. That is not fair for those who do pay the expensive insurance costs.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1641

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1642

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1645

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1646

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1651

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   I don't know

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1653

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1656

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   I don't know

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1657

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1658

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Prosecute the venal morons that have allowed this to happen over the last 40 years!. Retrospective legislation will serve!.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Total recompense.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1662

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Yes, I think to benefit many homes affected by flood or slips that more buy outs should happen to provide stability for those home owners. The the council can re sell the land to developers or private owners when the water ways and land is repaired and safe to live on.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Buy outs should be at minimum RV. Council shouldn't be stepping in as insurance for property owners who didn't insure their properties. The council should be considering buying more properties and sorting the infrastructure and land then selling it back to make back money.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   I don't know

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1664

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

All residential properties should be included, it is absurd to discriminate between an owner occupier and rentals. At the end of the day a landlord (not that I am one) will fill the risky dwelling with tenants. Landlords who are offered a buy out could purchase a different property and serve the needs of Auckland. Yes we do need rental properties, not all can afford a house.

There's commentary on the consultation document that states that Auckland council 'do not guarantee owners against loss'. No you don't, but do remember that this council and its predecessors have issued consent for buildings on flood planes and landslip prone areas. Step up and take some responsibility instead of washing your hands clean.

Ultimately 'fair' means a purchase through a proper valuation. This is what Hawkes Bay adopted. Yes valuations will slow things down and add cost. CV's are inaccurate, some will gain and some will lose which inst totally fair. A solution is the hybrid model as suggested. Properties could be paid out in a two step process, initially something like 85% CV (minus insurance pay out) to provide funds quickly for people to move on, in some cases for people to survive. The second portion a top up to valuation. Council could provide a list of approved valuers and the property owner can arrange and fund the valuation. If the owner is not happy with the valuation the owner should have the right to pay for a second valuation and the ability to decide which one to progress on.

For uninsured properties, follow what Hawkes Bay adopted. Valuation minus the estimated amount that insurance would have paid if they had it.

Regarding the categorisation process. There must be an independent body who can assess category appeals and has the power to overturn council category decisions. The public needs assurance from the council that this is a fair process.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1666

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1667

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1668

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1669

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1671

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1674

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   I don't know

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1675

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1677

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1679

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Do not support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1688

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

'For category 3 properties

- ensuring that affected home owners have a say and a choice on how their homes are valued

For category 2 properties

- ensuring financial assistance is available for those who need to mitigate against further land slip or flooding damage. At this stage, home owners may be up for 100's of thousands of dollars to mitigate against further damage from adjoining properties.

- Removing the red tape for obtaining building and resource consent. Cat 2 homeowners will need to apply for building and resource consent for their mitigation solution. We want Council to streamline this process so we are not tied up in red tape for the foreseeable future.

For both categories

- There is an independent disputes process set up that is robust, timely and effective.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   ensuring that affected home owners have a say and a choice on how their homes are valued

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   I don't know

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1694

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1695

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1703

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1709

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

This to be done as quickly as possible with potential hurdles eliminated. For remediation this is to ensure costs are covered without major delays or significant funding by the owners.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Council needs to consider not only the CV valuation but also consider independent valuations based on the property market prior to the Cyclone event. Our Piha house is special to us - as applies to many in the Piha area - and requires a moderated approach which is seen to be fair. There should also be a disputes process that is easy to access and is without bias against the owners.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1716

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1721

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

There needs to be additional funding for 2P properties - in such cases there will likely not be home insurance or EQC funding available (i.e. if there is a nearby slip then home insurance doesn't apply and EQC only covers damage to your property - not if mitigation is needed against a nearby slip on someone else's property)

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   It needs to be based on fair market value of the house and land (prior to the weather event) and there needs to be an independent review and arbitration body

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1726

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I have read the proposal set out in this consultation and I am convinced that the Council should pass it.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

The buyout offer for Category 3 properties should be the same for all types of property uses, whether they are a full time residential home, a rental property or a bach.

As for the valuation process, Cat 3 owners should be able to choose between the two valuation methods - Capital Valuation and Market Valuation. This will help to ensure that owners receive a fair valuation of their property.

Furthermore, for or those choosing for Market Valuation method, the process should work in 2 stages, the first being a rapid payment of the Capital Valuation amount. The second stage will then be a fair valuation process of the property resulting in an agreed Market Value for the property. Once the MV has been agree, the council will then make payment of the difference between CV and MV. This will help to ensure that any improvements made to the property (which are usually not reflected in CVs) are included in the value of the property (through the MV).

In all cases of Cat 3 buyouts, the Government and Auckland Council should make payments which cover 100% of the value of each Cat 3 property to help ensure that: (i) owners don't receive a buyout offer which is less than their mortgage on the property; and (ii) owners (including retirees) have the necessary funds to purchase a replacement property.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1731

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I think that it is really important that the funding package covers the cost of remediation work to make places safe to live in (i.e., those that are not category 3, but that require remediation for people to feel safe living in them). The Council should cover the difference between what insurance / EQC pays and the cost of the work. Home owners shouldn't be left with the bill for the difference between these two amounts. Any ongoing costs associated with maintaining remediation should be covered by either insurance or EQC.

I have lived in Karekare my whole life and since I was 18 all my energy has been invested in building our house, finishing our house and maintaining our house and property. My family and I have scrimped and saved and not eaten out or gone to cafe's so we can have our little slice of paradise. It means everything to us. We have and continue to serve our local and wider community. My husband is a youth aid officer and I am a community developer. I've been chair of the KK resident and ratepayers trust, a local fire fighter, in the surf club since 7, in our first response group, call out squad, and now my son is a fire fighter and our family of 5 are all lifeguards, those oldest are in the call out squad. My Dad and I were the key people who wrote and implemented our Resilient Karekare Disaster Preparation Plan.

Karekare is our place to stand in the world and we can't even begin to imagine how we will go on if we are category 3. Being in this uncertain place is so very hard.

If we are made Category 3 and it really isn't safe to stay in our home I know that it would be really make a difference to us to be able to choose between CV or market valuation on the day before the cyclone. There is a really massive difference between these two things, particularly for some properties. We have put everything we have into our home and are supporting our 3 teenage children.

We really want to stay in our home and in our community and want to be supported in this, if it is at all possible, and believe the Govt and ACC should top up the difference between what insurance will pay and the cost of making it safe for us to stay. If we are Cat 2P or 2C and have to come up with this money ourselves, it will have a massive impact on our wellbeing, mental health, ability to continue our volunteer work, and ability to support our children. We have chosen professions that serve our community, but don't pay well.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Methodology for determining Category 3 properties should include whether or not people feel safe to keep living in their home. Not so important for bach-owners or rental properties as these people have options, but is critical for people who have just one home.

Property owners should be able to choose between CV or market valuation on the day before the cyclone. There should be an independent disputes process.

If someone is category three and chooses to use the buyout money to make the property safe they should be supported in doing this. For example, if our property is worth a million dollars and it will cost 1million $200,000 to make it safe and we are willing to pay the $200,000 difference we should be supported in staying in our home and in our community.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1733

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Please make sure there is funding available from Central Govt and the Council to cover costs of remediation over and above what insurance will cover so cat 2 and cat 1 households are supported in making their properties safe to live in. The stress on families is enormous and we are struggling to keep going day to day.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   The amount offered to homeowners should be market valuation on the day before the cyclone with an independent disputes tribunal available if needed. People should have the option of taking CV if they want to settle fast. Bach owners, those not insured, and rental properties should be be offered CV.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1735

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

I think market value from before the event is fair and to cover all types of property.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1737

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1743

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1744

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Market value at the time of the cyclone or two independent valuations. Finding for the cost of 2c or 2p remediation work to make the house safe

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1745

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Based on market value of houses days before the cyclone, multiple valuations/qoutes, should be negotiable with the council and should include independent dispute processes and chance for review

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1747

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   I don't know

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1749

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Properties of all kinds should be included in this consultation. Their value should be determined by the market as it was BEFORE the damage was done.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1751

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I am concerned about funding for cat 2p and 2c. There needs to be a firm commitment to this. Also help with consents - fast tracking, and cost. Also, ensure that the fixes are recorded on LIM , so it doesn't affect insurance or property value. Also, the categorisations need to be challengeable

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Need to ensue valuations are fair and challengeable. Cannot be below RV. Highest of RV and an new valuation? Happy for each and insurance to come off this.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.


   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

   Yes


#1751

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1757

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1759

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1761

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

The 3 areas are good - roading, waterways and housing.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

I think that the residential properties should not be put into different categories, such as primary residence, holiday home, rental. The payout should be the same for the properties regardless of the use.

The value of the house could be made by taking the market value of the property on the day before the weather event.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1762

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1770

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Buyout for people who can't live in their homes needs to be at market value at the time of the cyclone or flooding.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Should be market value at TBD time of damage

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1775

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Market value on the day before the cyclone, or two independent valuations with the ability to negotiate with the council. An independent dispute process.

Funding for the cost of 2C & 2P remediation work to make house safe.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1778

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Use, or at the very least open the contracting process to local contracters who are far better value, faster and committed to keeping our roads safe and up to a high standard,not big inefficient corporates. The same goes in undertaking local work to reduce risks from natural hazards. There is much more that Council could do to support those badly impacted by the cyclone, not only those whose properties are destroyed or no longer safe to live in. Financial and other support must be provided to people in Category 2 who have to undertake extensive repair work to make their properties safe and liveable again. Consult people and their communities to find out

what would help, e.g mitigate very difficult situations by waiving resource and building consent fees for repair work etc

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   People have suffered enough. They should get full market value for their properties. Usually those who are uninsured are the most vulnerable and least well-off so they would just be further penalised if they are not paid out in full as well. I understand that some people because of their age, situation etc may want a fast resolution with their buy-out price settlement and just opt for GV payout whereas many because of other circumstances may absolutely need full market value and would enter a resolution process (which should be an independent, fair and equitable process open to all) to achieve this. All process options should be open, transparent and handled fairly with discretion and flexibility. It's essential to ensure the best outcomes for people who have been traumatised. The last thing they need are long drawn out bureaucratic processes that screw them.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

#1778

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1783

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1801

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1804

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1805

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Please support as many different types of home and landowners as possible in the buyout process at market value on the day before the cyclone

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1806

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I think for category 2 homeowners there should be financial assistance if they are required to make costly changes to their land in order to protect their homes from further slips/flooding coming from neighbouring properties or council / parks land such as in Piha, Muriwai and Karekare. Council needs to make this process easy and streamlined so i suggets the removal of red tape for consents etc

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   I think affected homeowners should have a voice and council should work closely with them to agree on the value of their property and home. How are the homes going to be valued? What happens if the section is unliveable but there is practically no damage to the home? Should there be choice given to the homeowners throughout this process? I think there should be an independent disputes process that has clear timelines.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1807

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

People should have hom insurance and others shouldn't have to cover it for them.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

don't purchase them but offer alternative accommodation.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1810

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Both public and private geotech reports

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1811

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1816

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Tonkin+Taylor

Job No: 1502000.1363
7 May 2023

IAG New Zealand Limited
C/- McLarens Group (NZ) Ltd
By Email

Claim for Natural Disaster (Landslip) Damage
Jacqueline Lea, [redacted] Drive North, Swanson, Auckland, 0816, NZL
EQC/Insurer Claim Number [redacted]

1 Introduction

As requested, Tonkin & Taylor Ltd (T+T) inspected the subject property on 8 March 2023 to assess the claim for natural disaster damage. In particular the visit was undertaken to determine whether physical loss or damage to property is imminent as a direct result of the natural disaster that has occurred.

This claim relates to a rainfall event that occurred in January 2023.

2 Site description

The property is located at [redacted] Scenic Drive North, Swanson, Auckland and is legally described as Lot [redacted] DP [redacted], which covers approximately 1360 m^2. This site is located on a moderate to steep slope facing south-east with properties neighbouring the north-east and south-west sides of the property. These properties are all accessed through a shared gravel accessway to the north-east, which provides the boundary for the dwellings north-east of the site. A private accessway extends from the end of this gravel driveway to the subject dwelling. The site comprises of a two-storey dwelling with an attached garage to the northwest. A timber deck adjoins the south-east aspect of the dwelling. The north-west edge of the property comprises of a steep slope which extends from Scenic Drive to the flat ground area of the property. This is currently being supported by a timber post retaining wall (RWT-1), which extends from the private accessway and wraps around the garage. RTW-1 has 200 mm SED timber piles. The ground beneath the dwelling has a gentle downward slope to the south-east transitioning to a moderate slope below the south-eastern end of the dwelling. Under the far edge of the deck is a second retaining wall (RTW-2) with a third (RTW-3) extending perpendicularly to the curve of RTW-2. RTW-2 and RTW-3 are inferred to have 150mm and 130mm SED timber piles, respectively.

As a result of the rain event in January 2023, there has been significant damage to the surrounding land and the dwelling itself. This is considered to be caused by, and part of, a much larger potentially deep-seated, landslip feature, affecting multiple neighbouring properties along Scenic Drive North. All the land on the property has slightly displaced as part of the much larger block slide crossing multiple properties. A ground crack has been observed to run through the property and extends from the north-east edge in the pathway towards the front door, under the dwelling and through to the southern property boundary. The ground crack running through the subject property was also observed to run through the neighbouring properties at [redacted] and [redacted] Scenic Drive

Tonkin & Taylor Ltd | 1 Fanshawe St, Auckland Central, Auckland 1010, New Zealand
PO Box 5271, Victoria Street West, Auckland 1142 P +64-9-355 6000 F +64-9-307 0265 E akl@tonkintaylor.co.nz

North. Extensive ground cracking was also observed along Scenic Drive North, upslope of the subject property. The dwelling has been red-stickered and could not be entered at the time of our site walkover. We note that the upslope slumping observed is consistent with a graben landslip feature, which are commonly observed in larger, deep-seated landslips. The movement of this earth also caused damage to the dwelling. The land below RTW-1 dropped as a result of the global shift and this led to the driveway rafting downwards further than the garage. This has resulted in cracking in the garage walls, cracking in the joining between the house and garage, cracking in the downstairs bathroom and the doors going into these areas not being able to be closed. In addition, the main deck has sunken in the middle which could be a result of the piles shifting. The only service that has been damaged is the fibre optic cable which was damaged from the falling tree. There is an imminent risk of damage to services such as water as well as an imminent risk of more creep downslope and pressure on the dwelling.

The published geology of the area[1] indicates that the site is underlain by volcanic sandstone and sub ricks of grit and siltstone of the Nihotupu formation.

The location of the landslip and the extent of the damage are shown on the attached sketches and photographs. The conclusions and recommendations in this report are based on a visual assessment of the site only. It must be appreciated that subsurface conditions may vary from those inferred in this report.

Property boundaries are based on LINZ information overlain on aerial imagery.

3 Property damage

The damage to the property consists of a landslip spanning the full width of the property which has resulted in:

- Evacuation of insured land within 8 m for the dwelling
- Evacuation of insured land along the main access and within 60 m of the dwelling
- Damage to dwelling:
  - Cracking of internal walls within dwelling
  - Cracking of internal walls within adjoining garage
  - Cracking of external walls at the join between the house and garage
  - Doors sticking (unable to open and close downstairs doors)
- Damage to appurtenant structures
  - Main deck has slightly dropped in the centre (slight racking damage to one pile)
- Damage to services
  - Fibre optic cable

Discussion with insured indicates there was no other services that have been damaged.

The landslip has also caused ground cracking and slumping along the shared gravel driveway, which acts as the main access to the dwelling. This accessway is located outside of the property boundary, and therefore outside the scope of this EQC assessment.

[1] Edbrooke, S.W. (compiler) 2001: Geology of the Auckland area. Institute of Geological & Nuclear Sciences

Although retaining walls RTW-1, RTW-2 and RTW-3 are contained within the landslip mass and has likely displaced, they have not been structurally damaged and are still performing their retaining function.

It should be noted that damage has occurred throughout the entire dwelling that is including but not limited to the damage stated above.

4 EQC considerations

We consider the damage bullet pointed above to be natural disaster (landslip) damage as defined by the Earthquake Commission Act 1993 (EQC Act).

The landslip is considered to be part of a much larger landslip extending across multiple properties along Scenic Drive North.

This property was red-stickered (Section 124 notice) at the time of our site inspection.

5 Imminent risk

We have considered that the entire insured land area has been damaged as a result of the landslip that has occurred. Within the following 12 months (under normal annual rainfall conditions) the already mobilised landslip mass is expected to continue to move in a downslope (southeast) direction. The landslip mass is likely to mobilise in the form of small incremental 'stick slip' movements. These movements, although likely to be small (millimetres to centimetres at a time) will result in worsening damage to the entire dwelling structure including the garage and further damage to services. This will result in the dwelling being a write off within the next 12 months. Additionally, we consider that this further slope movement poses an imminent risk of damage to the entire length of RTW-1, RTW-2 and RTW-3. There is a risk of larger reactivations as a result of future storm or earthquake events but this falls outside the scope of this assessment.

6 Conceptual remedial works

The information in the following section is provided solely to IAG New Zealand Limited for EQC claim settlement purposes. The conceptual remedial works are for IAG New Zealand Limited cost estimation only, to enable IAG New Zealand Limited to assess the likely costs of repairing the damaged insured property and/or, the cost of preventing damage to insured property that is considered imminent as a direct result of the natural disaster that has occurred. The conceptual remedial works, and drawings, are NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION.

There may be an alternative remedial works solution which is more cost effective or appropriate for the property owner and wider property (beyond EQC insured land). It may be possible to implement an alternative solution.

It is strongly recommended that remedial works to repair and mitigate further land movement are undertaken before any reinstatement works are undertaken to the dwelling, appurtenant structures, and/or services. Remediating the land damage first will likely allow for general reinstatement of the upslope structures and services that have been damaged or are at imminent risk. Remediating the land first will also mitigate the potential for further and more damaging land movement to affect these structures.

Due to the magnitude of the landslip, and limited access, the dwelling will likely need to be demolished to allow for large construction plant to access the works area. A conceptual remedial works solution that reinstates the land damage to a similar condition and/or removes imminent risk to insured property, may comprise the following:

- Prepare the working area, which may include the following enabling works:
  - Demolition of the dwelling to allow for piling rig access.
  - Construction of a temporary access track for construction equipment
  - Preparation of a geogrid reinforced gravel piling platform (approximately 8 m wide)
  - De-vegetation of the works area
- Construct a reinforced concrete palisade wall running parallel with the downslope contours, with a reinforced capping beam and supporting ground anchors, having the following dimension / characteristics / properties:
  - 25 m long wall
  - 20 m minimum pile embedment (excludes capping beam)
  - Minimum 750 mm diameter reinforced concrete piles, spaced at 2 m centres (12 piles total). Concrete to be 30 MPa (minimum).
  - 900 mm by 900 mm reinforced concrete capping beam at top of piles. Concrete to be 30 MPa (minimum).
  - 30 m long strand type ground anchors to be installed at 2 m centre spacing (12 anchors total).
  - Strand anchors to comprise 5 no. 12.7 mm galvanised steel strands grouted in 200 mm diameter drilled holes. Minimum 10 m bonded length and 20 m free length (bonded zone to be located beyond landslip failure surface).
- Reinstate damage to dwelling and garage.
- Reinstate damage to driveway:
  - 300mm well compacted granular fill (GAP65)
- Repair and relevel damaged services (e.g. fibre optic cables)

A drawing of this conceptual remedial works solution is shown in Sketch 3 and 4.

Ground anchors are likely required to extend into the Scenic Drive North Road Reserve, due to the depth of the inferred slip surface and magnitude of the global landslip feature. Palisade wall piles are likely insufficient alone to resist further land movement from the landslip and require tie-back anchors to provide additional stability. These anchors cannot be installed with the "failure block" of the landslip and therefore must extend outside the property boundary.

We note that the main access to the dwelling and council owned services along Scenic Drive North (which service the subject property) have been damaged as a result of the landslip that has occurred. These council owned services and accessway are located outside the property boundary and are therefore outside the scope of this EQC assessment. We recommend that the local council responsible for ownership and maintenance of the services and road reserve are consulted regarding remedial works methodologies. It is possible that the accessway and main services on council owned land will need to be re-instated before repairs to the subject property can begin.

Our assessment has been limited to the exterior of the structure and the damage scope within out area of expertise (geotechnical engineering). We recommend that a structural engineer should be engaged to confirm the existing level of damage and extent of damage to the dwelling and carport, and any imminent risk posed from a structural perspective.

It is likely that similar remedial works solutions would be required on neighbouring properties at further to the north along Scenic Drive. We consider that a global solution, comprising a similar reinforced concrete palisade wall to that described above, which extends across all of these affected properties may provide some cost and time efficiencies as well as the increasing the overall effectiveness of the remedial works solution. However, this assessment is limited to the subject property and therefore a global solution is outside the scope of this EQC assessment.

Additional information for cost estimation:

Construction Issues	**Easy**	**Moderate**	**Hard**	**N/A**
Construction access	☐	☐	☒	☐
Earthworks required	☐	☐	☒	☐
Constructability/Reinstatement	☐	☐	☒	☐

Enabling works will be required due to the construction area being downslope in a heavily vegetated area. These enabling works would likely include demolition of the dwelling, creating a temporary vehicle access track through the property, construction of a large geogrid reinforced gravel raft piling platform, de-vegetation of a large area of native bush. There is also limited access to the downslope area of the property. The Contractor will need to consider these restrictions in their construction methodology.

A building and/or Resource consent, is likely to be required and this should be confirmed with the Local Authority prior to any remedial works being undertaken.

Subsurface investigation, engineering design and subsequent sign off by a chartered professional engineer is likely to be required as part of the building consent application. Regular inspections by a Chartered Engineer may also be necessary during the works to enable sign - off in accordance with the 2004 Building Act and the conditions of the building consent. Failure to obtain the required consents could mean that the building works must be removed.

All remedial solutions should consider safety in design. Any construction works should be undertaken in a safe and appropriate manner, including the allowance for all necessary protection

and temporary stabilisation works as required to ensure the safety of all persons working or present on site during construction.

We estimate the cost (excluding GST) to design and consent the proposed solution will be as follows:

Geotechnical engineering investigation, design and drawings	$50,000
Survey	$5,000
Building/Resource consents	$25,000
Construction observations and Producer Statements	$20,000
Project Management	$30,000
TOTAL (Excluding GST)	**$ *130,000**

*The construction cost estimate for the proposed solution will be provided by the cost estimator.

7 Summary of Information

Is this natural disaster damage?	Yes (Landslip)
Land within 8 m of dwelling or appurtenant structures	
Area of insured land **damaged**: Evacuated: Inundated:	 736 m^2 Nil
Area of insured land **at imminent risk** Evacuation: New inundation: Re-inundation:	 Nil Nil Nil
Main access way within 60 m of dwelling	
Area of insured land **damaged** on or supporting main access way: Evacuated: Inundated:	 37 m^2 Nil
Area of insured land at **imminent risk** on or supporting main access way: Evacuation: New Inundation: Re-inundation:	 Nil Nil Nil
Retaining walls supporting or protecting insured buildings and/or land located within 60 m of dwelling (or an appurtenant structure)	
Refer to retaining wall summary Information below	
Dwelling and appurtenant structure(s)	
Has the dwelling or appurtenant structure been damaged as a result of the natural disaster? • Damage to dwelling; Cracking to interior and exterior walls, sticking of doors • Damage to appurtenant structure; Main deck has dropped slightly	Yes
Cost to repair damage	TBA*
Is damage to the dwelling (or appurtenant structure) imminent as the direct result of a natural disaster? • Creep movement of landslip resulting in further raking and deformation of the entire dwelling	Yes
Cost to remove imminent loss threat to dwelling (or appurtenant structure)	TBA*
Value of imminent risk damage to dwelling (or appurtenant structures) .	TBA*
Services within 60 m of dwelling on insured land	
Services damaged • Fibre optic cable	 10 m
Bridges or culverts situated on insured land	**N/A**
• **Conceptual remedial works:**	

Remediate damage to insured land and remove imminent risk: • Undertake enabling works and prepare working area. • Construct new 20 m long reinforced concrete palisade wall with capping beam and ground anchors. • Reinstate damage to garage and dwelling	$130,000 + construction costs* (excluding GST)

*To be assessed by the cost estimator

8 Retaining wall summary information

Retaining walls supporting or protecting insured buildings and/or land and located within 60 m of dwelling (or an appurtenant structure)	
Retaining Wall 1 *- Timber Pole retaining wall – 150 mm diameter poles at 1.7 m centres:*	
Whole wall length:	20 m
Retained height:	1 m
Damaged: (insured face area):	0 m^2
Imminent damage: (insured face area):	20 m^2
Insured wall: (face area):	20 m^2
Total wall: (face area):	20 m^2
Retaining Wall 2 *- Timber Pole retaining wall – 200 mm diameter poles at 2 m centres:*	
Whole wall length:	22.8 m
Retained height:	1 m to 2 m
Damaged: (insured face area):	0 m^2
Imminent damage: (insured face area):	34 m^2
Insured wall: (face area):	34 m^2
Total wall: (face area):	34 m^2
Retaining Wall 3 *- Timber Pole retaining wall – 130 mm diameter poles at 1.2 m centres:*	
Whole wall length:	9 m
Retained height:	1 m
Damaged: (insured face area):	0 m^2
Imminent damage: (insured face area):	9 m^2
Insured wall: (face area):	9 m^2
Total wall: (face area):	9 m^2
Total wall: (face area):	63 m^2

9 Applicability

This report was produced for IAG New Zealand Limited for the sole purpose of assisting IAG New Zealand Limited to determine whether EQC has any liabilities under the Earthquake Commission Act 1993 and it may not be relied upon in other contexts or for any other purpose, or by any person other than IAG New Zealand Limited.

Yours sincerely

For Tonkin & Taylor Ltd



Matty McGuire
Civil Engineer

Reviewed and authorised for T+T by Nick Peters (Project Director)

Attached: Photographs (1 – 8)
Annotated aerial photograph
Sketches (1 – 4)

7-Jun-23
t:\auckland\projects\1502000\1502000.1363\issueddocuments\final report v3\t+t_2023-iag-c4332326-final report v3.docx

Photographs 1-8 – [redacted] Scenic Drive North, Swanson, Auckland, 0816, NZL




Photograph 1: Fallen tree over the private accessway



Photograph 2: Ground cracks due to global landslip



Photograph 3: Height difference between driveway and garage due to driveway dropping relative to the garage (height difference)



Photograph 4: Cracking observed between the joinery of the house and garage



Photograph 5: Cracking within the downstairs bathroom



Photograph 6: RTW-1/2/3 (undamaged) and support piles for the dwelling



Photograph 7: Damaged fibre optic cable



Photograph 8: Damage to Scenic Drive above property

COPYRIGHT ON THIS FIGURE IS RESERVED DO NOT SCALE FROM THIS FIGURE.

Source: Tonkin + Taylor Map Viewer (NZ) Date: 28/02/2023 3:40 PM

585

LEGEND

- Property boundary
- Subject property boundary
- Inferred 8m "insured" line
- Ground cracking >10mm wide
- Ground cracking <10mm wide
- Landslip headscarp (approximate)
- Evacuated insured land
- Damaged strucutre
- Existing Timber retaining wall
- Evacuated main access-way

ROAD CLOSED

ROAD CLOSED

ROAD CLOSED

ROAD CLOSED

Main access via shared driveway

Crack extending through neighboring property, becoming more pronounced (~300 mm wide and ~200 mm displacement)

Fallen tree over access-way, damaged fibre service

Ground crack extending through multiple properties. Approximately 20mm wide through subject property

The * indicates although RTW is contained within the larger landslip area, it has not suffered any apparent structural damage and it still preforming its retaining function to the soils immediately behind it

RTW-1*

RTW-3*

RTW-2*

A

A'

Garage damaged

Dwelling

Inferred to extend further (could not be inspected)

Cracking up the walls in downstairs bathroom

Inferred 8m insured line

Deck sunken in the middle as a result of piles shifting


Tonkin+Taylor

Exceptional thinking together www.tonkintaylor.co.nz

NOTES:

Claim Ref: [redacted]

CRS: NZGD 2000 New Zealand Transverse Mercator Credits: Auckland Council, LINZ, Earthstar Geographics, Esri Community Maps Contributors, LINZ, Stats NZ, Esri, HERE, Garmin, Foursquare, METI/NASA, USGS, LINZ, Stats NZ, Esri, HERE, Garmin, Foursquare, METI/NASA, USGS



LOCATION PLAN

PROJECT No.	1502000.1363		
DESIGNED	MATM	MAR.21	APPROVED
DRAWN	MATM	MAR.21	
CHECKED	NCP	May 23	DATE

CLIENT **IAG New Zealand**

PROJECT **EQC - [redacted] Scenic Drive North, Swanson**

TITLE SITE PLAN
Insurer Claim Number: [redacted]

SCALE (A3) 1:500 Sketch No. 1 REV 0

#1816

Tonkin & Taylor	Project: [redacted] Scenic Drive North, Swanson, Auckland	Computed:	MATM 21/03/23	Office	Auckland
		Checked:			
Description:	Sketch 2: Cross Section A-A'	Revised:		Job No:	1502000.1363
File Path:		Checked:	NCP 11/05/23	Sheet No:	


Scale: 1:300
@ A4 1H:1V

15m

Sketch 2: Cross Section A-A'

EQC claim no: [redacted]

Notes:
Cross-Section dimensions are approximate only and based on simple tape and laser measurements.



#1816

COPYRIGHT ON THIS FIGURE IS RESERVED DO NOT SCALE FROM THIS FIGURE.

Source: Tonkin + Taylor Map Viewer (NZ) Date: 28/02/2023 3:40 PM

LEGEND

- Property boundary
- Subject property boundary
- Inferred 8m "insured" line
- Ground cracking >10mm wide
- Ground cracking <10mm wide
- Landslip headscarp (approximate)
- Damaged strucutre
- Anchored Palisade Wall
- Timber retaining wall

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION - This is a conceptual design for costing purposes only. Further investigation, analysis and detailing are required prior to construction. Resource and Building Consents may also be required.

ROAD CLOSED

ROAD CLOSED

ROAD CLOSED

ROAD CLOSED

Main access via shared driveway

Crack extending through neighboring property, becoming more pronounced (~300 mm wide and ~200 mm displacement)

Dwelling

Inferred 8m insured line

New 25 m long anchored, reinforced concrete palisade retaining wall with capping beam (see Sketch 4)

A

A'

587

Tonkin+Taylor

Exceptional thinking together www.tonkintaylor.co.nz

NOTES:

Claim Ref: [redacted]

CRS: NZGD 2000 New Zealand Transverse Mercator Credits: Auckland Council, LINZ, Earthstar Geographics, Esri Community Maps Contributors, LINZ, Stats NZ, Esri, HERE, Garmin, Foursquare, METI/NASA, USGS, LINZ, Stats NZ, Esri, HERE, Garmin, Foursquare, METI/NASA, USGS



LOCATION PLAN

PROJECT No.	1502000.1363		
DESIGNED	MATM	MAR.21	APPROVED
DRAWN	MATM	MAR.21	DATE
CHECKED	NCP	11/05/23	

CLIENT **IAG New Zealand**

PROJECT **EQC - [redacted] Scenic Drive North, Swanson**

TITLE CONCEPTUAL REMEDIAL WORKS PLAN
Insurer Claim Number: [redacted]

SCALE (A3) 1:500 Sketch No. 3 REV 0

#1816

Tonkin & Taylor	Project: [redacted] Scenic Drive North, Swanson, Auckland	Computed:	MATM 21/03/23	Office	Auckland
		Checked:			
Description:	Sketch 4: Cross Section A-A'	Revised:		Job No:	1502000.1363
File Path:		Checked:	NCP 11/05/23	Sheet No:	

Scale: 1:300
@ A4 1H:1V


15m

Sketch 4: Cross Section A-A' - Concept Remedial Works

EQC claim no: C90147076

Notes:
Cross-Section dimensions are approximate only and based on simple tape and laser measurements.

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION - This is a conceptual design for costing purposes only. Further investigation, analysis and detailing are required prior to construction. Resource and Building Consents may also be required.



#1816

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1817

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

After reading the proposal outlined in this consultation, I think Council should go ahead and pass it

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

All types of property i.e. full-time residential home, a rental property or a holiday house, should be covered under the buyout offer.

As the council already has a Capital Valuation and a Market Valuation per property - Cat 3 owners should be able to choose between either. Owners have a right to receive a fair valuation of their property.

In addition, if choosing the Market Valuation method, then the first payout should be made quickly for the Capital Valuation amount. The second payout would then be a fair valuation process of the property, pending an agreed upon Market Value for the property - the payment being the difference between the CV and the MV. This will help ensure that any improvements which have been made to the property are included in the overall value.

For all Cat 3 buyouts, the Government and Auckland Council need to pay out 100% of the value of the property. This will mean that owners don't receive a buyout offer that is less than their mortgage, and also that they will then receive the necessary funds to purchase a replacement property.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

#1817

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1823

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Please support as many different types of home and landowners as possible in the buyout process at market value on the day before the cyclone

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1826

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

I think every household needs to be supported in the buyout and they need to be offered market value fir their home at the time of the cyclone. It us devastating for these people

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   I don't know

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1833

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Not at the moment

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Minimum needs to be CV/GV insurance companies also need to pay owners what their house was insured for.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   I don't know

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1836

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Fair buy out packages for home owners that are reflective of the market

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1841

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I think for those affected certainty and timing would be of utmost importance. It has been a while since the storm and for families not knowing when they can access their homes or plan for the next phase must be a huge stress and strain.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

CV valuations - I believe if these valuations are used to pay out the how owners, this is a better valuation than valuing properties now because that will be time consuming and also not reflective of what the home owners will need to buy back in to the market. I think as soon as decisions are made then let the families know instead of doing all the assessments then announcing.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1842

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Please consider paying Cat 3 homeowners pre-cyclone market value

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1843

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1845

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1848

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Offer the affected home owners the capital value of each individual property.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1851

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1854

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1856

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1858

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1861

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   I don't know

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1862

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1863

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1865

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Ensure there is money available to help homeowners such as myself who was impacted severely by flood damage during the cyclone, who will potentially be in in cat 2P and 2C who are affected by landslide and/or flooding to remediate and mitigate from further damage and inundation including from neighbouring properties, or poorly maintained waterways getting blocked with debris or sand at the waters edge.

Firstly the proposed mitigation needs to be economically viable and safe. As a first home buyer in in Piha I feel it is urgent that when defining the cost of remediation of a cat 2P or 2C property the ongoing cost to maintain the solution needs to be taken into

consideration. I have a young family and we have no spare income to place on unexpected costs such as this. There must be a clear method to free up the red tape needed for the resource and building consent processes. This needs to be done so that homeowners can gain resource and building consent in a timely and cost effective manner and they aren't stuck in a perpetual circle with no end in sight.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   People in our community need to be given the choice as to how they want the buyout process to work for them as everyone's circumstances are different. There may be some people who may desire a speedy outcome versus others who may want to take the time to get a fairer value for their home as the current CV is not in line with the market value, especially at the time or shortly prior to the cyclone happening. Financial or compensatory caps on homes should not be an option as, just because you may own a more expensive property you may be suffering from financial hardship and should not be unduly penalised by this process

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1866

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1870

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1873

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1876

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1878

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1882

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Prioritisation for roaring, drainage and footpaths

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1886

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

need to clear and concise , people need support

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Market value prior to the cyclone, via two independent valuations . An independent dispute process. support for the cost of 2C & 2P remediation work to make house safe, with a clear indication of what's not covered by insurance claims

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1889

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1898

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1899

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Fix the roads

The water infrastructure was insufficient long before the storm

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Do right by the families displaced

   Do not use this as an opportunity for land grabs

   Open these spaces to community

   Not for residential purposes but recreational

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   I don't know

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1904

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1907

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

This needs to be addressed as quickly as possible before the election in a manner that is fair to those impacted by the landslips, flooding or both. Financial considerations and the need to address not only the property situation but for the mental health of those impacted and the downstream issues from this. For those in 2c and 2p categories, they need clarity on the additional funding so they can efficiently go about rebuilding their homes and lives and not be waiting on decisions on payouts to fix their issues. The longer this takes the greater the impact inflation will have on building costs and people will find themselves financially in a hole increasing mental distress. Payouts need to be

made on the true value of the home at the time of the cyclone not on a cheaper value used to make it easier for those making decisions.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   The option needs to be given to give either a CV valued buy out for those needing to move on quickly or a true value generated by independent valuations. All property owners need to be treated the same as this is a home for the owner and other peoples perception on their lifestyle is not relevant. Similarly insured or not insured is irrelevant.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1916

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Consultations have to be personalised, and give homeowners multiple opportunities to feedback and review. Everyone has completely different circumstances, both financially and personally. It's important that everyone is treated with respect and dignity and humanity and feel like they've been heard.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1922

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

We may need more money than agreed initially. We need more guarantee than just 'Good faith' that further funds will be available if necessary.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

What does 'size of owner contributions' mean?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Do not support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1936

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Both Cat. 3 and 2 property owners are dealing with loss of living space (partial or total) and resultant loss of property value, making it impossible to repair and protect their houses or to sell their properties for sufficient money to buy similarly-sized living space. I support the buyout package for Cat. 3 properties, but it must not be at the expense of the infrastructure works required to protect Cat. 2 houses. I would also like to see some detail of how Making Space for Water interacts with buidling consents granted for developments where entire residential sections covered by hard surfaces. Three such developments in my immediate locality have been completed since Anniversary weekend, when my and my neighbours' houses flooded. I have lost half of

my living space. Clearly, more such developments will increase the damage caused by the next flood.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   I think purchase price should be proportionate with the former value of each property, with a cap on the amount payable, e.g. a fixed $$ amount per sq. metre up to x sq. metres (where x = sufficient space for the average building where the number of bedrooms corresponds to that of the property in question). As for insured vs uninsured, perhaps it should be a case-by-case assessment? I imagine that most people without insurance are in that position because they cannot afford it, not because they want to save money.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1937

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I don't think baches and rental properties should get by back

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Use Capital valuations .

Do not buy out baches and rental properties

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1938

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

The process needs to be faster.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Py the GV

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1939

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1941

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Recommend buy for what a property would cost on the open market, or using the CV. Category 3 should be properties that are un liveable and unreasonable to make changes to. Insurance settlements should be deducted from the overall buyout so people without insurance or who couldn't get the insurance packages aren't unfairly penalised.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1954

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1956

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I believe funding needs to be available to help home owners who are given a 2p or 2c who are affected by landslide. By mitigating risk and allowing people back in their homes this will be more financially economical for council.

Resource and building consent needs to be fast tracked and financially economical to allow us to rebuild and get on with our lives.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   A fair and equitable solution is needed. By using the CV as a quick price or 2 x independent property valuer. Or if the property has been valued by an independent valuer in the year prior to the cyclone, that should be sufficient

   From that point the property owner and council can negotiate the final buy-out offer.

   To ensure public confidence in the system there needs to be a genuinely independent disputes process available

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1960

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

there is a need for a solution as quickly as possible.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Some houses were built in daft locations, for instance as a child I lived in a house on Sulphur Beach Rd. Northcote. at times the site was under water because of storms and high tides, we had waves coming down the drive. It was a crazy place to build a house. Probably built before a permit was required. Some cliff top houses for example were also built in crazy proximity to the cliff, I do not think todays owners should be penalised for having a house on a precarious site but I think council should assess these risks and include this information on LIM reports.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #1998

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Some properties that are category 1 or 2, but neighbouring category 3 houses should be considered within the "Making Space for Water" context. While category 1+2 houses may be safe now, due to changed water volumes and courses may also be at risk in the future. It should be elevated if such properties will be bought out using funding from the "Making Space for Water" budget.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   It seems fair to me to relate the buy outs based on CVs of February rates bills. In my opinion baches should also receive same payouts as other Category 3 based on the land and house values. Houses that are not or under- insured should not receive any minimum only compensation.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2001

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

   - Necessary repairs to the transport network,
   - Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
   - The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

   Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

   Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

   Yes

2. **Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?**

   I agree with the proposal and find that in particular, the 'Making Space for Water' proposition is vital.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

No feedback beyond the general comment that this needs to be fair and equitable.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2006

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2007

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2008

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

a. The buyout should apply to primary residences only, not to baches or rental properties. We are in very difficult economic times and must be mindful of the impact of rate increases on low income families and pensioners.

b. There should be a uniform and consistent methodology for determining the amount of the payout. Those who are better abled or resourced should not be able to argue for and receive a greater payout. Either basing the payout on Capital Valuation or making it a fixed amount would be preferred.

c. Basing the payout amount on Capital Value would provide a speedy method of calculating the amount with demonstrable fairness and minimal administrative cost.

d. The payout sum calculation should allow for payouts from insurance and EQC. The model used here will set a pattern for future buyout schemes. It must not reward those who fail to insure their property. In other words those who are underinsured or uninsured should receive the same amount as those who are insured and not be compensated for their lack of insurance cover.

e. There should be a cap on buyout offers above a certain amount. (We must be mindful of the impact of rate increases on low income families and pensioners.)

f. I cannot find mention of the cost of remediating the land on bought out properties. That is the removal of damaged or unliveable category 3 homes. This will be a further and necessary burden on ratepayers. This means that we cannot be excessively generous in the size of buyouts.

g. I support the Council aim of getting people to move out of category 3 homes. But this should not mean that homeowners can hold the Council to ransom by refusing to accept a reasonable offer in the hope of increasing their buyout amount.

a. We have known about Climate Change and rising sea levels for over thirty years. The Auckland Council, long term, can not afford to be in the business of compensating those who make poor choices and continue to build in unsuitable places. Yes we may all like a sea view but it comes at a cost which others should not have to pay. Especially if it means a rates increase for those on low incomes or pensioners. I have much more sympathy for those in West Auckland whose houses were flooded or affected by slips than those who build a cliff top mansion or build close to the foreshore. People must take responsibility for where they build or buy a home. The Council cannot afford to guarantee against loss and neither should it.

b. The methodology and precedent set by the Council in this response must reflect the fact that people will argue that they should receive the same treatment in future events. This is unlikely to be sustainable.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

a. The buyout should apply to primary residences only, not to baches or rental properties. We are in very difficult economic times and must be mindful of the impact of rate increases on low income families and pensioners.

b. There should be a uniform and consistent methodology for determining the amount of the payout. Those who are better abled or resourced should not be able to argue for and receive a greater payout. Either basing the payout on Capital Valuation or making it a fixed amount would be preferred.

c. Basing the payout amount on Capital Value would provide a speedy method of calculating the amount with demonstrable fairness and minimal administrative cost.

d. The payout sum calculation should allow for payouts from insurance and EQC. The model used here will set a pattern for future buyout schemes. It must not reward those who fail to insure their property. In other words those who are underinsured or uninsured should receive the same amount as those who are insured and not be compensated for their lack of insurance cover.

e. There should be a cap on buyout offers above a certain amount. (We must be mindful of the impact of rate increases on low income families and pensioners.)

f. I cannot find mention of the cost of remediating the land on bought out properties. That is the removal of damaged or unliveable category 3 homes. This will be a further and necessary burden on ratepayers. This means that we cannot be excessively generous in the size of buyouts.

g. I support the Council aim of getting people to move out of category 3 homes. But this should not mean that homeowners can hold the Council to ransom by refusing to accept a reasonable offer in the hope of increasing their buyout amount.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2017

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Repair not only current slips on main roads BUT serious discussions around roads such as the Piha Road that was highlighted as a major job many years ago as to the stability of this major international tourist attraction beach.

Provide certainty to category 2 & 3 to those that can with p[professional input as to re-entering these properties.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

The Council purchasing category 3 is important. The public have been allowed to build on these properties with council approval. It is completely understandable that the Council doesn't want to be responsible for the properties going forward if they are deemed to be at risk, however, the owners of category 3 should not personally lose because of this. With 700 homes effected in Auckland each will have a different scenario and I believe each homeowner should be worked with individually. Some will have purchased prior to covid when prices were high, some would have owned for many years and some will be new purchases. All involving people of various stages in life. Property is the single biggest expense in a person's lifetime, whether it be a home, holiday home or investment and all very emotive. A negotiation process should be put in place. I do not believe a blanket statement (example, the council saying they will pay at the Rateable Valuation) will be enough. People should not be disadvantaged because we are in the middle of a downturn in the property market. If people have purchased within the last 5 years they should be reimbursed at least that amount they paid for plus any improvements. Some have owned land and spent money on developing new homes on the land. They should be recompensed to the value they spent. In normal circumstances these people would not be selling in a down market and therefore should not suffer the consequences of being forced to settle for valuations that reflect a down market. The facts are all available on CoreLogic for even a desk top look into each situation to begin with.

Once this immediate process is over future proofing for the Council must be put in place. 700 effected homes does mean that Auckland got off lightly in such a densely populated area – potential for these numbers to be greater is high. Ongoing work needs to happen to identify risk areas and work with insurance companies needs to happen to ensure that the public have the option to increase their insurances to cover such events. This would help mitigate cost to council

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2032

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Specific buy out prices should take into account property locations that would be priced typically above CV. Piha is typically 25% above CV

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

Don’t know

#2032



Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2038

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

It should be acknowledged that cat 3 property owners are losing much more than land and property , they are losing years and years of hard work , they are losing there dreams , they are losing there children's futures and many of us are losing our health and well-being, it will be a year out of our lives that is lost , for the elderly this is priceless time turned into mental anguish and hopelessness'.

Cat 3 property should be valued as high as possible., to cater for these life shattering losses.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2061

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I am unsure why council is funding personal losses in this disaster. I personally have chosen to not live on the water front or on/under a sheer cliff due to the risk involved. Why am I now funding the people who have decided to take this risk? Council should not be blanket buying land where there is no use to broader populace and certainly should not be buying any buildings or structures that are deemed unsafe. Money should be spent on damage done to broader public services (public land, roads, etc) and to future proofing our city.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Council should not be blanket buying land where there is no use to broader populace and certainly should not be buying any buildings or structures that are deemed unsafe. Uninsured and underinsurance was a risk/cost decision made by property holders, the reason for the loss (ie the cyclone vs a fire) shouldn't impact the responsibility of me as a rate holder. I'll feel very sad for their outcomes but disagree with bailing them out

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2066

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Do not support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2091

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2096

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

There needs to be financial assistance for people in Cat 2 ensuring that remedial work is carried out to mitigate future damage from roading drainage and private properties

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

This needs a fair process with a balance between cv and market value

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2100

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Buyout price should be at least what the owner paid, or fair market value if that is above the purchase price

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2108

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2120

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

All current Council spending should be reviewed to minimise impact on rate-payers of the Council contribution. For example Light Rail or Waterfront Development should be deferred or cancelled in favour of infrastructure resilience and property buy-back

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

The role of insurance property owners may have is presumably going to contribute to the amount they will receive overall? Given that a value has been placed on all properties involved for rating purposes, the total property owners receive should be no less than its current rateable value. There should be no variation in approach regardless of whether properties are owner occupied or rented.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2124

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2127

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

No

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

The Auckland ratepayers should not fund projects destroyed by any events natural or man-made for next come rate-payers pays for burned down houses, terrorist bombed houses or e.g. earthquake or Tsunami damaged houses. Where should that end??? The recent weather events in Auckland are the result of man-made Weather modification which is the act of intentionally manipulating or altering the weather. The most common form of weather modification is cloud seeding, which increases rain or snow, usually for the purpose of increasing the local water supply. Why should the ratepayers pays for the damage intentionally caused by entities which cause cloud seeding and torrential rains?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   The ratepayer is not responsible for peoples decisions where they build their houses and thus are not liable when damage occurs to properties they had no say in when build in the wrong place.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Do not support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2129

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Impacted Aucklanders need this sorted before the election. The money seems to be capped and yet the costs of the damage are not yet assessed. For example, we are just receiving general advice re the geotechnical investigations. Nothing yet specific to us. Everyone severely impacted by Cyclone Gabriel needs to be given a fair deal and more money found if needed.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Our bach represents our retirement savings. Like many other Kiwis before us, we had planned on being there and enjoying it until we needed to sell it to finance daily living costs. And for care, as my husband is now in residential care. It must be compensated for in a fair and equitable manner. Permanent residents in Karekare may have holiday houses elsewhere so actually be well provided for. They may also have robust superannuation schemes paid for by government as workers, teachers nurses etc. Owning the bach was the option open to us.

   The bach is inter-generational and had been there since 1952. There were many happy times so it was a totally unexpected event and highly emotional, as is this process. It would have sold for about a million dollars as it is 4 minute-walk from the beach. So, we need a choice between CV and one or two private market valuations for a reasonable outcome.

   It is located between two very large landslides and they have moved it off its foundations and onto the road reserve. The structure is written off both inside and outside by the insurance companies. The bach on one side of ours was crushed to matchsticks. The ones on the other side are totally munted with the landslide area exposed now down to rock. One of them was drilled into the rock but still travelled down the hill with its people inside.

   Remediation of the site will not be possible. The costs of that would be prohibitive as would the maintenance required. And indeed, it will not ever feel safe again there. The best thing would be for ours to be Category 3, paid for fairly by the Council and Government schemes fairly, bought back by Council and put into the Waitakere Ranges Regional Park which it borders.

   EQC and the insurer only consider the next 5 months risk (12 months from the event is their criteria). And compensate only the damaged area 8m from bach as spotted by drone (no-one has gone onto the site) . But the whole section is overhung by new potential landslides as per the maps received from them so far. The Council scheme must compensate for the total site lost to us.

   There needs to be a disputes process. A way of appealing where the valuation or the geo-tech assessment is unfair. Something without cost to the owner.

The demolition responsibility must lie with the purchaser but we must then have the option to retrieve our contents when the bach is made safe for the workers.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

February 14 2023

Kia Ora, Aotearoa!




kirsty johnston
The climate crisis is sinking in now



paul cully
The rule tweak that will change rugby



christine rovoi
A chance at resider for overstayers



weather

Live: Cyclone ravaged regions to be hit again

Houses in Karekare, Auckland, lie in a jumble after Cyclone Gabrielle ripped through in the night on its way down the country, where it has left a trail of destruction, especially in East Coast and Hawke's Bay. But it's not over - the wind is coming back.

1:09pm

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2135

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Allow category 3 property owners who are happy to be bought out at government/ council gv/cv to proceed first, then others who want market validations secondary.

All insurance companies to pay out fully on the homes in category 3, with or without damage from the weather event.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2137

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

We would like our road fixed

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

2137

1/1



A major slip on Paturoa Rd at Titirangi Beach in Auckland.

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2161

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Support the borrowing towards resilience and buy-outs including roading. Agree appropriate to discuss this as part of LTP. Consideration should be given to the precedent actions today will have on any/all future disasters. I expect more large scale events like this to occur in future. Support that some funding should go towards supporting 2P homes however encourage an equity lens applied here. Not all Auckland may need this funding, focus on the areas with pre-existing deprivation first.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Apply an equity lens please, areas of deprivation are more likely be underinsured and will need more financial help, these property owners may be able to contribute less and the council may need to contribute more. If there is a set budget, prioritize owner-occupiers over holiday homes. Rentals owned by businesses (landlords) should get the leftovers. I support the buy-out of holiday homes, and single rental properties - lots of retirees have their life savings tied up in a house they're renting out. I do NOT support full buy-out for commercial landlords that own 3+ homes, this should be a smaller contribution by council and larger contribution by the owner. In general, homeowners must contribute towards the cost of the house through their full insurance. Buying a house is a financial asset and risk which we as homeowners have responsibility for. Homeowners should be given a choice between a fast buyout process based on existing CV, or opting in for a longer buy-out process which considers market value with independent valuers and negotiation. There should be a cap on council contribution otherwise the 774mil will all go to the cliff top homes and not the cheap houses in the valleys or flats.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

   No

#2161

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2184

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and

underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2203

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I would like to see commitment to welfare support to affected property owners.

Roading maintenance needs to be consistent and of a high standard.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2205

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Roads repairs are an evidence to keep Auckland going.

Looking after the West Coast communities infrastructure is not an options as it is Aucklander's getaway and link to the outdoors.

The buy-out is not an option where insurances are not covering: affected residents thoughts they were living in a safe place. Auckland Council needs to buy-out.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Please support affected home owners with a fair and participative buyout process, ideally buying at market value just before the cyclone hit.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2206

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I agree that the government should contribute as much as possible to the recovery after the recent disasters, especially Cyclone Gabrielle. I hope both the government and the council have not wasted our ratepayers' money funding consultancy firms to sort out the problem, and have used the brain power and organisational skills of their own staff to analyse and encourage action. With the obvious drastic side effects of climate change now manifesting with increasing vehemently, it's time for more visionary thinking and action, and more power to solve needs to reside in government jurisdictions.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   In the area I live in, the council bought out properties in Glen Esk Road, Piha, and this seemed to be effective, as repetitive flooding was making occupancy untenable. It is sad, but it is an inevitable result of these every frequent weather events.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2208

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2211

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

We have grave concern that this sets an entirely unaffordable precedent for the public purse unwriting these personal property losses which will continue to happen ongoingly with large weather events. Sadly this process will leave few happy, and it then raises equity issues with those that 'miss out' unless they fit Category 3 they will receive nothing. The buyout needs to be capped and banks should bear some of these risks here instead of the public entirely bailing them out.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2214

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Do not use the most recent CV values. House prices were hugely inflated due to Covid madness. Base on previous CV values. More realistic.

People who were uninsured should get land value only. They did not take measures to secure their home so why should the rate payer.

People who live on cliff edges and cut down trees in front of their properties for maximum views should get nothing. They created an unstable environment.

Insurers should pay for the house value and council pay for the land value.

Looking to the future any geo surveying done on a prospective piece of land should be submitted to Council so developers/property owners cannot go shopping for the answer they want to allow building. Similar to the WOF system.

Any unstable land should be red zoned forever and never allowed to be developed. Muriwai had slips in the same area decades ago. Why were they built on again. We had aerial photos to show the slips in the past.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

#2214

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2216

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

I believe a valuation method is best, but not current value, but take into account past and future likely trends.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2228

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Financial contribution for properties which after investigation don't end up in the buyout situation of CAT 3 but fall into Cat 2p; requiring expensive remedial work in order to bring the acceptable risk low enough.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

An option process; at least CV as at 13 Feb 2023, with an opportunity for primary resident homeowners to negotiate market value where CV is in no way reflective of the property value at that date.

An upfront initial part payment in order for people to settle elsewhere as soon as possible.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2231

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I agree with what the Council is proposing to help Auckland recover from the extreme weather events of earlier this year. The Council should proceed with this as soon as possible.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

It is important that there is a fair and equitable arrangement for the buyouts of flood and slip affected homes. A market valuation undertaken that would reflect the fair market value of the property as at January / February 2023 should be part of the methodology.

There should also be the option of making use of the CV. It is a useful starting point and it is already in place, was contestable when it was issued, etc. This would be a useful starting point and could be offered in the first instance to home owners who need a quick settlement. The ongoing stress and uncertainty is taking a heavy toll on many people who are displaced, etc. It needs to be noted however that the CV often does not reflect the improvements that homeowners have made over time. Often these improvements are not obvious through the CV valuation process.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2233

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2235

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2236

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2238

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2240

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2246

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Provided the Category 3 situation is fairly managed, the proposal is sound.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

CV should be the absolute minimum baseline discussion value, but some consideration needs to be given to Market Value pre Auckland Anniversary Weekend if there is a wide disparity between the two.

Under/uninsured should not be offered the same value as those who were insured. Individuals choice on whether to insure, and to what value is a game of calculated risk. In saying this, we are in the position where the land under the house has been lost and has undermined the foundations of our house, yet the house itself is regarded by insurance as not damaged. Therefore, in our situation, we effectively have no claim excepting that with EQC, despite comprehensively insuring our property. Not having our property bought out under Category 3 will be devastating for us, and will have a negative lasting impact on us for the rest of our lives.

Any funding arrangement for those who are comprehensively insured should only be 100% of the agreed value, whether that be CV or Market Value. For those who are due insurance payouts, the buyout contribution from Council should be the agreed buyout value, less insurance payouts owing to the property owner.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2248

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2250

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2253

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Close discussion with homeowners and understanding of unique circumstances should be considered.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Whilst making the process as efficient as possible, ensure again, that each case might be different and respond accordingly, and in conjunction with the homeowner.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Our home at [redacted] Karekare Road, was destroyed by a landslide that was made worse by a large macrocarpa trunk hitting the house from behind, the soil from the landslide is now below where the house once stood, and the soil matter from above has now revealed a rock face. We would hope to be able to retain the property and work to make it safe from future hazards and make any structure (a minor dwelling) strong enough to protect any inhabitants in future disasters. Our connection to this land and the surrounding environments at Karekare go back generations and it was always held within our family that this would remain our whanau turangawaewae for many many generations to come. We would refuse a council buyout on this basis but support other property owners should they choose to accept a buyout.

Sincerely,
[redacted]

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2255

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

With sympathy to listen to local property owners.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

Don’t know

My property at [redacted] Karekare Road was destroyed by Cyclone Gabrielle in a landslide on Monday 13th February 2023 - it had been in my family for 60 years I do not want to accept a buyout. Along with my 4 adult children I would like to keep ownership of this small piece of precious family history. A recent geotech report sent to my insurance company stated that the property was not in imminent danger of heavy rainfall in the next 12 months.

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2265

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   I don't know

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2270

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Full support regardless of insurance only for primary residence of singular residence families.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   I don't know

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2287

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

We support an agreement between council and government for funding for buyout of category 3 people, so impacted people can get on with their lives and communities can begin to restabilise.

We also hope there are negotiations in progress for funding to support category 2P and 2C (or 2A) in remediating their properties.

We also wonder about funding that might need to be made available for people if they need to go through lengthy consent processes or other expenses incurred while

remediating properties. Experiencing a natural disaster such as flooding or landslide is very expensive - having to find alternative accommodation, pay rent on top of mortgages, take time off work for various things, may mean people are on the back foot when it comes to paying to fixing properties.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   We support a fair and equitable price setting and buyout process for Cat 3 homeowners, and Cat 2 homeowners where the cost of the remediation work is prohibitive for the homeowner.

   We support homeowners being able to choose either between CV or 2 valuations, or a mixture of both (being paid CV amount out early while waiting on valuations, and being paid out remainder once valuations are finished). We support this being swift so that if people can get on with their lives and reconnect to communities.

   .

   We support all homeowners being treated equitably - regardless of whether the house was a bach or permanent residence.

   We support an independent disputes process that is efficient and fair for those disputing categorisations and / or buyout offers or other issues.

   We take issue with this blanket statement from your document on page 8 'Like all investments, property ownership carries risks'. Many people did not buy their properties for investment purposes, they bought them to have a stable home, in a community which they feel connected to and can contribute to - in other words, the complete opposite to risk. So we do not support the above as a way of approaching buyouts and paying homeowners less than a fair amount. We think there is a possibility of long-term intergenerational distrust of Council and Government if the buyouts are not fair - we hope this buyout process does not result in people feeling like they can be forced from their homes without fair recompense.

We worry that unfair process could contribute to increased inequality between wealthy and deprived and social ills that flow from increased disparity - i.e. Category 2 people who cannot afford to fix their homes could end up having to sell for a low price, they may end up with a mortgage on a house that has had to be sold, then these 'cheap' houses might end up being bought by people who have access to capital and who can invest in them, which they can then later on-sell for a large amount while the original owner loses out in multiple ways. This would be deeply unfair and would contribute to increased disparity.

We think figuring out which properties are investment properties and which are 'homes' for paying different amounts in the buyout process could end up in lengthy disputes.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2288

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

1. Urgent Resolution: The proposal stresses the need for a swift resolution to the Category 2 funding issue before the upcoming election to protect the interests of affected Aucklanders.

2. Affordable Funding: It emphasizes the importance of securing affordable funding for homeowners in Category 2 properties affected by landslides and flooding, preventing them from facing prohibitive costs.

3. Timely Access: The proposal advocates for timely and cost-effective access to resource and building consent for property owners to expedite the remediation process.

4. Safety and Viability: It highlights the necessity of safe and economically viable mitigation measures for Category 2 properties.

5. Ongoing Maintenance: The proposal calls for consideration of ongoing maintenance costs in determining remediation expenses to avoid future financial burdens.

6. Local Relevance: It emphasizes locally driven and relevant solutions tailored to Auckland's diverse suburbs.

7. Sustainable Solutions: The proposal underscores the importance of sustainable solutions to prevent unintended consequences.

8. Community Impact: It warns against policy decisions that could harm communities economically, similar to post-quake Christchurch.

9. Fair Funding: The proposal seeks a funding package that ensures fair and equitable resolution for all severely impacted individuals.

10. Intergenerational View: It encourages considering the proposal from an intergenerational perspective to protect future generations from weather-related risks.

11. Land Use Opportunities: The proposal highlights potential land use opportunities resulting from co-funded buyouts by Auckland Council.

12. Kaitiakitanga Lens: It recommends a guardianship-of-the-future lens to protect future generations from owning risky land.

13. Challenge Mechanism: The proposal stresses the need for an independent, affordable, and effective challenge mechanism to address errors in risk calculations and ensure transparency for homeowners.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Important Points for Auckland Council's Category 3 Property Purchase Methodology:

1. **Balancing Speed and Accuracy**: The methodology should offer property owners the choice between a fast buy-out using CV (or similar) or a longer process involving independent valuations to ensure a fair and equitable solution.

2. **Independent Disputes Process**: To maintain public confidence, an independent and affordable disputes resolution mechanism should be established, allowing property owners to challenge categorization and valuation decisions.

3. **Owner's Choice**: Property owners should have the option to prioritize speed or accuracy when valuing their properties, giving them flexibility in the process.

4. **Full Property Value**: Anything less than 100% of property value for buy-outs may result in undue hardship for property owners and should be avoided.

5. **Equal Treatment**: All private residences, including holiday homes and rentals, should be treated equally in the buy-out process, as many individuals have their retirement savings tied to these properties.

6. **Choice in Valuation**: Providing a choice between CV and market valuation can reduce the risk of litigation and delays, ensuring a fiscally responsible solution.

7. **Urgent Need for Challenges**: An independent process for challenges and complaints regarding categorization and valuation decisions is urgently needed to ensure timely and effective resolutions.

8. **Increasing Rainfall Risks**: Acknowledgment that extreme rainfall is likely to increase in New Zealand, impacting long-term "risk to life" outcomes from flooding and landslides.

9. **Margin for Error**: Recognizing that categorization is not an exact science, a challenge mechanism is essential to mitigate the risk of loss of life due to errors in modeling assumptions.

10. **Unpredictable Risks**: The unpredictability of future risks, such as hill failures or river flooding, underscores the need for fair and equitable outcomes to protect affected families.

11. **Desirable Outcome**: A fair and equitable outcome should prevent young families from facing insurmountable debt, allow established families to remain in their communities, and enable retirees to move forward with their lives.

12. **Importance of Independence**: Emphasizing the importance of an independent challenge process for categorizations and valuations to ensure fairness for all affected communities.

13. **Financial Responsibility**: Buy-outs should be set at a fair and fiscally responsible rate to avoid pushing property owners into hardship and prevent long-term negative impacts on future generations.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2301

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

There is an indication in the draft documentation that the council is considering treating ‘holiday homes’ and ‘rental properties’ differently to primary residence dwellings. ALL PROPERTIES SHOULD BE TREATED USUNG EQUAL METHODOLOGY WHEN CALCULATING THE BUYOUT VALUE FOR A CAT 3 PROPERTY!

It is simply unfair, unequal and discriminatory to not pay owners out equally.

A case in point: we have a relative who had to move out of Auckland with a job transfer and so he has rented out his Auckland home to pay for the rent in his new location. His Auckland home had been his primary residence and he never thought he would get moved out of town. Why should he not be paid out the same for his Cat 3 home just like the guy living next door in his ‘primary residence property?

Paying out all owners using the identical valuation methodology will ensure a fair and simple process and avoid delays the Council wasting precious funds in legal challenges from disgruntled Owners who have not been paid out fairly.

The council has highlight the significant stress and impact on storm affected families. To not pay owners out equally on their property will cause intense anguish and negative affect on mental well-being. I implore the council members not to add further strain on these already fragile residents by effectively screwing them over!

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

#2301

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2305

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Cv -20% as the properties were built in risky areas. The responsibility partly lies on the property owner

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Do not support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2307

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Titirangi Residents and Rateypayers Association

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Thank you for the opportunity to present the Titirangi Residents & Ratepayers Association's submission on funding storm resilience and recovery.

This submission is made by The Titirangi Residents and Ratepayers Association, a non-profit incorporated society formed in 1987 to promote and represent the interests of ratepayers and residents in the Titirangi area. The Association can be traced back to

the 1920s when an unincorporated society is recorded as lobbying Council regarding roads.

We wish to speak to our submission if that opportunity is provided.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   If you do go ahead with the funding package, the issues we consider are most important for you to implement in the prioritisation process are as follows:

   Transport Network Recovery - Number of people impacted / health and safety / businesses impacted / tourism impacted.

   Making Space for Water - Number of people impacted/at risk/health and safety, create blue-green networks in critical flood risk areas, community based geotechnical projects to mitigate future risks prioritising Category 2 properties first.

   Category 3 Property Buy out - see our response below on how Council should go about purchasing Category 3 properties / plant native trees on properties purchased and ensure they have legal protection in perpetuity so that this vulnerable land can never be redeveloped.

   Buyout Process

   The buyout offer for primary residences, holiday homes and rentals should be given equal solution options if they are assessed as being in Category 3.

   Category 3 homeowners should be offered a choice between CV and Market Valuation to allow for a fair valuation outcome within and across suburbs with the following options:

   Receive CV amount as full payment

Delay settlement until full market value agreed through a fair valuation process

Receive CV amount and receive delayed full market value top up agreed through a fair valuation process

People invest money into improvements in their home that are not reflected in the CV and it is only fair that these are reflected in the buy-out price. They should be able to purchase like-for-like as a result of the buy-out, not be worse off.

The Auckland Council and Government funding should offer 100% of the value of the property for Cat 3 buyouts. This will mean:

Young families will not be left seriously underwater, struggling with stranded debt and a mortgage that exceeds the buyout offer.

Established families will be able to remain within their communities

Retirees can move forward with their lives, not forced to become 'renters by necessity'

Regardless of insurance cover (or not) people should be treated the same and receive 100% of the value from this buy-out. If the homeowner has insurance then the buy-out price will be a top-up, for those without insurance it should be 100%.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2309

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I support the buy out proposal. Would love to be able to get on with my life and not see my family struggle to fix our property

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

I have issues with your statement on page 8 'Like all investments, property ownership carries risks'

Alot of us brought to live a good life, not as a investment.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2319

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

1) Agree, needs to be discussed as part of LTP - including costs of ongoing maintenance of any 2C mitigations.

2) No mention of how 2P will be funded. We are in a housing crisis, we have a shortage of homes. We should be helping to keep people in their homes if it is safe to do so. If it costs the same to fix a property, as it does to buy out a property - fix it and keep it.

3) Ratepayer contribution should be on land only, net of EQC and capped so the budget stretches fairly (if west coast gets buy-out offer first, how do you ensure all the budget

is not unfairly spent here and others don't miss out given it's taking longer to assess flood impacted areas)?

4) Government contribution could be on total property value including buildings.

5) Property owner contribution on house/buildings via insurance

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   'Insurance:

   - Equity lens needed - it is different for a owner occupier who lives in a multi generation home in an area of deprivation to afford full insurance, compared to someone who can afford a holiday home and chooses to take the risk and not have full insurance.

   A committee could look at underinsured or uninsured as special cases to decide if tax payer & ratepayer money should be used. There will be instances where these people may be a vulnerable single elderly person on pension unable to afford full insurance, and other cases where it is a landlord with multiple properties who opted to take a risk.

   Insurance/EQC is part of property owners contribution.

   Who to fund: if people are paying rates then they should be treated the same. Council contribution should be applied to all residential no matter if holiday homes or rentals.

   For simple fully insured Cat 3 homes give people the choice. A quick process based on CV, or a longer process where people got through valuation process.

   Disputes panel: there must be a disputes panel set up of leading experts in property valuations, geotechnical engineers, legal etc that can oversee an independent disputes process. We are talking about people's largest financial asset. They need to trust this will be a fair process.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2320

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

For one thing NOT TO PENALISE people who own a rental property or holiday home. Everyone pays RATES. Mortgages and insurance. For those with rental properties why penalise someone who is/was providing a home for a family. Not every landlord is a property investor/developer. Some are just couples who have worked hard to be able to own another property in the hopes that this will help set their children up for the future and have also used this property as a stepping stool for themselves, now they are in limbo. And watching this property deteriorate further. The people who didn't have insurance all I can say is TOUGH! it was their decision NOT to have insurance. Don't penalise (once again) the people who have done the right thing by having insurance, even the ones who are underinsured could be thousands of Aucklanders.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2321

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Ensure it is not just areas impacted from flooding, that areas impacted by landslides is given sufficient funding.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2325

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Any property that houses humans, be it a rental or a holiday home should not be held to a lesser degree than those of owner/occupier. This issue is about human life not one of segregation because someone owns a property that they are not living in. A rental property is an investment for others that find themselves not yet able to, or not wanting to own their own home. A rental provides a home for a family, reduces the requirement for many families to be in state care. A holiday home can be sold at some point in time and provide a home, it may even well be occupied at the time during a natural disaster, many probably have been. Rate are still paid,insurances are still paid,

council approval for the property to be built has been authorised...no different to an owner/occupied home.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   I find this an intolerable methodology to suggest that those property owners that either have no insurance or have under insured their property will be subsidised. What is the purpose of having insurance and paying the premiums and excess's? I don't agree with under insured or those with no insurance being subsidised.

   I believe that that Keeping It Simple Stupid is the most fair and equitable method; whereby having the Joint Auckland/Govt take focus on buying out the property in regards to 100% of ; the Land Value based on the rateable value just prior to the floods; the Insurance then cover 100% the cost of what the property owners had in way of cover.

   Once a property has been designated as Category 3 by Auckland Council, Insurance is then paid out under the provision of that property being designated as a Total Loss due to the Category 3 designation, null and voiding any costs for reinstatement/repairs to the property.

   Keeping it simple will see properties designated as Category 3 owners processed as quick as possible with two buyout payments: Land Value based on rateable value at the time of the flood via Auckland Council/Govt; and the Total Loss sum via the property owner's insurance company.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2340

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2342

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Please financially help both cat 2P and 2C landslide property owners to remediate their properties, both those affected by landslide and/or flooding. Your feedback only mentions helping Cat2C flooding properties.

Also please help with the red tape needed for the resource and building consents too.

There is very little mention of landslides in your Council consultation document but many properties in West Auckland are landslide affected and will need to be repaired if they do not fit the criteria for Cat3

Also much of the landslide damage on West Auckland properties sits outside 8 metres from buildings on properties, so much of the damage gets no or not enough insurance through EQC to build remediate sufficiently.

Many landslide property owners will not be able to afford the full cost of repairs on their own.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Please include bach owners, rentals and empty sections in the buyout process.

   Also a choice between CV and a market rate at the day of the cyclone is the fairest option for calculating the buyouts.

   Using a system that is fair and catches as many affected people as possible will create less ongoing litigation, will encourage people to take up the voluntary offer (so they won't occupy unsafe land).

   The Hawkes Bay system that is being adpoted is an example of a fair system, that has been viewed as fair

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2346

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

It's important to get the funding locked in before elections, to give those affected peace of mind. There needs to be funding available for people who are categorised in 2C and 2P properties, so they are not left financially unable to make the necessary remediations to their properties, essentially leaving them with an unlivable, un-insurable, and unsellable home. I am concerned that the funding amount seems to be capped, when we do not know the full costs of buyouts and remedial works.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Allow time for a fair settlement. You can give people the option of a quick buyout process based on the house CV, however in some areas the homes are selling for significantly more than the CV, and they should be allowed to go through a disputes process with independent valuation. This has to be a collaborative process, because if the Council comes in and tells people the amount they will receive, with no opportunity for feedback, negotiation, and disputes, it will increase the trauma that the residents have already gone through.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2348

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Be fair, homeowners were given the green light to build in these areas. Support them with realistic market value buy out packages.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   I don't know

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2361

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

The buy out should be based on valuation before the cyclone.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

I reiterate the above, that the buy out price should be based in value prior to the weather events.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2362

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

The buy-out process should include residences, holiday homes/baches and rentals and any other buildings affected eg surf clubs, workshops, garages etc.

Baches and rentals should not be excluded or treated differently. If there is a risk to human life it will affect all people using a building.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2364

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

I like to see funds allocated to private owners for remedial work of cat 2 properties

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Apply this formula: CV + Market Value + LV+Quantity surveyor assessment what it would cost to rebuild the home at its present state + sum the owner has insured the property for divided by 4.

This formula takes into account all aspects of a property like the value the council had put on the property, the value a potential buyer would have put on the property, the land value for the position and location, the cost of material and labour to rebuild to the state the property was in just before the event which is fair and allows for the individual differences of the quality of the house (e.g. solar panels, new kitchens and bathrooms, ets.)and the insurance a property owner has or has not insured the property for. The average of all those factors gives a fair value for a buy-out.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2365

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

That C2/P home owners that have to mitigate their risk from natural hazards eg retaining walls will have access to this funding.

And that resource consents for these are fast tracked and there is no cost .

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Market value of the property the day before the Cyclone .

   Once Council and the owner agree on the purchase price that the process is as quick as possible for the mental well being of the owner.

   That the demolition is a separate cost that comes out of this funding.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2367

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

The Council could ensure that it is able to be revisited if the costs to Council escalate.

It is not clear if the funding package includes a contribution to the extraordinary costs to Council of remediating and rebuilding tracks in the Waitakere Ranges Regional Park. We are not sure whether this comes under "other infrastructure" or "community facilities" (page 2, Consultation document). At a meeting at Piha on 23/9 the community was told there were over 1000 slips in the regional park. We advocate that the funding can contribute to covering such costs.

We partially support the “Making space for water and other resilience packages.” We are concerned that the “Making space for water” is the buzz word of the moment and may be given priority over other projects, such as landslide remediation. Council has had many years to do “blue-green network” types of work and in Waitakere had the example of Project Twin Streams to follow. Instead it has allowed PTS to devolve into activities which in some cases had little environmental benefit. In cases of previous flooding in the last five years, Council has done little to address risks. For example, at Piha after flooding in 2017/18 it held public meetings where a number of projects were identified to address flooding. Virtually none of these have been implemented and the funding is continually deferred. It is important that the “other resilience projects, such as community-based geotechnical projects where risks can be mitigated” are given priority. (Page 4, Consultation document).

Affected communities should have a chance to determine what are the priorities to be funded through this source.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   The buy-out process should include residences, holiday homes and rentals and any other buildings affected eg surf clubs, workshops, garages etc.

   There is no logic to the proposal that baches and rentals should be excluded or treated differently. If there is a risk to human life it will affect all people using a building.

   It is also true that people’s use of their property may change over time. For example, a Piha resident may move into town (and rent their house) when their children are at secondary school to be closer to educational and sporting activities, but come back when their children leave school.

   It is cynical that there is no question about this in the feedback form. This could well result in biased feedback from this survey as only people who have read the consultation document would know you are contemplating this.

Also, the Council treats these buildings the same for rating, valuation and every other purpose. It cannot change its approach when it is fiscally convenient.

One of the aspects not explained in the methodology (pp 5-8, Consultation document) is that in almost all cases, the Council and Govt will not bear the whole cost of the buy-out. Council will be paying the price, net of insurance pay-outs from the property-owners' insurers. Thus the $774 mill for 700 houses should be adequate without any of the proposed limitations.

We reject the proposal of a "'fixed sum", "price cap", "lesser amount" or "sliding scale" (pp 5-8, Consultation document). If the principle is a fair price for the property, then there cannot be a different process for high-value properties.

We also believe people with inadequate or no insurance should not be treated differently, but are entitled to the full value of their property. We also argue that (and this is not mentioned in the Consultation document), people whose insurance does not cover them because there is no damage to the house - but are deemed to be in Category 3 - should not be treated differently (see page 8, Consultation document).

We also disagree with the statement that you will not be purchasing "non-residential property" (page 8, Consultation document). It is not clear how you define this. Does this mean you will only buy-out sites zoned residential? Does this mean you will not buy out sites with no homes no matter what the zoning? Does it mean you will not buy-out undeveloped land even where it is damaged so that it cannot be developed or built on in the future?

If so, we disagree with this approach as will unfairly penalize owners of these properties. They will be left owning land of no financial value. Will they be rated? How can Council justify buying land with a house on, but not land that could have a house in the future, especially if council takes step to say it will not be safe to build on in the future?

Our own situation is we own 3 lots which have been amalgamated for rating purposes – total 25 acres.

We have owned it for nearly 90 years. We have had three fires destroy parts of the property, all fires emanating from regional park – the last in 2017. Each time we have reforested the land including much of the adjoining regional parkland.

We face the prospect that only the lot with the house on is included in Category 3 (currently only this lot is "brown"). This means should the Council determine this land is Category 3, we would be left with 18 acres of land that cannot be accessed (access is through the "brown" title) or developed in any way.

We argue it is not fair for Council to have historically amalgamated the titles for all other purposes, but not for the purpose of storm recovery and resilience.

If the Council resorts to Category 2/3, because it has not decided which category a property is in, this needs to be resolved as quickly as possible.

Finally, we want to emphasise that the buy-out is “voluntary”. These has been repeatedly stated by Govt and Council. If people decline to be bought-out, for whatever reason, there should not be any penalties imposed by Council to coerce them to agreeing to be bought out. There should be no recourse to the Public Works Act to compulsorily buy people out.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2368

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Stop wasting money on speed limit changes and Kauri dieback. An start putting money into footpaths and drainage/ roading. Pay the people out what you value there house and land at. We have people doing council weed control but council still have not unblocked the drains.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Use gv or cv pay them out land and house value. Get insurance companies to help out on the repairs to make properties safe.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2373

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Please fix piha road and Rayner road properly with high kerbs and appropriate drainage to accommodate for the hill and high traffic to avoid run off onto properties. Cleaning out drains and keep these maintained regularly.

Stop spending so much money on kauri dieback, trackwork and weed pest control. The road and access for the whole of Auckland to enjoy Piha needs to be addressed first and foremost.

Not patched. But engineered to withstand the amount of water and heavy traffic. This needs be priority.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Government value whatever your rates are based on. Saves cost and money. If this was always the case it would give reassurance and save a lot of time and discussion. Any insurance EQC payments should not effect this and should be on top of.

   Yes events like this will happen again it needs to be a streamlined process. It's not working how it is. Too many grey areas. People need to be able to move on.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Don't know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2374

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

It is critical that Category 2 properties receive sufficient funding to mitigate the likes of known stormwater issues, either at Council level or Property level. A stormwater runoff issue affecting properties on Piha Road (and those that lie below them) has been brought to council and AT's attention since it became problematic around 2018. Residents were assured that that road was to be fully engineered in 2019 (including new stormwater drainage). I was told, in writing, "Longer term, the stormwater design via the Piha Road Repair aims to reduce runoff of stormwater across the road and onto adjacent properties and better manage water infiltration and erosion along the berm

...[and that] phase 1 of this work is to address the four slips identified on sections of Piha Road."

For a variety of reasons (including Covid-19) the project was shelved, with Auckland Transport (AT) saying "analysis completed in late 2020 concludes that there is no deep-seated instability at the four sites on that section of Piha Road". As a result of this decision, several properties on and below Piha Road have been impacted by slips, either on their own property, or on neighbouring properties.

Our property is unaffected. Geotechnical experts from Tonkin and Taylor have confirmed that there is no slip on our land, and no damage to our house. However we were still red-stickered under the 'imminent risk' RBA assessment, and then categorised brown because of our proximity to our neighbour's slip (which started on the Auckland Transport road reserve, at the known slip location mentioned in 2019 correspondence). Because of this proximity, we might be put into Category 2. If this is a 2P, this means we would need to address stormwater runoff and/or slip risk at property level. This is likely to cost hundreds of thousands, which we simply don't have. We will not be able to borrow to fund this as we are already fully mortgaged, and I have had a stroke and am nearing retirement age. Also, AIG announced yesterday that insurance would no longer be made available to Category 3 and many Category 2 properties. So even if we felt that there was no option but to go into further debt, we face two issues. Firstly, we are unlikely to meet the affordability threshold for the bank to allow us to take on more debt. Secondly, AIG announced this week that insurance would no longer be made available to Category 3 and many Category 2 properties, so this may further hinder our ability to borrow.

The affordability of mitigation works at 2P level has been noted in many council communications: "Risk Category 2P (property) – Property specific measures are necessary, such as improved drainage, raising houses; Property owners will benefit but some may face affordability issues". Given that council recognised affordability issues, it is alarming that current funding negotiations between Council and Central Government do not include funding for 2P mitigations. Arguably, this leaves 2P property owners in a worse predicament that Category 3 homes. Category 3 homeowners will have to leave their homes but will receive financial compensation, while Category 2P homeowners may be left with an uninsurable home, no access to bank loans, and serious affordability issues if they were able to access a loan. They may have to sell at a loss, even below rateable value. This raises a serious equity issue, where Category 3 owners are paid out, while Category 2P owners have zero certainty about any level of funding to support flood and/or slip mitigation.

As such, it is vital that Council and Central Government urgently agree to a funding strategy to support 2P residents. Failing this, the council must commit to fund stormwater and slip mitigation at a council level (2C) in cases where the cyclone impacts have come directly from a Council asset such as Piha Road, that the council failed to maintain.

Finally, if 2P mitigations go ahead, it imperative that homeowners are not hindered by Resource Consent and Building Consent processes. Many Piha residential properties have large swathes of their land designated as an SEA (Significant Ecological Area). A timely and common sense approach needs to be taken here so that homeowners can

remediate as swiftly as possible without additional financial burden. Consent fees should be waived given that Council is both the body requiring that the work be undertaken, and also providing consents for that work.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   I agree with the hybrid approach methodology. Owners of all Category 3 properties should immediately be offered the CV (prior to the cyclone), with market value (prior to the cyclone) top ups to follow. Home owners should be able to provide independent valuations from a valuation firm of their choosing. It is important that valuation reports go immediately to the homeowner (rather than to council). Valuations should be completed in a way that is entirely independent of Council influence.

   It is critical that buyouts allow people the ability to relocate to a property with similar assets to that of the property they are having to leave, and to be able to do this without creating financial hardship.

   With regards to eligibility, if the Council decides to treat holiday homes and rental properties differently from residential homes, it must not use blunt tools such as Title to ascertain if a property is a primary dwelling. Our property has for a number of years been a rental, but prior to the cyclone we had given tenants notice and had resumed residency of the property, which had always been the long term plan. I have email evidence of the termination of the tenancy, clearly stating that I would be moving in as was always the intention. We had moved a large amount of my furniture into the property before the cyclone. Our extended family is comprised of me, and my son, his wife and their child. Between us we own two properties, but we are TWO families and have a legal document drawn up in 2016 which supersedes Title. The bank has had a copy of this document since my son and his wife bought in as majority owners of one of the properties in 2016.

   The power bill at the cyclone affected property is in my son’s name (to support me after my stroke he has been managing the power bills at both properties for us as an extended family). After the cyclone, he put the power onto a bach plan, because it was

the cheapest plan to allow a continuation of power supply (for our security camera), while I am unable to live there because of the red-sticker. This does NOT mean it is a family bach. I currently live in a temporary accommodation (a flood-damaged sleepout) because the red-sticker has prevented me from living at the cyclone-impacted property, which was my primary residence as of 19 January 2023.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2375

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Ultimately the council's long term plan should be to fund similar events through rates and it is fair that areas of higher risk should perhaps pay higher rates. However, these areas should also receive higher funding for maintenance and prevention of such large scale impacts. An enquiry (govt funded) should be done into the historical planning policies and their effective application and remediation of inadequate infrastructure should be done asap, not wait for funding from existing residents rates.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Properties that are not primary residence should not be eligible for a buy out or should be deprioritised and paid a lesser amount than primary homeowners. In addition, properties that are inadequately insured should have a max. Buyout from govt / council of land value only and perhaps a limit should be set on that to reflect the average buyout amount. It is not fair that someone who has an expensive property and chose not to insure should receive more from the buyout than someone with a modest family home that is properly insured.

   Primary homeowners with adequate insurance should receive the full CV, which is a fair price given recent property value retraction.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   I don't know

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2377

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

85% cv paid out immediately, the remainder engaged through private assessments to get to final amount at homeowners own cost. I.e renovations completed wouldn't be recorded CV.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2384

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Piha Ratepayers and Residents Association, Inc.

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

It is essential for affected parties that the funding issues are resolved swiftly and preferably before 14 October.

Negotiations between Government and Council must secure funding for Cat. 2C and 2P homeowners affected by landslips or flooding to enable them to remediate and here necessary mitigate future damage.

Building and Resource Consents for this work must be fast tracked and applied with intelligent interpretation of the AUP and RMA to ensure that affected homeowners are not further disadvantaged financially.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   It is essential that all Cat. 3 home owners are treated equally, regardless of insurance status or perceived wealth and whether or not the home is considered to be a primary residence.

   There should be two avenues for buyout; 1) A fast track agreement at CV. and, 2) At a market value determined by a review committee of appropriate experts and open to appeal by the homeowner to ensure fair and equable outcomes.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

#2384

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2385

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

More work needs to go into landslide,affected properties ,currently only flood affected land and homes will have community based and funded intervention to make them safe ,reduce the risk..not fair

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Utmost care needs to be taken to family decide the boundaries between 2p and 2c the cat 3 properties..they must not be lumped together for convenience. I do not support full payouts for uninsured properties..why have I chosen to mitigate risk by paying my premium then have others freeload on the state

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2397

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

It is critical for people with Category 3 properties to be given buyouts at CV (or similar). It is equally important for Category 2 properties to be given funding from, the Crown and/or Council, to remediate their properties, particularly Cat 2P. This should be done in a way that does not diminish their asset value. The amount paid out to homeowners should be reduced by any insurance payout they have received.

Sections and Rental properties should also receive the same funding. Destruction of assets of citizens and residents without full compensation will cost NZ more in the long

run. Properties not insured should not be excluded from the buyout/remediation process.

Those properties that were damaged in part or fully due to inadequate asset management, lack of stormwater maintenance, building in flood areas etc, should be given the same financial assistance, regardless of risk to life. In fact, the council 'benefits' because the crown is fitting 50% of the costs for the councils mismanagement or lack of maintenance due to shortage of funds - dont miss that opportunity.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   All properties should be eligible for buyouts regardless if they are residential, sections, or businesses. Failure to do this will cost NZ more in the long term. Make this about treating people right rather than a costing-save or political issue.

   The buyout should be on full CV value as this is what ratepayers pay their rates on. Should the CV not reflect the correct value in this context, homeowners/council should have a channel to correct/update the value. Individual valuations take more time, cost the council more etc., so only do that when needed or value disputed.

   A channel for dispute resolution needs to be set up each each of the the council and govt.

   Council is supposed to work for and support property, assets, the people that live here and the ratepayers. Also, as the wider community of Auckland, we need to support each other and if rates do need to go up, then so be it. A short-term rate increase might be needed. In fact, a longer-term rates increase could apply as well in order to build up a fund for future events. Perhaps each council should do this.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2401

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

The fairest way forward is to pay out market value so that families can afford to buy again in their communities.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

Again market value without a doubt has my vote. This has been a hard enough process for many in our communities, let's give people life again settle on market vale and the full vale of their property. No one deserves to loose, we have already lost enough physically and mentally.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   Yes

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2404

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

There are unintended Consequences of Cat1 that may threaten people's lives, deprive them of the legal rights established in the Bill of Rights. Cat1 is defined as not having an 'intolerable threat to life' but has some repair required to 'repair to previous state'. This repair cost could be astronomical due to slow moving slips etc which continue to be ongoing but don't have an 'imminent threat to life'. Property owners could be faced with $100,000's to remediate, but have no assistance from Council/Government, and they can't sell their property, can't afford to repair and it's structurally unsafe to live in - they may have a mortgage and the only option available is to face bankruptcy. In some instances the land repair costs outweigh the value of the property (add on top of

that the repair costs to the dwelling) and there is a significant shortfall between what has been received from insurance and EQC for the repairs. Property owners may find themselves unable to re-insure due to the ongoing instability of the land. A requirement of a mortgage is to have insurance. How do we make it fair for these people and allow them access to voluntary buy-out? There needs to be a categorisation challenge process or a 'trigger' to allow people stranded by this situation.

Will all Cat2s (both Cat2P and Cat2C) receive fair financial support from Council/Govt funding package that is commensurate with the owner's personal cost to repair through any funding packages. How will this be monitored?

There is currently a lack of clarity about what the proposed $380 million of Govt funding to Auckland Council is meant to be used for. Council keep referring to it as being for flooding and there doesn't seem to be anything for landslip remediation. This needs to be addressed within this funding package or at least further negotiations with Crown to resolve. When defining the cost of remediation of a cat 2P or 2C property the ongoing cost to maintain the solution needs to be taken into consideration.

Cat 3 - A fair and equitable solution provides a choice between CV and market valuation and allows participation in the process.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Category 3 homeowners should be offered a choice between CV and Market Valuation to allow for a fair valuation outcome both within and across suburbs. Diverse situations require a flexible response that speaks to diversity – there are outliers across every Auckland suburb when it comes to valuation.

   To ensure public confidence in the system there needs to be a genuinely independent disputes process set-up that is timely and effective in its outcomes. There may be concerns that a final categorisation will be decided without further consultation and there will be no independent mechanism by which to dispute it hence the need for an independent disputes process.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

   Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2406

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

A fair and equitable outcome will be one that doesn't leave ANYONE struggling with stranded debt. We have been through a traumatic experience which is affecting mental health and the burden of potential bankruptcy is adding to it.

The definition of 'imminent threat to life' seems to be the focus for Cat3 buyouts, but there are unintended consequences for those who may have a slow moving slip on their property and get a Cat1. The cost to 'repair the land' outweighs the value of the property. The remediation costs are not covered by EQC (as the damage is beyond 8m from the dwelling) and Insurance does not cover land. Therefore a person is left with a

property they can't afford to repair, cannot live in as it is damaged (and the dwelling will continue to sustain damage as the land still moves), cannot sell it and still have a mortgage to repay. No one should be left in this dire financial situation.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Needs to be fair to allow people to purchase in the same area so children can stay at schools, work is still close. It should include the approach to insurance payouts which is being used by the Hastings Council.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2409

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

We need more funding for category 2P households so they can also recover from the storm damage.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

As long as the purchase process is voluntary no.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2430

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

It is important to note that Auckland Council has contributed to the situation failing to implement a number of "water resilence" initiatives in Piha agreed with the community after flooding several years ago. Had even some of these been in place, the situation that developed this year may well have been partly mitigated. We support "Making space for water and other resilience packages" but they should be prioritised in consultation with the community and funding not withdrawn or deferred as has happened every year since the earlier floods.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Although it is not included as a question, the proposal mentions that "We need to consider whether we should make different provisions for holiday homes and rentals that are assessed as being in category 3, compared to primary residences". This omission is unfortunate as it is important from an equity perspective that all three types of residence are included in the package and that people be given the chance to express their views on this.

   In Piha we have a very diverse range of living situations all of which can involve "intolerable risk" - people can be at risk whatever the state of ownership of the property and how many days they are staying there over the year - whether it is a permanent residence, a rental or a bach. Although most people would describe our property as a bach, and we have a property in the city that we sometimes stay in, the bach is our main residence - for example, we are registered to vote from here. Should we lose the right to a buy out simply because we have another residence? It does not even have same owners on the title, as the Piha property is a family property.

   Because there is no school in Piha, some parents move to town when their children get older and go to high school because it is impractical to transport the children to after school activities from a base in Piha. Some of these might rent out their Piha property, but will move back to live here once their children leave school. In the meantime, they may let out the Piha property long or short term. Would their property be designated a "rental" in these circumstances. It may in fact be the only property they own. This is just an example but there are a myriad living and ownership arrangements here and the only fair approach is to treat all three (residential, bach, rental) the same.

   Also, we do not with the concepts of “’fixed sum”, “price cap”, “lesser amount” or “sliding scale” - there may be many reasons why properties are of different values (the amount of land they come with, which road they are situated in etc) but the effect of the categorisation is the same for everybody - they have lost their residence (however that is defined).

   It is also stated that “non-residential property” will not be included. If this means that bare sections that have been damaged and can't be built on in the future, the loss is the same as for properties with residences on.

The same applies to how insured and uninsured properties are treated. There may be people who have had their insurance claims declined for a range of reasons (for example, the property is not damaged). If their property is deemed an intolerable risk, they situation is the same as others whose properties have been damaged (and therefore have cover) or completely demolished. Their loss is no less and fair treatment requires them all to be treated the same.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?

Don’t know

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2433

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

Ultimately I support the proposal outlined in this consultation and encourage the Council to pass it.

Some of funding package should go towards remediation work on damaged tracks in Waitakere Ranges to make them usable again. It is unclear if this work comes under "infrastructure" or "community facilities" in consultation document.

Affected communities should have input into what is funded in their communities as part of Recovery. This is not the first occasion of flooding in Piha and Council has spent

years taking no action to reduce risks. Over in Karekare, years of not maintaining the roads and drains to any kind of reasonable standard contributed hugely to the damage to the roads and stranding of a community (who in the end fixed their own roads).

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   Category 3 property owners should be able to nominate a valuation by Capital Value CV or Market valuation. If they choose latter they should also have the option of receiving an initial amount based on the CV while the process of acquiring and agreeing market valuation is completed (such process not to take an unreasonable amount of time).

   It’s important to take into account that homeowners often invest in home improvements that are not reflected in the CV but certainly would be when selling on the open market, especially in a suburb like Karekare, where properties appeal to a niche market but have always been in short supply.

   The buy-out process should include all residences, holiday homes and rentals and any other buildings affected. eg studios, workshops, garages etc.

   There is no logic to the proposal that baches and rentals should be excluded or treated differently.

   Property use often changes over time. e.g. Permanent Karekare residents may move into town temporarily (and rent their KK property while renting a place to live in town) so their children can attend secondary school, or as in my case, to care for elderly parents, with the intention always being of returning ‘home’.

   Council treats permanent residences, beaches and rentals the same for rating, valuation and every other purpose. It cannot change its approach now because it is convenient.

   In Category 3 buyouts, both the Auckland Council and Government funding should cover 100% of the property's value.

Council must also give fair compensation for land with no house on it if there are to be restrictions on ever building in that land. Otherwise land owners will be left owning land of no financial value. Will they be rated? How can Council justify buying land with a house on, but not land that could have a house in the future, especially if council takes step to say it will not be safe to build on in the future?

Buy out is voluntary. If people decline to be bought-out, for whatever reason, there should not be any penalties imposed by Council to coerce them to agreeing to be bought out. There should be no recourse to the Public Works Act to compulsorily buy people out.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2438

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

It is unclear exactly what will or won't be covered in the proposal. In addition to property, infrastructure and roading repairs I urge that the regional park tracks also receive the attention and repairs they require so their use for recreation and tourism can resume.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   The buy-out process should include residences, holiday homes and rentals and any other buildings affected eg surf clubs, workshops, garages etc.

   The buy out process and models to determine price should be open and transparent with the ability to challenge the price through appeals and independent valuations, with the aim of maintaining their (pre-cyclone) fair value.

   Disagree with the proposal of a “’fixed sum”, “price cap”, “lesser amount” or “sliding scale” (pp 5-8, Consultation document). If the principle is a fair price for the property, then there cannot be a different process for high-value properties.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2441

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner

contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No

Funding Auckland's storm recovery and resilience #2447

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Your feedback

Local Board: Waitākere Ranges

1. Auckland Council and central government have reached a proposed package to increase the total investment into Auckland's recovery efforts, with around $2 billion of co-funding for:

- Necessary repairs to the transport network,
- Improvements to reduce risks from natural hazards, and
- The one-off voluntary buy-out of around 700 homes that pose an intolerable risk to life.

Central government would provide up to $1.1 billion in new and reprioritised existing funding, with the council contributing up to $908 million from its sources.

Do you support the council accepting the proposed funding package?

Yes

2. Do you have any feedback about the proposal outlined in this consultation?

What happens if costs escalate beyond 2 billion? Waitakere ranges has suffered extensive damage to its tracks, these were used and enjoyed by all Aucklanders not just locals. . How do we ensue that funds from this package are allocated to remedy and rebuild these tracks to be enjoyed again by all? Affected communities are best to determine what takes priority for funding.

3. Category 3 properties are not safe to live in because the risk from future flooding or landslips is intolerably high, and no options are available to reduce the risk at an acceptable cost.

   In purchasing these properties, we will have some decisions to make. This includes how we define category 3 properties, how we set the buy-out price, the size of owner contributions, and what we do about insurance settlements and uninsured and underinsured properties (see discussion on page 6 of the consultation document for more information).

   Do you have any feedback on the methodology that Auckland Council should use to purchase Category 3 properties?

   All Cat 3 properties should be treated equally, regardless if home, holiday home, or rental. I don't understand the logic of excluding some properties, I can't think of any other circumstances where council does this. Funding should cover 100% of the property's value.

4. As climate change increases the risk of severe weather events, Auckland Council will not be in a position to continue to buy-out other flood and slip-affected homes.

   We are advocating to central government to establish a national scheme to support recovery from future events, and to put in place better processes for managed retreat in advance of disaster.

   Would you support Auckland Council advocating for the establishment of national schemes for these purposes?

   Support

5. **Do you think you might be eligible for a category 3 buy-out under this proposal?**

   No